

Sociobiology

An international journal on social insects

RESEARCH ARTICLE - BEES

Exploitation of Floral Resources and Niche Overlap within an Oil-collecting Bee Guild (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in a Neotropical Savannah

CML AGUIAR¹, J. CARAMÉS², F FRANÇA, E MELO¹

1 – Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Bahia, Brazil

2 - Pós-Graduação em Zoologia, Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Bahia, Brazil

Article History

Edited by

Kleber Del-Claro, UFU, Brazil							
Received	18 November 2016						
Initial acceptance	08 March 2017						
Final acceptance	08 March 2017						
Publication date	29 May 2017						

Keywords

Bee-plant interactions, host plants, diet, oil-bees, Cerrado, solitary bees.

Corresponding author

Cândida M. L. Aguiar Departamento de Ciências Biológicas Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana Av. Transnordestina, s/n, Novo Horizonte 44036-900, Feira de Santana-BA, Brazil E-Mail: candida.aguiar @gmail.com

Abstract

Oil bees exploit host plants for resources to feed the adults and offspring, as well as for the construction of their nests. The aim of the current study is to investigate how the species in this guild distribute their foraging effort, and the range in their niche overlap levels. Our hypothesis is that niche overlap levels are strongly affected by the exploitation of some key plants, those profitable and locally abundant oil-plants. The bees were sampled for six months, during their visits to the flowers in a savannah (Cerrado). These oil-bee species explored the floral resources provided by 13 plant species. The trophic niche of the most abundant species, *Centris aenea* Lepeletier, was relatively narrow, similarly to those of *Epicharis* species. Low overlap of trophic niches ($TrNO \leq 30\%$) was most commonly found. The distribution of bee visits to the host plants revealed redundancy in the floral resource exploitation. However, the foraging concentration levels in some key plants were different for distinct oil-bee species, and it contributed to the low overlap of niches between many pairs of species.

Introduction

Bee populations usually share food items of adults and larvae diets (Quiroz-Garcia et al., 2001; Müller et al., 2006; Roubik & Villanueva, 2009; Vilhena et al., 2012; Andena et al., 2012). They may also use the same nest-building materials, as well as the substrates for nesting (Frankie et al., 1993; Thiele, 2005; Aguiar et al., 2005; Martins et al., 2012), among other resources. Additionally, these populations also overlap each other to some level in the exploitation of these resources throughout the day and/or year (Santos et al., 2013). Therefore, bee populations face varying levels of overlap in several dimensions of their niches. Research on the dynamics of niches overlap is a promising approach for understanding the organization of bees communities, the local patterns of populations abundance, and its temporal fluctuations. However, the effects of trophic niches overlap among bee species have been little discussed (Wilms et al., 1996; Roubik & Villanueva, 2009).

Studies on niche overlap among bee species have mostly addressed the trophic dimension, while few have investigated the overlap in the temporal dimension of the niches (Santos et al., 2013; Barônio & Torezan-Silingardi, 2016). These studies have focused on a small portion of bee communities, the eusocial species (e.g. Camillo & Garófalo, 1989; Wilms et al., 1996; Wilms & Wieschers, 1997). In spite of non-eusocial bee species being the largest portion of the neotropical communities, they are rarely included in niches overlap studies (Steffan-Dewenter & Tscharntke, 2000; Aguiar, 2003b; Aguiar et al., 2013; Andena et al., 2012; Carvalho et al., 2013; Rabêlo et al. 2014).

The guild of oil-collecting bees is composed of species showing specialized morphology and behavior concerning floral oil gathering (Neff & Simpson, 1981; Vogel & Machado, 1991; Coccuci et al., 2000), which is a fundamental resource for the establishment of these bee populations in the habitat, since the floral oils are essential for nest construction, as well



as larval food in several species (Jesus & Garofalo, 2000; Vinson et al., 1996; Pereira et al., 1999; Aguiar & Garofalo, 2004). Therefore, progeny production by these bees strongly depends on the exploitation of oil-producing plants as well as of pollen-source plants.

