

#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# Respiratory symptoms among urban traffic policemen in Bangladesh: A cross-sectional study

# Parvez Ahmed<sup>1</sup>, Mahim Eaty<sup>2</sup>, Nazmul Alam<sup>3</sup>, Leela Anthony<sup>4</sup>, Nawzia Yasmin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> State University of Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh;
 <sup>2</sup> PLAN International, Bangladesh;
 <sup>3</sup> Asian University for Women, Chittagong-4000, Bangladesh;
 <sup>4</sup> AIMST University, Kedah, Malaysia.

**Corresponding author:** Dr. Parvez Ahmed MBBS MPH Ph.D.; Address: State University of Bangladesh, 77 Satmasjid Road, Dhanmondi, Dhaka -1205, Bangladesh; Telephone: +88 01713 485394; Email: parvez.epidemiology@gmail.com



#### Abstract

**Aim:** There is accumulating evidence that roadside pollution is detrimental to health. This study aims to compare the risk of adverse respiratory symptoms in different categories of traffic police including traffic constables, sergeants, and inspectors working in the polluted environment.

**Methods:** The study population consisted of 369 randomly selected traffic police personnel from the city of Chittagong in Bangladesh. Information on their occupation and respiratory health symptoms were collected. The health outcomes were coughing, coughing sputum, coughing up blood, shortness of breathing, wheezing, and chest pain with deep breathing.

**Results:** The risk of coughing [adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) = 4.469, 95% CI=1.265-15.793], coughing sputum [AOR= 3.687, 95% CI= 1.004 - 13.540], coughing up blood [AOR=1.040, 95% CI=0.227-6.162], shortness of breathing [AOR=3.937, 95% CI=1.069-14.500], wheezing [AOR=2.464, 95% CI= 0.613-9.906] and chest pain with deep breathing [AOR=2.163,95% CI= 0.560-8.349] was higher in traffic constables on comparison to inspectors. In sergeants odds increased for coughing up blood [AOR=1.102, 95% CI=0.283-4.286] and wheezing [AOR=1.260, 95% CI=0.304-5.229].

**Conclusion:** There was a substantial difference in the risk of studied respiratory symptoms between different categories of traffic police jobs.

*Keywords:* Bangladesh, epidemiology, occupational and environmental health, respiratory symptoms, traffic police.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.



# Introduction

Environmental exposures during work activities can affect health. Traffic police personnel spend a long duration of time by roadside due to the nature of their job and are exposed to roadside vehicular emissions that can cause both long- and short-term health problems. Previously conducted epidemiological studies reported the association of occupational health hazards such as environmental pollution due to vehicular emission and adverse respiratory health outcomes in traffic police personnel (1,2).

Road traffic generates volatile organic compounds, suspended particulate matter, oxides of nitrogen, sulfur oxides, and carbon monoxide which impose a wide range of adverse health effects on the exposed population (3). Vehicular emission-related deterioration in air quality has been shown to produce significant morbidity and mortality by affecting multiple organs and systems (4). These pollutants cause respiratory morbidities, reduced lung function, and chronic exposure may even cause lung cancers and COPD (5,6).

Bangladesh, one of the most densely populated countries in the world, is going through economic transition and rapid urbanization in recent years. Major cities of Bangladesh, particularly Chittagong and capital city Dhaka is congested with a large number of motor vehicles, including local transport buses, long route buses, diesel-run local passenger vans, passenger cars, commercial vans, private cars, compressed natural gas (CNG)-run auto-rickshaws, and heavy-duty diesel-powered lorry trucks for the shipment of garment products to the Chittagong port. Most of these vehicles are run by high-sulfur diesel (7). The air quality of Dhaka is considered to be one of the most polluted in the world, at 82  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> annual average PM2.5 concentration from a variety of pollution sources and ranked as the third

most polluted city among the megacities with at least 14 million people (8). According to Road and Bangladesh Transport the Authority (BRTA), there were 504,130.000 registered motor vehicles in 2019 in Bangladesh, most of which were decades old and unfit for the road, polluting the environment severely (9). Exhaust and fumes of these vehicles are a major source of NO2 emissions and CO emissions in Chittagong and Dhaka, accounting for some 58.6% of the total annual NO<sup>2</sup> emissions and 40.5% of the total CO emissions (10). The concentration of PM particles, SO<sup>2</sup>, Ozone, Carbon monoxide in some cities of Bangladesh including Chittagong city has been found well above the recommended level of the World Health Organization (WHO) (11,12).

