Science Diliman (January-June 2019) 31:1, 69-78

Viability of Phytoplankton from Ballast Waters of International Vessels Berthing at Ports of Cebu and Subic Bay, Philippines

Nero M. Austero*

Marine Science Institute University of the Philippines Diliman

Subhash S. Sawant

CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography India

Rhodora V. Azanza

National Academy of Science and Technology Department of Science and Technology

ABSTRACT

The viability of ballast water phytoplankton was assessed through incubation experiment. *Leptocylindrus* sp. and *Thalassionema* spp. were found to be viable when incubated in port water and ballast water media showing some increase in cell numbers. Bloom-forming diatom taxa, such as *Chaetoceros* spp. and *Coscinodiscus* spp., potentially harmful diatom species *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp., and dinoflagellates, *Gambierdiscus* spp. and *Prorocentrum* spp. were also identified in ballast waters from international vessels. These results further suggest possible successful transport of these organisms via shipping, which could facilitate the introduction and lead to bioinvasion in the local aquatic environment.

Keywords: Ballast water, diatoms, dinoflagellates, phytoplankton, ports

*Corresponding Author

ISSN 0115-7809 Print / ISSN 2012-0818 Online

INTRODUCTION

Ballast water essentially provides stability and maneuverability to ships, thereby assuring safe operating conditions (David 2015). In ports, ballast water operations are usually conducted either via gravity or pumps to take in or discharge ballast water (David 2015), which may contain potentially harmful algal bloom species that will be transported from one port to another. Ballast water has been identified as one of the major vectors for the global dispersal of alien invasive species, toxic harmful algal bloom species and pathogens causing ecological, economic, and health problems (Hallegraeff and Bolch 1991; Bolch and de Salas 2007; Drake et al. 2007; Eames et al. 2008; Simkanin et al. 2009; Klein et al. 2010).

The present study was aimed at assessing the viability of organisms in ballast water tanks of international vessels berthed at Cebu and Subic ports in Philippines. Attempts to identify common organisms found in ballast waters have also been made.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of ballast water samples

Four international vessels were boarded for onboard collection of ballast water samples in the months of May and July 2015 in an opportunistic sampling method only. Vessel 1 (V1) is a 4,718-ton general cargo vessel registered from Panama. Its last port of call was in Sumbawa, Indonesia. Previously visited ports include: (1) Makassar, Indonesia, (2) Singapore, (3) Chiba and Yokohama, Japan, (4) Busan, Korea, and (5) Qingdao, China. In addition, vessel 2 (V2) is a general cargo vessel with 6,150 tons gross tonnage under the flagship of Panama. Prior to berthing in Cebu International Port (CIP), its last port of call was in Zhanjiang, China. Its previously visited ports include: (1) Haiku and Hongkong, China, (2) Chiba and Funabashi, Japan, (3) Jingtang, China, (4) Incheon, Korea, (5) Hon Gai, Vietnam, (6) Bangkok, Thailand, (7) Kuantan, Malaysia, and (8) Kaohsiung and Guang Zhou, China. Moreover, vessel 3 (V3) is a 33,990-ton bulk carrier vessel registered from Singapore. Its last port of call was in Taboneo, Indonesia. Its previous visited ports include: (1) Makassar, Indonesia, (2) Singapore, (3) Port Klang, Vietnam, (4) Cai Mep, Vietnam, (5) Washington, USA, (6) Okkye, S. Korea, (7) Vostochny, Russia, (8) Qingdao, China, (9) Busan and Kunsan, S. Korea, and (10) Tamatave, Madagascar. Lastly, vessel 4 (V4) is a 31,753-ton bulk carrier vessel under the flagship of Panama. Its last port of call was in Balboa,

Panama. Its previously visited ports include: (1) Cristobal, Panama, (2) New Orleans, USA, (3) Bayovar, Peru, (4) Buenaventura, Colombia, (5) Houston, Texas, USA, (6) Altamira, Mexico, (7) Yeosu, S. Korea, (8) Shanghai, China, (9) Kaohsiung, Taiwan, (10) Susaki, Japan and (11) Port Luis, Mauritius. Ballast water sample access points were via the (1) main engine pump for V1, (2) manholes for V2 and V4, and (3) sounding pipes for V3 based on the availability (Table 1).

