# Involvement and readiness of fellows from Papua New Guinea's Field Epidemiology Training Programme in the COVID-19 response, 2020–2021

James A Flint, a,b Joanne Taylor, a,b Tambri Housen, Barry Ropa, Bernnie Smaghi, Laura Macfarlane-Berry, b Celeste Marsh, b Alois Pukienei, e Mathias Baurif and David N Durrheima, b

Correspondence to James A Flint (email: james.flint@health.nsw.gov.au)

Problem: Fellows of the Papua New Guinea Field Epidemiology Training Programme (FETP) were part of the national coronavirus disease (COVID-19) response. However, the specific activities and challenges experienced by fellows in the field were unknown.

Context: The advanced FETP cohort commenced just prior to the COVID-19 pandemic and all fellows were involved in the response. The advanced fellows participating in this review represented a cross-section of the country's public health

Action: A review was conducted to better understand the scope of activities undertaken by FETP fellows, identify the challenges experienced and assess how well the programme prepared fellows for their COVID-19 response roles. A facilitated discussion based on the World Health Organization COVID-19 intra-action review methodology and an online survey was conducted with advanced FETP fellows.

Outcome: The fellows made important contributions to the national COVID-19 response by assuming leadership positions at all levels of government, leading training activities and applying core field epidemiology competencies in surveillance and response activities. The programme had prepared them well for the response, giving them the confidence and skills to undertake a diverse range of response roles.

Discussion: The FETP review of the COVID-19 response in Papua New Guinea highlighted the role and influence of the fellows during the pandemic response. Fellows were able to apply core field epidemiology competencies across a range of roles. The recommendations derived from this review will be instructive for the FETP specifically and the COVID-19 response generally.

# **PROBLEM**

Graduates and fellows of the Field Epidemiology Training Programme of Papua New Guinea (FETPNG) were part of the national coronavirus disease (COVID-19) response. However, the specific activities and challenges experienced by FETP fellows in the field were not known. Given the important role of field epidemiologists in emergency response, the FETP faculty conducted a review to understand what worked well, what worked less well, the scope of activities undertaken by fellows

during the COVID-19 response, how prepared fellows felt, their confidence in performing key field epidemiology tasks during the response and what FETPNG could do better to prepare fellows for future infectious disease emergencies.

### CONTEXT

The COVID-19 pandemic has tested public health emergency response capacity across the world. The first case of COVID-19 was confirmed in Papua New Guinea (PNG)

Published: 24 June 2023

doi: 10.5365/wpsar.2023.14.2.989

a Hunter New England Health, New Lambton, New South Wales, Australia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> University of Newcastle, Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia.

National Department of Health, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea.

World Health Organization Representative Office for Papua New Guinea, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea.

Department of Health, Autonomous Bougainville Government, Autonomous Region of Bougainville, Papua New Guinea.

Western Highlands Provincial Health Authority, Western Highlands Province, Papua New Guinea.

on 6 March 2020 and the country has experienced multiple waves since that time, relying heavily on international and domestic border control measures as well as contact tracing, quarantine and isolation to suppress transmission and preserve health systems. 1,2 As of 22 August 2022, 44 861 confirmed cases of COVID-19, including 664 deaths, were reported in PNG.<sup>3</sup>

FETPs are supervised, on-the-job, competencybased training programmes for public health professionals. They train field epidemiologists to collect, analyse and interpret public health information, using evidence to take action and save lives. The skills of locally trained field epidemiologists are well suited to support public health emergency response activities.<sup>4</sup> As health security concerns have grown globally, FETPs have become increasingly recognized in global, regional and national preparedness and response mechanisms.<sup>5</sup> Field epidemiologists are identified as important human resource requirements for implementation of the International Health Regulations (2005), or IHR (2005).<sup>6,7</sup> The Global Health Security Agenda, launched in 2014 to support IHR (2005) implementation, highlights workforce training as a key element in strengthening health security.8 FETPs are a key part of training this health security workforce. Regionally, the Asia Pacific Strategy for Emerging Diseases (APSED III) has identified the importance of FETPs in progressing IHR (2005).9

PNG has been running an intermediate level (9-month) FETP since 201310 and recently initiated an extended 18-month programme, known as the advanced FETPNG (aFETPNG). As of July 2022, there were 94 intermediate FETP graduates working across all 22 provinces of the country and 17 fellows enrolled in aFETPNG.

