To be or not to be a neurovascular conflict: importance of the preoperative identification of the neurovascular conflict in the trigeminal neuralgia

Dana Mihaela Turliuc^{1,2}, B. Dobrovăț^{1,2}, A.I. Cucu², Ş. Turliuc¹, Daniela Trandafir^{1,3}, Claudia Florida Costea^{1,2}

¹"Grigore T. Popa" University of Medicine and Pharmacy Iaşi, ROMANIA
²"Prof. Dr. N. Oblu" Emergency Clinical Hospital Iaşi, ROMANIA
³"Sf. Spiridon" Emergency Clinical Hospital Iaşi, ROMANIA

Abstract: The trigeminal neuralgia caused by neurovascular compression is a neurosurgical pathology requiring the preoperative identification as exact as possible of the neurovascular conflict. In this case, neuroimaging is very useful, as it allows not only the determination of the neurovascular conflict of the trigeminal nerve, but also the correct indication of an adequate surgical approach.

Key words: trigeminal neuralgia, neurovascular compression syndrome, trigeminal nerve

Introduction

Described since the second century A.D. in the writings of Aretaeus of Cappadocia (18), the trigeminal neuralgia (TN) is the "worst pain humans have been afflicted with" (15), known as "suicide disease" because of the significant numbers of people taking their own lives before effective treatments were discovered. In 1994, the Association for the Study of Pain describes the trigeminal neuralgia as "a sudden, usually unilateral, severe, brief, stabbing, recurrent pain in the distribution of one or more branches of the fifth cranial nerve" (36).

The most frequent cause of the TN is the focal compression of the trigeminal nerve root at the place of entry into the pons (root entry zone) produced by an artery, most of the times, or by a vein (31).

The concept of neurovascular compression and the cause of the TN have been proposed for the first time in 1934 by Walter Dandy (16), his hypothesis being supported in 1962 by James W. Gardner (20). Only 5 years later, in 1967, the American neurosurgeon Peter Joseph Jannetta confirmed this hypothesis and promoted in neurosurgery the microvascular decompression as a treatment method of the TN due to neurovascular compression (23).

Pathogenesis

In the TN, the prevailing model is the neurovascular compression theory, where a redundant or aberrant looping of intracranial vessels results in neurovascular conflict (41). Even though any intracranial anatomic (artery, vein, etc) or subsequently developed (tumor) structure can compress the trigeminal nerve (V nerve) at its exit from the brainstem, the studies show that the superior cerebellar artery and the anterior inferior cerebellar artery are the most frequently involved (1, 31, 52, 53) (Figure 1, Figure 2). The most frequently, the compression is at the level of the transition zone of the V nerve, which represents a point of transition from the central myelin (derived from the oligodendroglia) to the peripheral myelin (derived from Schwann cells) (31, 33).

Diagnostic

Clinically, the TN is characterized as a facial pain syndrome with intense lancinating pain episodes, located within the sensory area of the V nerve.

The nature of the pain in the TN is typical: paroxysmal, lancinating, electric-like, unilateral, extremely severe, episodic, lasting a few seconds with remissions and recurrences, often exacerbated by cutaneous stimuli such as cold air, shaving, tooth brushing, chewing or the simple tactile touch (37). The neurological examination is usually normal and one can rarely detect a subtle trigeminal sensory deficit (13). Usually, up to the imaging identification of a neurovascular conflict at the level of the V nerve, the patients are treated with Carbamazepine or Gabapentin, which diminish pain, but for short periods.

Imaging

The election imaging examination in the neurovascular compression syndrome (NVCS) is represented by the high-spatial resolution magnetic resonance (MR) (33) which is performed so as to detect first of all the neurovascular contact (Figure 1) and secondly so as to exclude other causes of TN, such as the posterior fossa tumors or the multiple sclerosis lesions (32, 39).