The oil-bee/oil-plant ecological relationships are generally not species-specific (Sigrist & Sazima, 2004; Rosa & Ramalho, 2011; Mello et al., 2013). Thus, some of the oil-producing plants are visited by most oil-bee species in each habitat (Gaglianone, 2003; Mello et al., 2013). In the current study we investigated how the species belonging to a guild of oil-collecting bees distribute their foraging efforts in the floral resource sources – including oil-producing and non-oil-producing plants - and how the overlap levels of trophic and temporal niches vary. Since oil-collecting bees have a strong dependence on floral oils, we hypothesized that this guild has a structure that is driven by the bottom-up principle. Our hypothesis is that niche overlap levels are strongly affected by the exploitation of some key plants, those profitable and locally abundant oil-plants.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

The field work was carried out in an area located in Chapada Diamantina region, where a Long-term Ecological Research has been developed. The sampled vegetation is of Cerrado type, and it is located in Palmeiras municipality (12°25'S /41°29'W), Bahia State, Brazil. The mean annual temperature is 22° C and the annual rainfall ranges from 600 to 1,000 mm (Centro de Estatística e Informações, 1994).

Sampling

Three sampling sites 900-1,500m distant from each other were sampled in this area, and three transects (1,000 m length and 20 m width) were chosen in each site. Sampling was monthly carried out for three consecutive days, from October 2013 to March 2014 - period that includes the end of the dry season and all the rainy season, which began in November. A transect of each site was randomly selected for sampling every collection day. The daily sampling effort was of 8 hours (from 08:00 a.m. to 04:00 p.m.), thus totaling a sampling effort of 144 hours distributed in 18 sampling days. Bees were captured on the flowers using entomological nets, without choosing bee or plant species (adapted from Sakagami et al., 1967). Fertile botanical material was collected for exsiccates.

We collected data on the two locally most abundant oil-plants *Byrsonima sericea* and *Byrsonima cydoniifolia*. We used data from 30 individuals of *B. sericea* and *B. cydoniifolia* (10 individual plants of each species from each site) to determine the flower production period and to estimate their flowering intensity. Regarding each individual plant, a 25 x 25 cm "square box" (height ranging from 1.40 m to 1.70 m) was placed on the canopy and the inflorescences

found in the canopy volume delimited by the "square box" were counted. Flower buds and open flowers were counted in at least 10 inflorescences in order to calculate the mean number of flowers per inflorescence. The radius and height of each individual plant were measured to calculate the volume of the flowering canopy. These measurements were used to estimate the inflorescence density of each plant by multiplying the number of inflorescences by the flowering canopy volume/"square box" volume ratio. After estimating the inflorescence density per individual plant and obtaining the mean number of flowers per inflorescence, we estimated the amount of flowers monthly produced by each *Byrsonima* plant.

Data analysis

We used the Shannon diversity index (H '= - Σ pk x ln pk) (Shannon, 1948) to calculate the niche breadth of bee species, where pk is the proportion of individuals of the bee species collected in the plant species "k" and, ln pk is the neperian logarithm of pk. We used the Schoener index (NO = 1 - $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_k$ | pik - phk |) (Schoener, 1968) to measure the niche overlap between each pair of oil-bee species, where pik and phk are the proportions of individuals of the bee species "i" and "h"collected in each species of plant (" k ") (to calculate the trophic niche overlap) or in each month ("k") (to calculate the temporal niche overlap). This overlap index varies from 0 to 1.

Bee species represented by five or more individuals were selected to analyze the width and the overlap of trophic and temporal niches. This abundance threshold is lower than that used in previous studies (e.g. Aguiar, 2003b; Aguiar et al., 2013; Santos et al., 2013). However, it has already been adopted in other niche overlap analyses (e.g. Andena et al., 2012; Carvalho et al., 2013). The main reason for using this lower threshold lies on the fact that most oil-collecting bee species occur on flowers in low frequency in natural habitats (Aguiar 2003a; 2003b; Aguiar & Zanella, 2005; Andena et al., 2005; 2012; Santos et al., 2013; Silva et al., 2015). Consequently, oil-collecting bees are rarely included in niche analyses. Abundance influences the species ability to occupy the niches, and is influenced by the multiple dimensions of the niche, which regulate the size of the population (Begon et al., 2006). Thus, it is worth analyzing the niche of infrequent species to look for evidences of how the interactions among them and other species in the guild during resource exploitation may affect their local abundance.

