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#### Abstract

This article proposes a boundary integral equation method for computing numerical conformal mappings of bounded multiply connected region $\Omega$ onto the disk with rectilinear slit and spiral slits regions, $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ Initially, the process involves calculating the boundary value of the canonical region. Cauchy's integral formula can then be used to compute the mapping of the interior values. The effectiveness of the proposed method is demonstrated using several numerical examples.


Index Terms: Numerical conformal mapping, Boundary integral equations, multiply connected regions, Generalized Neumann kernel

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The identification of canonical regions plays a crucial role in conformal mappings of multiply connected regions. The regions identified as canonical include the disk with circular slits, the annulus with circular slits, the circular slit region, the radial slit region, and the parallel slit region. Furthermore, additional canonical regions for conformal mappings include the disk with spiral slits region, annulus with spiral slits region, spiral slits region, and straight slits region [1]-[13]. Nasser's method of computing conformal mapping is based on Riemann-Hilbert problem
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[2], [5], [14], while Sangawi's methods rely on integral equations satisfy the interior non-homogeneous boundary relationship [8]-[12].

The canonical slit regions introduced by Koebe [1], DeLillo et al. [15], and Nasser [5] are special cases of the spiral slits region. Sangawi [9]-[11] and Sangawi et al. [12] have demonstrated conformal mapping of bounded multiply connected regions onto the second, third, and fourth categories of Koebe's canonical slit regions using a boundary integral equation method. In Nasser [14] study, the study of bounded multiply connected region onto the disk with rectilinear slit and spiral slits region was facilitated by reformulating the conformal mapping as a Riemann-Hilbert problem. The present paper aims to establish a new boundary integral equation method for numerical conformal mappings from $\Omega$ onto $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$.

The design of the study is as follows: Section 2 presents some necessary materials. A derivation of integral equation method
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Fig. 1. Mapping of $\Omega$ onto $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$.
for computing the function $\mathcal{F}$ has been presented in Section 3. The boundary integral equation method has been illustrated through examples provided in Section 4. Lastly, Section 5 comprises of the conclusion.

## 2. NECESSARY MATERIALS

A bounded multiply connected region $\Omega$ of connectivity $\mathrm{M}+1$. The boundary $\Gamma$ consists of $\mathrm{M}+1$ smooth Jordan curves $\Gamma_{\imath} \iota=0,1, \ldots, M$ as demonstrated in the following, (see Fig. 1)

The curve $\Gamma_{t}$ is parametrized by a $2 \pi$ periodic twice continuously differentiable complex function $\xi_{t}(t)$
$\zeta_{l}(t)=\frac{d \zeta_{l}(t)}{d t} \neq 0, \quad t \in_{l}=[0,2 \pi], \quad \imath=0, \ldots, M$
The complete parameter I is the combination of $\mathrm{M}+1$ disjoint intervals $I_{\imath}, \imath=0, \ldots, \mathrm{M}$. The entire boundary $\Gamma$ on I is defined by parametrization $\xi(t)$
$\zeta(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}\zeta_{0}(t), & t \in I_{0}=[0,2 \pi], \\ \zeta_{1}(t), & t \in I_{1}=[0,2 \pi], \\ & \vdots \\ \zeta_{m}(t), & t \in I_{m}=[0,2 \pi]\end{array}\right.$
Assuming $\hat{A}(t)$ is a complex function that is continuously differentiable with a periodicity of $2 \pi \forall t \in I_{i}$. The generalized Neumann kernel that is formed using $\hat{A}$ can be described as[10]:
$\hat{N}(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\hat{A}(t)}{\hat{A}(s)} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}(s)}{\zeta(s)-\zeta(t)}\right), & s \neq t, \\ \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\zeta^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\zeta^{\prime}(s)}-\operatorname{Im} \frac{\hat{A}(t)}{\hat{A}(s)}\right), & s=t .\end{cases}$

The classical Neumann kernel is the generalized Neumann kernel formed with $\hat{A}(t)=1$, i.e.