Among the MR sequences, the most important are the high-spatial-resolution performed with T2-weighted sequences (3D TOF, 3D FIESTA) and, of course, the MR angiography so as to visualize the artery which compresses the V nerve (17, 30, 56).

Imagistically (MR), the neurovascular conflict can be classified in three grades: grade I: simple contact between the nerve and the vessel, grade II: artery distorting/displacing the nerve and grade III: artery indents the nerve root, producing the thinning of the nerve (21) (Figure 2).

One of the MR limits is that the neurovascular contacts can also be found in asymptomatic persons and, as a consequence, this imaging method is not very specific for the NVCS (1, 33). Moreover, in the MR imaging, in a study, 30% of the healthy subjects had neurovascular contact grade I and 2% - grade II, so that the imaging diagnostic must be very well judged in the clinical context (21). Precisely in order to try an approximation as good as possible of the diagnostic, it is recommended to perform three high-resolution sequences, in association, because of their complementariness (29, 50):

1. 3D T2 high-resolution sequences: allow the visualization of the nerve root, its trajectory, its diameter and indentations. Moreover, these sequences allow the visualization of the cerbellopontine angle and the cerebrospinal fluid cisterns. A limitation of this exploration would be the absence of the differentiation between nerves and vessels.

2. 3D TOF MRA: visualizes only the high-flow vessels (arteries).

3. 3D T1 sequence with gadolinium: visualizes all vessels, both arteries and veins.

More recently, among the MR sequences, the diffusion-tensor imaging with tractography can allow the analysis of white matter integrity from the V nerve by measuring in vivo the molecular diffusivity (9, 22, 28, 33). This sequence practically assesses the damage and degeneration of white matter tracts appearing as a consequence of the loss of myelin and axonal membranes at the level of the neurons composing the V nerve (7, 38).

Another imaging exploration which must be carried out in the TN, but rather for the differential diagnosis is the computertomography which can evaluate the bony anatomy of the posterior cranial fossa and the bone lesions which may cause bone destruction (skull base osteomyelitis, external otitis, Langerhans' cell histiocytosis), proliferation (fibrous dysplasia, chondrosarcoma) or remodeling (meningiomas, schwannomas) (8, 43).

In other words, the preoperative imaging is very important in recognizing the neurovascular conflict and in establishing a correct surgical approach. For instance, in case of the TN compression by a transverse pontine vein, a conventional sub occipital approach can be insufficient in the visualization (21), a lateral suboccipital approach being preferable so as to visualize Meckel's cave (49).

Treatment

From the initial description in 1932 of the NVCS by Walter Dandy and to date, several treatment methods in the TN have been proposed: the medical therapy, the stereotactic radiosurgery, the glycerol rhizotomy, the radiofrequency thermal rhizotomy and the balloon microcompression (5). Unfortunately, these alternative treatments are associated with poorer long-term pain control and higher incidence of recurrences varying between 17-46% (44, 45, 57).

Even though the initial treatment is the medical one, with antiepileptic drugs, the neurovascular decompression represents the election treatment in the NVCS, being the most efficient treatment to achieve initial and long-term pain control for TN (2, 25, 55), with a success rate of 63%-94% (3, 5, 11, 26, 35, 51) and a complications rate of almost 1-5% (3, 5, 6). Moreover, in 91-97% of the cases, pain relief appears immediately after the surgery (19).

The surgical treatment (microvascular decompression) is indicated in the patients with debilitating pain refractory in at least three medicines, including Carbamazepine (14).

The surgery indication must be correctly made, because in the reported cases, the absence of the intraoperative neurovascular compression varied between 4 and 89%, with an average of 7.5% (27). Even in the cases where inntraoperative there was no vascular compression, certain studies suggest that the manipulation with minimal trauma had a favorable therapeutic effect in the patients with TN (4, 34, 57), even though in these cases, most of the operators prefer a partial sensory rhizotomy when they are not persuaded of the existence of the neurovascular conflict (45).

