Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia

LATE DEVONIAN-CARBONIFEROUS CONODONTS FROM EASTERN IRAN

MEHDI YAZDI*

Received December 10, 1998, accepted May 24, 1999

Key-words: Conodonts, biostratigraphy, Shotori Range, Howze--Dorah, Kale Sardar, Bahram Formation, Shishtu Formation, Sardar Formation, Frasnian, Famennian, Tournaisian, Visean, Namurian, Westphalian.

Riassunto. Vengono presentati i risultati del trattamento di 110 campioni per conodonti provenienti da due sezioni di Devoniano superiore - Carbonifero nella Shotori Range in Iran orientale (regione di Tabas). La successione di Howz-e-Dorah, (con 88 campioni) inizia nella parte alta della Formazione Barham (Givetian-Frasniano inferiore), prosegue attraverso la Formazione Shishtu (dal Frasniano, Zona a *hassi*, sino al Tournesiano terminale, Zona a *anchoralis-latus*) e la Formazione Sardar (dal Viseano basale, Zona a *texanus*, sino alla Zona a *sinuatus-corrugatus-sulcatus*) per concludersi nella Formazione Jamal del Permiano. Altre 4 sezioni stratigrafiche, campionate in modo meno esaustivo (22 campioni) indicano che l'area di Kale Sardar è più complicata tettonicamente della zona di Howz-e-Dorah.

Utili orizzonti guida della sezione Howz-e-Dorah, ben calibrati dai conodonti sono risultati i seguenti:

1) i banchi biostromali della Formazione Shishtu, non più antichi della Zona a *hassi* inferiore, 2) un intervallo di calcari oolitici del Famenniano inferiore (Zona a *triangularis alta* o *crepida* inferiore), 3) una sequenza ciclotemica che passa attraverso il limite Carbonifero inferiore - Carbonifero superiore, ed infine 4) un intervallo di arenarie silicee (la "white quartzite" degli Autori), riferibile al Permiano inferiore. Inoltre, diversi orizzonti ferriferi, facilmente correlabili, sono ben calibrati mediante le età a conodonti. Sono identificate 85 specie di conodonti, che rappresentano 24 generi. Infine sono descritte 2 nuove specie, *Polygnathus capollocki e Polygnathus ratebi*, ed una nuova sottospecie, *Icriodus alternatus mawsonae*.

Abstract. Conodont data from acid-leaching 110 samples from two Late Devonian-Carboniferous areas in the Shotori Range (Tabas region) of eastern Iran are presented. At Howz-e-Dorah, a section (88 samples) commencing high in the Bahram Formation (Givetian-early Frasnian) extended through the Shishtu Formation (Frasnian, Early hassi Zone or older, to latest Tournaisian, anchoralis-latus Zone) and the Sardar Formation (earliest Visean, texanus Zone, to late Namurian, sinuatus-corrugatus-sulcatus Zone) and into the Jamal Formation (Permian). Four less exhaustively sampled sections (22 samples) show the Kale Sardar area to be tectonically more complicated than the Howz-e-Dorah area. Useful marker horizons in the Howz-e-Dorah section, well constrained by conodont data, are: the early Frasnian (no older than Early hassi Zone) biostromal beds of the Shishtu Formation, an early Famennian (Late triangularis to Early crepida) interval of oolitic limestone, a cyclothem sequence straddling the Early Carboniferous-Late Carboniferous boundary, and an Early Permian

interval of siliceous sand ("the white quartzite" of previous authors). Additionally, several iron-rich horizons, readily traceable from locality to locality, are well constrained by conodont ages.

Eighty-five conodont species/subspecies are documented representing 24 genera. Two new species, *Polygnathus capollocki* and *Polygnathus ratebi* and one new subspecies, *Icriodus alternatus mawsonae* are described.

Introduction.

Reconnaissance investigations of the Shotori Range in eastern Iran (e.g. Stöcklin et al. 1965, 1991) demonstrated the existence of an excellent Late Devonian-Carboniferous succession in the Tabas region (Fig. 1). There has been very little previous palaeontologic work in the area; nothing of significance has been published on the diverse macrofaunas during the past 30 years but older publications include work on Devonian and Lower Carboniferous goniatites by Walliser (1966), Frasnian rhynchonellid brachiopods by Sartenaer (1966), Iranoblastus, a new Early Carboniferous blastoid by E. Flügel (1966) and Receptaculites by H. Flügel (1961). Weddige's (1984) more recent conodont studies concentrated on faunas to the north of the area presently under consideration. In order to address this deficiency, sections aggregating 1360 m were sampled for conodonts in the Howz-e-Dorah area (Fig. 2, 4) and in the vicinity of Kale Sardar (Fig. 3) with the aim of establishing a stratigraphic framework within which other faunal groups (for example, ammonoid and nautiloid cephalopods, brachiopods and mollusks) will be able to be accurately located and bioevents and transgression--regression patterns compared with others elsewhere (Yazdi, 1999, in press).

The revised Late Devonian zonal scheme of Ziegler & Sandberg (1984, 1990) is used herein; in some instances, for clarity, alongside the zone quoted, appears, in square brackets, the original zonal equivalent (*sensu* Ziegler 1962, 1971), eg. Early *rhenana* Zone [= Lower

^{*} Centre of Ecostratigraphy and Palaeobiology, Macquarie University, 2109, NSW, Australia, and Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, University of Esfahan, Isfahan, Iran.



gigas Zone]. The Carboniferous zonal scheme used throughout is based on the outcomes of the Carboniferous Subcommission Meeting, Provo, Utah, 1988 (Brenckle & Manger, 1991). Conodont genera mentioned in the text and on Tables 1, 2 and 3 are abbreviated as follows:

Ad. = Ancyrodella; Alt. = Alternognathus; An. = Ancyrognathus; Cl. = Clydagnathus; D. = Declinognathodus; Do. = Dollymae; Gn. = Gnathodus; I. = Icriodus; Id. = Idiognathodus; L. = Lochriea. Ng. = Neognathodus; P. = Polygnathus; Po. = Polylophodonta; Pal. = Palmatolepis; Pel. = Pelekysgnathus; Ps. = Pseudopolygnathus; Rh. = Rhachistognathus; Sc. = Scaliognathus; S. = Scaphignathus; Si. = Siphonodella.

Previous work.

Reference to the geology of the Shotori Range in the Tabas area in eastern Iran was first made by Sven Hedin (1927), who, during his expedition to Central Asia some 20 years earlier, named the prominent mountain, Kuh-e-Shotori and collected an Early Jurassic sedimentary sequence at its base. Late Palaeozoic limestones were reported by Furon (1941) from the ranges east and west of Tabas and in 1951 Gansser climbed Kuh-e-Shotori; he was the first geologist to do so. Gansser (1955) gives a brief account of Carboniferous shales, Triassic dolomites and red shales and Late Jurassic reefal limestones of the area. Stöcklin (1961) reported the first Devonian cephalopods from Iran. The first systematic investigation of the area, undertaken under the auspices of the Geological Survey of Iran, was carried out by J. Stöcklin, J. Eftekhar-Nezhad and A. Hushmand-Zadeh with the assistance of M. Nabavi, M. Zahedi, N. Valeh S. Tatavussian; their findings are summarised in Stöcklin et al. (1965, 1991). The study was comprehensive covering the geology of the Precambrian to the Quaternary.

Geological setting.

Stöcklin *et al.* (1965) named three pre-Permian formations of the Ozbak-Kuh Group: the Bahram Formation, the Shishtu Formation and the Sardar Formation; the Permian sequence lying above these was named the Jamal Formation. The Sardar conglomerate was thought to have lain unconformably between the Shishtu and Sardar formations.

The sedimentary sequence of the Shotori Range is characterised by numerous hiatuses, unconformities and

Fig. 1 - Geology of the Shotori Range in the vicinity of Tabas, eastern Iran simplified from Stöcklin et al. (1965) with minor modifications from subsequent traverses.



Fig. 2 - Howz-e-Dorah area showing simplified geology and the location of the Howz-e-Dorah section, A to A1.

synsedimentary faults. The type sections for the Shishtu Formation and Sardar Formation selected by Stöcklin et al. (1965, p. 11-19) at Howz-e-Dorah and the Sardar River area are interrupted by a number of hiatuses and locally show changes in thickness. For this study a more complete section passing through both formations was sought. The section selected is approximately 2.5 km to the north of the section documented by Stöcklin et al. (1965) at Howz-E-Dorah (Fig. 2, 4). It commences in the topmost horizon of the Bahram Formation (Early Frasnian), passes through the Shishtu Formation (Frasnian, Famennian and Early Carboniferous), the Sardar Formation (Carboniferous), including the Sardar conglomerate (post Namurian) and into the Jamal Formation (Early Permian). The Jamal Formation continues into the Late Permian (Figs. 2, 4, 5). At Kale Sardar a number of short sections (Figs. 3, 6) have been collected to supplement data from the Howz-e-Dorah section.

A. Howz-E-Dorah Section (Fig. 2).

Co-ordinates for base of section: Long. 57°20'28"E, Lat. 33°22'50"N.

Co-ordinates of top of section: Long. 57°20'45"E, Lat. 33°23'15"N.

(From Deh-e-Shahzadeh'Ali 1:50,000 Iran sheet, N1-40-11 (7456I) K753.

It is located between Howz-e-Mohammad Ghasem and Sorond village, approximately 75 km southeast of Tabas (Fig. 1, 2). The base of the section commences 700 m northwest of Howz-e-Dorah at the boundary between the Bahram Formation and Shishtu Formation and continues through the Sardar Formation to the Jamal Formation (Permian). Limestone horizons were sampled for conodonts and macrofauna along the section line.

1. Shishtu Formation.

The lowest horizon of the Shishtu Formation (A- A^1 on Fig. 2, 4) occurs above a brown, siliceous dolomitic horizon that marks the top of the Bahram Formation. A second locality in the Shotori Range where the Bahram Formation crops out and the base of the Shishtu Formation is exposed is in the vicinity of the area approximately 2 km northwest of Howz-e-Mohammad Ghasem on the Tabas-Kerman road, about 80 km southeast of the city of Tabas; here the top of the Bahram Formation and the base of the Shishtu Formation are not clear.

2. Shishtu Formation and Sardar Formation Boundary.

The boundary between the Shishtu Formation (= top of Shishtu 2 of Stöcklin *et al.* 1965, 1991, p. 18-20) and the Sardar Formation occurs between a 2-3 m hori-

ang-e-Abbasi Sample (5) Niaz Section To Taba 1 N Bala 2km Fault Anticlinal axis Synclinal axis Dip and strike Road **(B)** Section sampled for conodonts Post-Permian Permian Late Devonian - Carboniferous (Shishtu and Sardar Formations) with Famennian cephalopod interval indicated.

Fig. 3 - Kale Sadar area showing simplified geology and location of the Niaz section, Section A, Section B and Section C.

zon of sandy crinoidal limestone grading to a muddy, sandy limestone with an influx of fine sediment and a coarse-grained sandy horizon, the base of which is rough and uneven suggesting a possible erosional event. Stöcklin *et al.* (1965 p. 18-20) reported that a conglomeratic sequence overlies the Shishtu Formation, but at Howz-e-Dorah this is represented by a coarse-grained sandstone that grades into a sandstone horizon containing small, rounded cherty pebbles.