Despite the high pollution and vulnerability of the traffic police of Bangladesh, there are hardly any studies that have been done on them. Therefore, this study aims to assess the risk of adverse respiratory health outcomes in different categories of traffic police including constables, sergeants, and inspectors of Bangladesh.

# Methods

#### Study setting

A cross-sectional study was conducted in Chittagong city of Bangladesh. The data collection process was carried out from June 1st, 2018 to August 31st, 2018.

#### Study population and work environment

Study participants were traffic police personnel working in different areas in the city of Chittagong of Bangladesh. In Bangladesh, traffic police constables, by job definition are assigned in traffic control and management at traffic junctions, traffic inspectors coordinate scheduled service within assigned territory of streetcar, bus, or railway transportation system with the periodical investigation in schedule delays for accidents, equipment failures, complaints



and files written report, and sergeants perform patrol duties to address traffic infringement or violation and is responsible for the initial scene management of incidents. The road traffic is very dense at most of the traffic junctions in Chittagong city either because of nonfunctional traffic lights or due to traffic snarl-ups. Traffic police personnel aged 20 years or over were invited for the study.

# Determination of sample size

The sample size for the prevalence of the respiratory disease among traffic police was determined using single proportion formula with the following assumptions: level of significance ( $\alpha$ ) =5%, (at a confidence level of 95%), p=68% (According to a study done in India, 68% traffic police personnel had frequent coughing and other complications with various percentages due to occupational exposure. India was considered for expectation prevalence for this study since India and Bangladesh are located in the same geographical region and both countries share similar types of exposures) (13).

Z value=1.96, marginal error d=7% of p, n= $z^2 * p * (1-p)/d=369$ 

A simple random sampling technique was used to collect police personnel following STROBE guidelines.

#### Variables

The survey about respiratory symptoms in traffic policemen was based on а questionnaire adapted from the Standard **Respirator Medical Evaluation Questionnaire** questionnaire covered (14).The the following respiratory symptoms: coughing, coughing sputum, wheezing, coughing up blood, shortness of breathing, and chest pain with deep breathing. Respiratory symptoms were recorded as being present if a participant answered, "Yes", to a relevant question. The participants were provided with explanations about each respiratory

symptom. The questionnaire also included socio-demographic information of the participants including age, height, weight, marital status, job information including job title, preventive measures such as using the face mask, information about behavioral factors including smoking and workout habit (exercise), and history of a previous respiratory disease diagnosed by a doctor including Asthma, Tuberculosis, Chronic Bronchitis, Emphysema, Pneumonia, Pneumothorax, Cancer, Tuberculosis, chest injury or surgery, broken ribs, allergic reaction interfering with breathing.

Three trained data collectors went to the site with the questionnaire after obtaining approval from the local police authority and conducted face-to-face interviews. Validation of respiratory symptoms was done by a registered medical doctor (first author).

# Research approach

The research approach of the present study was the identification of the type of industries where there is an excess risk of adverse health outcomes. Focus on workers in this occupation can lead to recognition of one or several factors, which may have independent or synergic effects (15).

#### Data management and analysis

All data were recorded and analyzed in Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22.

Traffic inspectors were used as a reference category to compare the risk of respiratory health outcomes among traffic police as traffic inspectors are considered least exposed to roadside pollution. To determine the risk of respiratory outcomes among traffic police, a two-step statistical model approach was undertaken. First. the prevalence of adverse respiratory health outcomes in different traffic police by job title was measured. Second, the risk of adverse respiratory outcomes was compared



to different traffic jobs by job title. Odds Ratio with 95% confidence interval (CI) was the measure of association. Logistic regression analysis was conducted to estimate adjusted Odds Ratio where covariates such as age, BMI, education, smoking status, use of mask, workout (exercise), previous respiratory disease were adjusted for each of the other.

#### Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Research and Ethics Committee of the Asian University for Women, Bangladesh. Informed verbal consents of the participants were obtained before data collection. Table 1 describes the sample characteristics according to the job title. Out of a total of 369 traffic police personnel, 25 (6.7%) were inspectors, 145 (39.3%) were sergeants, and 199 (53.9%) were constables. There was a substantial difference was in the distribution of socio-demographic determinants, which could be partly explained by relatively small numbers in some groups. For example, the prevalence of using masks at the workplace was 16.0% in traffic inspectors, 34.5% among sergeants, and 13.6% among constables. However, the distribution of workout habits and smoking status was found almost the same in all the occupational groups.

