

Sociobiology

An international journal on social insects

RESEARCH ARTICLE - BEES

Comparative molecular cytogenetics of Melipona Illiger species (Hymenoptera: Apidae)

V ANDRADE-SOUZA^{1,4}, OMP DUARTE², CCC MARTINS¹, IS SANTOS³, MGC COSTA¹, MA COSTA¹

- 2 Universidade Federal do Sul da Bahia, Porto Seguro, Bahia, Brazil
- 3 Instituto Federal Bahiano, Santa Inês, Bahia, Brazil

4 - Universidade Federal de Roraima, Boa Vista, Roraima, Brazil

Article History

Edited by

Cândida Aguiar, UEF	S, Brazil
Received	10 May 2018
Initial acceptance	12 June 2018
Final acceptance	22 August 2018
Publication date	11 October 2018

Keywords

FISH, ribosomal genes, fluorochromes, heteromorphism, Amazonian bee fauna.

Corresponding author

Marco Antonio Costa Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz Departamento de Ciências Biológicas Rodovia Jorge Amado, Km 16, Salobrinho CEP 45662-900 - Ilhéus-BA, Brasil. E-Mail: costama@uesc.br

Abstract

Cytogenetic studies of Melipona are scarce with only 24 species analyzed cytogenetically. Of these, six species had the rDNA sites physically mapped and characterized by Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH). The aim of this study was to perform karyotype analyzes on Melipona species from different regions of Brazil, with a greater sampling representative of the Amazonian fauna and using conventional, fluorochrome staining and FISH with heterologous rDNA probes. The predominant chromosome number was 2n = 18, however, the subspecies Melipona seminigra abunensis Cockerell and Melipona seminigra pernigra Moure & Kerr showed 2n = 22 chromosomes. The karyotypes were symmetrical, however Melipona bicolor Smith, Melipona quadrifasciata Guérin, Melipona flavolineata Friese, Melipona fuscopilosa Moure & Kerr, Melipona nebulosa Camargo presented the first pair heteromorphic in length. CMA,⁺ blocks also exhibited heteromorphism of size and in almost all cases coincided with rDNA sites, except for Melipona crinita Moure & Kerr and M. nebulosa, which presented additional non-coincident CMA₂⁺ blocks. The CMA₂/rDNA sites were terminal and interstitial in species with high heterochromatic content, and pericentromeric in the species with low heterochromatic content. In addition to describing cytogenetic features of cytotaxonomic importance, the reorganization of the genome in Melipona is also discussed.

Introduction

Melipona is a Neotropical genus of stingless bees, that occurs from Mexico to Argentina (Michener, 2007) and with greater diversity in the Amazon basin (Silveira et al., 2002). Moure divided it into four subgenera, *Eomelipona*, *Melikerria*, *Melipona* and *Michmelia* (Moure et al., 2007). Michener (2007) did not recognize the subgenera for considering them very similar. Silveira et al. (2002) also recognize subgenera, but suggest some modifications in Moure's proposal. Molecular analyzes of mitochondrial and nuclear genes support this classification (Fernandes-Salomão et al., 2002; Ramirez et al., 2010; Rasmussen & Cameron, 2010). *Melipona* shows unique characteristics among the Meliponini, such as the geneticalimentary process of caste determination and absence of morphologically distinct queen cells (Michener, 2007).

Although there are several studies involving Melipona biology, there is a paucity of molecular cytogenetic studies. So far, 23 out of 74 described Melipona species (Camargo and Pedro, 2013; Pedro, 2014), were included in cytogenetic studies (Tavares et al., 2017), however, with concentrated samplings in the south and southeast Brazil. The chromosome numbers n = 9 and 2n = 18, are conserved in this genus and were found in almost all species (Rocha et al., 2007; Tavares et al., 2017) except for Melipona seminigra merrillae Cockerell (2n = 22) (Francini et al., 2011). In Melipona quinquefasciata Lepeletier (2n = 18 to 2n = 22) (Rocha et al., 2007) and *Melipona rufiventris* Lepeletier (2n = 18 to 2n = 19) (Lopes et al., 2008) the differentiated chromosome number varied due to the presence of supernumerary chromosomes. In the first species 2n = 18 is the chromosome number of the regular complement, and there is a variable number of B chromosomes.



^{1 -} Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Ilhéus, Bahia, Brazil

In the second species only one supernumerary chromosome was recorded.