3. Sardar Formation.

Stöcklin *et al.* (1965, p. 16) in choosing the type section for the Sardar Formation, a locality south of the Sardar River at Kale Sardar, stated that "this is not an ideal section because the lowermost part of the Formation and its contact with older rocks is not exposed". The section at Howz-e-Dorah described herein provides a more complete record of the Sardar Formation than the type section. 4. Permian.

The Jamal Formation as defined by Stöcklin et al. (1965, p. 21-22) consists of from 500 m to 800 m of Permian sediments. Not included in the formation as originally defined is a white unit of siliceous sand, the result of a transgressive event at the base of the Permian. Partow Azar (1992) included this sequence within the Permian naming it the Baghe Vang Member. This siliciclastic sequence is thought to be related to the postglacial event of Gondwana (Husseini, 1992, p. 423). The siliceous sand, commonly referred to as "white quartzite", varies in thickness from 40 m to 60 m, and clearly overlies the uppermost horizons of the Carboniferous. Contrary to Stöcklin et al. (1965, p. 20), the unit is not part of a continuous sedimentary sequence as conodonts have shown there to be a substantial gap in the sequence coinciding with the upper part of the Late Carboniferous (see discussion below).

B. Sections at Kale Sardar (Fig. 3, 6).

The Kale Sardar area is located 25-28 km east of Tabas, in the foothills of the Shotori Range (Fig. 1) in an area of several northwest-southeast trending Late Palaeozoic and Mesozoic sedimentary sequences (Fig. 3). Palaeogene and Neogene-Quaternary volcanic sediments cover the topographically lower areas.

In order to supplement information gleaned from the study of the Howz-e-Dorah section, four additional sections were sampled at Kale Sardar. Of especial interest was the Sardar conglomerate; limestone clasts collected from the conglomerate were individually processed for conodonts in order to obtain a minimum age for the date of its deposition. Stratigraphic information obtained in the vicinity of Kale Sardar will assist in the elucidation of the structural complexities of the area.

1. NIAZ section (Fig. 3, 6).

Co-ordinates for base of the section: Long. 57°8'38"E, Lat. 33°39'30"N.

Based on Kharv-e-Pain 1:50,000 sheet, Iran. 7457III, K753.

The age of the Sardar conglomerate at Niaz village, thought by Stöcklin *et al.* (1965, 1991, p. 16, 56) to represent an unconformity between the Shishtu and Sardar formations and, accordingly, argued by them to be Early Carboniferous in age, is shown herein to be no older than *sinuatus-corrugatus-sulcatus* Zone (early Late Carboniferous, Namurian).

Stöcklin *et al.* (1965, 1991, p. 56) suggested that the Sardar conglomerate resulted from epeirogenetic movements commencing in the Late Devonian and continuing into the Permian. The presence of more than 20 m of Sardar conglomerate at Kale Sardar (Fig. 6) indica-



Fig. 4 - Diagrammatic geological section of the Howz-e-Dorah section, A to A1, indicating the approximate boundaries of the Shishtu Formation, the Sardar Formation and the Jamal Formation. See Fig. 2 for location of section .

tes that the structural history of the Kale Sardar area differs from that of the Howz-e-Dorah area where it is represented by an erosional surface (Fig. 5).

2. Section A, 2 km NW from Tang-e-Abbasi, near Tabas (Fig. 3, 6).

Co-ordinates for base of the section: Long. 57°7'0"E, Lat. 33°40'30"N.

Based on Kharv-e-Pain 1:50,000 sheet, Iran. 7457III, K753.

The section (Fig. 3) commences with a series of oolitic limestones alternating with muddy limestones containing cephalopods. From 11 m the fossiliferous limestones become red to brown in colour. Conodonts from sample 6, at 15 m, are consistent with an Early or Middle *expansa* age (Famennian, Late Devonian). The horizon can be correlated with the interval from 339 m to 343 m in the Howz-e-Dorah section, both having a similarly high number of cephalopods and other macrofauna including species of orthoceratids, goniatites, and gastropods in common.

At 19 m there is an erosional surface after which the limestone becomes white with some nodular horizons and an occasional grey or green shaley limestone bed. A fauna of the Frasnian (Late Devonian) species, *Beloceras tenuistriatum* from nodules at 21 m indicates that the erosional surface at 19 m marks a fault boundary. At 37 m, the sequence again changes abruptly to a series of green shales and sandy shales. Lithologically, the shales appear to correlate with those of the Sardar Formation cropping out around 590 m to 730 m in the Howz-e-Dorah section.

3. Section B, at Kale Sardar, near Tabas (Fig. 3, 6).

Co-ordinates for base of the section: Long. 57°7'40"E, Lat. 33°39'15"N.

Based on Kharv-e-Pain 1:50,000 sheet, Iran. 7457III, K753.

The section (Fig. 3) commences in a green, sandy shale and muddy sandstone sequence. Although there is no apparent erosional surface at the top of the shalesandstone sequence, there may be some loss of section at this point as the ensuing series of three horizons have their equivalent in the Howz-e-Dorah section whereas the shale-sandstone sequence is not represented in the more complete section.

From 37 m, thin-bedded limestones alternate with colour-banded horizons of striped chert. From sample 14 at 38 m, conodonts indicative of the *anchoralis-latus* Zone (late Tournaisian, Early Carboniferous) were recovered. This sequence can be correlated with that from 510 m to 550 m above the base of the section at at Howz-e-Dorah.

4. Section C, at Kale Sardar, near Tabas (Fig. 3, 6).

Co-ordinates for base of the section: Long. 57°7'30"E, Lat. 33°39'8"N.

Based on Kharv-e-Pain 1:50,000 sheet, Iran. 7457III, K753.



172



Fig. 5 - Stratigraphic section at Howz-e-Dorah. As the section was sampled on 3 occasions (in 1991, 1992 and 1994), each series of samples were numbered independently. The 3 series of sample numbers appearing adjacent to the section column are printed in 3 font sizes to differentiate the 3 collections.





This section (Fig. 3) is the only section sampled at Kale Sardar that did not yield conodonts. Biostratigraphy, therefore, is based on macrofauna. Lithological correlation to the Howz-e-Dorah section is possible as at 47 m, a horizon of 5 to 7 m thick black sandy fossiliferous limestone with an abundant fauna of brachiopods dated as Visean indicates this sequence to be younger than Section B.

Conodont data and age inferences.

Late Devonian and Carboniferous conodont sequences discussed herein is based on the evaluation of Fig. 6 - Stratigraphic sections at Kale Sardar: Niaz, Section A, Section B, Section C. For legend see Fig. 5; for locality of sections see Fig. 3.

85 conodont species/subspecies (Tables 1-3, Plates 1-13) and a number of macrofauna collected from the Shotori Range. For the Late Devonian, the most commonly accepted conodont zonation is based on the distribution of the pelagic species Palmatolepis (Ziegler & Sandberg, 1990). According to Sandberg & Dreesen (1984, p. 150) and Savoy & Harris (1993, p. 2416) Palmatolepis species lived mostly in a pelagic and basin habitat. That species of Palmatolepis are rare in the Late Devonian of the Shotori Range indicates that most of the sediments of the area may have been deposited in shallow water. It should be noted, however, that although palmatolepids are not common in the Shotori Range sections, an attempt has been made to tie the occurrences of polygnathids and icriodontids to the palmatolepid-based zonation. For the Carboniferous, zonation and conodont occurrences documented by Brenckle & Manger [eds] (1991), for example, Conil et al. (1991) and Perret & Weyant (1994) have been used.

Based on conodont occurrences from the section measured in the Shotori Range at

Howz-e-Dorah through 1070 m of outcrop and minor sections at Kale Sardar, conodont zones from mid Frasnian through the Carboniferous represented in the area are as follows:

1. Older than late Early hassi Zone.

at Kale Sardar

In the Howz-e-Dorah section, two biostromal beds at 52 m and 82 m above the base of the section and below the first horizon yielding biostratigraphically useful for conodonts, were found to contain *Hexagonaria* sp., *Cyphopterorhynchus arpaensis* (Abramian) and *C. koraghensis interpositus* Sartenaer, a fauna also reported by

Meters above base of section	54	83.5	114	181	191	195	202	205	220	223	231	239	243		279	292	297	298	310	313	318	323	335	339	343	345
Sample number	502	503	504	9	505	10	506	102	11	507	508	509	510	Gha-Ka	12	511	512	14	513	103	15	514	515	16	17	516
Sample Wt. (kg)	2	2	2	1		172	1													-		-				
Conodont taxa	2	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Polygnathus aspelundi Pa		1		•												-		-		-						L
P. capollocki n. sp. Pa	1	1		2	3	1		34			1	2	1													
Icriodus alternatus alternatus Pa	1		1				1	2	21			0756														
1. alternatus morph II Pa			6					2	3	1	1	2	2			7				167						3
/. sp. B Pa			1										1000							107						
Ancyrodella nodosa Pa			1					1						2												- 0
P. evidens Pa	1		1					3		1	1	1	1	-	2											- J
1. expansus Pa	1			1				1							2											1
1 cf. 1. iowaensis 1	1							1																		
Ad. curvata Pa	1							5	3				2		1											
Sb								1							÷.											
P. aequalis Pa								2		1																
P. wehbi Pa	1							1					2													1
P. planarius Pa	1								2					5				i i		3						
P aff. P. angustidiscus Pa	1										2	1		2												
P. bervicarina Pa	1												4	3												
1. iowaensis iowaensis Pa														1			1			23	1					
1 aff. I. towaensis towaensis Ca	1															2				20	,					
Ka																	1									
Ancyrognathus sinelanina Pa																2				21						
P. cf. aculatus Pa																2				3						
1 cornutus Pa																2										- 1
Pelekysgnathus inclinatus Pa																	3									- 1
P cf. papilata Pa																		1								
1. alternatus mawsonae n. subsp. Pa																				15						
1. cf. 1 sp. aff. 1. cornutus Pa																				22						
P. ruheti n. sp. Pa																				8						
P. n. sp. A Pa																				1						
Palmatolepis minuto minuto Pa																				1						
Pal. quadrantinodosalobata Pa																				1						
Pal. subperiobata Pa																				2						
Pal tenupmiciata Pa																				5						- 1
Pol. cf. teunipunctota Pa																				3						- 1
P. communs communs Pa																						1	Ť.	3	1	1
P. semicostutus Pa																							1	6	1	15
1. costants darbyensis Pa	1																							10		
Mehlina spp. Pa																								2	2	1
P. perplexus Pa																								6		
Р. п. sp. В Ра																								1		
Pad sp. C Pa																								1		
P cf. experplexus Pa																									1	
Clydagnathus ormistoni Pa																									3	
Polylophodonta confluens Pa																									227	1
Unassigned elements	1	5	33	6	5	3	5	72	80	10	17	12	60	84	12		16	17	3	456	9			37	35	50

Tab. 1 - Distribution of conodont elements from the Howz-e-Dorah section (A-A1) for the Devonian portion of the Shishtu Formation.

Sartenaer (1966) and dated broadly as Frasnian. On the basis of conodont data, the fauna can now be restricted to the lower half of the Frasnian, late Early *hassi* Zone or older.

2. Late Early hassi Zone.

Eighty four metres above the base of the Howz-e-Dorah section, the incoming of *Polygnathus aspelundi* suggests that this level is no older than late in the Early *hassi* Zone and is no younger than *jamieae* Zone. This is based on the age and range of *P. aspelundi* given by Klapper (1997). In their discussion of the zonation of the Lali Section, South China, Ji & Ziegler (1993, p. 18, 19) include *P. aspelundi* in their discussion of the *punctata* Zone, grouping beds 21-28 from the Lali section in this zone. In the description of the individual beds of the Lali Section, however, Ji & Ziegler (1993, p. 12) show that *P. aspelundi* first occurs within Bed 25 suggesting the possibility that this bed could represent the commencement of the Early *hassi* Zone.

