numero 1

## CALCAREOUS NANNOFOSSIL AND FORAMINIFERA BIOSTRATIGRAPHY OF PLEISTOCENE TERRIGENOUS SEDIMENTS FROM SOUTHERN ITALY

### MARIA MARINO

Key-words: Pleistocene, Calcareous Nannofossils, Foraminifera, Terrigenous Sediments, Italy.

*Riassunto.* È stato condotto uno studio di biostratigrafia integrata basata sui Nannofossili calcarei e sui Foraminiferi di alcune successioni terrigene pleistoceniche affioranti nel Bacino di Sant'Arcangelo (Italia meridionale). Per la biozonazione di questo intervallo di tempo, si è fatto riferimento alle zonazioni di Rio et al. (1990) e di Colalongo e Sartoni (1979).

Sulle associazioni a Nannofossili calcarei sono state condotte analisi quantitative che, nonostante la rarità dei marker pleistocenici e la presenza di coccoliti rimaneggiati di diversi intervalli di tempo, hanno permesso di ottenere datazioni molto dettagliate a livello biozonale. In particolare, il genere Gephyrocapsa è risultato essere un taxon estremamente utile per la biostratigrafia del Pleistocene inferiore e medio, anche nei sedimenti terrigeni. Infatti, è stato possibile riconoscere importanti eventi biostratigrafici usati come limiti zonali: comparsa di Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l., scomparsa di large Gephyrocapsa, comparsa di Gephyrocapsa sp. 3. Inoltre, in prossimità del limite Pliocene-Pleistocene, è stata riconosciuta la presenza di gephyrocapsidi dalle caratteristiche intermedie tra small Gephyrocapsa e G. oceanica s.l. L'estinzione di Helicosphaera sellii è un evento di più difficile definizione nei sedimenti terrigeni, a causa del rimaneggiamento, ma una caduta di abbondanza nella sua distribuzione è stata osservata al top della Zona a large Gephyrocapsa. La comparsa di Gephyrocapsa sp. 3 è un evento molto ben riconoscibile e utile per la definizione della base della Zona a Pseudoemiliania lacunosa.

Sulle associazioni a Foraminiferi sono state condotte analisi qualitative che hanno permesso di riconoscere le Zone a *Globigerina cariacoensis* e a *Globorotalia truncatulinoides excelsa*. Nella gran parte dei casi le associazioni a Foraminiferi, meno ricche e diversificate di quelle a Nannofossili, hanno dato un minore dettaglio biozonale per la datazione dei sedimenti studiati.

Abstract. An integrated biostratigraphic study based on calcareous nannofossil and Foraminifera assemblages was carried out on Pleistocene terrigenous sediments of the piggyback Sant'Arcangelo Basin (Southern Italy). The calcareous nannofossil scheme of Rio et al. (1990) and the foraminifera scheme of Colalongo & Sartoni (1979) were followed.

Despite the presence of reworked pre-Pliocene, Pliocene and Pleistocene coccoliths and the scarcity of the significant species, the quantitative and semiquantitative analyses of nannofossil assemblages allowed an accurate identification of biozones. In the terrigenous sediments as well as in the pelagic sediments, the genus *Gephyrocapsa* is a good taxon for Pleistocene biostratigraphy because of its rapid morphologic evolution and relative abundance. Therefore this group has been used to recognize the following reliable biostratigraphic events: appearance of *Gephyrocapsa oceanica* s.l., disappearance of large *Gephyrocapsa*, appearance of *Gephyrocapsa* sp. 3. Moreover, an apparent evolutionary lineage was noted from the small *Gephyrocapsa* to the *G. oceanica* s.l. specimens near the Plio-Pleistocene boundary. In the studied sediments the base of the small *Gephyrocapsa* Zone is not easily identified because of the reworking of "medium sized" *Gephyrocapsa* and *H. sellii* above this level. However, the distribution pattern of *H. sellii* shows an abundance drop very close to the last occurrence of large *Gephyrocapsa*, at the top of the large *Gephyrocapsa* Zone. The first occurrence of *Gephyrocapsa* sp. 3 is a useful event to define the small *Gephyrocapsa-Pseudoemiliania lacunosa* zonal boundary.