Most of the previous cytogenetic analyzes basically included conventional staining with Giemsa, staining with the CMA,/DAPI fluorochromes and C-banding. Only Melipona compressipes (Fabricius) (Rocha et al., 2002), M. quinquefasciata, Melipona capixaba Moure & Camargo, Melipona quadrifasciata Lepeletier, Melipona scutellaris Latreille and Melipona bicolor Smith (Rocha et al., 2007) were analyzed using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) with probes for localization of rDNA sites. Characteristics such as conserved DNA sequences and large variation in the number of copies make ribosomal genes good cytological markers in the characterization of the chromosome set by in situ hybridization, making possible to make inferences about genetic variability, intra and interspecific divergence (Rafael et al., 2003; Sochorová et al., 2018). This technique has been used in studies of different insect orders, such as Lepidoptera (Nguyen et al., 2010), Diptera (Roy et al., 2005), Hymenoptera (Carabajal Paladino et al., 2013) and Hemiptera (Salanitro et al., 2017) using homologous probes. In the Coleoptera (Vitturi et al., 1999), Orthoptera (Cabrero & Camacho, 2008; Loreto et al., 2008), Lepidoptera (Vershinina et al., 2015) and Hymenoptera (Hirai et al., 1996) heterologous probes were also used. Among the bees, stingless bees are still poorly studied in this respect.

In this study a comparative karyotype analysis was performed using FISH with a 45S rDNA heterologous probe, conventional staining and fluorochrome staining, among *Melipona* species from different regions of Brazil, with the inclusion of scarcely studied species of the Amazonian fauna.

Material and Methods

The analyzed samples were collected directly from bees' nests in their natural habitat or in colonies maintained by research institutions, such as CEPLAC (Research Center for Cacao Crops - Ilhéus/BA), Universidade Federal de Viçosa (MG), Embrapa (PA) as listed in Table 1. A minimum number of five individuals per species and 10 metaphases per slide were analyzed. Specimens of vouchers identified by Dr. Gabriel A. R. Melo were deposited in the Entomological Collection Pe. J. S. Moure of the Universidade Federal do Paraná (DZUP), Curitiba, Brazil.

Mitotic metaphases were obtained from cerebral ganglia of last-instar larvae, treated with colchicine (0.005%) for 20 min following Imai et al. (1988). The chromosomes were stained with Giemsa 3% in phosphate buffer, pH 6.8. The selected metaphases were photographed under an Olympus CX-41 microscope, equipped with a digital camera. The karyotypes were organized using the Adobe Photoshop CS4 program. The nomenclatura used was of Levan et al. (1964).

After air drying, the slides were stained with CMA₃ (Chromomycin A₃) (0.5 mg / ml) and counterstained with DAPI (4 , 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) (2 µg / ml) according to Guerra and Souza (2002). The images were analyzed using the Leica DMRA2 epifluorescence microscope, captured with the IM50 Software and overlaid using Adobe Photoshop CS4. The slides were decolorized and stored at -20°C for further in situ hybridization with 45S rDNA probe.

The rDNA sites were localized using heterologous 45S rDNA probe R2 *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Brassicaceae), a fragment of 6.5 kb containing copies of the 18S-rDNA unit

Table 1 Melipona species analyzed and collection sites.

Species	Locality	Geographic Coordinates
<i>M. (Eomelipona) bicolor</i> Smith	Viçosa-Minas Gerais	42 W 52' 54", 20 S 45' 15"
M. (Melikerria) fasciculata Smith	Belém-Pará	48 W 30' 15", 1 S 27' 21"
M. (Melikerria) grandis Guérin	Xapuri-Acre	68 W 30' 15", 10 S 39' 06"
M. (Melipona) quadrifasciata Guérin	Viçosa-Minas Gerais	42 W 52' 54", 20 S 45' 15"
M. (Michmelia) fuscopilosa Moure & Kerr	Xapuri-Acre	68 W 30' 15", 10 S 39' 06"
M. (Michmelia) seminigra pernigra Moure & Kerr	Santarém-Pará	54 W 42' 29", 2 S 26' 36"
M. (Michmelia) seminigra abunensis Cockerell	Rio Branco-Acre	67 W 48' 35", 9 S 58' 29"
M. (Michmelia) crinita Moure & Kerr	Xapuri-Acre	68 W 30' 15", 10 S 39' 06"
M. (Michmelia) flavolineata Friese	Belém-Pará	48 W 30' 15", 1 S 27' 21"
M. (Michmelia) mondury Smith	Viçosa-Minais Gerais	42 W 52' 54", 20 S 45' 15"
M. (Michmelia) nebulosa Camargo	Xapuri-Acre	68 W 30' 15", 10 S 39' 06"
M. (Michmelia) scutellaris Latreille	Ilhéus-Bahia	39 W 02' 57", 14 S 47' 21"
M. (Michmelia) aff. flavolineata	Capixaba-Acre	67 W 40' 31", 10 S 34' 2"
M. (Michmelia) aff. flavolineata	Brasiléia-Acre	68 W 44' 52", 11 S 00' 9"
M. (Michmelia) aff. flavolineata	Xapuri-Acre ¹	68 W 30' 15", 10 S 39' 06"
M. (Michmelia) aff. flavolineata	Xapuri-Acre ²	68 W 30' 15", 10 S 39' 06"