3. jamieae Zone.

Based on ranges suggested by Klapper (1997), the occurrence of *Ad. nodosa*, *P. evidens* and *I. alternatus alternatus*, 114 m above the base of the section are consistent with an age of *jamieae* Zone.

Note: Apart from the occurrence of dolomite beds at various levels, the section from the commencement of the jamieae Zone appears to be continuous. Conodont data available for the next 195 m of section do not allow the various zones from Early rhenana (= Lower gigas Zone) to Early triangularis (= immediately above the Frasnian-Famennian boundary) to be clearly defined. Conodonts occurring within this interval include: Icriodus alternatus alternatus, I. expansus, I. cf. I. iowaensis iowaensis, Polygnathus aequalis, P. aff. P. angustidiscus, P. brevicarina, P. evidens, P. planarius, P. capollocki n. sp. and P. webbi. At 205 m above the base of the section, P. webbi and a single specimen of an orthoceratid were recovered. The bed also contained a Frasnian brachiopod fauna identified by Sartenaer (in Stöcklin et al., 1991, p. 12) that included: Hypothyridina cf. cuboides

M. Yazdi

Meters above base of section		347	348	351	359	361	368	377	383	389	398	399	400	405	410	413	432	511	554	565	570	584	609	646	706	710	711	712	718	720	745	820	987
Sample number		517	104	518	519	18	520	521	522	19	105	523	20	524	21	525	22	528	529	26	530	106	28	29	33	532	34	107	533	35	36	108	109
Sample Wt. (kg) Conedent taxa	_	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Polygnathus inornatus	Pa	1	36	8		2																											
Undet. spathognathodids	Pa		1	1		1				1	2				1	2	1		1														
P. communis communis	Pa		2	1	2	1						18	6	3																			
Siphonodella isosticha	Ра		3																														
Si. cf. obsoleta	Ра]	2																														
Pseudopolygnathus multistnatus	Pa]									1		2	6	1	1			1														- 1
cf. Idioprioniadus sp.	Pa	1									2	2			2			1	2					1				6	3				
Dollymae boukaerti	Pa]										1																					- 3
Ps. pinnatus	Pa											21			1																		- 0
Gnathodus delicatus	Pa	1										4																					
Gn. semiglaber	Pa	1											1		4		1	1	1		1	1	2										
Gn. typicus	Pa]																1										4					- 3
Gn. pseudosemiglaber	Pa																				2			6								4	
Gn. girtyi girtyi	Pa																						1			1		23	6		14	3	-
Idioprioniodus ssp.	Pa	1																						2				10			3		
Gn. girtyi intermdius	Ра]																						1				13			4		
Gn. girtyi simplex	Pa																							14	72	1		1				5	
Idiognathoides sp.	Pa																											1					
Lochriea commutata	Pa																															6	
Rhachistognathus muricatus	Pa																															3	
Declinognathodus noduliferus	Pa																															12	
Idiognathodus sinuatus	Pa																															4	
Neognathodus cf. medadultimus	Pa																															2	
Neogondolella cf. clarki	Pa																	: 8														283	1
Unassigned elements		13	189	30	10	7	1	7	1	6	7	72	39	69	19	14	16	7	27	2	2	13	8	93	4	15	4	143	24	9	31	45	

Tab. 2 - Distribution of conodont elements from the Howz-e-Dorah section (A-A1) for the Carboniferous portion of the Shishtu Formation, the Sardar Formation and the lowermost Jamal Formation (Permian).

(Sowerby), Schizophoria cf. striatula (Schlotheim), Strophonella sp., Atrypa cf. reticularis (Linné), Strophonella cf. productoides (Murchison), Cyrtospirifer of the group verneuili (Murchison), and Athyris cf. communis (Gosselet). Because of the constraints of the conodont fauna, a late Frasnian age (no older than jamieae Zone) can now be attributed to this fauna.

4. linguiformis Zone (?Frasnian-Famennian boundary).

At 279 m above the base of the Howz-e-Dorah section, the last occurrence of Ancyrodella curvata late form and Ad. nodosa suggests a level close to the top of the linguiformis Zone. Ziegler & Sandberg (1990) suggest both these species became extinct at the end of Frasnian. The last element related to the Ad. curvata late form from sample 12, 279 m above the base of the section came from a limey white to brown sandstone bed from within a sandy sequence below a sequence of beds rich in cephalopods, referred to by Stöcklin et al. (1965, 1991) and herein as the "Cephalopod beds". Although the dark rich shales usually associated with the Kellwasser Event are not represented in the Howz-e-Dorah section, the bed containing Ad. curvata within the sandy sequence may possibly be correlated with the Kellwasser Event found elsewhere globally. The Frasnian/Famennian boundary may have occurred with within sample 12, 279 m above the base of the section, or very close to this level.

Cephalopod specimens collected from a white sandy oolitic limestone at Kale Sardar (Niaz section, sample 7, 18 m above the base of the section [= top of bed 17 of Stöcklin et al., 1991, p. 15] have been identified by Prof. M. House as: Beloceras tenuistriatum var. nov. (pers. commun., June 1995). This is the first report of this species from the Shotori Range. As Ad. curvata late form and Pal. winchelli were recovered from the same horizon (Table 3), Beloceras tenuistriatum at Kale Sardar can not be younger than late Frasnian (from Late rhenana Zone to the end of the linguiformis Zone). At Kale Sardar, the white, sandy oolitic limestone varies in thickness from outcrop to outcrop and at times intertongues with green shale. This is contrary to Stöcklin et al. (1991, p. 16-18) who documented all green shales as belonging to the Sardar Formation and dated as Late Carboniferous in age. As outlined above, conodonts interpreted as Late Frasnian in age, suggest a date for at least portion of the green shales that inter-tongue with the white, sandy oolitic limestones.

5. Late triangularis Zone or Early crepida Zone.

At 291 m above the base of the Howz-e-Dorah section, a series of red, richly fossiliferous, sometimes oolitic limestones commence. These beds, as mentioned above, are generally referred to as the "Cephalopod beds". The basal bed, sample 13, a red sandy oolitic limestone, normally indicative of well oxygenated warm water, yielded no conodonts possibly because of the high oolitic content. However, as the macrofauna and vertebrate microremains from the sample have either been changed to or are covered by chamosite, the envi-

Section Sample number					?	NIAZ	ŝ						A	В				
Sample number	1	2	3	4	7	- 5	9	10	11	12	13	6	8	14	15	16	17	
Meters above base of section	4.50	11.50	14.80	19.60	18.00	selective	pebble	pebble	pebble	pebble	pebble	15.00	32.00	38.00	48.00	52.00	57.00	
Sample Wt. (kg	()	-	-	-	-													
Conodont taxa	-	10	10	6	4	-	4	4	4	4	4	6	~~~	4	4	4	4	
Icriodus alternatus s.s	Pa	_		-	13	-	-		1					<u> </u>				
I. alternatus alternatus	Pa	1	1		3	1			4									
1. cf. 1. alternatus	Pa								1					1				
I. cf. I. aff. I. cornutus	Pa	2	1											1				
1. expansus	Pa				6									1				
Icriodus sp. A	Pa								1									
Pelekysgnathus inclinatus	Pa 2																	
Idioprionoids ssp.	Pa													1	5			
cf. Idioprionoids sp.	Pa						1							I .	5			
Scaliognathus anchoralis europensis	Pa													1	6			
Ancyrodella curvata	Pa	6	7		35													
Ad. nodosa	Pa	1			4													
Bispathodus stabilis	Pa										- 3	1		I				
Lochriea commutata	Pa										2							
Mehlina sp.	Pa 7																	
Pseudopolygnathus controversus	Pa					21						1						
cf. Ps. triangularis inequalis	Pa														1			
Ps. pinnatus	Pa					1					22				1			
Scanhignathus velifer velifer	Pa 3													I				
Sc velifer lentus	Pa 1																	
Polyanathus communis communis	Pa 2											1						
P communis collinsoni	Pa											2		1				
P evidens	Pa				37							-						
P longinosticus	Pa				0,		2							1				
P nodocostatus	Pa 1						*					1		1				
P experilerus	Pa											1						
P narnlayus	Pa											-		1				
P planarius	Pa			1		4					- 9	- N						
P semicostatus	Pa 2											14						
of P summetricus	Pa									2					2			
P capollocki n sn	Pa		3							~								
P n sn A	Pa								1									
of P uncornin	Pa				2				2									
P webbi	Pa		3						1									
Palmatalenis glabra nectinata	Pa 2		~											1				
Pal kanneri	Pa 1																	
Pal minuta minuta	Pa 4								2					1				
1 di. minute minute	M 2								4									
Pal schindwolfi	Pa 1																	
Pal. perlabata tigmoida	Pa 2																	
Pal avadrantinodosalohata	Pa								2		1							
Pal sp A	Pa		1						~		- 3							
Pal sp B	Pa					4												
Pal sn D	Pa	1																
of Pal winchelli	Da				2						- 3							
Pal walskap	Ph A				-						1							
Alterograthodus sp	Pa			0														
Gnathodus pseudosemialaber	Pa			4			6	4		5	10			2	A	÷	2	
Gn semiolaher	Pa						3	10		5	.0			1		1	2	
Gn paricus	Pa						5				4				5		4	
Gn hilineatus	Pa						5	t,			1			1.0	5			
Gnathodus sn	Pa										1							
Declinognathodus noduliferous	Pa										7							
Idiognathoides sinuatus	Pa										3							
Hindeodella segaformis	Pa													14				
Unassigned elements	12	2/	1	. 7	154	6	10	10	11	8	2	6	5	124	2			

ronment must have been one of a restricted area where reducing conditions could be combined with periodic rough water conditions as suggested by Odin (1988, p. 24-25) in his explanation of the Swiss Jurassic ironstones. It is possible that the beginning of the Early *triangularis* Zone might be represented in sample 13 in this environment obviously hostile to conodonts.

At 292 m above the base of the section in the lower part of the "Cephalopod beds", *P. acutatus* occurs with *An. sinelaminus*. According to Khalymbadzha et al. (1992, p. 75) the age the faunas from southern Kazakhstan associated with *P. actuatus*, including *An. sinelaminus* and *I. iowaensis*, "may perhaps correspond to the Tab. 3 - Distribution of conodont elements from sections in the Kale Sardar area: Niaz Section, Section A and Section B.

Early Famennian *Palmatolepis* crepida Zone". Alternatively, it could dated as Middle or Late *triangularis* Zone as, apart from *P. acutatus*, the associated fauna are represented in these zones.

At 313 m above the base of the Howz-e-Dorah section, in sample 103, the numbers of icriodontids represented in the fauna increases rapidly also indicative of very shallow water. A 4 kg sample yielded more than 700 conodont elements and approximately 900 vertebrate micro remains.

This time interval is also recognised at Kale Sardar where a clast from the Sardar conglomerate, sample 11, yielded a similar fauna. Important species represented in the Shishtu Formation at both localities for this time interval include: Icriodus alternatus alternatus, I. cf. I. sp. aff. I. cornutus, I. iowaensis iowaensis, Polygnathus cf. P. papilata, P. ratebi n. sp. P. n. sp. A, Palmatolepis minuta minuta, Pal. quadrantinodosolobata, Pal. subperlobata, Pal. tenuipunctata, and Pal. cf. tenuipunctata.