Table 1. List of international vessels sampled in Cebu International Port (CIP), Cebu, Central Visayas and Naval Supply Depot (NSD) in Subic Bay, Zambales, Philippines in May and July 2015

Vessel	Туре	Access Point	Sampling Gears	Sample Volume*	Sampling Date	Sampling Port	Last Port of
1	Gen. Cargo	Main Engine	Buckets	3	5.2015	CIP	Indonesia
2	Gen. Cargo	Manhole	Buckets	5	7.2015	CIP	China
3	Bulk Carrier	Sounding pipe	Pipe sampler	1	5.2015	NSD	Indonesia
4	Bulk Carrier	Manhole	Plankton Net	5	7.2015	NSD	Panama

* Sample volume is per bucket (1 bucket = ~ 2.5-3 Liters of Ballast Water)

Sample collection was peformed using buckets, plankton nets, and pipe sampler. In the present study, the main emphasis was on phytoplankton only; hence, the samples were fixed with Lugol's solution and brought to the shore laboratory for further analysis. These samples were then analyzed for phytoplankton cell abundance and identified to genus or species level using identification keys (Yamaji 1984; Tomas 1997). In addition, samples were also collected and brought to the shore laboratory without preservative and used for incubation experiments. The unpreserved samples were pooled accordingly and passed through a 60-µm sieve to remove larger organisms, and the filtrate, containing smaller cells, were cultured in various media.

Media preparation and incubation experiment

Three types of culture media were used for this experiment: (1) Diluted F/2Mediaprepared following the methods of Guillard and Ryther (1962), Guillard (1975), Corrales et al. (1995), and Azanza (1997); (2) port water; and (3) ballast water media; which were prepared by filtering through a 0.45-µm Whatman Filter paper following Kang et al. (2010) and were then sterilized by autoclaving. Approximately 10-mL filtered ballast water samples were inoculated into each 100-mL media in triplicate and were incubated for 6 days. Three 1-mL aliquots were drawn from each flask on alternate days for phytoplankton analysis and identification. Cultures were maintained at 30°C and 33±1psu in a 12:12 light/dark cycle with 143.88±27.62-µmol photon $m^{-2}s^{-1}$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, a total of 16 diatoms, three dinoflagellates and four zooplankton taxa were identified from the ballast water samples. Highest diatom count was observed in V4, moderate in V2, and minimal in V1 and V3. The presence of potentially toxic diatom *Pseudo-nitzschia* sp. (Figure 1a), and dino-flagellates *Gambierdiscus* sp. (Figure 1b), *Prorocentrum* sp. (Figure 1c), and *Protoperidinium* sp. (Figure 1d) in ballast water confirms that ships can harbor these species in ballast water (Hallegraeff and Bolch 1991; Gollasch et al. 2000; Drake et al. 2007; Burkholder et al. 2007; Klein et al. 2010). These species may have been carried into vessel tanks during the process of ballast water, on the other hand, supports the theory of Hallegraeff (1992) and Bomber et al. (1988) that, in spite of being not known to produce resistant cysts, these species are well capable of surviving and getting dispersed as epiphytes attached to drifting macro-algae.



Figure 1. Potentially harmful phytoplankton taxa in ballast tanks sampled as light micrographs (LMs): (a) *Pseudo-nitzschia* sp., (b) *Gambierdiscus* sp., (c) *Prorocentrum* sp., and (d) *Protoperidinium* sp.