The aFETPNG cohort commenced in 2019 just prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, and work in 13 of PNG's 22 provinces (59%). They represent all levels of the government's public health workforce, with fellows recruited from district (n = 7), provincial (n = 9) and national levels (n = 1). The substantive roles of fellows included surveillance officers, health extension officers, district health managers, disease programme managers, provincial disease control officers, the FETP convenor and a provincial deputy director of public health.

### **ACTION**

### **Facilitated discussion**

A 1-day review was held with aFETPNG fellows during their second face-to-face training workshop. We adapted the World Health Organization (WHO) COVID-19 intraaction review methodology, 11 framing discussions with FETP fellows around WHO's emergency response pillars which were used to guide a country's COVID-19 response.<sup>12</sup> The pillars we focused on were:

- Risk communications and community engagement (pillar 2);
- Surveillance, case investigation, laboratory (pillars 3 and 5);
- Case management and infection prevention and control (pillars 6 and 7); and
- Operational support and logistics (pillar 8).

Facilitated discussions identifying what went well and what went less well during the COVID-19 response were held, which included a root cause analysis. 11,13 Findings from the root cause analysis were used to develop recommendations for action.

# Online survey

Understanding the contribution of aFETPNG fellows during the COVID-19 response, their role, how well prepared they felt and their confidence in performing key field epidemiology tasks during the response was carried out through an online survey. 13 The survey also asked how FETPNG could better prepare fellows for future infectious disease emergency responses.

# **OUTCOME**

# **Facilitated discussion**

The findings from the facilitated discussion and key recommendations derived from root cause analysis were organized into four groups based on the WHO pillars (Table 1).

### Online survey

Fifteen (88%) aFETPNG fellows responded to the survey. All 15 (100%) were involved in the COVID-19 response in PNG. When asked about their involvement in COVID-19 throughout 2021, just over half (53%; n = 8) reported working full time on the response. Of those not in a fulltime role, 13% (n = 2) worked on the response 3–4 days per week and 33% (n = 5) 1–2 days per week.

The most common COVID-19 response roles undertaken included leading surveillance activities, providing advice to stakeholders, leading rapid response teams (RRTs), contact tracing and conducting training. The majority (80%; n = 12) of fellows received specific training to support them in their COVID-19 response roles. Almost all fellows (93%; n = 14) were involved in training others in support of the COVID-19 response, with fellows conducting an average of four training activities (range 1-15) in 2021. The 14 fellows collectively trained over 700 individuals.

Core FETP competencies, such as disease surveillance, outbreak response and data analysis, were all highlighted as being useful in preparing fellows for the COVID-19 response. Fellows also identified that the

Table 1. Summary of what worked well, what worked less well and key recommendations for the advanced Field Epidemiology Training Programme of Papua New Guinea, based on root cause analysis, April

#### 2022 Risk communications and community engagement Worked well Worked less well Recommendations · Using established systems and • Misinformation about COVID-19 · Establish and maintain strong workcommunity structures vaccination and the impact this ing relationships with community has on COVID-19 vaccination and leaders and partners Partnerships with key stakeholders routine immunization • Establish high-quality training-of-· Community leaders trained and · HCWs spreading false rumours trainers strategies to ensure HCWs engaged in COVID-19 awareness about the virus and COVID-19 at all levels are knowledgeable · Risk communications training for vaccination across response needs health-care workers (HCWs) at · Lack of established partner-· Establish recruitment strategy at provincial and district levels ships with communities affected provincial level to ensure adequate · Good political influence in the communication and engagement professional health staff to raise community efforts public health awareness alongside risk communication experts Other partners helped develop · Provincial communication officers information, education and · Continue to work with and build not always available communication (IEC) materials relationships with partners · Limited use of local languages in that were easy to understand by IEC materials the community