$$
N(t, s)=\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\zeta^{\prime}(s)}{\zeta(s)-\zeta(t)}\right)
$$

The adjoint kernel $N^{*}(s, t)$ of the Neumann kernel is as follows:

$$
N^{*}(t, s)=N(s, t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\zeta^{\prime}(t)}{\zeta(t)-\zeta(s)}\right)
$$

The generalized Neumann kernel $\tilde{N}(s, t)$ is as follows:

$$
\tilde{N}(t, s)=\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\tilde{A}(t)}{\tilde{A}(s)} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}(s)}{\zeta(s)-\zeta(t)}\right), \tilde{A}(t)=\frac{\zeta^{\prime}(t)}{\hat{A}(t)}
$$

If $\hat{A}=1$, then
$\tilde{N}(t, s)=-N^{*} \quad(t, s)$.
Refer to Sangawi [10] for the definitions of $\mathrm{N}, \tilde{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{*}$.

## 3. INTEGRAL EQUATION METHOD FOR COMPUTING THE FUNCTION $\mathcal{F}$

The canonical region can be described as a disk with a finite straight slit along the line where $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{F}=0$, as well as $\mathrm{M}-1$ finite spiral slits. Additionally, there is a rectilinear slit, which refers to a slit that lies on a straight line.
$\operatorname{Im}\left[e^{-i \alpha} \mathcal{F}\right]=r, \alpha, r \in \mathbb{R}$,
The variable $\alpha$ represents the angles of intersection between the line and the real axis. There is also a spiral
slit, which refers to a slit that is located on a logarithmic spiral.
$\operatorname{Im}\left[e^{-i \alpha} \log \mathcal{F}\right]=r, \quad \alpha, r \in \mathbb{R}$,
Where the oblique angles $\alpha$ are prescribed in advance.
Assume that the function $\mathcal{F}(\zeta)$ maps the curve $\Gamma_{0}$ onto the circle with radius $e^{-R_{0}}$, the circle $\Gamma_{1}$ onto a finite rectilinear slit that lies on the line where $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{F}(\zeta))=0$, and the curves $\Gamma$, where $l=2, \ldots, M$, onto $M-1$ finite spiral slits with oblique angles $\theta_{,} \iota=2, \ldots, M$. Therefore, the mapping function that transforms $\Omega$ onto $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ fulfills the following conditions.
$|\mathcal{F}(\zeta)|=e^{-\mathrm{R}_{0}}, \quad t \in \mathrm{I}_{0}$
$\operatorname{Im}(\log (\mathcal{F}(\zeta(t))))=0, \quad t \in I_{1}$
$\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-i \theta_{\mathrm{l}}} \log \left(\mathcal{F}\left(\zeta_{\imath}(t)\right)\right)\right)=\mathrm{R}_{\imath}, \quad t \in \mathrm{I}_{\imath}, \quad l=2, \ldots, M$
The values $R_{0}, R_{1}, \ldots, R_{M}$ are real constants that have not been determined, $\theta(t)=\left(\pi / 2,0, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{M}\right)$,
$R(t)=\left(R_{0}, 0, R_{2}, \ldots, R_{M}\right)[14]$. Hence $\mathcal{F}(\zeta)$ satisfy
$\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i\left(0.5 \pi-\theta_{l}\right)} \log \left(\mathcal{F}\left(\zeta_{t}(t)\right)\right)\right)=-R_{l}, \quad t \in \mathrm{I}_{t}$, $\imath=0, \ldots, M$,