The main findings of the incubation experiment show that, among the vessels sampled, only V2 and V4 recorded viable cells (Figures 2a and 2b).-No *Skeletonema* spp. and *Nitzschia* sp. cells were recorded from V2; however, they appeared as viable cells after the 6th day of incubation in port water media (Figure 2a), which suggests that these cells were present in the ballast water, but were absent in the subsample taken for counting. This was also true in the case of *Leptocylindrus* sp.,

which was not found in ballast water sample, but exhibited considerable increase in cell number after six days in port water media. On the contrary, *Thalassiosira* sp., which is a bloom-forming diatom, was found to grow in all three types of media with maximum growth in port water media after six days (32 times). These results could be attributed to the capability of diatoms to produce viable resting spores that possess the ability to germinate even after a long period of time and that can withstand adverse ballast tank conditions (Doucette and Fryxell 1985; McQuoid et al. 2002; Harnstrom et al. 2011; Montresor et al. 2013). Experiments of Carney et al. (2011), for instance, also revealed the survival of phytoplankton cells and the successful germination of macro-alga *E. flexuosa* spores (Kolwalkar et al. 2007) even after prolonged exposure in the dark.



Figure 2. Phytoplankton composition in ballast water samples from (A) V2 and (B) V4, and viable diatom cells (*) following six days of incubation period. (Note: BW – ballast water, PW – port water, F10 – diluted F media, D00 – day zero, D02 – day 2, D04 – day 4, D06 – day 6)

These results suggest that these diatoms can possibly survive if discharged into new environments, leading to possible introduction of new species and/or invasion. Studies of Steichen and Quigg (2015) and Kang et al. (2010) have also reported that ballast water-borne diatoms exhibit viability and growth after exposure to changing salinity and nutrient regimes. Studies have also shown that even the use of modern technologies and techniques implemented in most ocean-going vessels as part of their ballast water management systems do not guarantee 100% efficacy (Grob and Pollet 2015). Certain photosynthetic organisms were able to grow within 4 to 20 days when released back into favorable conditions even after treatment (Stehouwer et al. 2010; van der Star et al. 2011; Liebich et al. 2012; Martínez et al. 2013).

On the other hand, analysis of phytoplankton composition and abundance in ambient waters of Cebu International Port (CIP) and Naval Supply Depot (NSD) terminal identified at least 53 phytoplankton taxa with 36 diatoms and 16 dinoflagellates. Ballast water-borne diatoms, such as *Skeletonema* spp., *Nitzschia* sp., *Leptocylindrus* sp., and *Thalassiosira* sp., were among the identified taxa from the ambient waters of Cebu and Subic Bay ports. These organisms were also identified in the waters of Panama, China, and Indonesia (D'Croz et al. 1991; Liu et al. 2005; Serihollo et al. 2015; Effendi et al. 2016). These findings imply that these species could be cosmopolitan and may have been traveling between these ports. The use of modern and new approaches could be very helpful in inferring these species' geographical sources in future studies.

This preliminary investigation suggests that the foreign vessels involved in trading with the Philippines, especially those which arrive for picking up of cargo, could pose potential risk of transport of harmful or bloom-forming organisms. Additional studies, if conducted in the future, would provide a database useful for assessing ballast water risk for Philippine ports by using a suitable model or tool, which in turn, will provide a Decision Support System (DSS) to the concerned authority in line with the International Maritime Organization – Ballast Water Management Convention - 2004.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the Department of Science and Technology (DOST) – Philippine Council for Agriculture, Aquatic Resources Research and Development (PCAARRD) for the funding support received in line with the project "Invasive

Marine Organisms transferable by ships in selected areas in the Philippines". We would also like to extend our appreciation and acknowledgement to the Philippine Coast Guard - Ports State Control Manila and Coast Guard District Central Visayas - Cebu Station Command who extended their support and assistance during the conduct of this study. We would also like to thank the Subic Bay Metropolitan Authority (SBMA) Ecology Center and Seaport Department for their field assistance. UPMSI PIMO Project also received assistance and support from the following people: Jayson Orpeza, Estrelita Flores, and Julius Mendoza.

REFERENCES

Azanza RV. 1997. Contributions to the understanding of the bloom dynamics of *Pyrodinium* bahamense var. compressum; A toxic red tide causative organism. Science Diliman. 9(1&2):1-6.