6. Middle crepida Zone.

On the age attributed to *P. semicostatus* by Dreesen &

Dusar (1974), the incoming of this species in sample 515, 335 m above the base of the Howz-e-Dorah section, indicates an age no older than Middle *crepida* Zone. This age is in accordance with Ji & Ziegler (1993) who also give the age of *Polygnathus semicostatus* as from within Middle *crepida* Zone into the Late *expansa* Zone.

7. Latest marginifera Zone.

At Kale Sardar in sample 1, 4.5 m above the base of the Niaz section, the conodont fauna included a zonal species, *Scaphignathus velifer velifer*, as well as *Sc. ve*- lifer leptus, Pelekysgnathus sp., Mehlina sp., Polygnathus communis communis, P. semicostatus, P. nodocostatus, Palmatolepis glabra pectinata, Pal. marginifera marginifera, Pal. minuta minuta, Pal. schindewolfi, and Pal. perlobata sigmoida. Although it is recognised that Pal. g. pectinata is normally restricted to horizons no younger than Late marginifera Zone, Ji & Ziegler (1993, p. 15) record it as occurring in the Latest marginifera Zone. Sample 1 at Kale Sardar also has a diverse fauna of Famennian cephalopods including numerous species of Platyclymenia.

No samples have been processed from between 335 m and 339 m above the base of the section at Howz-e-Dorah, an interval that might be of the same age. As no platyclymeniids have been collected from the section at Howz-e-Dorah, there is the possibility of there being a hiatus for this time interval at Howz-e-Dorah.

8. Early expansa Zone.

The incoming of *I. costatus darbyensis* in sample 16, 339 m above the base of the Howz-e-Dorah section is indicative of the Early *expansa* Zone. The presence of faunal elements such as *P. perplexus* is consistent with this age. Approximately 1 m above sample 16, crushed and scattered pieces of orthoceratids were collected. According to House (1981, p. 30), all ammonoids with the exception of the Prionoceratidae and Bactritina became extinct at the end of the Late Devonian, approximately 95% of the entire group disappeared. Where previously in the Howz-e-Dorah section some beds consisted almost entirely of orthoceratids, the number of these fossils after sample 16 were reduced so far as to constitute less than 5% in beds close to the top of Famennian.

At 343 m above the base of the section in sample 17, *P. experplexus* and *Clydagnathus ormistoni* appear, two forms also consistent with an Early *expansa* age. At Kale Sardar, in section A, sample 6 yielded a vast fauna of diverse cephalopods including numerous Famennian orthoceratids. The Early *expansa* conodont fauna includes: *Bispathodus stabilis*, *Pseudopolygnathus controversus*, *Polygnathus communis communis*, *P. com*- *munis collinsoni*, and *P. semicostatus*. The associated cephalopod fauna identified by Prof. Michael House (pers. comm. 1995) includes: *Cyrtoclymenia* cf. *inflata* (Münster), and *Platyclymenia richteri* Wedeking Group, the latter being typical of Famennian IV. Precise dating of the conodont fauna from this horizon would align this with Early *expansa* Zone.

Note: The Howz-e-Dorah section appears to have a considerable hiatus at this level with the following zones not represented with certainty: Middle and Late *expansa* zones, Early, Middle and Late *praesulcata* zones, *sulcata* Zone, Lower and Upper *duplicata* zones and *sandbergi* Zone. Such a hiatus can be observed worldwide due to a global regression. Compare, for example, the history of the Michigan Basin documented by Gutschick & Sandberg (1991) where a hiatus occurs from within the *praesulcata* Zone to the beginning of the *crenulata* Zone.

9. Early crenulata Zone.

The first appearance of *Si. isosticha* is in sample 104, 384 m above the base of the Howz-e-Dorah section occurring in the bed lying unconformably immediately above the topmost unit of the "Cephalopod beds". This species first appears in the upper part of the *crenulata* Zone. The dominant conodont occurring with *Si. isosticha* is the long-ranging *Polygnathus inornatus*.

10. isosticha-Upper crenulata Zone.

Sample 521, 377 m above the base of the Howz-e-Dorah section, yielded a specimen of *Pseudopolygnathus multistriatus* that, according to Lane *et al.* (1980) occurs in beds no older than *isosticha*-Late *crenulata* Zone.

11. typicus Zone (= communis carina Zone).

This zone is represented with certainty in the Howz-e-Dorah section with the occurrence of *Dollymae bouckaerti* in sample 523, 380 m above the base of the section (Conil et al., 1991; Sweet, 1988). Other elements

PLATE 1

Fig. 14, 15 x70; figs 1-9, 11, 12, 16 x100; fig. 10 x150; fig. 13 x200.

Fig. 1-4 - Icriodus iowaensis iowaensis Youngquist & Peterson, 1947: 1) upper view, EUIC 1821; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 2) upper view, EUIC 1822; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 3) upper view, EUIC 1823; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 4) lower view, EUIC 1823; 103 Howz-e-Dorah.

Fig. 5 - Icriodus iowaensis iowaensis Youngquist & Peterson, 1947: narrow form, lateral view, EUIC 1824; 511 Howz-e-Dorah.
 Fig. 6-9 - Icriodus iowaensis iowaensis Youngquist & Peterson, 1947: 6) upper view, EUIC 1825; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 7) upper view, EUIC

^{1826; 103} Howz-e-Dorah: 8) upper view, EUIC 1827; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 9) upper view, EUIC 1828; 103 Howz-e-Dorah.

Fig. 10 - Icriodus cf. I. iowaensis iowaensis Youngquist & Peterson, 1947: upper view, EUIC 1829; 102 Howz-e-Dorah.

Fig. 11,13,14-Icriodus alternatus Morphotype II Dreesen & Houlleberghs, 1980: 11) upper view, EUIC 1830; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 13) upper view, EUIC 1832; 102 Howz-e-Dorah; 14) upper view, EUIC 1833; 103 Howz-e-Dorah.

Fig. 12 - Icriodus cf. I. sp. aff. I. cornutus Sanneman, Clausen, Korn & Luppold, 1991: upper view, EUIC 1831; 103 Howz-e-Dorah.

Fig. 15 - Icriodus alternatus mawsonae n. subsp.: upper view, EUIC 1834; 103 Howz-e-Dorah.

Fig. 16 - Icriodus aff. I. iowaensis Youngquist & Peterson, 1947: Ka element lateral view, EUIC 1835; 512 Howz-e-Dorah.



associated with this species are: Polygnathus communis communis, Idioprioniodus sp. and Gnathodus delicatus.

Sample 9, a clast from the Sardar conglomerate at Kale Sardar, yielded *Ps. pinnatus*, *P. longiposticus*, *Gn. pseudosemiglaber* and *Gn. typicus* indicating a fauna of *typicus* age.

12. anchoralis-latus Zone.

The uppermost part of the anchoralis-latus Zone can be recognised in sample 14, 38 m above the base of section B at Kale Sardar by the co-occurrence of *Gnathodus pseudosemiglaber*, *Gn. typicus* and *Gn. semi*glaber. In sample 15, 10 m above sample 14 in the same section, *Sc. anchoralis europensis* occurs inter alia with *Gn. pseudosemiglaber* and *Gn. typicus* and *P. symmetricus*. Based on ranges given in Belka & Groessens (1986), the last occurrence *Sc. anchoralis europensis* and the first occurrence of *Gn. pseudosemiglaber* are close to the end of the *anchoralis-latus* Zone, in other words, samples 14 and 15 in section B at Kale Sardar are very close to the Tournaisian-Visean boundary.

In the Howz-e-Dorah section, *anchoralis-latus* Zone cannot be defined with precision but as the section is continuous and there is no sign of any hiatus between the beds identified as belonging to the *typicus* Zone below and the *texanus* Zone above, further sampling between these should yield diagnostic forms of *anchoralis-latus* age.

Note: From the anchoralis-latus Zone to Carboniferous-Permian boundary, conodont zonation is problematic as discussed in detail in Brenckle & Manger [eds] (1991), for example in Webster & Groessens (1991). Indicative of the inherent problems, for the period above the *texanus* Zone and below the *naviculus* Zone, Sweet (1988)

PLATE 2

Figs 3, 4, 9 x60; fig. 10, 17 x80; fig. 1, 2, 8, 11, 12, 15, 18-20, x100; fig. 5-7, 13, 14 x200; fig. 16 x250.

- Fig. 1 Icriodus sp. B.: 1) upper view, EUIC 1836; 504 Howz-e-Dorah; 2) lower view, EUIC 1836; 504 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 3, 4 Icriodus alternatus mawsonae n. subsp. 3) upper view, EUIC 1837; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 4) upper view, EUIC 1838; 103 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 5-10 Icriodus alternatus alternatus Branson & Mehl, 1934a: 5) upper view, EUIC 1839; 504 Howz-e-Dorah; 6) upper view, EUIC 1840; 7 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 7) upper view, EUIC 1841; 11 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 8) upper view, EUIC 1842; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 9) upper view, EUIC 1843; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 10) upper view, EUIC 1844; 11 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 11, 12 Icriodus expansus Branson & Mehl, 1938: 11) upper view, EUIC 1845; 7 Kale Sardar; 12) upper view, EUIC 1856; 7 Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 13 Icriodus sp. A: upper view, EUIC 1847; 11 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar
- Fig. 14 Icriodus cornutus Sannemann, 1955: upper view, EUIC 1848; 518 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 15 Icriodus costatus darbyensis Klapper, 1958: upper view, EUIC 1849; 16 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 16, 17 Pelekysgnathus inclinatus Thomas, 1949: 16) lateral view, EUIC 1850; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 17) lateral view, EUIC 1851; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 18, 19 Idioprioniodus spp.: 18) lateral view, EUIC 1852; 107 Howz-e-Dorah; 19) lateral view, EUIC 1853; 107 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 20 cf. Idioprioniodus sp.: lateral view, EUIC 1854; 524 Howz-e-Dorah.

PLATE 3

Fig. 14, 15 x60; fig. 2, 3, x80; fig. 1, 4-8, 12, 16 x100; fig. 9, 11, x120; fig. 10 x200; fig. 13 x550.

- Fig. 1, 2 Idioprioniodus spp.: 1) lateral view, EUIC 1855; 107 Howz-e-Dorah; 2) lateral view, EUIC 1856; 107 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 3-8 cf. Idioprioniodus sp.: 3) lateral view, EUIC 1857; 533 Howz-e-Dorah; 4) lateral view, EUIC 1858; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 5) lateral view, EUIC 1859; 107 Howz-e-Dorah; 6) lateral view, EUIC 1860; 107 Howz-e-Dorah; 7) lateral view, EUIC 1861; 528 Howz-e-Dorah; 8) lateral view, EUIC 1862; 107 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 9-13 Lochriea commutata (Branson & Mehl, 1941): 9) upper view, EUIC 1863; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 10) upper view, EUIC 1864; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 11) upper view, EUIC 1865; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 12) upper view, EUIC 1866; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 13) enlargment of microstructure on the central blade of EUIC 1865; 108 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 14-15 Dollymae boukaerti Groessens, 1971: 14) upper view, EUIC 1867; 523 Howz-e-Dorah; 15) lower view, EUIC 1867; 523 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 16 Neogondolella cf. clarki (Koike, 1967) upper view, EUIC 1868; 109 Howz-e-Dorah.