Results

Twenty species were recorded in this guild of oilcollecting bees (Table 1). These bee species explored floral resources provided by 13 plant species. Among them, only three species were oil-producing plants (*B. sericea*, *B. cydoniifolia* and *Stigmaphyllon paralias*, Malpighiaceae). *B. sericea* and *Pityrocarpa moniliformis* (Fabaceae) were the most important food resources to these bees (Table 1). During the late dry season and the early rainy season (Oct/ Nov), these bees explored food resources on few plant species (1 and 4) (Fig. 1). In this period, bee frequencies on flowers were lower than in the rainiest months (Table 1). Two *Byrsonima* species produced flowers during the rainy season, from December on (Fig. 2A, B), resulting in increased floral oil and pollen supply in the habitat, since these plants show high local abundance. A bee abundance peak was recorded in February, and it was strongly influenced by the high abundance of *Centris aenea* Lepeletier (Table 1).

These bees explored floral resources from 1 to 7 plant species. The two most abundant species of *Centris* (*C. aenea* and *C. fuscata*) differed sharply in their trophic niche width. *Centris fuscata* Lepeletier showed the widest trophic niche (H'1= 1.58). In contrast, *C. aenea*, the most abundant species, had a trophic niche (H'1 = 0.60) narrower than *C. fuscata* and other species of *Centris* (Table 1). Although *C. aenea* explored more

plant species than the other oil-collecting bees, its preferential foraging on *B. sericea* strongly influenced the trophic niche width decrease. This trend to a narrow trophic niche became even more pronounced when this species was abundant on flowers $(H'1_{February} = 0.13 \text{ in}; H'1_{March} = 0.32)$. The very different levels of foraging on *B. sericea* flowers by the two most abundant *Epicharis* species also resulted in a strong difference in the width of their trophic niches, with moderate overlap (Table 1).

C. aenea, Epicharis analis Lepeletier and *C. fuscata* showed the longest activity period (Table 1). Among the most abundant species, *C. fuscata* and *E. analis* showed the highest temporal niches width (H'2 = 1.27; H'2 = 1.07, respectively) (Table 1). Although *C. aenea* showed the longest activity period among the bees in this guild, its temporal niche was not so wide (H'2=0.51), because the H' index suffered strong influence from a peak of abundance concentrated in February (Table 1).

Table 1. Abundance of oil-collecting bee species (n), trophic niche width (H'1) (Shannon index), temporal niche width (H'2), and plant species visited for floral resources in a savannah area in the Chapada Diamantina, Brazil. Number of flower-visiting bees captured in each plant species in brackets. * Bee species collected in a single plant or month. Bv: *Bowdichia virgilioides*, Cc: *Centrosema coriaceum*, Pm: *Periandra mediterranea*, Pi: *Pityrocarpa moniliformis* (Fabaceae)/ Bc: *Byrsonima cydoniifolia*, Bs: *Byrsonima sericea*, Sp: *Stigmaphyllon paralias* (Malpighiaceae)/ Ep: *Eugenia cf. punicifolia* (Myrtaceae), My: Myrtaceae sp.1/ Pe: *Passiflora edulis* (Passifloraceae)/ Sf: *Serjania faveolata* (Sapindaceae)/ Sa: *Simarouba amara* (Simaroubaceae)/ Sc: *Stachytarpheta crassifolia* (Verbenaceae).