5.8-25S (Wanzenböck et al., 1997). The FISH procedures were done according to the protocol of Moscone et al. (1996) with small modifications, at 72% of stringency. The probes were labeled with cyanine 3 (Cy3-dUTP) by nick translation (Invitrogen). The hybridization mixture contained 50% v/v formamide, 5% w/v dextran sulfate and 2xSSC, 2-5 ng/ μ L of the probe. The preparations were hybridized in situ overnight followed by stringency washing. The preparations were counterstained with DAPI (2 µg/ml) and mounted on Vectashield mount medium. The images were obtained using the Leica DRMA2 microscope.

Results

The chromosome number found for most species analyzed was 2n = 18, except for *Melipona seminigra abunensis* Cockerel and *Melipona seminigra pernigra* Moure & Kerr, which presented 2n = 22 (Fig 1). The species *Melipona grandis* Guérin, *Melipona nebulosa* Camargo and *M. seminigra abunensis* and *M. seminigra pernigra* had the karyotypes determined for the first time.

The species had symmetrical karyotypes, with slight gradual decrease of size in the chromosomal pairs.

Α	11	11	18	11	ΧĽ	11	ăă	88	88	
в	b	11	11	11	11	55	Ϊŝ.	13	63	
с	17	58	11	O	11	11	11	#3	11	
D	>1	53	D	83	11		55	63		
Е	٢)	11	63	11	ŧ.	**	63	11	12	
F	10	53	11	11	()	10	55	11	U	
G	a	(1	11	11	15	11	11	11	11	
н	11	58	33	88	18 1	10 8	81	# #	52	
ı	\$ 5	11		38	85	86		65	8.8	
I J	8 S 8 R	I I B B	22 8 8	52 88	35 88	81 35	**	63 8.8	83 88	
I J K	KK HR HA	tt Hit Na	22 8 8 6 3	52 88	15 88 83	80 315 88	11 88	65 8.8 8.6	11 88 65	
I J K L	55 12 14 15	EE BR BR BR	11 88 88 23	51 818 818 318	15 88 85 82	11 25 11 11	11 88 93 93	0 88 88 88 75	13 88 65 77	
I J K L	55 88 88 65 15	22 23 24 24 25 25	11 11 11 11 11 11	52 88 58 58 58 58	11 11 11	87 33 18 63 11	88 88 98 88 88 88	65 818 818 818 818 818 818 818 818 818 81	11 88 65 78	
I J K L M	55 88 88 88 88 65 15 15 88	22 23 24 25 25 25	11 88 83 92 92 92 92	52 88 88 52 52 54 53	35 88 83 62 61 63	87 335 18 63 53 53	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	15 88 88 75 11	11 88 85 22 24 24	
J K L M Q	55 88 88 85 15 15 15 15 15 15	22 23 34 34 35 35 21	11 11 11 12 12 11 12 12 12	52 88 52 52 53 53 55	11 11 12 11 12 11	87 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93	88 88 98 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	15 88 88 25 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	13 88 85 22 24 24 55	
I J K L M O B	55 10 11 55 11 11 11 11 11 11	22 23 34 34 34 34 34 21 21	11 81 81 81 81 81 81 92 92 92 92	512 818 512 512 513 513 513 513 513 513 513 513 513 513	11 12 13 13 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	87 33 10 11 12 13 13 13 13	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	15 88 88 83 83 14 83 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	13 88 85 38 38 38 31 31 31 31	

Fig 1. *Melipona* karyograms based on Giemsa staining. Species with 2n = 18: Melipona bicolor (A), *Melipona quadrifasciata* (B), *Melipona flavolineata* (C), *Melipona fuscopilosa* (D), *Melipona nebulosa* (E), *Melipona scutellaris* (F), *Melipona grandis* (G), *Melipona mondury* (H), *Melipona fasciculata* (I), *Melipona aff. flavolineata* (Capixaba-AC) (J), *M. aff. flavolineata* (Brasiléia-AC) (K), *M. aff. flavolineata* (Xapuri-AC) (L), *M. aff. flavolineata* (N), and with 2n = 22 *Melipona seminigra pernigra* (O) and *Melipona seminigra abunensis* (P). The chromosomes were arranged in descending order of size. Bar = 10 μm.