PLATE 4

Fig. 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 12-14, x60; fig. 3, 6-8, 10, 11 x80; fig. 15 x100.

- Fig. 1-5 Scaliognathus anchoralis europensis Lane & Ziegler, 1983: 1) upper view, EUIC 1869; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 2) lower view, EUIC 1869; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 3) upper view, EUIC 1870; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 4) upper view, EUIC 1871; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 5) lower view, EUIC 1871; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 6-11 Ancyrodella nodosa Ulrich & Bassler, 1926: 6) lower view, EUIC 1872; 504 Howz-e-Dorah; 7) upper view, EUIC 1872; 504 Howz-e-Dorah; 8) upper view, EUIC 1873; Ghale Kalagho; 9) upper view, EUIC 1874; Ghale Kalagho; 10) upper view, EUIC 1875; 102 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 12-15 Ancyrodella curvata (Branson & Mehl, 1934a): 12) lower view, EUIC 1876; 102 Howz-e-Dorah; 13) upper view, EUIC 1876; 102 Howz-e-Dorah; 14) upper view, EUIC 1877; 7 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 15) lateral view of Sb element, EUIC 1878; 102 Howz-e-Dorah.







labels the interval as one of "no widely recognised Zones". Zones given in Conil et al. (1991) are used herein.

13a. "Early" bilineatus Zone (Visean).

According to the zonation of Groessens (in Conil et al., 1991, Fig. 2), there appears to be a dearth of conodonts between the *texanus* Zone and the *bilineatus* Zone they show as the *Taphrognathodus transatlanticus* Zone. The occurrence of *Gn. girtyi girtyi*, in sample 28, 609 m above the base of the Howz-e-Dorah section, indicates a *bilineatus* age. Also occurring in this sample is *Gn. semi-glaber*, a species that, according to Ziegler (in Ziegler [ed.], 1981, p. 147) may overlap with the occurrence of *Gn. bilineatus*, the zonal form for this zone.

PLATE 5

Fig. 15, 16 x30; fig. 13, 14 x40; fig. 3-6, 9-12, x60; fig. 7, 8 x80; fig. 1, 2 x100.

Fig. 1-2 - Pseudopolygnathus controversus Sandberg & Ziegler, 1979: 1) lower view, EUIC 1879; 6 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 2) upper view, EUIC 1879; 6 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.

- Fig. 3-12 Pseudopolygnathus multistriatus Mehl & Thomas, 1947: 3) upper view, EUIC 1880; 524 Howz-e-Dorah; 4) lower view, EUIC 1880; 524 Howz-e-Dorah; 5) lower view, EUIC 1881; 529 Howz-e-Dorah; 6) upper view, EUIC 1881; 529 Howz-e-Dorah; 7) upper view, EUIC 1882; 524 Howz-e-Dorah; 5) lower view, EUIC 1882; 524 Howz-e-Dorah; 9) lower view, EUIC 1883; 20 Howz-e-Dorah; 10) upper view, EUIC 1883; 20 Howz-e-Dorah; 11) upper view, EUIC 1884; 524 Howz-e-Dorah; 12) lower view, EUIC 1884; 524 Howz-e-Dorah; 12) lower view, EUIC 1884; 524 Howz-e-Dorah; 12) lower view, EUIC 1884; 524 Howz-e-Dorah; 13
- Fig. 13-16 Pseudopolygnathus pinnatus Voges, 1959: 13) lower view of Morphotype I, EUIC 1885; 9 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 14) upper view of Morphotype I, EUIC 1885; 9 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 15) upper view of Morphotype II, EUIC 1886; 21 Howz-e-Dorah; 16) lower view of Morphotype II, EUIC 1886; 21 Howz-e-Dorah.

PLATE 6

Fig. 14, 15 x40; fig. 1, 3, 4, x60; fig. 2, 18-22 x80; fig. 5-13, 16, 17, 23 x100.

- Fig. 1 Pseudopolygnathus pinnatus Voges, 1965: upper view, EUIC 1887; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 2 Pseudopolygnathus cf. triangulus inaequalis Voges, 1959: upper view, EUIC 1888; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 3-4 Ancyrognathus sinelaminus (Branson & Mehl, 1934a): 3) upper view, EUIC 1889; 511 Howz-e-Dorah; 4) upper view, EUIC 1890; 511 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 5 Scaphignathus velifer leptus Ziegler & Sandberg, 1984: upper view, EUIC 1891; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 6-7 Scaphignathus velifer Velifer Helms, 1959: 6) upper view, EUIC 1892; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 7) upper view, EUIC 1893; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 8-11 Rhachistognathus muricatus (Dunn, 1965); 8) upper view, EUIC 1894; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 9) lower view, EUIC 1894; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 10) upper view, EUIC 1895; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 11) lower view, EUIC 1895; 108 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 12-13 Polygnathus aspelundi Savage & Funai, 1980: 12) upper view, EUIC 1896; 503 Howz-e-Dorah; 13) lower view, EUIC 1896; 503 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 14-15 Polygnathus brevicarina Klapper & Lane, 1985: 14) upper view, EUIC 1897; 510 Howz-e-Dorah; 15) lower view, EUIC 1897; 510 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 16, 23 Polygnathus aequalis Klapper & Lane, 1985: 16) upper view, EUIC 1898; 102 Howz-e-Dorah; 23) upper view, EUIC 1994; 507 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 17 Polygnathus cf. acutatus Khalymbadzha, Shinkarov & Gatovsky, 1992: upper view, EUIC 1899; 511 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 18-19 Bispathodus stabilis (Branson & Mehl, 1934a): 18) lower view, EUIC 1900; 6 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 19) upper view, EUIC 1900; 6 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 20 Spathognathodid sp.: upper view, EUIC 1901; 525 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 21-22 Mehlina spp.: 21) lateral view, EUIC 1902; 17 Howz-e-Dorah; 22) lateral view, EUIC 1903; 16 Howz-e-Dorah.

PLATE 7

Fig. 1-5, 11, 12, 17-20 x60; fig. 7 x70; fig. 6, 16, 21, 22 x100; fig. 9, 10, 14, 15 x120; fig. 8, 13 x150.

- Figs 1-2 Polygnathus aff. P. angustidiscus Youngquist, 1945: 1) upper view, EUIC 1905; 509 Howz-e-Dorah; 2) upper view, EUIC 1906; 509 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Figs 3-6 Polygnathus evidens Klapper & Lane, 1985: 3) upper view, EUIC 1907; 510 Howz-e-Dorah; 4) upper view, EUIC 1908; 7 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 5) lower view, EUIC 1909; 7 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 6) upper view, EUIC 1910; 102 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 7-13,15- Polygnathus communis communis Branson & Mehl, 1934a: 7) upper view, EUIC 1911; 104 Howz-e-Dorah; 8) upper view, EUIC 1912; 523 Howz-e-Dorah; 9) lower view, EUIC 1912; 523 Howz-e-Dorah; 10) upper view, EUIC 1913; 524 Howz-e-Dorah; 11) lower view, EUIC 1913; 525 Howz-e-Dorah; 12) upper view, EUIC 1914; 16 Howz-e-Dorah; 13) upper view, EUIC 1915; 104 Howz-e-Dorah; 15) lower view, EUIC 1917; 20 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 14, 16 Polygnathus cf. P. communis collinsoni Druce, 1966: 14) upper view, EUIC 1916; 6 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 16) upper view, EUIC 1918; 6 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 17-20 Polygnathus planarius Klapper & Lane, 1985; 17) upper view, EUIC 1919; Ghale Kalagho, Howz-e-Dorah; 18) upper view, EUIC 1920; Ghale Kalagho, Howz-e-Dorah; 19) upper view, EUIC 1921; Ghale Kalagho, Howz-e-Dorah; 20) lower view, EUIC 1921; Ghale Kalagho, Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 21-22 Polygnathus cf. experplexus Sandberg & Ziegler, 1979: 21) upper view, EUIC 1922; 17 Howz-e-Dorah; 22) lower view, EUIC 1922; 17 Howz-e-Dorah.

ļ







13b. "Late" bilineatus Zone (Namurian).

This informal subdivision of the *bilineatus* Zone equates with the base of the *girtyi simplex* Zone first recognised by Webster (1969) in sequences in south-western Nevada and later applied to sections in England (Higgins, 1975, 1985). From sections in Arkansas and Oklahoma, the interval recognised by Webster (1969) on the grounds that the *girtyi simplex* Zone is represented by the *Cavusgnathus naviculus* and *C. unicornis* zones

(Lane & Straka, 1974). The naviculus Zone of Sweet (1988) would, therefore, be the equivalent of the bilineatus Zone (Namurian) used herein and to the lower part of the girtyi simplex Zone as the occurrence of Gn. girtyi simplex is given by Higgins (1985) as from the base of the Namurian. Its occurrence with Gn. girtyi intermedius in sample 29, 646 m above the base of the Howz-e-Dorah section indicates the upper part of the bilineatus Zone or Late bilineatus Zone as used herein.

PLATE 8

- Fig. 1-5 Polygnathus inornatus E. R Branson, 1934: 1) upper view, EUIC 1923; 104 Howz-e-Dorah; 2) upper view, EUIC 1924; 518 Howz-e-Dorah; 3) lower view, EUIC 1925; 104 Howz-e-Dorah; 4) upper view, EUIC 1926; 104 Howz-e-Dorah; 5) lower view, EUIC 1927; 104 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 6, 17 Polygnathus perplexus Thomas, 1949: 6) upper view, EUIC 1928; 16 Howz-e-Dorah; 17) upper view, EUIC 1938; 16 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 7 Polygnathus cf. papilata Youngquist & Peterson, 1947: upper view, EUIC 1929; 14 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 8-11 Polygnathus semicostatus Branson & Mehl, 1934a: 8) upper view, EUIC 1930; 516 Howz-e-Dorah; 9) upper view, EUIC 1931; 516
 Howz-e-Dorah; 10) upper view, EUIC 1932; 6 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 11) upper view, EUIC 1933; 516 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 12 Polygnathus cf. unicornis Müller & Müller, 1957: upper view, EUIC 1934; 510 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 13, 14 Polygnathus sp. A: 13: upper view, EUIC 1935; 11 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 14) upper view, EUIC 1936; 103 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 15, 16 Polygnathus sp. B: 15) upper view, EUIC 1937; 16 Howz-e-Dorah; 16) lower view, EUIC 1937; 16 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 18 Polygnathus longiposticus Branson & Mehl, 1934a: upper view, EUIC 1939; 9 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 19-20 Polygnathus cf. symmetricus Branson, 1934; 19) upper view, EUIC 1940; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 20) lower view, EUIC 1940; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.