In order to confirm the calcareous nannofossil biozonation, a detailed study of planktonic and benthic Foraminifera was also performed; however the Pleistocene Foraminifera assemblages were found to be less useful.

#### Introduction.

The Sant'Arcangelo Basin is a piggyback basin (Caldara et al., 1988a; 1988b; Hippolyte et al., 1991; Pieri et al., 1994; Camarlinghi et al., 1994) located on the external thrusts of the Southern Apennine (Appennino lucano) (Fig. 1). The Sant'Arcangelo Basin is a paleogeographic and structural key-element to understand relationships between thrust progradation and sedimentation in the Apeninnic thrust belt-foredeep system during the Plio-Pleistocene.

Several papers have described the sedimentary and tectonic history of the basin (Ogniben, 1969a, 1969b; Lentini, 1967, 1968, 1969a, 1969b; Vezzani, 1966, 1967a, 1967b, 1968; Lentini & Vezzani, 1974; Carbone et al., 1991; Hippolyte et al., 1991; Mostardini & Merlini, 1986; Caldara et al., 1988a, 1988b; Patacca & Scandone, 1989; Pieri et al., 1993; Camarlinghi et al., 1994; Pieri et al., 1994; Loiacono et al., 1994; Pieri et al., in press). Some of these works provided the age of the thick Plio-Pleistocene successions mostly on the basis of foraminifers; Hippolyte et al. (1991) based their biozonal determinations on the calcareous nannofossil scheme according to Martini (1971).

<sup>-</sup> Dip. Geol. e Geof., Univ. degli Studi di Bari, via E. Orabona, 4 , 70125 BARI.



Fig. 1 - Geological scheme of the Sant'Arcangelo Basin (from Pieri et al., 1993, simplified). 1 and 2: Masseria Petto della Serra sections; 3: S. Biagio section; 4: Serra Petrizza section.

However, in the Plio-Pleistocene interval, the calcareous nannofossils provide an higher-resolution biostratigraphy with respect to the foraminifers. In particular, the scheme of Rio et al. (1990) includes eight Pleistocene biozones instead of the three or five biozones proposed in the standard schemes of Martini (1971) and Okada & Bukry (1980), respectively.

In order to obtain a more precise determination of biozones, according to the scheme of Rio et al. (1990), a detailed calcareous nannofossil study of the Pleistocene successions outcropping in the Sant'Arcangelo Basin has been attempted. Also the Foraminifera assemblages contained in the same sediments have been analyzed. In fact, despite the poor and "diluted" planktonic assemblages, the integrated biostratigraphy and the quantitative analyses carried out on calcareous nannofossil assemblages (Marino, 1992; Marino & Monechi, 1993; Maiorano, 1993; Marino, 1994) show that is possible to recognize important biochronological events. Moreover, these events are calibrated on paleomagnetic reversals, oxygen isotope stratigraphies, astronomical cyclity and Geomagnetic Reversal Time Scale (Tauxe et al., 1983; Channell et al., 1990; Channell et al., 1992; Vergnaud Grazzini et al., 1994; Shackleton et al., 1990; Raffi et al., 1993; Ruddiman et al., 1989; Hilgen, 1991; Castradori, 1993; Sanvoisin et al., 1993).

The study sections have been referred to the Agri Cycle and to the Sauro Cycle, according to the new geological map of the northern Sant'Arcangelo Basin (Pieri et al., 1993; Pieri et al., 1994) (Fig. 1). Lentini & Vezzani (1974) attributed these successions to the "Argille marnose grigio-azzurre" Formation, Late Pliocene-Early Pleistocene in age (Lentini & Vezzani, 1974; Caldara et al., 1988b; Hippolyte et al., 1991).