#### Results

|   |  | Job title                              |              |             |             |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Variable  | -                                      | Inspector                              | Sergeant     | Constable   | Total       |  |  |  |
|   |  | (n/%)                                  | (n/%)        | (n/%)       | (n/%)       |  |  |  |
|   | <30                                    | 0 (0.0%)                               | 4 (2.9%)     | 21 (23.6%)  | 25 (6.8%)   |  |  |  |
| Age (years)   | 30-40                                  | 48 (33.8%)                             | 86 (62.3%)   | 11 (12.4%)  | 145 (39.3%) |  |  |  |
| Age (years)   | >40                                    | 94 (66.2%)                             | 48 (34.8%)   | 57 (64.0%)  | 199 (53.9%) |  |  |  |
|   | X <sup>2</sup> =101.019, df=4, p<0.001 |  |              |             |             |  |  |  |
|   | School                                 | 9 (36.0%)                              | 0 (0.0%)     | 25 (12.6%)  | 34 (9.2%)   |  |  |  |
| Age (years)<br>Education<br>BMI<br>Marital<br>status<br>Physical<br>exercise<br>(workout) | High school                            | 9 (36.0%)                              | 0 (0.0%)     | 141 (70.9%) | 150 (40.7%) |  |  |  |
|   | Undergraduate                          | 7 (28.0%)                              | 145 (100.0%) | 33 (16.6%)  | 185 (50.1%) |  |  |  |
|   | and higher                             |  |              |             |             |  |  |  |
|   | Underweight                            | 0 (0.0%)                               | 2 (1.4%)     | 3 (1.5%)    | 5 (1.4%)    |  |  |  |
|   | Normal                                 | 4 (16.0%)                              | 81 (55.9%)   | 113 (56.8%) | 198 (53.7%) |  |  |  |
| BMI   | Overweight                             | 16 (64.0%)                             | 62 (42.8%)   | 79 (39.7%)  | 157 (42.5%) |  |  |  |
|   | Obese                                  | 5 (20.0%)                              | 0 (0.0%)     | 4 (2.0%)    | 9 (2.4%)    |  |  |  |
|   | $X^2 = 101.019, df = 6$                | X <sup>2</sup> =101.019, df=6, p<0.001 |              |             |             |  |  |  |
| Marital   | Single                                 | 4 (16.0%)                              | 12 (8.3%)    | 63 (31.7%)  | 79 (21.4%)  |  |  |  |
| status  | Married                                | 21 (84.0%)                             | 133 (91.7%)  | 136 (68.3%) | 290 (78.6%) |  |  |  |
| status  | X <sup>2</sup> = 27.723, df=2, p<0.001 |  |              |             |             |  |  |  |
| Physical  | Yes (always)                           | 3 (12.0%)                              | 11 (7.6%)    | 14 (7.0%)   | 28 (7.6%)   |  |  |  |
| exercise  | No                                     | 4 (16.0%)                              | 83 (57.2%)   | 72 (36.2%)  | 159 (43.1%) |  |  |  |
| (workout)   | Sometimes                              | 18 (72.0%)                             | 51 (35.2%)   | 113 (56.8%) | 182 (49.3%) |  |  |  |
|   | Yes                                    | 4 (16.0%)                              | 50 (34.5%)   | 27 (13.6%)  | 81 (21.9%)  |  |  |  |
| Workplace   | Sometimes                              | 14 (56.0%)                             | 60 (41.4%)   | 124 (62.3%) | 198 (53.7%) |  |  |  |
| workprace   | No                                     | 7 (28.0%)                              | 35 (24.1%)   | 48 (24.1%)  | 90 (24.4%)  |  |  |  |
|   | $X^2 = 24.168$ , df=4,                 | p<0.001                                |              |             |             |  |  |  |
|   | No                                     | 25 (100.0%)                            | 125 (86.2%)  | 189 (95.0%) | 339 (91.9%) |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |              |             |             |  |  |  |