PLATE 9

Fig. 16 x50; fig. 3,-5, x60; figs 1, 2, 6-15, 17, 21 x100; fig. 20 x120; fig. 19 x150, fig. 18 x200

- Fig. 1-5 Polygnathus ratebi n. sp.: 1) upper view of paratype, EUIC 1941; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 2) lower view of paratype, EUIC 1941; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 3) upper view of holotyp, EUIC 1942; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 4) lower view of holotype, EUIC 1942; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 5) upper view of paratype, EUIC 1943; 103 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 6-12 Polygnathus capollocki n. sp.: 6) upper view of paratype, EUIC 1944; 102 Howz-e-Dorah; 7) upper view of paratype, EUIC 1945; 102 Howz-e-Dorah; 8) upper view of holotype, EUIC 1946; 102 Howz-e-Dorah; 9) lower view of holotype, EUIC 1946; 102 Howz-e-Dorah; 10) lateral view of paratype, EUIC 1947; 102 Howz-e-Dorah; 11) lateral view of paratype, EUIC 1948; 102 Howz-e-Dorah; 12) upper view of paratype, EUIC 1949; 102 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 13-15 Polygnathus webbi Stauffer, 1938: 3) upper view, EUIC 1950; 102 Howz-e-Dorah; 14) lower view, EUIC 1950; 102 Howz-e-Dorah; 15) upper view, EUIC 1951; 3 Niaz section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 16 Polylophodonta confluens (Ulrich & Bassler, 1926): upper view, EUIC 1952; 516 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 17, 18 Palmatolepis minuta minuta Branson & Mehl, 1934a: 17) upper view, EUIC 1953; 11 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 18) upper view, EUIC 1954; 103 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 19 Palmatolepis marginifera marginifera Helms, 1959: upper view, EUIC 1955; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 20, 21 Palmatolepis glabra pectinata Ziegler, 1962: 20) upper view, EUIC 1956; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 21) upper view, EUIC 1957; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.

PLATE 10

Fig. 16, 17, 18 x50; fig. 6, 7, 10-12, 14, x60; fig. 5, 13, 15, x80; fig. 1-4, 8, 9, x100.

- Fig. 1-3 Palmatolepis minuta minuta Branson & Mehl, 1934a: 1) upper view, EUIC 1958; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 2) upper view, EUIC 1959; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 3) upper view, EUIC 1960; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 4 Palmatolepis schindewolfi Müller, 1956: upper view, EUIC 1961; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 5 Palmatolepis perlobata sigmoida. Ziegler, 1962: upper view, EUIC 1962; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 6,7,14 Palmatolepis subperlobata Branson & Mehl, 1934a: 6) upper view, EUIC 1963; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 7) lower view, EUIC 1963; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 14) upper view, EUIC 1969; 103 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig 8, 9 Palmatolepis quadrantinodosalobata Sannemann, 1955: 8) upper view, EUIC 1964; 11 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 9) upper view, EUIC 1965; 11 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 10-12 Palmatolepis tenuipunctata Sannemann, 1955: 10) upper view, EUIC 1966; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 11) lower view, EUIC 1966; 103 Howz-e-Dorah; 12) upper view, EUIC 1867; 103 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 13 Palmatolepis cf. tenuipunctata Sannemann, 1955: upper view, EUIC 1968; 103 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 15 Palmatolepis sp. A: upper view, EUIC 1970; 3 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 16, 17 Palmatolepis sp. C: 16) upper view, EUIC 1971; 16 Howz-e-Dorah; 17) lower view, EUIC 1971; 16 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 18 Palmatolepis sp. B: upper view, EUIC 1972; 7 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.

Fig. 2, 7, 12-14 x60; fig. 1, 3-6, 8, 11, 15-20 x100; fig. 9, 10 x120.







Note: The *bollandensis* Zone is not clearly represented in the Howz-e-Dorah section. Although 7 productive horizons were processed in the 114 m between horizons of Late *bilineatus* age to those of *noduliferus* Zone, no diagnostic conodonts of *bollandensis* age were recovered.

14. sinuatus-corrugatus-sulcatus Zone or younger.

The presence of *Declinognathodus noduliferus*, *Idiognathoides sinuatus* and *Rhachistognathus muricatus* in the base of the crinoidal limestone in sample 108, 820 m above the base of the section at Howz-e-Dorah are indicative of an age no older than the *sinuatus-corru*-

PLATE 11

Fig. 3, 9 x60; fig. 1 x75; fig. 4-8, 10-15, 19, 20 x100; fig. 2, 16, 17, 18 x160.

- Fig. 1 Palmatolepis winchelli (Stauffer, 1938): upper view, EUIC 1973; 7 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 2 Palmatolepis sp. D: upper view, EUIC 1974; 2 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 3, 4 Palmatolepis wolskae Ovnatanova, 1969: 3) lateral view of Pb element, EUIC 1975; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 4) lateral view of Pb element, EUIC 1976; 1 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 5, 6 Alternognathus cf. regularis Ziegler & Sandberg, 1984: 5) lower view, EUIC 1977; 4 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 6) upper view, EUIC 1977; 4 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 7, 8 Siphonodella isosticha (Cooper, 1939): 7) upper view, EUIC 1978; 104 Howz-e-Dorah; 8) upper view, EUIC 1979; 104 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 9 Siphonodella cf. obsoleta Hass, 1959: upper view, EUIC 1980; 104 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 10-19 Gnathodus pseudosemiglaber Thomson & Fellows, 1970: 10) upper view, EUIC 1981; 107 Howz-e-Dorah; 11) upper view, EUIC 1982; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 12) upper view, EUIC 1983; 107 Howz-e-Dorah; 13) upper view, EUIC 1984; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 14) lower view, EUIC 1983; 107 Howz-e-Dorah; 15) upper view, EUIC 1985; 13 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 16) upper view, EUIC 1986; 13 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 17) upper view of transtional form between Gn. pseudosemiglaber and Gn. girtyi, EUIC 1988; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 18) upper view of transtional form between Gn. pseudosemiglaber and Gn. girtyi, EUIC 1988; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 19) upper view of transtional form between Gn. girtyi, EUIC 1989; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 19) upper view of transtional form between Gn. girtyi, EUIC 1989; 108 Howz-e-Dorah;
- Fig. 20 Gnathodus bilineatus (Roundy, 1926): upper view, EUIC 1990; 13 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.

PLATE 12

Fig. 8 x60; fig. 21, 22 x85; fig. 5 x95; fig. 1-4, 5-7, 9, 13, x100; fig. 18 x110; fig. 14, 15 x120; fig. 11, 12, 19, 20, 23 x130; fig. 10 x140; fig. 16, 17 x150.

- Fig. 1-3, 5 Gnathodus girtyi intermedius Globensky, 1967: 1) upper view, EUIC 1991; 36 Howz-e-Dorah; 2) upper view, EUIC 1992; 29 Howz-e-Dorah; 3) upper view, EUIC 1993; 532 Howz-e-Dorah; 5) upper view, EUIC 1995; 29 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 4 Gnathodus pseudosemiglaber Thompson & Fellows, 1970: upper view of transitional form between Gn. pseudosemiglaber and Gn. girtyi, EUIC 1994; 9 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 6-8 Gnathodus semiglaber Bischoff, 1957: 6) lower view, EUIC 1996; 107 Howz-e-Dorah; 7) upper view, EUIC 1996; 107 Howz-e-Dorah; 8) upper view, EUIC 1997; 14 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 9,11,12- Gnathodus girtyi girtyi Hass, 1953: 9) upper view of early form, EUIC 1998; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 11) upper view, EUIC 2000; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 12) upper view, EUIC 2001; 108 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 10 Gnathodus typicus Roundy, 1926: upper view, EUIC 1999; 13 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 13-18 Gnathodus girtyi simplex Dunn, 1965: 13) upper view, EUIC 2002; 107 Howz-e-Dorah; 14) upper view, EUIC 2003; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 15) lower view, EUIC 2003; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 16) upper view, EUIC 2004; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 17) lower view, EUIC 2004; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 18) upper view, EUIC 2005; 108 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 19, 20 Gnathodus delicatus Branson & Mehl, 1938: 19) upper view, EUIC 2006; 523 Howz-e-Dorah; 20) lower view, EUIC 2006; 523 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 21-23 Declinognathodus noduliferus noduliferus (Ellison & Graves, 1941): 21) upper view, EUIC 2007; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 22) lower view, EUIC 2008; 108 Howz-e-Dorah.

PLATE 13

- Fig. 3, 11, 14 x60; fig. 18 x65; fig. 4 x70; 14 x80; fig. 17 x85; fig. 1, 5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 16, 19 x100; fig. 2, 6, 9, x120; fig. 13, 15 x130.
- Fig. 1-3 Declinognathodus noduliferus noduliferus (Ellison & Graves, 1941): 1) upper view, EUIC 2009; 13 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 2) upper view, EUIC 2010; 13 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 3) upper view, EUIC 2011; 13 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 4 Neognathodus cf. medadultimus, Merrill, 1972: upper view, EUIC 2012; 108 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 5-7 Idiognathoides sinuatus Harris & Hollingsworth, 1933: 5) upper view of early form, EUIC 2013; 108 Howz-e-Dorah; 6) upper view, EUIC 2014; 9 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 7) upper view, EUIC 2015; 108 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 8 ?Gnathodus sp.: upper view, EUIC 2016; 13 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 9, 10 Gnathodus sp.: 9) upper view, EUIC 2017; 107 Howz-e-Dorah; 10) upper view, EUIC 2018; 107 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 11, 12 Clydagnathus formistoni Beinert, Klapper, Sandberg & Ziegler, 1971: 11) lateral view, EUIC 2019; 17 Howz-e-Dorah; 12) upper view, EUIC 2022; 17 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 13 "Hindeodella" segaformis Bischoff, 1957: lateral view, EUIC 2021; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 14-17 Unassigned elements: 14) lateral view, EUIC 2022; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 15) lateral view, EUIC 2023; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 16) lateral view, EUIC 2024; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar; 17) lateral view, EUIC 2025; 15 Niaz Section, Kale Sardar.
- Fig. 18 ?Pseudopolygnathus sp.: lateral view of Sb element, EUIC 2026; 516 Howz-e-Dorah.
- Fig. 19 Idiognathoides sp. lateral view of Sc element, EUIC 2027; 107 Howz-e-Dorah.





gatus-sulcatus Zone. Although Lochriea commutata, another element in the fauna from sample 108, is generally thought to have its latest occurrence below this zone (e.g. Conil et al., 1991), it has been reported to occur in the sinuatus Zone in the Pyrenees (Perret & Weyant, 1994). In a clast from the Sardar conglomerate, sample 13, at Kale Sardar, Idiognathoides sinuatus was recovered together with Declinognathodus noduliferus, Lochriea commutata, and Gnathodus pseudosemiglaber indicating the clast to be at least sinuatus-corrugatus-sulcatus in age. Gn. bilineatus, normally thought not to extend beyond the noduliferus Zone, is also present in the fauna; this is another form reported by Perret & Weyant (1994) to occur in the sinuatus Zone in the Pyrenees. Biogeographically, the Pyrenees and Iran, both situated on the northern margin of Gondwana, must have been in close juxtaposition at this time thus explaining the similar ranges for both Lochriea commutata and Idiognathoides sinuatus.

At least 5 goniatites identified as beyrichoceratids or reticuloceratids (Prof. M. House, pers. comm., 1993, 1995) were collected from sample 108 at Howz-e-Dorah. The cephalopods from sample 108 in the Howz-e-Dorah section occur with a fauna of conodonts dated as sinuatus-corrugatus-sulcatus Zone, an age consistent with the Namurian age for the genus Reticuloceras. Beyrichoceratids, however, are known to occur in older horizons. From eastern Australia, Campbell et al. (1983) reported four species of Beyrichoceras occurring in horizons that can be aligned with the upper part of the Visean (p. 77, Table 1 and 78, fig. 2) and Roberts et al. (1993) align the West European Beyrichoceras Zone with the late Visean. Lemosquest et al. (1985) reported the disappearance of Carboniferous goniatites including Beyrichoceras in the late part of Visean in North Africa. If further study of the goniatites prove the specimens from Howz-e-Dorah to be beyrichoceratids, this will extend their range into the Namurian. With the constraining age of the conodonts on this goniatite fauna, precise identification of the specimens will be useful for future correlations.