The surgery consists in microvascular decompression of the V nerve and the positioning of Teflon pledgets to separates offending vessels from the V nerve. Teflon is the most popular material used in NVCS even though it also presents complications, of which the most frequent is the inflammatory foreign body reaction, with an incidence of 5% (12, 42). Chen et al have issued in their study a hypothesis according to which Teflon induces an inflammatory giant-cell foreign body reaction when it comes into contact with the dura mater or with the tentorium (12).

Pain prognostic factors

The most important prognostic factors are: the immediately postoperative pain relief (54), the age of the patient, the medical comorbidities (15) and the nature of the compressive vessel (venous compression representing a poor prognostic factor) (27). Among them, the most important prognostic factor is pain relief (54).

Complications

The most frequent complications are represented by:

1. cerebrospinal fluid leak, the most frequent complication of the NVCS with an incidence of 0.9-12% (40)

2. meningitis

3. cerebellar injury. This complication type can be avoided by realizing an optimized petrotentorial corridor, by the retraction of the cerebellum in a direction that is infero-lateral rather than directly lateral (tangential to the course of the VIII nerve) (10)

4. post-operative hemorrhage, including the subdural hematoma (15)

5. cranial nerve palsies: facial palsy by the injury of the VII nerve and hearing loss by the injury of the VIII nerve which appears as a consequence of the excessive retraction of the cerebellum while trying to expose the trigeminal cistern, direct trauma on the nerve or its vascular supply. Once with the intraoperative introduction of the brain stem auditory evoked potential monitoring, this complication was reduced from 19 to 2% (11, 55).

6. trigemino-cardiac reflex is а complication which may occur because of the stimulation of any of the sensory branches of the V nerve (47). The physiopathological mechanism consists in the decrease of the mean arterial blood pressure and heart rate by more than 20% and appears once with V nerve manipulation. This reflex is characterized by arterial hypotension, bradycardia, apnea and gastric hypermotility (46, 47, 48). After the cessation of the surgical manipulation, the mean arterial blood pressure and heart rate come back to normal and because of that is very important to perform the gentle vascular-nervous manipulation the of structures during the surgery.

7. anesthesia "dolorosa" is a continuous, dysesthetic pain, with burning character, which appears because of the trigeminal deafferentation (13)

8. recurrence. The factors which proved to be predictable in the recurrence are: symptomatology lasting for more than eight years, V nerve compression by a vein, absence of the immediate postoperative pain relief, female gender, minimizing nerve trauma by careful manipulation (6, 25), age younger than 53 years, symptoms duration of more than 10 years and pain localization on the left in men (55)

9. death: the mortality associated with this intervention is of 0.1% in Jannetta series (24).

Conclusions

The TN caused by the neurovascular conflict represents a neurosurgical pathology which can be safely solved in the current context. So as to issue a correct surgical indication in case of a NVCS, the neuroimaging is very important because it establishes the neurovascular contact, the surgical approach, but also the exclusion of other causes of the TN.



Figure 1 - MRI 3DT1 axial (A) and sagital (B) sections showing left trigeminal nerve, compressed by an aberrant loop of anterior inferior cerebellar artery (AICA); the right trigeminal nerve is normal (Dr. B. Dobrovat's personal collection)



Figure 2 - MRI 3DT1 coronal section showing left trigeminal nerve, compressed by an aberrant loop of AICA, with a reduced caliber in the contact site, compared with the normal caliber of the right trigeminal nerve (Dr. B. Dobrovat's personal collection)

Correspondence

Bogdan Dobrovat "Grigore T. Popa" University of Medicine and Pharmacy Iași, Romania E-mail: bogdandobrovat@yahoo.com

References

1.Adamczyk M, Bulski T, Sowińska J, Furmanek A, Bekiesińska-Figatowska M. Trigeminal nerve: artery contact in people without trigeminal neuralgia—MR study . Med Sci Monit. 2007; 13:38 – 43.