#### Material and methods.

Two sections have been sampled near Masseria Petto della Serra, where the Agri Cycle outcrops (Pieri et al., 1993, 1994); they largely consist of clay. The S. Biagio section, referred to the Agri Cycle (Pieri et al., 1993, 1994), is characterized by clays, silts and sands; the section becomes richer in coarse sands upwards, gradually turning into the Sandstone of Aliano Formation. The Serra Petrizza section outcrops in the northern part of the Sant' Arcangelo Basin (Sauro Cycle, according to Pieri et al., 1993, 1994): silty-clays, silts and fine sands characterize the regressive succession; upwards an increase in sands and conglomerates of fan-delta and fluvial facies (Pieri et al., 1993) have been noted.

The nannofossil biostratigraphy was carried out on smear slides with an optical microscope (1000X magnification). Neither centrifuging or other methods have been applied in order to retain the original nannofossil assemblages. The biozonal scheme and the quantitative methods of Rio et al. (1990) were followed for the nannofossil quantitative biostratigraphy (Fig. 2). Rio et al. (1990) point out the importance of the evolutionary events within the Pleistocene Gephyrocapsa assemblages because of their high abundances, wide geographic distribution and rapid morphological variability. Following Gartner (1977), Rio (1982), Raffi & Rio (1979), Raffi et al. (1993) the genus Gephyrocapsa is here split into Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l., small Gephyrocapsa, large Gephyrocapsa and Gephyrocapsa sp. 3. Several events have been recognized on the basis of quantitative analyses: the FO (First Occurrence) of G. oceanica s.l., the LOs (Last Occurrences) of large Gephyrocapsa and H. sellii, the temporary relatively low abundance (corresponding





to "temporary disappearance" of Rio et al., 1990) of and the FO of Gephyrocapsa sp. 3 (= G. parallela; see Raffi et al., 1993). The G. oceanica s.l. appearance has been defined as the increase of this form above 1% in a count of 300 nannofossils (>3 $\mu$ m). The last occurrence of large Gephyrocapsa and the first occurrence of Gephyrocapsa sp. 3 were established by counting 100 Gephyrocapsa spp.  $(>4\mu m)$ . The abundance pattern of these morphotypes was plotted as number of specimens per mm<sup>2</sup> instead of percentage with respect to a fixed number of gephyrocapsids; this because in those samples with very poor nannofossil assemblages, only 50 gephyrocapsids (>4µm) were counted. The low abundance of gephyrocapsids in these samples is probabily due to the enrichment in sand lithologies upwards the section that prevents a good preservation of nannofloras; on the other hand, there are not evidences to say if the variation of nannofossil abundance can be also primary in origin. The abundances of H. sellii and C. macintyrei (form larger than 10µm according to the biometric concept of Backman & Shackleton, 1983 and of Rio et al., 1990), were plotted as percentages of the single species within a total count of 100 helicolithids and 50 Calcidiscus spp., respectively.

The qualitative Foraminifera analysis was performed on the residual fraction (>125 $\mu$ m) of the washed sediment (total weight 400 g) after drying (90°C) and disaggregation in water. The biostratigraphical scheme of Colalongo & Sartoni (1979) was followed for the Foraminifera analyses. The *Globigerina cariacoensis* and *Globorotalia truncatulinoides excelsa* Zones were recogni-

zed in Pleistocene sections, despite the absence of these two markers. In fact, the foraminifer biozonal attribution mainly results from the correlation with the calcareous nannofossil biozones recognized in terrigenous sediments, according to the calcareous plankton integrated biostratigraphic scheme of Sprovieri (1993). The stratigraphic intervals referred to the Calcidiscus macintyreilarge Gephyrocapsa nannofossil Zones are characterized by Foraminifera assemblages with Globorotalia inflata, Globorotalia oscitans, Neogloboquadrina pachyderma left, Bulimina elegans marginata, B. marginata, B. etnea; Hyalinea balthica is only present in samples containing large Gephyrocapsa and in younger sediments. In the interval referred to the Pseudoemiliania lacunosa Zone, the Foraminifera assemblages also contain rare and scattered specimens of Globigerinoides tenellus. Globorotalia truncatulinoides excelsa, whose first occurrence is within the Sicilian "stage" (Sprovieri et al., 1980), is absent in the samples where Gephyrocapsa sp. 3 is recognized; this confirms the rarity of this taxon in the Mediterranean area (Haq et al., 1977; Thunell, 1979). Globorotalia sp., small intermediate morphotypes between G. inflata and Globorotalia bononiensis (cfr. Brolsma, 1978, pl. 8, fig. 2b-d) are present in several Pleistocene samples.