15. Upper part of the Namurian (= Westfalian).

No conodont data is available for the 138 m of section above sample 108 at Howz-e-Dorah. At 958 m above the base of the section in sample 500, specimens of *Gastrioceras* sp., a genus known to occur in the upper quarter of the Namurian (= Westfalian), were collected (identified by Prof. M. House, pers. comm., 1993, 1995). *Gastrioceras* sp., identified by O. Walliser, was also reported from Kale Sardar by Stöcklin *et al.* (1991) from his horizon 35 in the type section of the Sardar Formation.

The presence of *Gastrioceras* sp. in the Late Carboniferous allows correlation of the Sardar Formation at Kale Sardar and Howz-e-Dorah.

16. Late Namurian.

A single specimen of *Neogondolella clarki* was recovered from sample 109, 971 m above the base of the section at Howz-e-Dorah. According to Sweet (1988) *Neogondolella* first appears in the late Namurian (=Atokan). The bed yielding *Neogondolella clarki* contains a highly diverse fauna of brachiopods.

17. Age of the Sardar conglomerate

The age of the Sardar conglomerate is controversial (e.g. Stöcklin *et al.*, 1965, 1991; Husseini, 1992). In order to have more precise age control over the time of its deposition, 5 limestone clasts from the Niaz section at Kale Sardar were processed for conodonts. Faunas from the clasts are representative of 4 different zones: Late *triangularis* Zone or Early *crepida* Zone, *typicus* Zone, *anchoralis-latus* Zone and *sinuatus-corrugatus-sulcatus* Zone. From this data alone, the Sardar conglomerate must have been deposited some time after the *sinuatuscorrugatus-sulcatus* Zone.

18. Permian.

The siliclastics ("White Quartzite") cropping out between 1008 m and 1038 m above the base of the section at Howz-e-Dorah represent basal Permian (Asselian) (Partow Azar, 1992). Investigation of conodonts from this limestone was outside the scope of this study but macrofauna collected from sample 110 from 1055 m above the base of the section include numerous Permian species of *Fenestella*, *Bellerophon*, other gastropods, brachiopods, bivalves and crinoid remains.

Systematic palaeontology.

Acid leaching 110 samples collected from two localities in the Shotori Range, Eastern Iran produced 3445 conodonts representing 85 species/subspecies. Three of these are new and are described below. As recent synonymies are have been published for the other species (e.g. Ji & Ziegler, 1993; Mawson & Talent, 1997), the faunas are documented (Plates 1-13, Tables 1-3) but no taxonomic comment is given. All figured specimens from the area are deposited at the University of Esfahan, Iran, bearing the prefix EUIC.

Phylum Conodonta Pander, 1856

Order Conodontophorida Eichenberg, 1930

Family Icriodontidae Müller and Müller, 1957 Genus *Icriodus* Branson and Mehl, 1938

Type species. Icriodus expansus Branson and Mehl, 1938

Icriodus alternatus mawsonae n. subsp.

Pl. 1, Fig. 15; Pl. 2, Fig. 3, 4

1991 Icriodus alternatus n. subsp. Clausen, Korn and Luppold, pl. 8, fig. 4.

Derivation of name. In honour of Prof. Ruth Mawson, an Australian conodont researcher.

Holotype. EUIC 1834, the specimen illustrated on the Plate 1, fig. 15 from sample 103, 315 m above the base of the Howz-e-Dorah section.

Diagnosis. A subspecies of *lcriodus alternatus* with a row of very weakly expressed nodes located in a central trough between lateral rows of nodes. Basal cavity follows the shape of the spindle, expanding posteriorly.

Description. Between lateral rows of discrete nodes, a central row of poorly expressed nodes lies in a slight trough. Towards the anterior, one or two of the lateral nodes may be fused. The basal cavity flares considerably posteriorly and tapers evenly towards the anterior; a slight spur is developed along the outer margin.

Remarks. The specimen illustrated by Clausen et al. (1991, pl. 8, fig. 4), at first glance appears to be an aberrant form of I. a. alternatus with the central nodes poorly developed. However, as 15 specimens, all showing this characteristic, have been recovered from sample 103, a new subspecies, as suggested by Clausen et al. (1991), appears warranted. The central trough between the lateral rows of denticles bears tiny central nodes, most clearly visible towards the middle of the element. Anteriorly the nodes are fused. A second feature that identifies this as a separate subspecies is the basal cavity; it is larger than that of I. alternatus sensu stricto. The sample yielding the new subspecies also contains Pal. tenuipunctata, Pal. minuta minuta, and I. iowaensis iowaensis suggesting the age to range from the Late triangularis Zone to the Early crepida Zone. I. alternatus mawsonae occurs in horizons of similar age in a section at Kuragh Spur, Chitral, Pakistan (Mawson, pers. comm, 1997; Talent et al., 1999).

Occurrence. Fifteen I elements from sample 103 at Howz-e-Dorah.

Family Polygnathidae Bassler, 1925 Genus *Polygnathus* Hinde, 1879

Type species. Polygnathus dubius Hinde, 1879

Polygnathus capollocki n. sp.

Pl. 9, Fig. 6-12

1968 Polygnathus n. sp. A. Pollock, p. 436, pl. 62, fig. 32, 33, 38. 1995 Polygnathus cf. xylus Stauffer, Kuz'min, pl. 2, fig. 7.

Derivation of name. In honour of C.A. Pollock who, in 1968, recognised this form as a new species.

Holotype. EUIC1947, the specimen illustrated on Pl. 9, Figs. 8, 9 from sample 102, 205m above the base of the Howz-e-Dorah section.

Diagnosis. A species of *Polygnathus* with a long, very narrow and slightly curved platform that has a shagreen surface except for nodes along the upturned margins; deep adcarinal trough developed almost the entire length of the platform.

Description. A species of *Polygnathus* with a slender, arrow-shaped platform; the posterior end is very pointed. Platform surface is shagreen lacking ornament except for tiny and/or fused nodes that may develop along the margins in the anterior half of the platform. The carina is almost straight and does not reach the posterior end. The margins of the platform are sharply upturned forming two deep troughs on either side of the carina that run for more than three-quarters the length of the platform. The length of the free blade approaches that of the platform and is made up of eight to ten clear, sharp denticles.

Remarks. The specimens from Iran are similar to the specimens from Alberta, Canada, illustrated by Pollock (1968, pl. 62, Figs. 32, 33, 38). The polygnathid from the Timan Formation, Russian Platform illustrated by Kuz'min (1995, pl. 2, fig. 7) appears to be a specimen of *P. capollocki*. The Iranian material came from samples yielding *Ad. curvata* late form indicating that in Iran the age of this conodont can be said to be from late in the Early *hassi* Zone to the end of the *linguiformis* Zone (Ji & Ziegler, 1993). Its earlier appearance in Russia (Kuz'min, 1995) is indicative of an older age.

Occurrence. One Pa element from sample 503, two from sample 9, three from sample 505, one from sample 10, 34 from sample 102, one from sample 508, two from sample 509, and one from sample 510 at Howz-e-Dorah. Three Pa elements from sample 3 at Kale Sardar.

Polygnathus ratebi n. sp.

(Pl. 9, Figs. 1-5)

Derivation of name. In honour of Farajoalah Ratebi, a National Iranian Steel Company geologist, who spent most of his working life in exploration of coal and raw materials in Tabas and central Iran.

Holotype. EUIC1942, the specimen illustrated on Pl. 9, Figs. 3, 4 from sample 103, 312.50 m above the base of the Howz-e-Dorah section.

Diagnosis. A species of *Polygnathus* with short carina extending to half the length of the platform with strongly upturned, almost smooth platform margins forming deep adcarinal troughs. Linguloid posterior half of the platform is covered by complete or interrupted ridges.

Description. A species of *Polygnathus* with a carina made up of fused denticles extends to the midlength of the platform; the platform margins paralleling the carina are sharply upturned forming smooth, deep adcarinal troughs. The platform is very narrow at the anterior but widens gradually reaching maximum width at midlength

of the platform. In the posterior half of the platform, parallel ridges, some complete and some discontinuous, cover the tongue. The ridges on the platform are parallel or semi-parallel, sometimes becoming weakly nodose especially close to the carina.

Remarks. P. ratebi n. sp. shows some similarities to Polygnathus samueli Klapper & Lane (1985, p. 943, fig. 17.13-18) but the platform shape and the form of basal cavity are different from P. samueli as P. ratebi has a tiny basal cavity, and a narrow platform with parallel upturned anterior margins. In their consideration of the phylogeny of the semicostatus group, Ji & Ziegler (1993, p. 43) suggest that there might be an intermediate form between P. brevicarinus and P. semicostatus (wide-platform morphotype). Such a transitional form, they conclude, might arise in the Early triangularis to the Early crepida interval. As it is found in association with other conodonts that can be dated as from the Late triangularis Zone to the Late crepida Zone, and because of its morphological characteristics, P. ratebi could possibly be the ancestor of P. semicostatus.

Occurrence. Eight Pa elements from sample 103 at Howz-e-Dorah.

Acknowledgements.

I am deeply indebted to the government of the Islamic Republic of Iran and the Ministry of Culture and Higher Education of Iran. The Esfahan University supported me financially during the period of my research in Australia where this investigation was carried out. I thank my supervisors, Prof. John A. Talent and Prof. Ruth Mawson for their instruction, comments and supervision. Thanks are extended to Prof. Michael House from the University of Southampton and Prof. Rex E. Crick from the University of Texas at Arlington, for their co-operation and identification of cephalopods. Prof. G. Klapper, Dr. R. T. Becker, Dr. Z. Belka and Dr. P. J. Conaghan kindly commented on various aspects of the study. This paper has benefitted tremendously from the comments and suggestions made by Profs Ruth Mawson and Claudia Spalletta and another unnamed assessor.

Special thanks are due to the members of my family: my father who encouraged me during his life even on the day before he passed away, my mother, sister and brothers especially my first brother, Mohammad Yazdi and members of his office in Tehran. I am indebted to my wife Zahra Khademmelat who helped me during field work, with processing samples and with polytungstate separations. My children, Meysam, Mohammad and Elham Yazdi worked hard at school in Australia learning English. I am grateful to all members of my wife's family who helped by sending books, documents and fossils to Australia from Iran. I will never forget their encouragement. All efforts made by family members, Khademmelat, Rozegarian and Malekiha are greatly appreciated.

Transporting samples from Tabas to Esfahan would have been impossible without the co-operation of the Exploration Group of the Non Metal Supply Mineral Company at Pyrbakran, Esfahan (N.I.S.C) and the Geology Department of Esfahan University. I appreciate the encouragement given me by friends and colleagues at NISC, Esfahan University and the Geological Survey of Iran.

Friends from the Macquarie University Centre for Ecostratigraphy and Palaeobiology were most generous with their assistance. I am especially grateful to: Michael Engelbretsen, George Wilson, Theresa Winchester-Seeto, Glenn Brock, Margaret Anderson, Ross Parkes, David Matheison, Paul Farquharson, Alison Basden, Julie Trotter and other members of MUCEP. These, with my Iranian friends and colleagues at Macquarie, helped to make my research a friendly and fruitful experience.