And $\mathcal{F}(\zeta)$ can be reformulated as [14]:
$\frac{\mathcal{F}(\zeta)}{K_{1}(\zeta)}=K_{2}(\zeta) e^{(\zeta-\alpha) \zeta(\zeta)+i h_{1}}$,
Where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}(\zeta)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr} 
& \left(\frac{\zeta^{2}+p^{2}}{2 p \zeta}\right)+c, \\
1, & \zeta \in \Gamma_{1},
\end{array}\right. \\
& K_{2}(\zeta)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
1, & \zeta \in \Gamma_{1}, \\
\left(\frac{\zeta^{2}+p^{2}}{2 p \zeta}\right)+c, & \zeta \notin \Gamma_{1},
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rho$ is a radius of $\Gamma_{1} \mathrm{c}=1$ for $\Omega_{1}, \mathrm{c}=0$ for $\Omega_{2}, \hat{\mathrm{~h}}(\xi)$ is an analytic function in $\Omega_{1}$ for $c=1$ and $\hat{\mathrm{h}}(\xi)$ is an analytic function in $\Omega_{2}$ for $c=0$. And then define $S(t)$ by,
$\bar{B} \log \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(\zeta)}{K_{1}(\zeta)}\right)=r(t)+i S(t), \bar{B}=e^{i\left(0.5 \pi-\theta_{l}\right)}$,
$r_{t}=-\mathrm{R}_{\imath}, \quad l=0, \ldots, M$,
We assume that,
$\digamma(\zeta)=e^{\overline{B 1} \log \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(\zeta)}{K_{1}(\zeta)}\right)}=e^{r_{1}+i S_{t}(t)}, l=0, \ldots, M$,
which implies that,
$\digamma^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{l}(t)\right) \zeta_{l}^{\prime}(t)=i S_{l}^{\prime}(t) \digamma\left(\zeta_{l}(t)\right), \quad j=0, \ldots, M$.
After some algebraic manipulations, we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\left(\frac{\digamma^{\prime}(\zeta)}{\digamma(\zeta)}\right)}=-T(\zeta)^{2}\left(\frac{\digamma^{\prime}(\zeta)}{\digamma(\zeta)}\right), T(\zeta)=\left(\frac{\zeta^{\prime}(t)}{\zeta(t)}\right), \zeta \in \Gamma . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definitions of $\digamma(\zeta)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\zeta)$ we obtain,
$\digamma(\zeta)=\mathrm{e}^{B \log \left(\mathrm{~K}_{2}(\zeta)\right)_{e} e^{\left.\bar{B}((\zeta-\alpha))^{\prime}(\zeta)+\mathrm{i} b_{1}\right)},}$
Let,
$D(\zeta)=\frac{B \digamma^{\prime}(\zeta)}{\digamma(\zeta)}-\frac{k_{2}^{\prime}(\zeta)}{k_{2}(\zeta)}$, is analytic in $\Omega$.
$\frac{K_{2}^{\prime}(\zeta)}{K_{2}(\zeta)}= \begin{cases}0, & \mathrm{Z} \in \Gamma_{1}, \\ \left(\frac{\zeta^{2}-p^{2}}{\zeta\left(\zeta^{2}+2 c p \zeta+p^{2}\right)}\right), & \mathrm{Z} \notin \Gamma_{1},\end{cases}$
Combining (9) and (11), we obtain,
$D(\zeta)=-\frac{B(\zeta) \overline{T(\zeta)}}{\overline{B(\zeta) \Gamma(\zeta)}} \overline{D(\zeta)}-B(\zeta)^{2}$
$\overline{T(\zeta)^{2}} \overline{\left(\frac{k_{2}^{\prime}(\zeta)}{k_{2}(\zeta)}\right)}-\frac{k_{2}^{\prime}(\zeta)}{k_{2}(\zeta)}, \zeta \in \Gamma$
Equation (10), yields,
$\log (\digamma(\zeta(t)))=\bar{B} \log \left(K_{2}(\zeta(t))\right)+$
$\bar{B}\left[(\zeta(t)-\alpha) \hat{b}(\zeta(t))+i h_{1}\right]$