Bolch CJS, de Salas MF. 2007. A review of the molecular evidence for ballast water introduction of the toxic dinoflagellates *Gymnodinium catenatum* and the *Alexandrium "tamarense"* complex to Australasia. Harmful Algae. 6:465-485.

Bomber JW, Morton SI, Babinchak JA, Norris DR, Morton JG. 1988. Epiphytic dinoflagellates of drift algae - another toxigenic community in the ciguatera food chain. Bulletin of Marine Science. 43(2):204-214.

Burkholder JM, Hallegraeff GM, Melia G, Cohen A, Bowers HA, Oldach DW, Parrow MW, Sullivan MJ, Zimba PV, Allen EH, Kinder CA, Mallin MA. 2007. Phytoplankton assemblages in ballast water of U.S military ships as a function of port origin, voyage time and ocean exchange practices. Harmful Algae. 6(4):486-518.

Carney KJ, Delany JE, Sawant S, Mesbahi E. 2011. The effects of prolonged darkness on temperate and tropical marine phytoplankton, and their implications for ballast water risk management. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 62(6):1233-1244.

Coralles RA, Reyes M, Martin M. Notes on the encystment and exystment of *Pyrodiniumbahamense*var. *compressum in vitro*. In: Lassus P, Arzuk G, Erard -Le Denn E, Gentien P, Marcaillou-Le Baut C, editors. Harmful marine algal blooms. Paris: Lavoisier Science Publishing; 1995. p. 573-578.

D'Croz L, Del Rosario JB, Gomez JA. 1991. Upwelling and phytoplankton in Bay of Panama. Revisita de Biologia Tropical. 39(2):233-241.

David M. Vessels and ballast water. In: David M, Gollasch S, editors. Global maritime transport and ballast water management: Invading nature. Springer series in Invasion ecology 8. Berlin: Springer Publishing Company; 2015. p. 13-34.

Doucette GJ, Fryxell GA. 1985. *Thalassiosira antarctica* (Bacillariophyceae): Vegetative and resting stage ultrastructure of an ice-related marine diatom. Polar Biology. 4(2):107-112.

Drake LA, Doblin MA, Dobbs FC. 2007. Potential microbial bioinvasions via ships' ballast water, sediments and biofilms. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 55(7-9):333-341.

Eames I, Landeryou M, Greig A, Snellings J. 2008. Continues flushing of contaminants from ballast water tanks. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 56(2):250-260.

Effendi H, Kawaroe M, Lestari DF, Mursalin, Permadi T. 2016. Distribution of phytoplankton diversity and abundance in Mahakan Delta, East Kalimantan. Procedia Environmental Sciences. 33:496-504.

Gollasch S, Lenz J, Dammer M, Andres HG. 2000. Survival of tropical ballast water organisms during a cruise from the Indian Ocean to the North Sea. Journal of Plankton Research. 22(5):923-937.

Grob C, Pollet BG. 2016. Regrowth in ship's ballast water tanks: Think again!. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 109(1):46-48.

Guillard RRL. Culture of phytoplankton for feeding marine invertebrates. In: Smith WL, Chanley MH, editors. Culture of marine invertebrate animals. Boston (MA): Springer; 1975. p. 29-60.

Guillard RRL, Rhyther JH. 1962. Studies of marine planktonic diatoms: I. *Cyclotella nana* Hustedt, and *Detonula confervacea* Cleve Gran. Canadian Journal of Microbiology. 8(2):229-239.

Hallegraef GM. 1992. Harmful algal blooms in the Australian region. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 25(5-8):186-190.

Hallegraeff GM, Bolch CJ. 1991. Transport of toxic dinoflagellate cysts via ships' ballast water. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 22(1):27-30.

Harnstrom K, Ellegard M, Andersen TJ, Godhe A. 2011. Hundred years of genetic structure in a sediment revived diatom population. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 108(10):4252-4257.

Kang JH, Hyun BG, Shin K. 2010. Phytoplankton viability in ballast water from international commercial ships berthed at ports in Korea. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 60(2):230-237.