 Table 1. Characteristics of participants according to job title (N=369)



| Smoking | Yes             | 0 (0.0%)      | 20 (13.8%) | 10 (5.0%) | 30 (8.1%) |
|---------|-----------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| status  | $X^2 = 11.007,$ | df=2, p=0.004 |            |           |           |

Table 2 shows the prevalence of present respiratory symptoms and previous respiratory illness diagnosed by a doctor according to the job title. There was a substantial difference in the prevalence of all present respiratory symptoms according to the job title. For example, the prevalence of coughing was 24% in the inspectors, 7.6% in the sergeants, and 21.6% in constables while corresponding estimates for cough sputum were 24.0%, 7.6%, and 19.1% respectively.

| Table 2. Prevalence of respiratory symptoms according to the job title (n=369) |
|--|
|--|

|                                | Job title  |           |            |            |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Respiratory symptoms           | Inspectors | Sergeants | Constables | Total      |  |  |  |
|                                | (n/%)      | (N/ %o)   | (n/ %o)    | (n/ %)     |  |  |  |
| Coughing                       | 6 (24.0%   | 11 (7.6%) | 43 (21.6%) | 60 (16.3%) |  |  |  |
| Coughing sputum                | 6 (24.0%)  | 11 (7.6%) | 38 (19.1%) | 55 (14.9%) |  |  |  |
| Coughing up blood              | 5 (20.0%)  | 11 (7.6%) | 41 (20.6%) | 57 (15.4%) |  |  |  |
| Shortness of breathing         | 6 (24.0%)  | 11 (7.6%) | 39 (19.6%) | 56 (15.2%) |  |  |  |
| Wheezing                       | 4 (16.0%)  | 11 (7.6%) | 39 (19.6%) | 54 (14.6%) |  |  |  |
| Chest pain with deep breathing | 12 (48.0%) | 12 (8.3%) | 41 (20.6%) | 65 (17.6%) |  |  |  |

Table 3 shows the distribution of previous respiratory illnesses in the traffic police personnel according to the job title. The participating traffic inspectors, sergeants, and constables were found to have almost no history of previous respiratory diseases, which could be partly explained by the recruitment of personnel with no history of a previous disease or chronic illness in the police service including the traffic police service of Bangladesh.

|  | Job title  |           |            |          |  |  |  |
|--|------------|-----------|------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Respiratory symptoms                         | Inspectors | Sergeants | Constables | Total    |  |  |  |
|  | (11/ 70)   | (11/ 70)  | (11/ 70)   | (11/ 70) |  |  |  |
| History of pneumonia                         | 0          | 1 (0.7%)  | 1 (0.5%)   | 1 (0.3%) |  |  |  |
| History of tuberculosis                      | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0        |  |  |  |
| History of chronic bronchitis                | 0          | 0         | 1 (0.5%)   | 1 (0.3%) |  |  |  |
| History of asthma                            | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0        |  |  |  |
| History of pneumothorax                      | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0        |  |  |  |
| History of lung cancer                       | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0        |  |  |  |
| History of chest injury or surgery           | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0        |  |  |  |
| History of broken ribs                       | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0        |  |  |  |
| Allergic reaction interfering with breathing | 0          | 1 (0.7%)  | 1 (0.5%)   | 2 (0.5%) |  |  |  |

Table 4 shows logistic regression analysis of respiratory symptoms according to job title (duty type). Constables were found at risk for all the studied respiratory symptoms in comparison to the reference group for coughing (adjusted Odds Ration=4.469, 95%



CI = 1.265-15.793), coughing sputum (adjusted odds ratio=3.687, 95% CI= 1.004 -13.540), shortness of breathing (Adjusted Odds Ratio = 3.937, 95% CI=1.069-14.500). Risk also increased for wheezing (adjusted odds ratio=2.464, 95% CI=0.613-9.906), chest pain with deep breathing (adjusted Odds Ratio=2.163, 95% CI=0.560-8.349), and coughing up blood (adjusted odds ration=1.040, 95% CI=0.227-6.162), however, the risk was not significant statistically. Risk moderately increased in sergeants for coughing up blood [AOR=1.102, 95% CI= 0.283-4.286] and wheezing [AOR=1.260, 95% CI= 0.304-5.2 on comparison to traffic constables, however, the risk was not significant.