2.Amagasaki K, Watanabe S, Naemura K, Shono N, Nakaguchi H. Safety of microvascular decompression for elderly patients with trigeminal neuralgia. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2016; 141:77-81.

3.Ashkan K, Marsh H. Microvascular decompression for trigeminal neuralgia in the elderly: a review of the safety and efficacy. Neurosurgery. 2004; 55:840-848.

4.Baechli H, Gratzl O. Microvascular decompression in trigeminal neuralgia with no vascular compression. Eur Surg Res. 2007; 39:51-57.

5.Barker FG 2nd.Quality of life and individual treatment choice in trigeminal neuralgia. Pain. 2007 131:234-236.

6.Barker FG 2nd, Jannetta PJ, Bissonette DJ, Larkins MV, Jho HD. The long-term outcome of microvascular decompression for trigeminal neuralgia. N Engl J Med. 1996; 334:1077-1083.

7.Basser PJ, Pierpaoli C. Microstructural and physiological features of tissues elucidated by quantitative-diffusion-tensor MRI . J Magn Reson B. 1996; 111: 209 -219.

8.Bathla G, Hegde AN. The trigeminal nerve: an illustrated review of its imaging anatomy and pathology. Clin Radiol. 2013; 68:203-213.

9.Becker M, Kohler R, Vargas MI, Viallon M, Delavelle J. Pathology of the trigeminal nerve. Neuroimaging Clin N Am. 2008; 18:283–307.

10.Bond AE, Zada G, Gonzalez AA, Hansen C, Giannotta SL.Operative strategies for minimizing hearing loss and other major complications, associated with microvascular decompression for trigeminal neuralgia.World Neurosurg. 2010; 74: 172-177.

11.Broggi G, Ferroli P, Franzini A, Servello D, Dones I. Microvascular decompression for trigeminal neuralgia: comments on a series of 250 cases, including 10 patients with multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2000; 68:59-64.

12.Chen JF, Lee ST, Lui TN, Yeh Y, Chen T, Tzaan W. Teflon granuloma after microvascular decompression for trigeminal neurologia. Surg Neurol. 2000; 53:281–287.

13.Cheshire WP. Trigeminal neuralgia: for one nerve a multitude of treatments. Expert Rev Neurother. 2007; 7:1565-1579.

14.Cheshire WP. Can MRI distinguish injurious from innocuous trigeminal neurovascular contact? J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2005; 76:1470-1471.

15.Cohen-Gadol AA. Microvascular decompression surgery for trigeminal neuralgia and hemifacial spasm: naunces of the technique based on experiences with 100 patients and review of the literature. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2011; 113:844-853.

16.Dandy WE. Concerning the cause of trigeminal neuralgia. Am J Surg. 1934; 24:447-455.

17.Docampo J, Gonzalez N, Muñoz A, Bravo F, Sarroca D, Morales C. Neurovascular study of the trigeminal nerve at 3 t MRI. Neuroradiol J. 2015; 28:28-35.

 Eller JL, Raslan AM, Burchiel KJ.Trigeminal neuralgia: definition and classification. Neurosurg Focus. 2005; 18:E3.

19.Elias WJ, Burchiel KJ. Microvascular decompression.

Clin J Pain.2002; 18:35-41.

20.Gardner WJ. Concerning the mechanism of trigeminal neuralgia and hemifacial spasm. J Neurosurg. 1962; 19:947-958.

21.Harsha KJ, Kesavadas C, Chinchure S, Thomas B, Jagtap S. Imaging of vascular causes of trigeminal neuralgia. J Neuroradiol. 2012; 39: 281-289.

22.Herweh C, Kress B, Rasche D, Tronnier V, Tröger J, Sartor K, Stippich C. Loss of anisotropy in trigeminal neuralgia revealed by diffusion tensor imaging. Neurology. 2007; 68: 776-778.