REFERENCES

- Belka Z. & Groessens E. (1986) Conodont succession across the Tournaisian-Visean boundary beds at Salet, Belgium. Bull. Belg. Verenig. Geol., v. 95, pp. 257-280, Brussels.
- Branson E.B. & Mehl M.G. (1938) The conodont genus Icriodus and its stratigraphic distribution. Journ. Paleont., v. 12, pp. 156-166, Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- Brenckle P.L. & Manger W.L. (1991) Intercontinental correlation and division of the Carboniferous system. Cour. Forsch. Senckenb., v. 130, pp. 1-345, Frankfurt-am-Main.
- Campbell K.S.W., Brown D.A. & Coleman A.R. (1983) Ammonoids and the correlation of the Lower Carboniferous of eastern Australia. *Alcheringa*, v. 7, pp. 75-123, Sydney.
- Clausen C.D., Korn D. & Luppold F.W. (1991) Litho-und Biofazies des mittle-bis oberdevonischen Karbonatprofiles am Beringhauser Tunnel (Messinghauser Sattel, Nordliches Rheinisches Schiefergebirge). Geol. Paläont., Westfalen, v. 18, pp. 7-6, Munster.
- Conil R., Groessens E., Laloux M., Poty E. & Tourneur F. (1991) - Carboniferous guide foraminifera, corals and

conodonts in the Franco-Belgian and Campine Basins: Their potential for widspread correlation. *Courier Forsch. Senckenb.*, v. 130, pp. 15-30, Frankfurt-am-Main.

- Dreesen, R. & Dusar, M. (1974) Refinement of conodont biozonation in the Famenne-Type area. Geological Surv. Belgium Publ., v. 13, pp. 1-36, Brussels.
- Flügel E. (1966) Iranoblastus, a new Mississippian blastoid from Iran. In: Contributions to the Paleontology of East Iran, Geol. Surv. Rep., v. 6, pp. 55-57, Tehran.
- Flügel H. (1961) Receptaculites neptuni Defr. 1827 from the Upper Devonian of Kuh-e-Shotori, East Iran. Bull. Iranian Petrol. Inst., v. 4, pp. 75-81, Tehran.
- Furon R. (1941) Géologie du plateau Iranian (Perse-Afghanistan-Béloutchistan). Mém. Mus. Nat. Hist. Nat., v. 7, pp. 177-414, Paris. [not sighted].
- Gansser A. (1955) New aspects of the geology in Central Iran. 4th World Petroleum Congress Proceedings, Section I/A5, v. 2, pp. 279-300, Carlo Colombo Publisher, Rome.
- Gutschick R.C. & Sandberg C.A. (1991) Late Devonian history of Michigan Basin. In: P.A. Catacosinos & P.A. Jr.

Daniels (eds) Early sedimentary evolution of the Michigan Basin. *Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap.*, v. 256, pp. 181-202, New York.

- Hedin S.A. (1923-27) Eine Routenaufnahme durch Ostpersien. Stockholme. [not sighted]
- Higgins A.C. (1975) Conodont zonation of the late Viséan and early Westphalian strata of the south and central Pennines of northern England. Bull. Geol. Surv. Gr. Brit., v. 55, pp. 1-90, London.
- Higgins A.C. (1985) The Carboniferous System: Part 2 Conodonts of the Silesian Subsystem from Great Britain and Ireland. In: A.C. Higgins & R.L. Austin (eds.) A Stratigraphical Index of Conodonts: - Micropalaeontological Society Series, pp. 210-227, Ellis Horwood Limited, London.
- House M.R. (1981) On the origin, classification and evolution of the early Ammonoidea. In: M.R. House & J.R. Senior (eds) The ammonoidea. The evolution, classification, mode of life and geological usefulness of a major fossil group. Syst. Ass., Spec., V. 18, pp. 3-36. Academic Press Inc., London.
- Husseini M.I. (1992) Upper Palaeozoic tectono-sedimentary evolution of the Arabian and adjoining plates. *Journ. Geol. Soc. London*, v. 149, pp. 419-429, London.
- Ji Q. & Ziegler W. (1993) The Lali section: an excellent reference section for Upper Devonian in south China. *Cour. Forsch. Senckenb.*, v. 157, pp. 1-183, Frankfurt-am-Main.
- Khalymbadzha V.G., Shinkaryov G.Y. & Gatovsky Y.A. (1992) - New Famennian polygnathids (conodonts) from southern Kazakhstan. *Paleont. Jour., Scripta Techni*ca, 1992, v. 25 (2), pp. 69-81, New York. [Translated from Novyye famenskiye polignatidy (konodonty) Yuzhnogo Kazakhstana. *Paleontologicheskiy Zhurnal*, 1991, v. 25 (2), pp. 56-64, Washington].
- Klapper G. (1997) Graphic correlation of Frasnian (Upper Devonian) sequences in Montagne Noire, France, and western Canada. Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap., v. 321, pp. 113-129, Boulder, Colorado.
- Klapper G. & Lane R.H. (1985) Upper Devonian (Frasnian) conodonts of the *Polygnathus* biofacies, N. W. T., *Can. Journ. Paleont.*, v. 59, pp. 904-951, Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- Kuz'min A.V. (1995) The lower boundary of the Frasnian Stage in the Russian Platform. Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation, v. 3 (3), pp. 304-313, Moscow. [Translated from Stratigrafiya Geologicheskaya Korrelyatsiya, v. 3 (3), 1955, pp. 111-120, Moscow.]
- Lane H.R., Sandberg C.A. & Ziegler W. (1980) Taxonomy and phylogeny of some Lower Carboniferous conodonts and preliminary standard post-Siphonedella zonation. Geologica et Palaeontologica, v. 14, pp. 117-164, Marburg.
- Lane H. R. & Straka, J.J. (1974) Late Mississippian and Early Pennsylvanian conodonts, Arkansas and Oklahoma. *Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap.*, v. 152, pp. 1-130, Boulder, Colorado.
- Lemosquest Y., Conrad J. & Magner W.L. (1985) Ammonoids, North Africa. In: The Carboniferous of the

World, Australia, Indian subcontinent, South Africa, South America, and North Africa. Inst. Geologico y Minero de Espàna, v. 2, pp. 367-372, Madrid.

- Mawson R. & Talent J.A. (1997) Famennian-Tournaisian conodonts and Devonian-Early Carboniferous transgression and regressions. Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap., v. 321, pp. 179-223, Boulder, Colorado.
- Odin G.S. (1988) Green Marine Clays, Oolitic Ironstone Facies, Verdine Facies, Glaucony Facies and Celadonite-Bearing Facies - A Comparative Study. *Dev. Sedim.*, v. 45, 441 p., Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Pander C.H. (1856) Monographie der fossilen Fische des silurischen Systems der Russisch-Baltischen Gouvernements. Akad. Wiss., St. Petersburg, 91 pp., St Petersburg.
- Partow Azar H. (1992) Changhsingien Stage in east Iran. Discovery of genus Colaniella and its biostratigraphic importance. (In Persian with abstract in English). Geol. Surv. of Iran, Geo Sciences, v. 1(3), pp. 44-53, Tehran.
- Perret M.F. & Weyant M. (1994) Les biozones à conodontes du Carbonifère des Pyrénées. Comparisons avec dautres régions du globe. [Carboniferous Conodont zones in the Pyrenees. Comparisons with zonations from other parts of the world]. Géobios, v. 27 (6), pp. 689-715, Lyon.
- Pollock C.A. (1968) Lower upper Devonian conodonts from Alberta, *Journ. Paleont.*, v. 42 (2), pp. 415-443, Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- Roberts J., Jones P.J. & Jenkins T.B.H. (1993) Revised correlation for Carboniferous marine invertebrate zones of eastern Australia. *Alcheringa*, v. 17, pp. 353-376, Sydney.
- Sandberg C.A. & Dreesen R. (1984) Late Devonian icriodontid biofacies models and alternate shallow-water conodont zonation. *Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap.*, v. 196, pp. 143-178, Boulder, Colorado.
- Sartenaer P. (1966) Frasnian Rhynchonellida from the Ozbak-Kuh and Tabas regions (East Iran). In: Contribution to the Paleontology of East Iran, Geol. Surv. Iran, v. 6, pp. 25-54, Tehran.
- Savoy L.E. & Harris A.G. (1993) Conodont biofacies and taphonomy along a carbonate ramp to black shale basin (latest Devonian and earliest Carboniferous), southernmost Canadian Cordillera and adjacent Montana. *Can. Journ. Earth Sci.*, v. 30, pp. 2404-2422, Ottawa.
- Stöcklin J. (1961) Lagoonal formations and salt domes in East Iran. Bull. Iranian Petr. Instit., v. 3, pp. 39-33, Tehran.
- Stöcklin J., Eftekhar-Nezhad J. & Hushmand-Zadeh A. (1965) (reprinted, 1991) - Geology of the Shotori Range (Tabas area, East Iran). Geol. Surv. Iran, v. 3, pp. 1-69, Tehran.
- Sweet W. C. (1988) The Conodonta morphology. Taxonomy, paleoecology, and evolutionary history of a longextinct animal phylum. Oxford Monograph on Geology and Geophysics, v. 10, pp. 1-291, Clarendon Press Oxford, Oxford.
- Talent J.A., Gaetani M., Mawson R., Molloy P. D. & Conaghan P. J. (1999) - Early Ordovician and Devonian (Givetian-Early Famennian) conodonts from the Karako-

rum and Hindu Kush, northernmost Pakistan. Riv. It. Paleont. Strat., v. 105, pp. 201-230, Milan.

- Walliser O.H. (1966) Preliminary notes on Devonian, Lower and Upper Carboniferous goniatites in Iran. In: Contributions to the paleontology of East Iran. Geol. Surv. Iran, v. 6, pp. 7-24, Tehran.
- Webster G.D. (1969) Chester through Derry conodonts and stratigraphy of northern Clark and southern Lincoln Counties, Nevada. Univ. Calif. Publ., Geol. Sci., v. 79, pp. 1-121, California.
- Webster G.D.& Groessens E. (1991) Conodont subdivision of the Lower Carboniferous. Cour. Forsch. Senckenb., v. 130, pp. 31-40, Frankfurt-am-Main.
- Weddige K. (1984) Zur Stratigraphy und Palaeogeography des Devons und Carbons von NE-Iran. Senck. Leth., v. 65, pp. 179-223, Frankfurt-am-Main
- Yazdi, M. (1999, in press) Late Devonian bioevents and sea level changes in East and Central Iran and their potential in discrimination of biogeographic patterns. *Historical Biology*.

- Ziegler W. (1962) Taxionomie und Phylogenie Oberdevonisher Conodonten und ihre stratigraphische Bedeutung. *Abh. Hessiche Landes. Bodenforsch.*, v. 38, pp. 1-166, Wiesbaden.
- Ziegler W. (1971) Post-Symposium excursion, Sept. 15-18, 1971, to Rhenish Slate Mountains and Harz Mountains, Field Trip Guidebook. Symposium on Conodont Taxonomy, Marburg, Sept. 4-18, 1971, Department of Geosciences, University of Philipps, 47 pp., Marburg.
- Ziegler W. (ed.) (1981) Catalogue of Conodonts, IV. E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, pp. 1-445, Stuttgart.
- Ziegler W. & Sandberg C.A. (1984) *Palmatolepsis*-based revision of upper part of standard Late Devonian conodont zonation. *Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap.*, v. 196, pp. 189-194, Boulder, Colorado.
- Ziegler W. & Sandberg C.A. (1990) The Late Devonian standard conodont zonation. *Cour. Forsch. Senckenb.*, v. 121, pp. 1-115, Frankfurt-am-Main.