Nevertheless they differed substantially in other features. The species M. bicolor, M. quadrifasciata, Melipona flavolineata Friese, Melipona fuscopilosa Moure & Kerr, M. nebulosa have the first heteromorphic chromosome pair, with one of the chromosomes of the pair with a distinctly longer size compared to the other chromosomes (Fig 1A-E). In M. scutellaris, M. seminigra abunensis and M. seminigra pernigra the first pair is found in the homomorphic or heteromorphic condition (Fig 1F, O, P). The karyotypes of M. flavolineata, M. fuscopilosa, M. nebulosa, M. scutellaris, M. grandis, Melipona mondury Smith, Melipona fasciculata Smith, M. aff. flavolineata, Melipona crinita Moure and Kerr, M. seminigra pernigra, M. seminigra abunensis presented chromosomes with the euchromatin distribution restricted to the terminal regions and a high heterochromatic interstitial content, making it difficult to locate the centromere and determine the morphology of the chromosomes (Fig 1C-P). *M. bicolor* presented submetacentric, acrocentric chromosomes, and the first pair metacentric (Fig 1A). *M. quadrifasciata* showed submetacentric and acrocentric chromosomes, and the first heteromorphic pair composed of a longer metacentric and shorter submetacentric (Fig 1B), as previously described.

The CMA₃/DAPI fluorochrome staining revealed heteromorphisms in relation to the length of the CMA₃⁺ blocks, differences in the chromosomal locations and in the number of karyotypic markings. *M. fasciculata* and *M. grandis* (Fig 2A, B) had interstitial markings, *M. quadrifasciata* and *M. bicolor* (Fig 3A, F), pericentromeric markings and in the other species (Fig 2C-H, 3B -E, H) markings occurred in the terminal regions. In most species a single chromosomal pair with CMA₃⁺ regions has been observed. *M. nebulosa* and *M. crinita* (Fig 3G, H), however revealed a distinct pattern, showing four



Fig 2. CMA₃/DAPI staining in metaphases of: *Melipona fasciculata* (A), *Melipona grandis* (B), *Melipona flavolineata* (C), *Melipona scutellaris* (D), *Melipona fuscopilosa* (E), *Melipona mondury* (F), *Melipona seminigra pernigra* (G) and *Melipona seminigra abunensis* (H). CMA₃⁺ (Green bands). Bar = 10 µm.

 CMA_3^+ blocks, located in the first and second chromosome pairs. In the first species the blocks were homomorphic and in the second species there was heteromorphism related to the location of the CMA_3^+ block between the two pairs. The DAPI evenly stained almost the entire chromosome, except the terminal regions that were weakly stained.

The signals of hybridizations with the rDNA probe coincided in number, size and chromosomal pair with the CMA_3^+ bands (Fig 4 and 5), except for *M. crinita* and *M. nebulosa* (Fig 5G, H) in which only two of the markings CMA_3^+ sites coincided with rDNA sites. Most of the samples had the rDNA band in the first pair except *M. flavolineata*, *M. scutellaris*, *M. crinita*, *M. seminigra abunensis* and *M. seminigra pernigra*, which was located in the second chromosomal pair and *M. flavopilosa*, with marking located in the 6th chromosomal pair.

Discussion

The chromosomal number 2n = 18 obtained in most of the species analyzed in this study is consistent with the predominant chromosome number in the genus *Melipona*. Except for the *M. seminigra* species in which the chromosome number 2n = 22 (Fig 1O, P) was similar to that found for the subspecies *M. seminigra merrillae* (Francini et al., 2011). Rocha and Pompolo (1998) reported the different chromosome number, 2n = 18, for *M. seminigra fuscopilosa* species. However, Camargo and Pedro (2013) propose the specific status for this form as *M. fuscopilosa*. Our results support this specific status by recording the differentiated chromosome number in the two subspecies maintained in *Melipona seminigra* Friese.



Fig 3. CMA₃/DAPI staining in metaphases of *Melipona quadrifasciata* (A), *Melipona. aff. flavolineata* (Xapuri-AC) (B), *M. aff. flavolineata* (Brasiléia-AC) (C), *M. aff. flavolineata* (Xapuri-AC) (D), *M. aff. flavolineata* (Capixaba-AC) (E), *Melipona bicolor* (F), *Melipona crinita* (G) and *Melipona nebulosa* (H). CMA₃⁺ (Green bands). Bar = 10 µm.

The different chromosome number obtained for the subspecies of *M. seminigra* suggests that the increased chromosome number is a derived character within the *Michmelia* subgenus, and may have originated by chromosome fission (Francini et al., 2011; Tavares et al., 2017) with subsequent addition of heterochromatin, resulting in a similar size to the other chromosomes of the karyotype. Analysis of other species of this group, however, may reveal whether the chromosome number 2n = 22 is maintained or if there is variation between closely related species. The heteromorphism in the first chromosome pair was observed in eight of the 13 species analyzed. This record had already been made in *M. bicolor* and *M. quadrifasciata* (Rocha & Pompolo, 1998), and *M. mondury* (Lopes et al., 2008), however, for *M. flavolineata* in the analysis of Lopes et al. (2011) this pair was homomorphic. This heteromorphic difference, according to Lopes et al. (2008) and Rocha and Pompolo (1998) are due to an additional amount of heterochromatin in the larger chromosome, indicating C-band heteromorphism. The great similarity as to the relative size