Klein G, MacIntosh K, Kaczmarska I, Ehrman JM. 2010. Diatom survivorship in ballast water during trans-pacific crossings. Biological Invasions. 12(5):1031-1044.

Kolwalkar JP, Sawant SS, Dhargalkar VK. 2007. Fate of *Enteromorpha flexuosa* (Wulfen) J. Agardh and its spores in darkness: Implications for ballast water management. Aquatic Botany. 86(1):86-88.

Liebich V, Stehouwer PP, Veldhuis M. 2012. Re-growth of potential invasive phytoplankton following UV-based ballast water treatment. Aquatic Invasions. 7(1):29-36.

Liu D, Sun J, Zou J, Zhang J. 2005. Phytoplankton succession during a red tide of *Skeletonema costatum* in Jiaozhou Bay of China. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 50(1):91-94.

Martínez LF, Mahamud MM, Lavin, AG, Bueno JL. 2013. The regrowth of phytoplankton cultures after UV disinfection. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 67(1-2):152-157.

McQuoid M, Godhe A, Nordberg K. 2002. Viability of phytoplankton resting stages in the sediments of a coastal Swedish fjord. European Journal of Phycology. 37(2):191-201.

Montresor M, Di Prisco C, Sarno D, Margiotta F, Zingone A. 2013. Diversity and germination patterns of diatom resting stages at a coastal Mediterranean site. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 484:79-95.

Serihollo LGG, Endang YH, Mohammad M. 2015. The composition and abundance of phytoplankton in Amboina Bay, Indonesia. International Journal of Scientific & Tenhnology Research. 4(9):437-439.

Simkanin C, Davidson I, Falkner M, Sytsma M, Ruiz G. 2009. Intra-costal ballast water flux and the potential for secondary spread of non-native species in the US West Coast. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 58(3):366-374.

Stehouwer PP, Fuhr F, Veldhuis M. 2010. A novel approach to determine ballast water vitality and viability after treatment. In: Bellefontaine N,Haag F, Linden O, Matheickal J, editors. Proceedings of the IMO-WMU Research and Development Forum; Malmö, Sweden. Sweden: WMU Publications. p. 233–240.

Steichen JL, Quigg A. 2015. Assessing the viability of microorganisms in the ballast water of vessels transiting the North Atlantic Ocean. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 101(1):258-266.

Tomas CR. 1997. Identifying marine phytoplankton. California: Academic Press.

van der Star I, Liebich V, Stehouwer PP. 2012. The forgotten fraction: the importance of organisms smaller than 10 im when evaluating ballast water treatment systems. In: Olgun A, Karakoç FT, Haag F, editors. Proceedings of the Global R&D Forum on Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement; Istanbul, Turkey. Turkey: TÜBÝTAK – MRC Publications. p. 41-49.

Yamaji I. 1984. Illustrations of the marine plankton of Japan. 3rd ed. Osaka: Hoikusha Publishing Co., Ltd.

Nero M. Austero, MSc. <nerodgreat@gmail.com> former Senior Research Assistant of Professor Rhodora V. Azanza. His previous research involvements were on harmful algal blooms or red tides -where he successfully cultured ciguatera fish poisoning (CFP) causing dinoflagellates, harmful/invasive marine organisms in ballast water of international vessels, and biofouling organisms established in wharves and other pier facilities. He obtained his Master's Degree in Marine Science from the Marine Science Institute, University of the Philippines Diliman.

Subhash S. Sawant, Ph.D., Chief Scientist at the CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Goa, India has vast experience in the field of Marine Sciences. His areas of interest are Biofouling and Ships' Ballast Water Management. He has developed a new model for conducting Ballast Water Risk Assessment, which can be used for controlling transfer and introduction of aquatic alien species by ships in any port.

Rhodora V. Azanza, Ph.D. <rhodaazanza@yahoo.com> Professor Emeritus of the Marine Science Institute, UP Diliman and President of the National Academy of Science and Technology (NAST). She has served the University in various positions (including being Dean of the College of Science) and other international organizations. Her researches and publications have been on biology and ecology of seaweeds, and microalgae including environmental impacts and management of algal blooms.