Bee species	n	H'1	Plants visited	Н'2	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
Centridini										
Centris aenea Lepeletier	94	0.60	Bv (1)/Pe (1)/Bc (1)/Bs (80)/ Ep(1)/ Pi (9)/ Sa (1)	0.51	1	1	1	-	81	10
Centris moerens Perty	3	1.09	Bs (1)/Pe (1)/Sc (1)	0.63	1	2	-	-	-	-
Centris sponsa Smith	5	1.33	Pe (2)/Bs (1)/ Pi (1)/Sa (1)	0.10	-	1	-	-	3	1
Centris caxiensis Ducke	6	1.32	Bc (2)/ Bs (1)/ My (1)/Sp (2)	1.01	-	-	2	3	2	-
Centris leprieuri Spinola	2	0.69	Pe (1)/Bs (1)	*	-	-	-	-	2	-
Centris nitens Lepeletier	1	*	Bs (1)	*	-	-	-	-	1	-
Centris fuscata Lepeletier	9	1.58	Bv (2)/Pe (1)/Sf (2)/Cc (2)/ Pi (2)	1.27	4	-	2	-	1	2
Centris sp.1	4	0.56	Bc (1)/Bs (3)	0.56	-	-	1	-	3	-
Centris sp.3	1	*	Bs (1)	*	-	-	-	-	1	-
Centris sp. 6	1	*	Pi (1)	*	-	-	-	-	-	1
Epicharis analis Lepeletier	24	0.64	Bs (9)/Pi (15)	1.07	-	-	1	4	5	14
Epicharis bicolor Smith	33	0.36	Bs (30)/Pi (1)/ Sp (2)	0.80	-	-	-	18	14	1
Epicharis cockerelli Friese	4	*	Bs (4)	1.03	-	-	1	1	2	-
Tapinotaspidini										
Lophopedia nigrispinis Vachal	2	0.69	Sf (1)/ Pi (1)	0.69	-	-	1	-	-	1
Monoeca affs. mourei Aguiar	1	*	Sp (1)	*	-	-	-	1	-	-
<i>Tropidopedia nigrocarinata</i> Aguiar & Melo	9	1.14	Sf (5)/ Bs (2)/ Pm (1)/ Sp (1)	0.32	-	-	8	1	-	-
Xanthopedia_sp.1	5	0.50	Sf (1)/ Bs (4)	0.50	-	-	1	-	4	-
Urbanapsis diamantina Aguiar & Melo	7	0.79	Bs (4)/ Sf (1)/ Sp(2)	0.79	-	-	1	5	-	1
Tetrapediini										
Tetrapedia amplitarsis Friese	3	*	Bs (3)	*	-	-	-	3	-	-
Tetrapedia diversipes Klug	3	0.63	Pm (1)/ Sf (2)	*	3	-	-	-	-	-
Total	217				9	4	19	36	119	31

The overlap of trophic niches (TrNO) between each pair of oil-collecting bee species ranged from very low (less than 10%) to very high (close to 90%) (Table 2). *C. aenea* and *Epicharis bicolor* Smith showed the highest overlap (TrNO =0.88) (Table 2), which resulted from their preferential foraging in *B. sericea* (Table 1). The trophic niche of *C. aenea* showed higher similarity to *Epicharis* species niches, and to the small bees Tapinotaspidini, than to other *Centris. E. bicolor* also showed high overlap with Tapinotaspidini species (Table 2).



Fig 1. Plant and bee species richness in a savannah area in the Chapada Diamantina, Bahia, Brazil, from Oct, 2013 to Mar, 2014.



Fig 2. (A) Estimated number of flowers produced by two species of *Byrsonima* (Malpighiaceae) in a savannah area in the Chapada Diamantina, Bahia, Brazil. (B) Monthly rainfall in the municipality of Lençóis, Bahia, Brazil, from Oct, 2013 to Mar, 2014. (Instituto Nacional de Meteorologia, www.inmet.gov.br)

On the other hand, the niche overlap between the two most abundant *Epicharis* species was moderate, and it resulted from the differential distribution of individuals on the most visited plants.

Low overlap of trophic niches (TrNO \leq 30%) was the most common situation found in this guild of oil-collecting bees, and it was recorded in 20 among the 36 pairs of species analyzed. Moderate overlap (30%<TrNO \leq 70%) was found in 12 pairs. Only four pairs of species showed high TrNO (> 70%). Three of these pairs involved *Urbanapsis iamantine* Aguiar & Melo, whose sample was small. The temporal niche overlap (TeNO) between the pairs of bee species ranged between 0 and 0.81 (Table 3). Fortyfour percent of the pairs showed low temporal niche overlap (TeNO < 30%) and only two pairs showed high temporal niche overlap (TeNO >70%).

Discussion

Our hypothesis was confirmed, since the variations in the levels of overlap between species in the oil-collecting guild

Table 2. Trophic niche overlap (TrNO) (Schoener index) between oil-collecting bees in a savannah area in the Chapada Diamantina, Bahia, Brazil.