Surveillance, case investigation, laboratory		
Worked well	Worked less well	Recommendations
<ul> <li>Roll out of rapid antigen test kits</li> <li>Provincial-level management support for surveillance activities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Turnaround time for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) results (2–4 weeks)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Roll out COVID-19 rapid antigen tests at all facilities, including aid posts</li> </ul>
Opportunities afforded to     Field Epidemiology Training	• Turnaround time for whole genome sequencing	<ul> <li>Ensure supply of rapid antigen tests is adequate</li> </ul>
Programme (FETP) fellows to apply surveillance skills	<ul> <li>Lack of training in data manage- ment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Develop a sensitization programme to highlight the value of surveillance</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Purchase of two-way radios for surveillance teams</li> </ul>	No dedicated data management officers at provincial or district levels for COVID-19	to management within the province
<ul> <li>Training of health extension officers at district level to collect specimens</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Capitalizing on COVID-19 surveillance to strengthen other reporting systems</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Proactive response supported by appropriate legislation</li> </ul>		

#### Case management and infection prevention and control Worked well Worked less well Recommendations · When available, rapid antigen tests • Limited or no patient transport · Direct funding and resources to helped with timely case detection/ available boost health-care workforce diagnosis · No expertise to deal with mental Provide staff incentives for additional · Improved health facilities (e.g. health problems responsibilities construction of new wards and • Standard treatment protocols not Target educational resources to isolation facilities, instalment of always available, confusion around promote vaccination among HCWs incinerators, etc.) the use of ivermectin Build new isolation facilities or · Creation and dissemination of Insufficient human resources for separate COVID-19 wards with treatment protocols case management and infection dedicated staff to work in them · Engagement of mental health prevention and control • Ensure resources are allocated to counsellors Poor coordination and cooperation home isolation monitoring between clinical and public health Strengthen and invest in response sustainability of call centres in all · Poor compliance with case provinces (for example, integrate the isolation call centre with the disaster office) · Offer staff incentive packages and infection prevention and control training for those who work with COVID-19 patients Response, operational support and logistics Worked well Worked less well Recommendations • Integration of COVID-19 response • Staff shortage – inadequate Establish and allocate funding for a with other programmes staffing resulted in multi-tasking, RRT in every province; use existing exhaustion and mental stress workforce to formulate RRTs • Establishment of rapid response teams (RRTs) to support the Ensure there is a provincial budget · Waste management issues (e.g. response non-functional incinerators) for COVID-19 response and outbreaks with programme-based · Strengthened emergency • Delay in receiving funds for the budgeting operations centres at the provincial response Establish processes at provincial level Disruption to routine services, level to facilitate rapid mobilization · Coordination of funding available including routine childhood of financial and human resources for COVID-19 response immunization in response to public health Involvement of partners/ Funding impacts on other emergencies (with minimal impact commercial properties to support programmes on routine services) response needs • Poor compliance with control · Provide targeted education and measures (mask wearing, physical incentives to promote vaccination of

distancing, isolation, quarantine,

vaccination)

FETP provided them with confidence, enabling them to fill leadership roles, conduct public speaking and influence decision-makers.

"Decision makers have confidence in me presenting analysed data on COVID-19."

"As an FETP fellow, I have been appointed incident manager – I took a lead in surveillance, contact tracing, risk communication and community engagement."

"There is respect for the [FETP] course."

"There is recognition of FETP grads who are identified to take lead roles in the response."

HCWs at all levels

"From the FETP training - we could actively participate as a team lead in RRT, conduct contact tracing, case investigation and surveillance - across all areas of response."