Fig 4. FISH with 45S rDNA in metaphases of: *Melipona fasciculata* (A), *Melipona grandis* (B), *Melipona flavolineata* (C), *Melipona scutellaris* (D), *Melipona fuscopilosa* (E), *Melipona mondury* (F), *M. seminigra pernigra* (G) and *M. seminigra abunensis* (H). Bar = 10 µm.

and morphology of this pair among the species suggests the homeology and consequently stability of this chromosomal pair in the karyotype evolution of *Melipona*. Cytogenetic confirmation of this hypothesis would require additional analyzes such as microdissection and chromosome painting by heterologous hybridization.

According to Rocha et al. (2002) species of group II, those with high interstitial heterochromatic content (*Michmelia* and *Melikerria* subgenera), form a natural group and these authors suggest that the higher content of heterochromatin is a derived character. However, due to the polyphyletic character of the subgenus *Eomelipona*, whose diagnostic morphological characters are symplesiomorphies (Silveira et al., 2002) and the fact that the representatives of the subgenus are separated in the molecular phylogeny of

Ramirez et al. (2010), the consideration of group II as natural should be discussed and investigated with the cytogenetics of a larger group of species.

Interestingly, although there is a large interspecific difference in relation to heterochromatic content, the chromosome number is conserved in *Melipona*. These results suggest that there was conversion of euchromatin into heterochromatin or vice versa besides the heterochromatin addition. This transformation was proposed to explain this karyotype difference between *Mytilus* species (Mollusca) (Martínez-Expósito et al., 1997). In *Melipona*, the presence of the low eucromatic content in the species of the supposed group I, compared to those of the group II (sensu Rocha & Pompolo, 1998) reinforces this hypothesis of alteration in the content of euchromatin and heterochromatin.



Fig 5. FISH with 45S rDNA in metaphases of *M. quadrifasciata* (A), *Melipona aff. flavolineata* (Xapuri-AC¹) (B), *M. aff. flavolineata* (Brasiléia-AC) (C), *M. aff. flavolineata* (Xapuri-AC²) (D), *M. aff. flavolineata* (Capixaba-AC) (E), *Melipona bicolor* (F), *Melipona crinita* (G) and *Melipona nebulosa* (H). Bar = 10 μ m.

The location of the CMA₃⁺ blocks was also different among the species with low and high heterochromatic content analyzed. Among the former are *M. quadrifasciata* and *M. bicolor* analyzed in the present study (Fig 3A, F) and *Melipona asilvae* Moure, *Melipona marginata* Lepeletier, *Melipona subnitida* Ducke (Rocha et al., 2002) and *Melipona mandacaia* Smith (Rocha et al., 2003), which had markers on the pericentromeric regions coincident with the respective C-band regions (Rocha et al., 2002). The second group comprises the species that had the CMA₃⁺ markings in the terminal regions or interstitial euchromatic regions, which stained weakly with DAPI. These characteristics were also observed in other species of this genus by Rocha et al. (2002) and Lopes et al. (2008). The interstitial marking in *M. fasciculata* was previously observed by Lopes et al. (2011).

M. mondury and M. scutellaris, exhibited moderate GC content, whose fluorescence was less intense, in the terminal regions of all chromosomes. Lopes et al. (2008) also observed this characteristic in M. mondury and M. rufiventris, however in that study it was more evident possibly due to the method of staining applied with CMA₃ and distamycin (CMA₃-DA), which confers higher contrast in the regions rich in GC. The registration of four CMA₃⁺ markings in *M. nebulosa and* M. crinita is unprecedented in the genus and differs from Rocha et al. (2002), who recorded only one chromosomal pair with CMA_3^+ band in *M. crinita* from the same locality. In the phylogeny of Ramirez et al. (2010) these species are in separate groups, and in *M. flavolineata* that is in the same group of *M*. crinita only two CMA₃⁺ sites were observed, suggesting that the presence of the two additional CMA₃⁺ sites may have arisen independently in the respective groups.

The correlation between CMA_3^+ regions and rDNA sites observed here was also found in other stingless bee species, such as *Partamona peckolti* (Friese) and *Partamona cupira* (Smith) (Brito et al., 2003; Marthe et al., 2010) and *Melipona* (Rocha et al., 2002).

FISH analysis in the present study revealed that the additional CMA_3^+ bands in *M. crinita* and *M. nebulosa* do not represent additional rDNA sites (Fig 3G, H, and 5G, H). These bands may correspond to a satellite DNA region rich in GC base pairs resulting from translocation or chromosomal inversion, since they are located in the interstitial region. However, in the review on insect satellite DNA, AT content in Hymenoptera ranged from 46-72%, indicating that there is no predominance of GC content (Palomeque & Lorite, 2008). Confirmation of this information would reveal a different type of satellite DNA in these species. For clarification, FISH with specific satellite DNA probe would be recommended.