Bee species	Сс	Cf	Cs	Ea	Eb	Tn	Xa	Ud
Centris aenea (Ca)	0.17	0.11	0.31	0.47	0.88	0.22	0.60	0.71
Centris caxiensis (Cc)	-	0.12	0.16	0.16	0.22	0.27	0.36	0.30
Centris fuscata (Cf)		-	0.00	0.25	0.03	0.12	0.12	0.12
Centris sponsa (Cs)			-	0.40	0.43	0.20	0.20	0.20
Epicharis analis (Ea)				-	0.40	0.22	0.37	0.37
Epicharis bicolor (Eb)					-	0.28	0.66	0.77
Tropidopedia nigrocarinata (Tn)						-	0.53	0.47
Xanthopedia sp.1 (Xa)							-	0.88
Urbanapsis diamantina (Ud)								-

Table 3. Temporal niche overlap (TeNO) (Schoener index) between oil-collecting bees in a savannah area in the Chapada Diamantina, Bahia, Brazil.

Bee species	Сс	Cf	Cs	Ea	Eb	Tn	Xa	Ud
Centris aenea (Ca)	0.18	0.23	0.71	0.32	0.45	0.01	0.81	0.12
Centris caxiensis (Cc)		0.33	0.17	0.37	0.66	0.44	0.36	0.64
Centris fuscata (Cf)			0.31	0.37	0.14	0.22	0.31	0.28
Centris sponsa (Cs)				0.40	0.45	0.00	0.60	0.14
Epicharis analis (Ea)					0.40	0.15	0.25	0.35
Epicharis bicolor (Eb)						0.11	0.42	0.58
Tropidopedia							0.20	0.25
nigrocarinata (Tn)								
Xanthopedia sp.1 (Xa)								0.14
Urbanapsis diamantina (Ud)								-

were affected mainly by the intensity of exploitation of *B. sericea*. This oil-plant is locally abundant and produces a high amount of floral oil (Aguiar et al, accepted for publication on Sociobiology). Additionally, it also supplies many bee species with pollen (Teixeira & Machado, 2000). Thus, *B. sericea* is a key resource to the maintenance of oil bee populations in this savannah.

Several oil-collecting bee showed relatively narrow trophic niches (H'1<0.8), compared to other bee species in other phytophysiognomies (Aguiar, 2003b; Aguiar et al., 2013). Similarly, narrow trophic niches were recorded for *Centris* and *Epicharis* species (H'<0.9) in another Brazilian Cerrado site, where these species also used a small set of host plants, and mainly explored *Byrsonima intermedia*, another Malpighiaceae oil-plant (Andena et al., 2012). On the other hand, it is known that some *Centris* and *Epicharis* species use many plant species in their diet (Gaglianone, 2003; Aguiar et al., 2003; Vilhena et al., 2012; Santos et al., 2013). It means that some of them can replace the sources of floral resources in different habitats.

The number of plant species exploited by C. fuscata and C. aenea suggested that these bee species probably exploit a diverse set of food sources. This finding agrees with previous records of the C. fuscata and C. aenea host plants (> 30 species), which were compiled in a number of areas covered by xerophilous vegetation (Caatinga) (Aguiar et al., 2003). In the studied savannah, C. aenea showed a trophic niche narrower than that recorded in the xerophilous vegetation (Caatinga), where its niche width was approximately two times wider (H'=1.59) (Aguiar & Santos, 2007). Previous studies have indicated that this species exploits many host plants (Aguiar et al., 2003; Aguiar & Gaglianone, 2003), but we found that females can show floral fidelity to abundant resources, such as Byrsonima. This C. aenea population seems to be particularly favored in periods of high availability of the floral resources provided by *B. sericea* and it shows high dominance in the guild of oil-collecting bees. This bee species may form large nesting aggregations in places showing high density of Byrsonima trees (Aguiar & Gaglianone, 2003), and this strategy leads to reduction in the costs and time required to collect resources for brood cell building and provisioning.

C. aenea and *C. fuscata* showed very low trophic niche overlap, mainly due to the non-use of the host plant *B. sericea* by *C. fuscata*. The overlap was also low in the time axis, because the highest abundance of each occurred in different months. Thus, we found a niche-separation trend in both analyzed axes (diet and time). Large differences in these two populations size may influence the distribution of individuals in the host plants. Consequently, these differences may affect the observed overlap, since *C. aenea* was approximately 10 times more abundant than *C. fuscata*.