| Outcomes     | I.h. 4:41. | Crude     | Odds Ratio   | Adjusted Odds Ratio <sup>*</sup> |               |  |
|--------------|------------|-----------|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Outcomes     | Job title  | PE        | 95% CI       | PE                               | 95% CI        |  |
|              | Inspector  | reference |              | -                                |               |  |
| Coughing     | Sergeants  | 1.429     | 0.299-6.817  | 0.841                            | 0.230-3.075   |  |
|              | Constables | 3.358     | 1.665-6.771  | 4.469                            | 1.265-15.793  |  |
| Caralina     | Inspector  | reference |              | -                                |               |  |
| Coughing     | Sergeants  | 1.727     | 0.467-29.761 | 0.573                            | 0.151-2.169   |  |
| sputum       | Constables | 2.875     | 1.415-5.843  | 3.687                            | 1.004 -13.540 |  |
| Concluing un | Inspector  | reference |              | -                                |               |  |
| Cougning up  | Sergeants  | 1.038     | 0.367-2.932  | 1.102                            | 0.283-4.286   |  |
| 01000        | Constables | 3.161     | 1.563-6.392  | 1.040                            | 0.227-6.162   |  |
| Chartenan of | Inspector  | reference |              |                                  |               |  |
| Snortness of | Sergeants  | .772      | 0.289-2.061  | 0.612                            | 0.163-2.291   |  |
| breathing    | Constables | 2.969     | 1.464-6.024  | 3.937                            | 1.069-14.500  |  |
|              | Inspector  | reference |              | -                                |               |  |
| Wheezing     | Sergeants  | 1.280     | 0.415-3.942  | 1.260                            | 0.304-5.229   |  |
| _            | Constables | 2.969     | 1.464-6.024  | 2.464                            | 0.613-9.906   |  |
|              | Inspector  | reference |              | -                                |               |  |
| Chest pain   | Sergeants  | 0.281     | 0119-0.662   | 0.741                            | 0.302-1.821   |  |
| _            | Constables | 2.876     | 1.452-5.696  | 2.163                            | 0.560-8.349   |  |

|         |           |              |           | ~       |           |          | ~ •          |           |
|---------|-----------|--------------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|--------------|-----------|
| Tahla / | I ogistic | rogrossion   | analycic  | of roc  | niratary  | symptome | according to | inh titla |
|         | Lugistic  | I Cgi Coston | anary 515 | 01 1 03 | ph ator y | symptoms | according to | JUD HILL  |

\* Logistic regression analysis adjusting for age, BMI (body mass index), education, marital status, smoking, use of mask and work out (exercise), history of respiratory disease.

#### Discussion

Air pollution in Bangladesh is a major public health hazard, especially among those who live and work in cities. The growing number of vehicles is one of the contributing factors for the deteriorating air quality. Traffic police personnel who are continuously exposed to air pollutants are at high risk.

The present study was designed to assess the prevalence and risk of respiratory symptoms between traffic police personnel. The study explored a high prevalence of respiratory symptoms in different categories of traffic police. This finding corroborates with the result of studies conducted before among traffic police personnel in Thailand and India (16,17).

The use of a questionnaire was useful to take into account a large number of potential confounders in the current study. After adjustment of potential covariates, constables were found at risk of coughing, cough sputum, coughing up blood, shortness of breath, wheezing, and chest pain in



comparison to the inspectors. Similar to the present study, an epidemiological study conducted before in Italy also reported that traffic police personnel assigned for traffic control and management by the roadside are at high risk of adverse respiratory symptoms including coughing, wheezing, shortness of breathing in comparison to traffic police personnel assigned for administrative duties at the office (18).

The elevated risk of studied respiratory symptoms in constables could be explained by direct and continuous roadside exposure to roadside pollution which has been shown to be a direct correlate in studies conducted before (19.20). A recently conducted comparative study in Malaysia explored that traffic police personnel who work by the roadside are at high risk of adverse respiratory outcomes in comparison to unexposed occupational groups due to continuous and direct exposure (21). Continuous and direct exposure to toxic chemicals and gases of vehicular emission cause irritation and allergy in the lungs and airways, airway obstruction, and increased mucus production leading to obstructive lung diseases (22,23). Although the human bronchopulmonary tract has multiple protective mechanisms, such as the air-blood barrier and mucosal cilia, air pollutants can accumulate in or pass through lung tissues depending on the size and chemical nature of pollutants (24). The vapor of air pollutants is prone to be absorbed by human tissues or dissolved in body fluids, relying mostly on hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity. The ultrafine particles are capable of translocation through blood circulation to distal organs and tissues, such as liver tissue for detoxification (25). Particles deposited in the respiratory tract in sufficient amounts can induce pulmonary inflammation. Controlled human animal and exposure studies have demonstrated increased markers for pulmonary inflammation following exposure