A characteristic present in all species analyzed was heteromorphism of size of the CMA_3^+ sites and rDNA sites. Different hypotheses attempt to explain this variation, including the terminal localization of rDNA sites that influence variation in the number of copies of the genes (Hanson et al., 1996), the occurrence of unequal crossing over

and the differential amplification of intergenic sequences in NOR (nucleolar organizer regions) (Fernandes & Martins-Santos, 2006). The heteromorphism in these sites has been well documented in *Megoura viciae* (Aphididae) Buckton (Mandrioli et al., 1999), *Drosophila* (Roy et al., 2005), *Maxillaria* (Orchidaceae) (Cabral et al., 2006) and *Salvelinus* (Salmonidae) (Śiliwińska-Jewsiewicka et al., 2015).

Within the *Michmelia* subgenus we found differences regarding the location of clusters of ribosomal genes and their position on the chromosome, including closely related groups such as the species *M. flavolineata* from the state of Pará and *M.* aff. *flavolineata* from the Acre region. The chromosomal number 2n = 22 for *M. seminigra* species suggests a derived state in the subgenus. These characteristics together with the presence of additional CMA₃⁺ sites in *M. crinita* and *M. nebulosa* suggest that the genome of this group undergoes a more accelerated restructuring process. Other analyzes including a larger number of species, especially the subgenus *Eomelipona*, *Melipona* and *Melikerria*, should be carried out in order to expand information on karyotype evolution in the entire genus *Melipona* and to correlate them with available phylogenetic proposals.

Acknowledgments

Authors thank Dr. Marcelo Guerra for having kindly provided the rDNA R2 probe. This work was supported by the Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado da Bahia (FAPESB) and by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), which granted a PhD. scholarship to V.A.S.

Authors' contribution

V Andrade-Souza, MGC Costa and MA Costa conceived the study; V Andrade-Souza wrote the manuscript and analyzed the data; OMP Duarte, CCC Martins and IS Santos helped in the preparation of the slides.

References

Brito, R.M., Caixeiro, A.P.A., Pompolo, S.G. & Azevedo, G.G. (2003). Cytogenetic data of *Partamona peckolti* (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Meliponini) by C banding and fluorochrome staining with DA/CMA₃ and DA/DAPI. Genetics and Molecular Biology, 26: 53-57. doi: 10.1590/S1415-47572003000100009

Cabral, J.S., Félix, L.P. & Guerra, M. (2006). Heterochromatin diversity and its colocalization with 5S and 45S rDNA sites in chromosomes of four *Maxillaria* species (Orchidaceae). Genetics and Molecular Biology, 29: 659-664. doi: 10.1590/S1415-47572006000400015

Cabrero, J. & Camacho, J.P.M. (2008). Location and expression of ribosomal RNA genes in grasshoppers:

704

abundance of silent and cryptic loci. Chromosome Research, 16: 595-607. doi: 10.1007/s10577-008-1214-x

Camargo, J.M.F. & Pedro, S.R.M. (2013). Meliponini Lepeletier, 1836. In J.S. Moure, D. Urban & G.A.R. Melo (Orgs). Catalogue of Bees (Hymenoptera, Apoidea) in the Neotropical Region - online version. Available at http://www. moure.cria.org.br/catalogue. Accessed Apr/14/2018

Carabajal Paladino, L., Papeschi, A., Lanzavecchia, S., Cladera, J. & Bressa, M.J. (2013). Cytogenetic characterization of *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a parasitoid wasp used as a biological control agent. European Journal of Entomology, 110: 401-409. doi: 10.14411/eje.2013.054

Fernandes, C.A. & Martins-Santos, I.C. (2006). Mapping of the 18S and 5S ribosomal RNA genes in *Astyanax altiparanae* Garutti & Britski, 2000 (Teleostei, Characidae) from the upper Paraná river basin, Brazil. Genetics and Molecular Biology, 29: 464-468. doi: 10.1590/S1415-47572006000300011

Fernandes-Salomão, T.M., Muro-Abad, J.I., Campos, L.A.O. & Araújo, E.F. (2002). Mitochondrial and nuclear DNA characterization in the *Melipona* species (Hymenoptera, Meliponini) by RFLP analysis. Hereditas, 137: 229-233. doi: 10.1034/j.1601-5223.2002.01685.x

Francini, I.B., Gross, M.C., Nunes-Silva, C.G. & Carvalho-Zilse, G.A. (2011). Cytogenetic analysis of the Amazon stingless bee *Melipona seminigra merrillae* reveals different chromosome number for the genus. Scientia Agricola, 68: 592-593. doi: 10.1590/S0103-90162011000500012

Guerra, M. & Souza, M.J. (2002). Como Observar Cromossomos: Um Guia de Técnicas em Citogenética Vegetal Animal e Humana. Ribeirão Preto: FUNPEC Editora, 131 p.