Apparently, neither the differences between body size (robust vs. small bees) nor differences in abundance explain the overlap levels in the trophic niches. These findings suggest that, in the studied community, the foraging effort allocation of each oil-bee species to explore *B. sericea* resources is a more important factor to determine the niche overlap levels than the phylogenetic proximity among the species. Similarly, Andena et al. (2012) found that bee species of the same genus, including *Centris*, use different food resources, thus they show trophic niches more similar to those of species from other genera than to those of congeneric species.

Although bee visits to the host plants revealed redundancy in the exploitation of floral resources, the foraging concentration on some key plants were different among oil-bee species, that contributed to the low niche overlap in many of the comparisons made between each set of two species (each pair of species). In addition, interspecific differences in the length of nesting periods and/or in the number of generations per breeding season may have some effect on the lowering of both temporal and trophic niche overlap, since individuals of different generations can forage on different host plants blooming at different periods throughout the nesting season of each bee species.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful Dr. Antonio Aguiar and Dr. Cristina Gaglianone for the bee species taxonomic identification, to Dr. Gilberto M. M. Santos (UEFS) and Maise Silva (FTC) for suggestions, and to the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for funding this study (processes 474065/2013 and 403774/2012-8, PELD). C.M.L. Aguiar received a research fellowship from CNPq (process 306403/2012-9), and J. C. Duarte received a grant from Coordenadoria de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES).

References

Aguiar, C.M.L. (2003a). Flower visits of *Centris* bees (Hymenoptera, Apidae) in an area of caatinga (Bahia, Brazil). Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment, 38: 41-45

Aguiar, C.M.L. (2003b). Utilização de recursos florais por abelhas (Hymenoptera, Apoidea) em uma área de caatinga (Itatim, Bahia, Brasil). Revista Brasileira de Zoologia, 20: 457-467. doi: 10.1590/S0101-81752003000300015

Aguiar, C.M.L. & Gaglianone, M.C. (2003). Nesting biology of *Centris* (*Centris*) *aenea* Lepeletier (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Centridini). Revista Brasileira de Zoologia, 20: 601-606. doi: 10.1590/S0101-81752003000400006

Aguiar, C.M.L., Zanella, F.C.V., Martins, C.F. & Carvalho, C.A.L. (2003). Plantas visitadas por *Centris* spp (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Centridini) para obtenção de recursos florais na caatinga. Neotropical Entomology, 32: 247-259. doi: 10.1590/S1519-566X2003000200009

Aguiar, C.M.L. & Garófalo, C.A. (2004). Nesting biology of *Centris (Hemisiella) tarsata* (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Centridini).

Revista Brasileira de Zoologia, 21: 477-486. doi: 10.1590/ S0101-81752004000300009

Aguiar, C.M.L., & Zanella, F.C.V. (2005). Estrutura da Comunidade de Abelhas (Hymenoptera: Apoidea: Apiformis) de uma Área na Margem do Domínio da Caatinga (Itatim, BA). Neotropical Entomology, 34: 15-24. doi: 10.1590/S1519-566X2005000100003

Aguiar, C.M.L., Garófalo, C.A. & Almeida, G.F. (2005). Trap-nesting bees (Hymenoptera, Apoidea) in areas of dry semideciduous forest and caatinga, Bahia, Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia, 22: 1030-1038. doi: 10.1590/S0101-81752005000400031

Aguiar, C.M.L. & Santos, G.M.M. (2007). Compartilhamento de recursos florais por vespas sociais (Hymenoptera:Vespidae) e abelhas (Hymenoptera: Apoidea) em uma área de Caatinga. Neotropical Entomology, 36: 836-842. doi: 10.1590/S1519-566X2007000600003

Aguiar, C.M.L., Santos, G.M.M., Martins, C.F. & Presley, S.J. (2013) Trophic niche breadth and niche overlap in a guild of flower-visiting bees in a brazilian dry forest. Apidologie, 44: 153-162. doi: 10.1007/s13592-012-0167-4

Andena, S.R., Bego, L.R. & Mechi, M.R. (2005) A comunidade de abelhas (Hymenoptera, Apoidea) de uma área de cerrado (Corumbataí-SP) e suas visitas às flores. Revista Brasileira de Zoociências, 7: 55-91.