23.Jannetta PJ. Arterial compression of the trigeminal nerve at the pons in patients with trigeminal neuralgia. J Neurosurg. 1967; 26:159-162.

24.Jannetta PJ, McLaughlin MR, Casey KF.Technique of microvascular decompression. Technical note. Neurosurg Focus. 2005; 18:E5.

25.Kabatas S, Albayrak SB, Cansever T, Hepgul KT. Microvascular decompression as a surgical management for trigeminal neuralgia: a critical review of the literature. Neurol India. 2009; 57:134-138.

26.Kondo A. Follow-up results of microvascular decompression in trigeminal neuralgia and hemifacial spasm. Neurosurgery. 1997; 40:46-51.

27.Kuncz A, V φ r φ s E, Barzó P, Tajti J, Milassin P, Mucsi Z, Elek P, Benedek K, Tarjányi J, Bodosi M. Comparison of clinical symptoms and magnetic resonance angiographic (MRA) results in patients with trigeminal neuralgia and persistent idiopathic facial pain. Mediumterm outcome after microvascular decompression of cases with positive MRA findings. Cephalalgia 2006; 26:266-276.

28.Le Bihan D. Molecular diffusion, tissue microdynamics and microstructure. NMR Biomed 1995; 8: 375-386.

29.Leal PR, Sindou M, Hermier M, Froment JC, Souza MA, Cristino-Filho G. Preoperative demonstration of the neurovascular compression characteristics with special emphasis on the degree of compression, using high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging: a prospective study, with comparison to surgical findings, in 100 consecutive patients who underwent micro-vascular decompression for trigeminal neuralgia. Acta Neurochir. 2010; 152:817-825.

30.Linn J, Peters F, Moriggl B, Naidich TP, Brückmann H, Yousry I. The jugular foramen: imaging strategy and detailed anatomy at 3T. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2009;

30: 34-41.

31.Love S, Coakham HB. Trigeminal neuralgia: pathology and pathogenesis. Brain. 2001; 124: 2347-2360.

32.Love S, Hilton DA, Coakham HB. Central demyelination of the Vth nerve root in trigeminal neuralgia associated with vascular compression. Brain Pathol. 1998; 8:1-11.

33.Lutz J, Linn J, Mehrkens JH, Thon N, Stahl R, Seelos K, Brückmann H, Holtmannspötter M. Trigeminal neuralgia due to neurovascular compression: high-spatial-resolution diffusion-tensor imaging reveals microstructural neural changes. Radiology. 2011; 258: 524-530.

34.Ma Z. "Nerve combing" for trigeminal neuralgia without vascular compression: report of 10 cases. Clin J Pain. 2009; 25:44-47.

35.Mendoza N, Illingworth RD. Trigeminal neuralgia treated by microvascular decompression: a longterm follow-up study. Br J Neurosurg. 1995; 9:13-19.

36.Merskey H, Bogduk N. Classification of Chronic Pain: Descriptions of Chronic Pain Syndromes and Definitions of Pain Terms. Seattle: IASP Press, 1994.

37.Miller JP, Acar F, Burchiel KJ. Classification of trigeminal neuralgia: clinical, therapeutic, and prognostic implications in a series of 144 patients undergoing microvascular decompression. J Neurosurg. 2009; 111:1231–1234.

38.Moseley ME, Cohen Y, Kucharczyk J, Mintorovitch J, Asgari HS, Wendland MF, Tsuruda J, Norman D. Diffusion-weighted MR imaging of anisotropic water diffusion in cat central nervous system. Radiology. 1990 ; 176: 439-445.

39.Nurmikko TJ, Eldridge PR. Trigeminal neuralgia: pathophysiology, diagnosis and current treatment . Br J Anaesth. 2001; 87:117 -132.

40.Park JS, Kong DS, Lee JA, Park K. Intraoperative management to prevent cerebrospinal fluid leakage after microvascular decompression: Dural closure with a "plugging muscle" method. Neurosurg Rev. 2007; 30:139-142.