Hanson, R.E., Islam-Faridi, M.N., Percival, E.A., Crane, C.F., Yuanfu, J.I., Mcknight, T.D., Stelly, D.M. & Price, H.J. (1996). Distribution of 5S and 18S–28S rDNA loci in a tetraploid cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) and its putative diploid ancestors. Chromosoma, 105: 55-61. doi: 10.1007/BF02510039

Hirai, H., Yamamoto, M., Taylor, R.W. & Imai, H.T. (1996). Genomic dispersion of 28S rDNA during karyotypic evolution in the ant genus *Myrmecia* (Formicidae). Chromosoma, 105: 190-196. doi: 10.1007/BF02529753

Imai, H.T., Taylor, R.W., Crosland, M.W. & Crozier, R.H. (1998). Modes of spontaneous chromosomal mutation and karyotype evolution in ants with reference to the minimum interaction hypothesis. Japanese Journal of Genetics, 63: 159-185. doi: 10.1266/jjg.63.159

Levan, A., Fredgam K. & Sandberg, A.A. (1964). Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes. Hereditas, 52: 201-220. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5223.1964. tb01953.x Lopes, D.M., Pompolo, S.G., Campos, L.A.O. & Tavares, M.G. (2008). Cytogenetic characterization of *Melipona rufiventris* Lepeletier 1836 and *Melipona mondury* Smith 1863 (Hymenoptera, Apidae) by C banding and fluorochromes staining. Genetics and Molecular Biology, 31: 49-52. 10.1590/ S1415-47572008000100010

Lopes, D.M., Fernandes, A. Praça-Fontes, M.M. Werneck, H.A. & Resende, H.C. (2011). Cytogenetics of three *Melipona* species (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Meliponini). Sociobiology, 58: 185-194.

Loreto, V., Cabrero, J., López-León, M.D., Camacho, J.P.M. & Sousa, M.J. (2008). Comparative analysis of rDNA location in five Neotropical gomphocerine grasshopper species. Genetica, 132: 95-101. doi: 10.1007/s10709-007-9152-7

Mandrioli, M., Manicardi, G.C., Bizarro, D. & Bianchi, U. (1999). NOR heteromorphism within a parthenogenetic lineage of the aphid *Megoura viciae*. Chromosome Research, 7: 157-162. doi: 10.1023/A:1009215721904

Marthe, J.B., Pompolo, S.G., Campos, LAO, Fernandes-Salomão, T.M. & Tavares, M.G. (2010). Cytogenetic characterization of *Partamona cupira* (Hymenoptera, Apidae) by fluorochromes. Genetics and Molecular Biology, 33: 253-255. doi: 10.1590/S1415-47572010005000029

Martínez-Expósito, M.J., Méndez, J. & Pasantes, J.J. (1997). Analysis of NORs and NOR-associated heterochromatin in the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* Lmk. Chromosome Research, 5: 268-273. doi: 10.1023/A:1018475804613

Michener, C.D. (2007). The bees of the world. 2nd ed. Baltimore: The John Hopkins University Press, 953 p.

Moscone, E. A., Matzke, M.A & Matzke, A.J.M. (1996). The use of combined FISH/GISH in conjunction with DAPI counterstaining to identify chromosomes containing transgenes inserts in amphidiploid tobacco. Chromosoma, 105: 231-236. doi: 10.1007/BF02528771

Moure, J.S., Urban, D. & Melo, G.A.R. (Orgs). (2007) Catalogue of Bees (Hymenoptera, Apoidea) in the Neotropical Region. Online version. Available at http://www.moure.cria. org.br/catalogue. Accessed Jul/26/2018

Nguyen, P., Sahara, K., Yoshido, A. & Marec, F. (2010). Evolutionary dynamics of rDNA clusters on chromosomes of moths and butterflies (Lepidoptera). Genetica, 138: 343-354. doi: 10.1007/s10709-009-9424-5

Palomeque, T. & Lorite, P. (2008). Satellite DNA in insects: a review. Heredity, 100: 564-573. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2008.24

Pedro, S.R.M. (2014). The stingless bee fauna in Brazil (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Sociobiology, 61: 348-354. doi: 10.13102/sociobiology.v61i4.348-354

Rafael, M.S., Tadei, W.P. & Pimentel, S.M.R. (2003). Location of Ribosomal Genes in the Chromosomes of *Anopheles*

darlingi and *Anopheles nuneztovari* (Diptera, Culicidae) from the Brazilian Amazon. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, 98: 629-635. doi: 10.1590/S0074-02762003000500008