Andena S.R., Santos E.F. & Noll F.B (2012). Taxonomic diversity, niche width and similarity in the use of plant resources by bees (Hymenoptera: Anthophila) in a cerrado area. Journal of Natural History, 46: 1663-1687. doi: 10.1080/00222933.2012.681317

Barônio, G.J. & Torezan-Silingardi, H.M. (2016). Temporal niche overlap and distinct bee ability to collect floral resources on three species of Brazilian Malpighiaceae. Apidologie, doi: 10.1007/s13592-016-0462-6.

Begon, M., Townsend, C.R. & Harper, J.L. (2006). Ecology: from individuals to ecosystems. 4th ed., Malden: Blackwell Publishing.

Camillo, E. & Garofalo, C.A. (1989). Analysis of the niche of two sympatric species of *Bombus* (Hymenoptera, Apidae) in south-eastern Brazil. Journal of Tropical Ecology, 5: 81-92

Carvalho, D.M., Aguiar, C.M.L. & Santos, G.M.M. (2013). Food Niche Overlap Among Neotropical Carpenter Bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Xylocopini) in an Agricultural System. Sociobiology, 60: 283-288. doi: 10.13102/ sociobiology.v60i3.283-288

Centro de Estatística e Informações da Bahia, CEI (1994). Informações básicas dos municípios baianos: Região Nordeste. Salvador: Secretaria de Planejamento.

Coccuci, A.A., Séric, A. & Roig-Alsina, A. (2000). Oil-

collecting structures in Tapinotaspidini: their diversity, function and probable origin. Mitteilungen der Münchner Entomologischen Gesellschaft, 90: 51–74

Frankie, G.W., Newstrom, L., Vinson, S.B. & Barthell, J.F. (1993). Nesting habitat preferences of selected *Centris* bee species in Costa Rican dry forest. Biotropica, 25: 322- 333

Gaglianone, M.C. (2003). Abelhas da tribo Centridini na Estação Ecológica de Jataí (Luiz Antônio, SP): composição de espécies e interações com flores de Malpighiaceae. In, G.A.R. Melo & I. Alves-dos-Santos (Eds.), Apoidea Neotropica: Homenagem aos 90 anos de Jesus Santiago Moure, (pp. 279-284). Criciúma: Edit. UNESC.

Jesus, B.M.V. & Garófalo, C.A. (2000). Nesting behaviour of *Centris (Heterocentris) analis* (Fabricius) in southeastern Brazil (Hym., Apidae, Centridini). Apidologie, 31: 503-515. doi: 10.1051/apido:2000142

Martins, C.F., Ferreira, R.P. & Carneiro, L.T. (2012). Influence of the orientation of nest entrance, shading, and substrate on sampling trap-nesting bees and wasps. Neotropical Entomology, 41: 105-111. doi: 10.1007/s13744-012-0020-5

Mello, M.A.R., Bezerra, E.L.S. & Machado, I.C. (2013). Functional roles of Centridini oil bees and Malpighiaceae oil flowers in biome-wide pollination networks. Biotropica, 45: 45-53. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7429.2012.00899.x

Müller, A., Diener, S., Schnyder, S., Stutz, K., Sedivy, C. & Dorn, S. (2006). Quantitative pollen requirements of solitary bees: implications for bee conservation and the evolution of bee-flower relationships. Biological Conservation, 130: 604–615. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2006.01.023

Neff, J.L. & Simpson, B.B. (1981). Oil-collecting structures in the Anthophoridae (Hymenoptera): Morphology, function, and use in systematics. Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society, 54: 95-123.