#### Biostratigraphic results.

The sampled silty-clay lithologies contained well preserved nannofossils and Foraminifera, while the siltysands were barren. Generally, the samples were characterized by abundant inorganic content; abundant pre-



Fig. 3 - Masseria Petto della Serra sections: lithology and nannofossil events.

Pleistocene reworked specimens were recognized especially in nannofossil assemblages.

Several nannofossil biozones were recognized according to the scheme of Rio et al. (1990): Dictyococcites productus, Calcidiscus macintyrei, large Gephyrocapsa, small Gephyrocapsa and Pseudoemiliania lacunosa Zones. The Helicosphaera sellii Zone was not recognized because the sampling of the Pleistocene successions did not recover this stratigraphical interval. The Foraminifera assemblages were referred to the Globigerina cariacoensis and Globorotalia truncatulinoides excelsa Zones, according to the scheme of Colalongo e Sartoni (1979).

#### Masseria Petto della Serra sections.

Two sections have been sampled near Masseria Petto della Serra, southeast of Sant'Arcangelo village (Km 118 of the S.S. 92; F° 211 of the "Carta Geologica d'Italia", 1:100.000; I SW); section 2 stratigraphically overlies section 1 (Fig. 3). Nannofloral assemblages show the interesting variations in gephyrocapsid population which characterize the interval across the Plio-Pleistocene boundary.

Calcareous nannofossil assemblages of section 1 were referred to *Dictyococcites productus* Zone (Late Pliocene-Early Pleistocene) (Tab. 1). This biostratigraphic in-

Petto	Serr	a 1						С	AL	.С.	AR	EC	U	S N	JA	NN	101	FO	SS	SIL	S												F	ю	RA	M	IN	IF	EF	RA					_		
	ZON	JES				T																																									
AGE	NANNOFOSSILS Rio et al. (1990)	PLANKTONIC FORAMINIFERA Colalongo & Sartoni (1979)	Samples	ABUNDANCE	PRESERVATION	Braamdosphaera bigelowii	Calcidiscus leptoporus	Calcidiscus macintyrei	Ceratolithus sp.	Coccolithus pelagicus	Dictyococcites productus	Discoaster asymmetricus	Disconster brindfahts	Genhvrocansa caribbeanica	small Gephyrocapsa	Helicosphaera carteri	Helicosphaera sellii	Pontosphaera spp.	Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	Reticulofenestra munuta	Deficiencies da munuta	small R nseudoumbilicus	Rhahdosnhaera snn.	Scyphosphaera spp.	Syracosphaera spp.	Globigerina atlantisae	Globigerina bulbosa	Globigerina bulloides	Globigerina incomnta	Globioerina anadrilatera	Globigerina quinqueloba	Globigerinita spp.	Globigerinoides conglobatus	Globigerinoides diminutus	Globigerinoides elongatus	Clobigerinoides obliquus extremus	CIODISCIIIOTACS COLIDARS CONTANTS	Cloborotalia acostaensis	Cloborotalia inflata	Globorotalia obesa	Globorotalia oscitans	Globorotalia sp.	Neogloboquadrina pachyderma	Orbulina bilobata	Orbulina suturalis	Orbulina universa	bulimina cregans margurata Bulimina marginata
			6	С	P	F 2	¢ c	F	1	A	A	1	1	. F	A	A	С	С	A	A	A i	T V	V I	7.	С		*	5	1.8		*		1	*	*	1	•	* :	*	*		*	*			*	* *
E/	IS		5	С	P I	R	C	R		A	A	÷		. F	۲ A	A	F	С	A	A	A	x	VF	ι.	R		+	×	*	2	* *		×	*	•	1	*	*	*	*	6.3		æ	*		*	. *
OCEN	oducti	flata	4	A	P	F	, c	R	<b>.</b> ,	A	А	0	•	. I	A	A	F	С	A	A	A	п	. I	ξ.	F	*	*	*	*	, , ,	* *	*	3	*	*	ŝ		*	*	*		*	*	4	*	*	* *
TE PLI	D. pro	G. in	3	A	P	R		R	l.	A	A	÷		. I	κ A	A	F	С	A	A	A	x	V I	x x	F	÷		×		¥	. *		8	÷	5	8	8	*	*	*	5.2		*	2	UR.	*	• *
LA7 EARL			2	A	Р	R 2	K C	F		A	A		9 9	. I	2 A	A	F	С	A	A	A	x	. 1	ξ.	F	÷	*	a,	34 T	2	15 A	i iç	*	*	*			*	10.00	* :	*	*	*	ě.	19	*	. *
			1	С	Р	F	x c	F	e.,	A	A	*	. 1	1 I	R A	A	F	С	A	A	A	x	V I	ξ.	F		÷			2		. 2	*	*	*	\$	1	*		*			e.		•	*	* *