Fellows felt most confident supporting or leading case investigation and contact tracing activities, and least confident supporting or leading risk communication, community engagement, specimen handling and shipping, and infection prevention and control activities (Supplementary Table 1).

Areas for strengthening the response capacity of graduates included further training on tools to support surveillance, data management, analysis and interpretation, risk communications and community engagement, psychological first aid, management and leadership during public health emergencies, and the establishment of RRTs. Fellows highlighted a need for more careful consideration and inclusion of gender issues when responding to emergencies and commented on connectivity challenges associated with virtual training.

Most fellows (93%; n = 14) reported that the intermediate and advanced FETPs were very helpful in preparing them for the COVID-19 response, while one respondent (7%) indicated the programmes were moderately helpful. Half (n = 7) of the fellows indicated that their manager was very aware of their skills as a field epidemiologist, 36% (n = 5) of managers were somewhat aware and 14% (n = 2) were not aware. Most of the fellows (79%; n = 11) indicated that their skills in field epidemiology were well utilized by their managers during the COVID-19 response.

When asked what could be done to improve the use of FETP graduates and fellows by management, the following themes emerged: (i) the need for management to recognize the potential of field epidemiologists and make use of them in leadership positions; (ii) the creation of designated field epidemiology positions within the public service; (iii) FETP sensitization training for managers; and (iv) the need for FETP fellows and graduates to appropriately manage up, including proactively presenting their surveillance and project findings to management.

# DISCUSSION

The COVID-19 review highlighted the role and influence of aFETPNG fellows during the pandemic response. Fellows were able to apply core field epidemiology competencies across a range of roles. The diversity of their roles highlights the value and versatility of field epidemiologists in public health emergencies. While the majority of fellows found the FETP training very helpful in preparing them for a pandemic response, they identified areas for improvement.

Based on the findings from the facilitated discussion and the online survey, the faculty prioritized the following actions:

- revise the intermediate and advanced FETPNG curricula to include additional training on areas highlighted by fellows, especially risk communication and community engagement;
- develop supplementary training, tools and resources to enable fellows and graduates to master core FETP competencies; fellows identified eLearning modules (provided in both offline and online formats), further refresher training opportunities, and a written technical manual with PNG examples:
- develop mechanisms to support graduates in the ongoing application of FETP knowledge and skills in the workplace through activities such as individual and group-based projects for graduates and ongoing mentorship (including during outbreak response activities);
- develop and deliver a sensitization training programme for senior management to promote the best utilization of field epidemiology graduates in the workplace; and
- advocate for the creation of designated field epidemiology positions within the public health service, providing a clear career pathway for graduates.

This FETP COVID-19 review was limited to fellows enrolled in the advanced FETP and did not include feedback from fellows or graduates of the intermediate FETP. Thus, these findings are not representative of all FETP fellows and graduates and cannot be generalized to the whole FETPNG population.

This COVID-19 review supports a culture of ongoing reflection and evaluation. The recommendations are instructive for FETPNG specifically and the COVID-19 response generally. Findings from this review support previous work focusing on workforce issues during emergency responses. 4,14,15 This review has highlighted the important contribution of the FETP fellows during the COVID-19 response, and the need for the programme to adapt to better prepare PNG's field epidemiology workforce for future challenges.

### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the technical expertise and coordination support of the PNG National Department of Health, FETPNG and WHO.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

### Ethics statement

This activity met the University of Newcastle's requirements for a Quality Assurance project and did not require review by the Human Research Ethics Committee.

# Funding

FETPNG is funded by the WHO Representative Office for Papua New Guinea, Field Epidemiology in Action (University of Newcastle / Hunter New England Heath) and the Indo-Pacific Centre for Health Security (Australian Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade).