41.Peschillo S , Delfini R. Trigeminal neuralgia: a new neuroimaging perspective. World Neurosurg. 2013; 80: 293-295.

42.Premsagar IC, Moss T, Coakha HB. Teflon-induced granuloma follow treatment of trigeminal neuralgia by microvascular decompression. Report of two cases. J Neurosurg. 1997; 87:454-457.

43.Radoi M, Stefanescu F, Vakilnejad R, Gheorghitescu L, Rosu D. Surgery of petroclival meningiomas. Recent surgical results and outcomes. Romanian Neurosurgery. 2015; XXIX (XXII) 1: 27-37.

44.Rath SA, Klein HJ, Richter HP. Findings and longterm results of subsequent operations after failed microvascular decompression for trigeminal neuralgia. Neurosurgery. 1996. 39: 933-938.

45.Revuelta-Gutierrez R, Martinez-Anda JJ, Coll JB, Campos-Romo A, Perez-Peña N. Efficacy and safety of root compression of trigeminal nerve for trigeminal neuralgia without evidence of vascular compression. World Neurosurg. 2013; 80: 385-389.

46.Schaller B. Trigemino-cardiac reflex during microvascular trigeminal decompression in cases of trigeminal neuralgia. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2005; 17:45-48.

47.Schaller B. Trigeminocardiac reflex: A clinical phenomenon or a new physiological entity? J Neurol. 2004; 251:658-665.

48.Schaller B, Probst R, Strebel S, Gratzl O. Trigeminocardiac reflex during surgery in the cerebellopontine angle. J Neurosurg, 1999; 90:215-220.

49.Seoane ER, Rhoton Jr AL. Suprameatal extension of the retrosigmoid approach: Microsurgical anatomy. Neurosurgery. 1999; 44:553-560.

50.Sindou M. Prediction of the vascular compression characteristics with magnetic resonance imaging for surgery of primary trigeminal neuralgias. World Neurosurg. 2013; 80:298-299.

51.Sindou M, Leston J, Howeidy T, Decullier E, Chapuis F. Micro-vascular decompression for primary trigeminal neuralgia (typical or atypical). Long-term effectiveness on pain; prospective study with survival analysis in a consecutive series of 362 patients. Acta Neurochir. 2006; 148: 1235-1245.

52.Sindou M, Howeidy T, Acevedo G. Anatomical observations during microvascular decompression for idiopathic trigeminal neuralgia (with correlations between topography of pain and site of the neurovascular conflict): prospective study in a series of 579 patients. Acta Neurochir. 2002; 144:1–12.

53.Sindou M, Leston J, Decullier E, Chapuis F. Microvascular decompression for primary trigeminal neuralgia: long-term effectiveness and prognostic factors in a series of 362 consecutive patients with clear-cut neurovascular conflicts who underwent pure decompression. J Neurosurg. 2002; 107:1144-1153.

54.Singla V, Modi M, Singh P, Khandelwal NK. Dolichoectasia of vertebrobasilar system: A rare cause of tic douloureux. Indian J Med Sci. 2007; 61:30-31.

55.Theodosopoulos PV, Marco E, Applebury C, Lamborn KR, Wilson CB. Predictive model for pain recurrence after posterior fossa surgery for trigeminal neuralgia. Arch Neurol. 2002. 59:1297-1302.

56. Yousry I, Camelio S , Schmid UD, Horsfield MA,

Wiesmann M, Brückmann H, Yousry TA. Visualization of cranial nerves I-XII: value of 3D CISS and T2-weighted FSE sequences. Eur Radiol. 2000; 10: 1061-1067.

57.Zakrzewska JM, Lopez BC, Kim SE, Coakham HB. Patients reports of satisfaction after microvascular decompression and partial sensory rhizotomy for trigeminal neuralgia. Neurosurg. 2005; 56:1304-1312.