Tab. 1 - Calcareous nannofossil and planktonic foraminifera distribution chart of section 1 (Masseria Petto della Serra). Legend for calcareous nannofossils. Total abundance (1000X): A = > 30 specimens/view; C=10-30 specimens/view; F=2-10 specimens/view; R=0.1-1 specimens/view; V = <0.1 specimens/view; ST=barren. Species relative abundance: VA = > 10 specimens/view; A=2-10 specimens/view; C=0.1-1 specimens/view; F=0.04-0.1 specimens/view; R=0.02-0.04 specimens/view; V = <0.02 specimens/view; X=present in additional view; 1=one specimen. Total nannofossil reworking: A=>5 specimens/view; C=1-4 specimens/view; F=1-0.1 specimens/view; R=0.1-0.01 specimens/view; a, c, f, r, rr, x= reworked specimens. Preservation: V=very poor; P=poor; M=medium. Legend for forams: \*=present; 1=one specimen.

Masseria Petto della Serra sections

Pett	o Ser	ra 2								C	AT	CA	DE	OI	SI	JA	NN	OF	ns	SIL	\$															EC	D	A N/	IIN	TEI	ED	٨						
	ZO	NES				_	_			-	a.	<u>un</u>	ixi.	00	51			OI.	00	.511	~				_											re.		114	inis	111	LIN	-						_
AGE	NANNOFOSSILS Rio et al. (1990)	PLANKTONIC FORAMINIFERA Colalongo & Sartoni (1979)	Samples	ABUNDANCE	PRESERVATION	REWORKING	Braarudosphaera bigelown	Calcidiscus leptoporus	Calcidiscus macintyrei	Coccolithus pelagicus	Dictyococcites productus	Disconstar browning	Disconster neutaradiatus	Discoaster surculus	Discoaster sp.	Gephyrocapsa caribbeanica	Gephyrocapsa occanica s.l.	small Gephyrocapsa	Helicosphaera carteri	Helicosphaera sellii	Pontosphaera spp.	Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	Reticulofenestra minuta	Reticulofenestra minutula	Kenculotenestra pseudoumbiliteus	small K, pseudoumbilicus	Khabdosphacra spp.	Syracosphaera spp.	Ciobigenia apenua	Globigerina bulbosa Globigerina bulloides	Globigerina falconensis	Globigerina incompta	Globigerina microfoliata	Globigerina quinqueloba	Globigerinita spp.	