We reach the following from (7):
$\log (\digamma(\zeta(t)))=r_{i}+i S_{i}(t)$, where $r_{i}=-R_{i}$,
$\boldsymbol{\imath}=0, \ldots, M$,
then
$\overline{\mathrm{B}}(\zeta(\mathrm{t})-\alpha) \hat{\mathrm{h}}((\mathrm{t}))=r_{j}+h_{1} \cos \theta+$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i\left(\rho(t)+v(t)-b_{1} \sin \theta\right)- \\
& \bar{B} \log \left(K_{2}(\zeta(t))\right)=b(t)+ \\
& i(\rho(t)+v(t))+\gamma(t)+i \mu(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\gamma(t)+i \mu(t)=-\bar{B} \log \left(K_{2}(\zeta(t))\right), b(t)=r_{t}+$ $b_{1} \cos \theta$ and $\quad v(t)=v(t)-b_{1} \sin \theta$.

By obtaining $h_{v}, \boldsymbol{\imath}=0, \ldots, \mathrm{M}$, from second equation in Theorem 2; Sangawi [11] we obtain
$r_{i}=h_{i}-h_{1} \cos \theta_{\imath}, \quad l=0, \ldots, M$
By using Theorem 1 in Sangawi [11], (11), (12) and after some algebraic operations we achieve the following:
$\frac{\digamma^{\prime}(\zeta)}{\digamma(\zeta)} T(\zeta)+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\overline{B(\zeta)}}{\overline{B(w)}} \frac{T(\zeta)}{\zeta-\xi}\right] \frac{\digamma^{\prime}(\xi)}{\digamma(\xi)}$
$T(\xi)|d \xi|=2 i \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\overline{B(\zeta)} T(\zeta) K_{2}^{\prime}(\zeta)}{K_{2}(\zeta)}\right], \zeta \in \Gamma$
Assume that $\xi=\xi(t)$ and $\xi=\xi(s)$. Then by placing $\digamma^{\prime}(\zeta) \zeta^{\prime}(t) / \digamma(\zeta)=i S_{i}^{\prime}(t), \xi \in \Gamma$ in (17), we realize $S_{i}^{\prime}(t)+\int_{\mathrm{I}} \tilde{N}(s, t) S_{i}^{\prime}(s) d s=2 \operatorname{Im}$
$\left[\frac{\overline{B(\zeta)} \zeta^{\prime}(t) K_{2}^{\prime}(\zeta(t))}{K_{2}(\zeta(t))}\right]$,
this can be written in its operator form ( $\tilde{N}=-N^{*}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{N}^{*}\right) S_{t}^{\prime}=2 \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\overline{B(\zeta) \zeta^{\prime}(t) K_{2}^{\prime}(\zeta(t))}}{K_{2}(\zeta(t))}\right] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, (18) is not uniquely solvable. To deal with this problem, observe

$$
\int_{\mathrm{I}} S_{l}^{\prime}(t) d t=0, \quad l=1, \ldots, M
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
J S_{\mathrm{t}}^{\prime}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combination of (18) and (19) gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{N}^{*}+\mathrm{J}\right) S_{t}^{\prime}=2 \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\overline{B(\zeta)} \zeta^{\prime}(t) K_{2}^{\prime}(\zeta(t))}{K_{2}(\zeta(t))}\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the light of [14, Theorem 2], (20) is uniquely solvable. $S_{l}^{\prime}(t)$ gives the value of $S_{l}(t), \imath=0, \ldots, \mathrm{M}$, by using the following equation.
$S_{\imath}(t)=\int S_{\imath}^{\prime}(t) d t+v_{\imath}=: \rho_{\imath}(t)+v_{\imath}, \quad t \in \mathrm{I}_{\imath}$,
where $v_{t}$ is a real constant integration, we see that,
$\rho_{l}(t)=\int S_{l}^{\prime}(t) d t, \quad t \in \mathrm{I}_{t}$
$b_{i}$ is obtained through solving (13) and (11) in Sangawi [11] from which $r_{t}$ is provided through (16). Having solved (20) we are granted the value $S_{l}^{\prime}(t)$. We obtain $v_{t}$ through the equations (37), (38) and (12) in Sangawi [11] from which $\hat{v}_{\imath}$ is acquired, after that $\mathcal{F}(\zeta)$ is attained by,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{F}\left(\zeta_{l}(t)\right)=K_{1}\left(\zeta_{l}(t)\right) e^{B\left(r_{l}+i\left(\rho_{l}(t)+v_{l}(t)\right)\right)} \\
& j=0, \ldots, M \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Cauchy integral formula, the interior value of $\mathcal{F}(\zeta)$ is determined.