to a variety of different particles (26). Airway inflammation increases airway responsiveness to irritants such as particle pollution, allergens, and gases reducing lung function by causing bronchoconstriction. At the cellular level, inflammation may damage or kill cells and compromise the integrity of the alveolar-capillary barrier. Repeated exposure to particle pollution aggravates the injury and promotes initial chronic inflammation with cellular proliferation and extracellular matrix reorganization (27).

As regards to subjective symptoms of the current study, investigated by means of the questionnaire, positive results were more prevalent among the constables than inspectors, this difference being a statistically significant agreement with what was observed by a study conducted before in China which showed an increase in respiratory symptoms in road traffic workers (28). Regarding the research approach of the study, the identification of the type of industries and occupations where there is an excess risk of adverse respiratory health outcomes is in agreement with a study done before in Italy (29).

This study, to the best knowledge of the authors, is the first of its kind that measures the risk of adverse respiratory health outcomes among traffic police personnel in Bangladesh. However, there are some limitations in the present study. Given the cross-sectional design of the study, it has limited capability to infer causality, and the relatively small number in the reference group can affect the validity of the outcome. Further epidemiological studies, on larger samples and environmental air quality data provided, are required to better understand and define the pollution-related respiratory outcomes in traffic police personnel. The finding of this study suggests a valuable need for targeted occupational health interventions such as the use of protective masks at the workplace and periodic medical surveillance



to prevent respiratory morbidity and mortality in traffic police personnel working in polluted environments.

## References

- Han X, Naeher LP. Review of trafficrelated air pollution exposure assessment studies in the developing world. Environ Int 2006;32:106-20. DOI: 10.1016/j.envint.2005.05.020.
- Gowda G, Thenambigai R. A Study on Respiratory Morbidities and Pulmonary Functions among Traffic Policemen in Bengaluru City. Indian J Community Med 2020;45:23-2. DOI: 10.4103jamm.IJCM\_102\_19.
- Sasikumar S, Maheshkumar K, Dilara K, Padmavathi R. Assessment of pulmonary functions among traffic police personnel in Chennai city A comparative cross-sectional study. J Family Med Prim Care 2020;9:3356-60. DOI: 11006100

10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_1126\_19.

- 4. Lodovici M, Bigagli E. Oxidative stress and air pollution exposure. J Toxicol 2011;2011:487074. DOI: 10.1155/2011/487074.
- Katsouyanni K, Touloumi G, Samoli E, Gryparis A, Le Tertre A, Monopolis Y, et al. Confounding and effect modification in the short-term effects of ambient particles on total mortality: results from 29 European cities within the APHEA2 project. Epidemiology 2000;12:521-31. DOI: 10.1097/00001648-200109000-00011.
- Silverman EK, Speizer FE. Risk factors for the development of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Med Clin North Am 1996;80:501-22. DOI: 10.1016/s0025-7125(05)70451-x.
- Rahman M, Mahamud S, Thurston G. Recent spatial gradients and time trends in Dhaka, Bangladesh, air

pollution and their human health implications. J Air Waste Manag Assoc 2019;69:478-501. DOI: 10.1080/10962247.2018.1548388.

- Health Effects Institute. State of Global Air 2020. Special Report. Boston, MA; 2020. Available from: https://www.stateofglobalair.org/ (accessed: November 14, 2020).
- 9. Ahmed S, Mahmood I. Air pollution kills 15,000 Bangladeshis each year: The role of public administration and governments integrity. J Pub Admin Policy Res 2011;3:129-40.

https://doe.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/ files/files/doe.portal.gov.bd/page/cdbe 516f\_1756\_426f\_af6b\_3ae9f35a78a4/ 2020-06-10-16-30-6a8801bba5009c814b7d5cbeebebd3a a.pdf (accessed: March 16, 2021).