Globigerinoides diminutus	Globigerinoides elongatus	Globigernoides ruber	Globorotalia acostaensis	Globorotalia inflata	Globorotalia obesa	Globorotalia oscitans	Globorotalia sp.	Neoglob, pachyderma left	Orbulina bilobata	Orbuina suturais Debulina universa	Otoututa universa Rulimina elegans marginata	Bulimina marginata
E			7	A	Р	R	*	С	F .	A	A		5 2	3	12	R	2	A	С	F	F	A	A	A	п	v :	x	F	e la	* *	*	*		*	F.	•	*	*	25	*	*	ŧ	*	*	*	*	8	
FOCEN	rei	nsis	6	с	v	F	8	С	R.	A	A	1	8	82	72	- 52	22	С	A	F	F	А	A	A	f	R	v	R	÷.	e e		2	22	2		·	*	Ŀ.	5	*			*	2	•	. *	* *	*
PLEIS'	nacinty	ariacoe	5	С	Р	R		С	R	A	A	a (	1	a	i,			A	С	F	F	A	A	A	x	x	v	F	2	* *	*	*		*	s	2	*	*	*	*	3	2	*	*		* 1	ĸ .	- 6
ARLY	C.n	<u>6.c</u>	4	с	Р	R	×	С	R	A	A	1	ι.	1	8	v	2	A	C	F	С	A	A	A	п	v		R	•	*	1	*	3	*	*	×	*	*	×	*	a	*	*	*	972	. 1	K 3	< *
Е			3	с	v	R	X	С	F.	A	A	8	2 2	1	1	v	2	A	с	F	F	A	А	A	rr	x	V	v	14 - S	. *		: ::	đ	*	<b>5</b> )	đ.	*	*	s	*	2	*	*	*	ł	5	. *	i i
	uctus	lata	2	А	М	R	54) 1	С	F	A	A	a);	1		ł.		×	A	A	C	С	A	A	A	x	R	R	R	э.	*	12		÷.	4	ţ)	*	*	*	ş	*	*		÷	4	*	. *	<b>k</b> 4	8 a
DOI.19	D.prod	G.inf	1	A	Р	R	×	С	R	A	A	1	۱.	2	1	*	3	A	С	F	F	A	A	A	r	8	v	R	*	*	0ŧ	: );	*	10	ĸ	*	*	*	*				•	•	E.	* <sup>*</sup>	* *	: *

Tab. 2 - Calcareous nannofossil and planktonic foraminifera distribution chart of section 2 (Masseria Petto della Serra). See legend of Tab. 1.

terval is characterized by abundant small *Gephyrocapsa* and by the absence of *Discoaster brouweri* (Tab. 1). *Gephyrocapsa oceanica* s.l. ( $\geq 4\mu$ m) is absent and gephyrocapsids from 3.5 to nearly 4  $\mu$ m in size, with a relatively large central opening and a clear central bar, were noted.

The abundance of these morphotypes is the major diagnostic element to recognize the *D. productus* Zone; in fact, *D. brouweri* may be absent also in the *D. brouweri* Zone, below its extinction. Pliocene reworked specimens as *Discoaster* spp. (counted over 20,000 coccoliths)