## 4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

Nyström's method alongside the trapezoidal rule [17] [18] was used to solve (13) in Sangawi [11] and (20). The computational details are almost identical to [19], [20].

Some test regions of connectivity three, four, and seven have been used for numerical experiments [14]. MATLAB R2020a was used to carry out all the computations. In each boundary $\Gamma$ the same number of collocation point has been used. $\Omega, \Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are shown in Figures 2-4. Tables 1-3 exhibit our computed values of $r_{\imath}, t=0, \ldots, 6$ compared to those of Nasser [14].


Fig. 2. Mapping $\Omega$ onto $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ with three connectivity.


Fig. 3. Mapping $\Omega$ onto $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ with four connectivity.


Fig. 4. Mapping $\Omega$ onto $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ with seven connectivity.

## Example 1

The region with the following boundaries:
$\Gamma_{0}:\{\zeta(\mathrm{t})=10(\cos t+i \sin t)\}$,
$\Gamma_{1}:\{\zeta(\mathrm{t})=\cos t-i \sin t\}$,

$$
\Gamma_{2}:\{\zeta(\mathrm{t})=4+2(\cos t-i \sin t)\}
$$

$\mathrm{t} \in[0,2 \grave{\mathrm{~A}}], \quad=\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0,-\frac{3 \pi}{4}\right), \quad \alpha=2+3 i$

Table 1 give the computed values of $r$ and $\Omega, \Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are shown in Figure 2.

Example 2
The region $\Omega$ bounded by four multiply connected region, Nasser [14],
$\Gamma_{0}:\left\{\zeta(t)=(4+\cos 2 t+\sin 4 t) e^{i t}\right\}$,
$\Gamma_{1}:\left\{\zeta(t)=e^{-i t}\right\}$,


Fig. 5. $\Omega, \Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ with high connectivity.

Table 1: Computed values of $r_{r} \boldsymbol{l}=\mathbf{0 , 1 , 2}$

| Computed values of $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{r}} \boldsymbol{l}=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1 , 2}$ for $\Omega_{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| 32 | 1.53579417691881 | 0 | -0.570199621240809 |
| 64 | 1.53579417442883 | 0 | -0.570199620799919 |
| 128 | 1.53579417442883 | 0 | -0.570199620799919 |
| Computed values of $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{l}=\mathbf{0 , 1 , 2}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\Omega_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| 32 | 1.40674522978284 | 0 | -0.174539534772558 |
| 64 | 1.40674523035241 | 0 | -0.174539535774301 |
| 128 | 1.40674523035241 | 0 | -0.174539535774301 |

Table 2: Computed values of $r_{i}, l=0, \ldots, 3$ with $n=128$

| Computed values of $r_{i}, \boldsymbol{l}=\mathbf{0}, \ldots, \mathbf{3}$ for $\Omega_{1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{t}$ | Proposed method | Presented method in |
| Nasser [11] |  |  |
| $r_{0}$ | 0.632297599993722 | 0.632297593480685 |
| $r_{1}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $r_{2}$ | 0.89615354957018 | 0.896153544382371 |
| $r_{3}$ | 0.47224415769662 | 0.472244154231282 |
| Computed values of $r_{i}, \boldsymbol{l}=\mathbf{0}, \ldots, \mathbf{3}$ for $\Omega_{2}$ |  |  |
| $r_{1}$ | Proposed method | Presented method in |
| $r_{0}$ | 0.260931620532661 | Nasser [11] |
| $r_{1}$ | 0 | 0.260931605731473 |
| $r_{2}$ | 2.13317552874793 | 0 |
| $r_{3}$ | 0.100276605317663 | 2.13317551821617 |