- Islam MS, Rouf MA, Nasiruddin M, Hossainb AMS. Trend of ambient air quality in Chittagong City. Bangladesh J Sci Ind Res 2012;47:287-96. DOI: 10.3329/bjsir.v47i3.13062.
- 12. Hasan MR, Hossain MA, Sarjana U, Hasan MR. Status of Air Quality and Survey of particulate Matter Pollution in Pabna City, Bangladesh. Am J Eng Res 2016;5:18-22.
- Gupta S, Mittal S, Kumar A, Singh KD. Respiratory effects of air pollutants among nonsmoking traffic policemen of Patiala, India. Lung India 2011;28:253-7. DOI: 10.4103/0970-2113.85685.
- 14. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). Respiratory

Medical Evaluation questionnaire. USA: US Department of Labour; 1988. Available from: https://www.osha.gov/lawsregs/regulations/standardnumber/191 0/1910.134AppC (accessed: June 1, 2021).

- 15. Ahmed P, Jaakkola JJ. Maternal occupation and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a Finnish population-based study. Occup Med (Lond) 2007;57:417-23. DOI: 10.1093/occmed/kqm038.
- 16. Karita K, Yano E, Tamura K, Jinsart W. Effects of working and residential location areas on air pollution related respiratory symptoms in policemen and their wives in Bangkok, Thailand. Eur J Public Health 2004;14:24-6. DOI: 10.1093/eurpub/14.1.24.
- Ranganadin P, Chinnakali P, Vasudevan K, Rajaram M. Respiratory health status of traffic policemen in Puducherry, South India. Int J CurRes Rev 2013;5:87-91.
- DeToni A, Fillon LF, Finotto L. Respiratory diseases in a group of traffic police officers: results of a 5year follow-up. G Ital Med Lav Ergon 2005;27:380-2.
- 19. Kumar M, Shaker I, Kann N. The study of frequency domine analysis of HRV in traffic police. Int J Bioassays 2012;1:64-7. DOI: 10.21746/ijbio.2012.10.004.
- 20. Jung TH. Respiratory Diseases in Firefighters and Fire Exposers. J Korean Med Assoc 2008;51:1087-96. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5124/jkma.2008.51. 12.1087.
- 21. Chean KY, Abdulrahman S, Chan MW, Tan KC. A Comparative Study of Respiratory Quality of Life among Firefighters, Traffic Police and Other Occupations in Malaysia. Int J Occup

Environ Med 2019;10:203-15. DOI: 10.15171/ijoem.2019.1657.

- 22. D'Amato G, Liccardi G, D'Amato M, Cazzola M. The role of outdoor air pollution and climatic changes on the rising trends in respiratory allergy. Respir Med 2001;95:606-11. DOI: 10.1053/rmed.2001.1112.
- 23. Beverland IJ, Cohen GR, Heal MR, Carder M, Yap C, Robertson C, et al. A comparison of short-term and longterm air pollution exposure associations with mortality in two cohorts in Scotland. Environ Health Perspect 2012;120:1280-5. DOI: 10.1289/ehp.1104509.
- 24. D'Amato G, Cecchi L, D'Amato M, Liccardi G. Urban air pollution and climate change as environmental risk factors of respiratory allergy: an update. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2010;20:95-102.
- 25. Falcon-Rodriguez CI, Osornio-Vargas AR, Sada-Ovalle I, Segura-Medina P. Aeroparticles, Composition, and Lung Diseases. Front Immunol 2016;7:3. DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00003.
- 26. He F, Liao B, Pu J, Li C, Zheng M, Huang L, et al. Exposure to Ambient Particulate Matter Induced COPD in a Rat Model and a Description of the Underlying Mechanism. Sci Rep 2017;7:1-15. DOI: 10.1038/srep45666.
- 27. Berend N. Contribution of air pollution to COPD and small airway dysfunction. Respirology 2016;21:237-44. DOI: 10.1111/resp.12644.
- 28. Gao ZY, Li PK, Zhao JZ, Jiang RF, Yang BJ, Zhang MH, et al. Effects of airborne fine particulate matter on human respiratory symptoms and pulmonary function. Chin J Industr Hyg Occup Dis 2010;28:748-51.



29. Proietti L, Mastruzzo C, Palermo F, Vancheri C, Lisitano N, Crimi N. Prevalence of respiratory symptoms, reduction in lung function and allergic sensitization in a group of traffic police officers exposed to urban pollution. Med Lav 2005;96:24-32.