### References

- 1. Smaghi BS, Collins J, Dagina R, Hiawalyer G, Vaccher S, Flint J, et al. Barriers and enablers experienced by health care workers in swabbing for COVID-19 in Papua New Guinea: a multi-methods cross-sectional study. Int J Infect Dis. 2021;110:S17-24. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2021.04.077 pmid:33991678
- 2. COVID-19 response in Papua New Guinea. Port Moresby: WHO Representative Office for Papua New Guinea; 2021. Available from: https://www.who.int/papuanewguinea/emergencies/covid-19-inpapuanewguinea, accessed 15 July 2022.
- 3. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) health situation report #137, 08 August 2022, Papua New Guinea. Port Moresby: Papua New Guinea National Department of Health; 2022.
- 4. Parry AE, Kirk MD, Colquhoun S, Durrheim DN, Housen T. Leadership, politics, and communication: challenges of the epidemiology workforce during emergency response. Hum Resour 2022;20(1):33. doi:10.1186/s12960-022-00727-y pmid:35410336
- 5. Hilmers A. On the first World Field Epidemiology Day, honoring our disease detectives on the front lines. Int J Infect Dis. 2021;110(Suppl 1):S1-2. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2021.08.070 pmid:34481071

- 6. International health regulations (2005), 3rd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016. Available from: https://apps.who.int/ iris/handle/10665/246107, accessed 31 August 2022.
- Joint external evaluation tool: International health regulations (2005): JEE reporting template. Geneva: World Health Organizaton; 2016. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/252754, accessed 31 August 2022.
- Jones DS, Dicker RC, Fontaine RE, Boore AL, Omolo JO, Ashgar RJ, et al. Building global epidemiology and response capacity with field epidemiology training programs. Emerging Infect Dis. 2017;23(13):S158-65. doi:10.3201/eid2313.170509 pmid:29155658
- 9. Asia Pacific strategy for emerging diseases and public health emergencies (APSED III): advancing implementation of the International Health Regulations (2005): working together towards health security. Manila: WHO Regional Office for the Western Pacific; 2017. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/259094, accessed 31 August 2022.
- 10. Ropa B, Flint J, O'Reilly M, Pavlin BI, Dagina R, Peni B, et al. Lessons from the first 6 years of an intervention-based field epidemiology training programme in Papua New Guinea, 2013-2018. BMJ Glob Health. 2019;4(6):e001969. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001969 pmid:31908873
- 11. Guidance for conducting a country COVID-19 intra-action review (IAR), 23 July 2020. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/333419, accessed 31 August 2022.
- 12. COVID-19 strategic preparedness and response plan: operational planning guideline: 1 February 2021 to 31 January 2022. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021. Available from: https://apps.who. int/iris/handle/10665/340073, accessed 31 August 2022.
- 13. Flint J. Field Epidemiology Training Program of Papua New Guinea (FETPNG): advanced FETPNG fellows involvement and readiness for COVID-19 response - a FETPNG Intra Action Review Protocol, Version 1.0, February 2022: Annex A. Workshop 2: Covid review. Facilitator guide for intra-action review. Newcastle (NSW): Hunter New England Health / University of Newcastle; 2022. Available from: https://static1.squarespace.com/ static/5fb4723e225bcb20d28f0f76/t/62e9e24de578d76daf9d3 89b/1659494990414/aFETPNG+intra+action+review+COVID+-+Protocol.pdf, accessed 22 March 2023.
- 14. Parry AE, Kirk MD, Durrheim DN, Olowokure B, Colguhoun S, Housen T. Emergency response and the need for collective competence in epidemiological teams. Bull World Health 2021;99(5):351-8. doi:10.2471/BLT.20.276998 pmid:33958823
- 15. Parry AE, Kirk MD, Durrheim DN, Olowokure B, Colguhoun SM, Housen T. Shaping applied epidemiology workforce training to strengthen emergency response: a global survey of applied epidemiologists, 2019-2020. Hum Resour Health. 2021;19(1):58. doi:10.1186/s12960-021-00603-1 pmid:33926469