Table 3: Computed values of $r_{i}, l=0, \ldots, 6$

| Computed values of $r_{r}, \boldsymbol{\imath}=\mathbf{0}, \ldots, \mathbf{6}$ for $\Omega_{1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{t}$ | Proposed method | Presented method in |
| Nasser [11] |  |  |
| $r_{0}$ | 1.23570971232484 | 1.235709712326 |
| $r_{1}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $r_{2}$ | 0.390611187505815 | 0.390611187504613 |
| $r_{3}$ | -1.12990942307151 | -1.12990942307163 |
| $r_{4}$ | -0.537364361903202 | -0.537364361903388 |
| $r_{5}$ | 0.590670832485013 | 0.590670832470072 |
| $r_{6}$ | -0.43049693297431 | -0.430496932984193 |
| Computed values of $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{l}=\mathbf{0}, \ldots, 6$ for $\Omega_{2}$ |  |  |
| $r_{1}$ | Proposed method | Presented method in |
| $r_{0}$ | 0.967817156520659 | Nasser [11] |
| $r_{1}$ | 0 | 0.967817156521745 |
| $r_{2}$ | -0.202389826842849 | 0 |
| $r_{3}$ | -1.56125001631144 | -0.202389826843975 |
| $r_{4}$ | -1.05242061198575 | -1.56125001631162 |
| $r_{5}$ | -0.084470341822131 | -1.05242061198594 |
| $r_{6}$ | -1.2703736171962 | -0.0844703418361004 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{2}:\left\{\zeta(t)=(1+0.25 \cos 5 t) e^{-i t}\right\} \\
& \Gamma_{3}:\left\{\zeta(t)=(1+0.25 \sin 4 t) e^{-i t},\right. \\
& \mathrm{t} \in[0,2 \pi],=\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3 \pi}{4}\right), \alpha=2 i
\end{aligned}
$$

The values of $r_{v}, l=0, \ldots, 3$ are listed in Table 2 and $\Omega, \Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are shown in Figure 3.

## Example 3

The region $\Omega$ bounded by seven multiply connected region, Nasser [11],

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{0}:\left\{\zeta(t)=e^{i t}\right\}, \\
& \Gamma_{1}:\left\{\zeta(t)=0.2 e^{-i t}\right\}, \\
& \Gamma_{2}:\{\zeta(t)=i 0.37+0.25 \cos t-i 0.08 \sin t\}, \\
& \Gamma_{3}:\{\zeta(t)=i 0.6+0.2 \cos t-i 0.06 \sin t\}, \\
& \Gamma_{4}:\{\zeta(t)=i 0.8+0.15 \cos t-i 0.04 \sin t\} \\
& \Gamma_{5}:\{\zeta(t)=-i 0.44+0.1 \cos t-i 0.15 \sin t\} \\
& \Gamma_{6}:\{\zeta(t)=-i 0.77+0.07 \cos t-i 0.1 \sin t\} \\
& \quad \mathrm{t} \in[0,2 \grave{A}], \quad \alpha=0.5+0.5 i \\
& \theta=(0.5 \pi, 0,0.5 \pi, 0,0.15 \pi, 0.5 \pi, 0.875 \pi)
\end{aligned}
$$

The values of $r_{\imath} \iota=0, \ldots, 6$ are listed in Table 3 and $\Omega, \Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are shown in Figure 4. Some more examples are shown in Fig. 5.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

The present study proposes a new boundary integral equation for the conformal mapping of multiply connected regions onto the disk with rectilinear slit and spiral slits regions, $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$. We used the proposed method to compute several mappings of some test regions and computed the boundary values of the mapping function. The interior mapping function was then determined using Cauchy's integral formula. Numerical examples were provided to demonstrate the high accuracy of the boundary integral equation method.
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