© 2022 Ahmed et al; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



# **ANNEX. QUESTIONNAIRE**

#### Respiratory health outcomes among Traffic police in Bangladesh: A Cross-sectional Study

#### FACE SHEET

| Serial Number     | : |  |  |
|-------------------|---|--|--|
| Site              | : |  |  |
| Date of Interview | : |  |  |
| Interviewed by    | : |  |  |

| Sl.  | Questions                            | Coding category                             | Direction | Code |
|------|--------------------------------------|---|-----------|------|
| No   |                                      |   |           |      |
| SECT | ION A: Socio-demograph               | ic characteristics                          | <b></b>   |      |
| 1    | Name                                 |   |           |      |
| 2    | Age (to the nearest year)            |   |           |      |
| 3    | Sex                                  | Male/ Female                                |           |      |
| 3    | Your height                          | Ft inch = mcm                               |           |      |
| 4    | Your weight                          | kg  |           |      |
| 5    | Religion                             | Muslim                                      |           |      |
| 6    | What is your job title               | inspector1<br>sergeant2<br>constable3       |           |      |
| 7    | What is your<br>relationship status: | Single1<br>Married2<br>Divorced/ Separated3 |           |      |
| SECT | ION B: respiratory heat              | th status                                   |           |      |



| Sl.  | Questions                             | Coding category   | Direction | Code |
|------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|------|
| INO  |                                       |   |           |      |
| 8    | Do you currently have                 | a. Shortness of breath: Yes/No                                | Multiple  |      |
|      | any of the following                  | b. Shortness of breath when walking fast on level ground or   | response  |      |
|      | respiratory heath                     | walking up a slight hill or incline: Yes/No                   | possible  |      |
|      | condition?                            | c. Shortness of breath when walking with other people at an   | _         |      |
|      |                                       | ordinary pace on level ground: Yes/No                         |           |      |
|      |                                       | d. Have to stop for breath when walking at your own pace on   |           |      |
|      |                                       | level ground: Yes/No  |           |      |
|      |                                       | e. Shortness of breath when washing or dressing yourself:     |           |      |
|      |                                       | Yes/No  |           |      |
|      |                                       | f. Shortness of breath that interferes with your job: Yes/No  |           |      |
|      |                                       | g. Coughing that produces phlegm (thick sputum): Yes/No       |           |      |
|      |                                       | h. Coughing that wakes you early in the morning: Yes/No       |           |      |
|      |                                       | i. Coughing that occurs mostly when you are lying down:       |           |      |
|      |                                       | Yes/No  |           |      |
|      |                                       | i. Coughing up blood in the last month: Yes/No                |           |      |
|      |                                       | k. Wheezing: Yes/No   |           |      |
|      |                                       | 1. Wheezing that interferes with your job: Yes/No             |           |      |
|      |                                       | m. Chest pain when you breathe deeply: Yes/No                 |           |      |
|      |                                       | n. Any other symptoms that you think may be related to lung   |           |      |
|      |                                       | problems: Yes/No  |           |      |
| SECT | ION C: History of previ               | ous respiratory illness                                       |           |      |
| 9    | Have you ever had the                 | Asthma1   |           |      |
|      | following lung disease                | Chronic bronchitis2   | Multiple  |      |
|      | diagnosed by a doctor?                | Tuberculosis3   | response  |      |
|      |                                       | Pneumonia4  | possible  |      |
|      |                                       | Pneumothorax5   |           |      |
|      |                                       | Lung cancer6  |           |      |
|      |                                       | Chest injury or surgery7                                      |           |      |
|      |                                       | Broken ribs8  |           |      |
| 10   | Have you ever had any                 | Allergic reactions that interfere with your breathing: Yes/No |           |      |
|      | allergic conditions?                  |   |           |      |
| SECT | ION D: Behavioural inf                | formation   |           |      |
|      |                                       |   |           |      |
| 11   | What is your smoking                  | Yes1  |           |      |
|      | status?                               | No2   |           |      |
|      |                                       |   |           |      |
| 12   | Do you regularly do                   | Yes1  |           |      |
|      | exercise (workout)?                   | No2   |           |      |
|      | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Sometimes3  |           |      |
| 13   | Do you use mask at                    | Yes (Always)1   |           |      |
|      | workplace duty time?                  | No2   |           |      |
|      |                                       | Sometimes   |           |      |
|      |                                       |   |           |      |