Ramirez, S.R., Nieh, J.C., Quental, TB., Roubik, D.W., Imperatriz-Fonseca, V.L. & Pierce, N.E. (2010). A molecular phylogeny of the stingless bee genus *Melipona* (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 56: 519-525. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2010.04.026

Ran, Y., Murray, B.G. & Hammett, K.R.W. (1999). Karyotype analysis of the genus *Clivia* by Giemsa and fluorochrome banding and in situ hybridization. Euphytica, 106: 139-147. doi: 10.1023/A:1003572705445

Rasmussen, C. & Cameron, S.A. (2010). Global stingless bee phylogeny supports ancient divergence, vicariance, and long distance dispersal. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 99: 206-232. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2009.01341.x

Rocha, M.P. & Pompolo S.G. (1998). Karyotypes and heterochromatin variation (C-bands) in *Melipona* species (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Meliponinae). Genetics and Molecular Biology, 21: 41-45. doi: 10.1590/S1415-47571998000100008

Rocha, M.P., Pompolo, S.G., Dergam, J.A., Fernandes, A. & Campos, L.A.O. (2002). DNA characterization and karyotypic evolution in the bee genus *Melipona* (Hymenoptera, Meliponini). Hereditas, 136: 19-27. doi: 10.1034/j.1601-5223. 2002.1360104.x

Rocha, M.P., Cruz, M.P., Fernandes, A., Waldschmidt, A.M., Silva-Junior, J.C. & Pompolo, S. G. (2003). Longitudinal differentiation in *Melipona mandacaia* (Hymenoptera, Meliponini) chromosomes. Hereditas, 138: 133-137. doi: 10.103 4/j.1601-5223.2003.01699.x

Rocha, M.P., Pompolo, S.G., Dergam, J.A., Fernandes, A & Campos, L.A. O. (2007). *Melipona*, Seis décadas de citogenética. (*Melipona*, six decades of cytogenetics). Bioscience Journal, 23: 111-117.

Roy, V., Monti-Dedieu, L., Chaminade, N., Siljak-Yakovlev, S., Aulard, S., Lemeunier, F. & Montchamp-Moreau, C. (2005). Evolution of the chromosomal location of rDNA genes in two *Drosophila* species subgroups: *ananassae* and *melanogaster*. Heredity, 94: 388-395. doi: 10.1038/sj.hdy. 6800612

Salanitro, L.B., Massaccesi, A.C., Urbisaglia, S., Bressa, M.J. & Chirino, M.G. (2017). A karyotype comparison between two species of bordered plant bugs (Hemiptera, Heteroptera, Largidae) by conventional chromosome staining, C-banding and rDNA-FISH. Comparative Cytogenetics, 11: 239-248. doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v11i2.11683

Silveira, F.A., Melo, G.A.R. & Almeida, E.A.B. (2002). Abelhas do Brasil: Sistemática e Identificação. 1a. Ed. Belo Horizonte: edição dos Autores, 254 p.

Śliwińska-Jewsiewicka, A., Kuciński, M., Kirtiklis, L., Dobosz, S., Ocalewicz, K. & Jankun, M. (2015). Chromosomal characteristics and distribution of rDNA sequences in the brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis* (Mitchill, 1814). Genetica, 143: 425-432. doi: 10.1007/s10709-015-9841-6

Sochorová, J., Garcia, S., Gálvez, F., Symonová, R. & Aleš Kovařík. (2018). Evolutionary trends in animal ribosomal DNA loci: introduction to a new online database. Chromosoma, 127: 141-150. doi: 10.1007/s00412-017-0651-8

Tavares, M.G., Lopes, D.M. & Campos, L.A.O. (2017). An overview of cytogenetics of the tribe Meliponini (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Genetica, 145: 241-258. doi: 10.10 07/s10709-017-9961-2.

Vershinina, A., Anokhin, B. & Lukhtanov, V. (2015). Ribosomal DNA clusters and telomeric (TTAGG)n repeats in blue butterflies (Lepidoptera, Lycaenidae) with low and high chromosome numbers. Comparative Cytogenetics, 9: 161-171. doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v9i2.4715

Vitturi, R., Colomba, M.S., Barbieri, R. & Zunino, M. (1999). Ribosomal DNA location in the scarab beetle *Thorectes intermedius* (Costa) (Coleoptera: Geotrupidae) using banding and fluorescent in-situ hybridization. Chromosome Research, 7: 255-260. doi: 10.1023/A:1009270613012.

Wanzenböck, E.M., Schöfer, C., Schweizer, D. & Bachmair, A. (1997). Ribosomal transcription units integrated via T-DNA transformation associate with the nucleolus and do not require upstream repeat sequences for activity in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Journal, 11: 1007-1016. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1997.11051007.x