Pereira, M., Garófalo, C.A., Camillo, E. & Serrano, J.C. (1999). Nesting biology of *Centris (Hemisiella) vittata* Lepeletier in southeastern Brazil (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Centridini). Apidologie, 30: 327-338. doi: 10.1051/apido:19990409

Quiroz-Garcia, D.L., Martinez-Hernandez, E., Palacios-Chavez, R. & Galindo-Miranda, N.E. (2001). Nest provisions and pollen foraging in three species of solitary bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) from Jalisco, México. Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society, 74: 61–69

Rabêlo, L.S.; Vilhena, A.M.G.F.; Bastos, E.M.A.F. & Augusto, S.C. (2014). Differentiated use of pollen sources by two sympatric species of oil-collecting bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae), Journal of Natural History, 48:1595-1609. doi: 10. 1080/00222933.2014.886342

Rosa, J.F. & Ramalho, M. (2011). The spatial dynamics of diversity in Centridini bees: the abundance of oil-producing

flowers as a measure of habitat quality. Apidologie, 42: 669-678. doi: 10.1007/s13592-011-0075z

Roubik, D.W. & Villanueva-Gutierrez, R. (2009). Invasive Africanized honey bee impact on native solitary bees: a pollen resource and trap nest analysis. Biological journal of the Linnean Society, 98: 152–160. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2009.01275.x

Sakagami, S.F., Laroca, S. & Moure, J.S. (1967). Wild bees biocenotics in São Josédos Pinhais (PR), South Brazil-Preliminary report. Journal of the Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University. Series 6, Zoology, 16: 253-291. http://hdl.handle.net/2115/27447

Santos, G.M.M., Carvalho, C.A.L., Aguiar, C.M.L., Macêdo, L.S.S.R & Mello, M.A.R. (2013). Overlap in trophic and temporal niches in the flower-visiting bee guild (Hymenoptera, Apoidea) of a tropical dry forest. Apidologie, 44: 64-74. doi: 10.1007/s13592-012-0155-8

Schoener, T.W. (1968). The Anolis lizard of Bimini: resource partitioning in a complex fauna. Ecology, 49: 704-726.

Shannon, C.E. (1948). The mathematical theory of communication. In, C.E. Shannon & W. Weaver (Eds.), The mathematical theory of communication, (pp. 3–91). Urbana: University Illinois Press.

Sigrist, M.R. & Sazima, M. (2004). Pollination and reproductive biology of twelve species of neotropical Malpighiaceae: Stigma morphology and its implications for the breeding system. Annals of Botany, 94: 33-41. doi:10.1093/aob/mch108

Silva, M., Ramalho, M., Aguiar, C.M.L. & Silva, M.D. (2015). Apifauna (Hymenoptera, Apoidea) em uma área de restinga arbórea-mata atlântica na costa atlântica do Nordeste do Brasil. Magistra 27: 110-121

Steffan-Dewenter, I. & Tscharntke, T. (2000). Resource overlap and possible competition between honey bees and

wild bees in Central Europe. Oecologia, 122: 288-296. doi: doi:10.1007/s004420050034

Teixeira, L.M. & Machado, I.C. (2000). Sistemas de polinização e reprodução de *Byrsonima sericea* DC (Malpighiaceae). Acta Botânica Brasilica, 14: 347-357

Thiele, R. (2005). Phenology and nest site preferences of wood-nesting bees in a Neotropical lowland rain forest. Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment, 40: 39-48. doi: 10.1080/01650520400025712

Vilhena A.M.G.F., Rabelo L.S., Bastos E.M.A. F. & Augusto S.C. (2012). Acerola pollinators in the savannah of Central Brazil: temporal variations in oil-collecting bee richness and a mutualistic network. Apidologie, 43: 51-62. doi: 10.1007/s13592-011-0081-1

Vinson, S. B., H. J. Williams, G. W. Frankie & G. Shrum. (1996). Floral lipid chemistry of *Byrsonima crassifolia* (Malpighiacea) and a use of floral lipids by *Centris* bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Biotropica, 29: 76-83. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7429.1997.tb00008.x

Vogel, S. & Machado, I.C.S. (1991). Pollination of four sympatric species of *Angelonia* (Scrophulariaceae) by oil collecting bees in NE Brazil. Plant Systematics and Evolution, 178: 153-178. doi: 10.1007/BF00937962

Wilms, W., Imperatriz-Fonseca, V.L. & Engels, W. (1996). Resource partitioning between highly eusocial bees and possible impact of the introduced Africanized honey bee on native stingless bees in the Brazilian Atlantic Rainforest. Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment, 31: 137-151. doi: 10.1076/snfe.31.3.137.13336

Wilms, W. & Wiechers, B. (1997). Floral resource partitioning between native Melipona bees and the introduced Africanized honey bee in the Brazilian Atlantic rain forest. Apidologie, 28: 339-355.