Sa	n Bia ZO	gio NES					ß	CA	LC	AR	EC	bus	N/	ANI	NO	FOS	SSI	LS	1														F	OR	AM	IN	IFE	RA									
AGE	NANNOFOSSILS Rio et al. (1990)	PLANKTONIC FORAMINIFERA Colalongo & Sartoni (1979)	Samples	ABUNDANCE	PRESERVATION REWORKING	Braarudosphacra bigelowii	Calcidiscus leptoporus	Calcidiscus macintyrei	Ceratolithus sp.	Coccolithus pelagicus	Dictyococcites productus	Gephyrocapsa caribbeanica	сериугосарза осеанса з.н. small Canhvervansa	Helicosnhaera carteri	Helicosphaera sellii	Pontosphaera spp.	Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	Reticulofenestra minuta	Reticulofenestra minutula	Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilicus	small R. pseudoumbilicus	Khabdosphaera spp.	Scyphosphaera spp.	oyracospuacta spp. Globioerina bulbosa	Globioerina bulloides	Globigerina falconensis	Globigerina incompta	Globigerina quinqueloba	Globigerinita spp.	Globigerinoides conglobatus	Globigerinoides diminutus	Globigerinoides elongatus	Clobigerinoides helicinus	G. trilobus munaturus G. trilobus sacoulifor	G. trilohus trilohus	Globigerinoides ruber	Globorotalia acostacnsis	Globorotalia aemiliana	Globorotalia crassaformis	Globorotalia inflata	Globorotalia obesa	Globorotalia sp.	Neogloboquadrina pachyderma	Orbulina bilobata	Orbuina universa Rulimina ef R-elevans	Building vi. b. cogono R. elevans marginata	Bulimina marginata
			7	CI	мċ	4	С	R	1	A	С	C )	1 /	A	С	С	A	A	A	c	R	R	. 1	Ŧ.			7	*	æ	*	£			ti e		8	*		a	*		*	8		*	*	*
ENE			6	C	V C		F	R	×	A	С	F 2	A 7	A A	F	C	A	A	A	c		F	. 1	*		- 19	8	×	3	e.		*	3		. 13	*		.*	*	0	ж	(x -	•	×	* *	*	*
TOC	yrei	ensis	5	С	ΡC	x	F	R	1	A	С	F /	٩.	A A	F	С	А	A	A	с	λC.	F	. (	2 .		а	ž.	(*)	12	10		*	1	• •	*	e Na		$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$	s	*	a.	*	R.	*	- 14	is a	
TEIS	acint	riaco	4	С	PC	x	F	R	a.	R	С	R	A /	A	F	С	А	A	A	c	R	R	. (		1	2	ŝ		i.	R.	*	*			1.6				ä	*		2	÷.		* *	* *	*
LY I	C.m	G.ca	3	C	PC	x	С	F	ŝ	Α	С	R /	1 /	A	С	С	A	A	A	с		R	. (	. *	*		*	*	*	*	*:	*			*	*	*	*		٠	*	*	*	.,	* *	*	*
EAR			2	C	V C		F	R		A	A	R	. 7	A A	F	С	А	А	A	с	R	R	X (		*	*		*		e.	*	*	•			*	*			*	*	*	•	. 1	* *	r *	*
			1	A I	мF	x	С	R		A	С	R	17	ÀÀ	F	С	Α	A	A	f	R	R	. (	*	a	*	-	*	14	13	<u>.</u>	34 <sup>- 2</sup>	*	. 1	*	*		4	Sai	*	φ	i.	P.	*	۴ Ti		*

Tab. 3 - Calcareous nannofossil and planktonic foraminifera distribution chart of San Biagio section. See legend of Tab. 1.

and Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilicus (form larger than 7-8 µm, sensu Raffi & Rio, 1979) are listed in Tab. 1. The Foraminifera assemblages confirm the attribution to the upper part of Late Pliocene, close to the Plio-Pleistocene boundary.

The first occurrence of G. oceanica s.l. defines the base of the C. macintyrei Zone in the section 2 of Masseria Petto della Serra. G. oceanica s.l. appears with very few and scattered specimens (Tab. 2), as it was found by Rio et al. (1990) in the lower Pleistocene Mediterranean ODP Sites. The presence of common specimens of N. pachyderma left confirms the Pleistocene age-assignment (G. cariacoensis Zone).

#### S. Biagio section.

Legend

sands

fine sands

silty-clays

clays

samples

30

0 m

The study section outcrops near San Biagio locality, south of Alianello village (F° 211 of the "Carta Geologica d'Italia", 1:100.000; I NW). The samples collected in the San Biagio section (Fig. 4) contain a calcareous nannofossil assemblage Early Pleistocene in age (Tab. 3, C. macintyrei Zone). The percentage abundance of C. macintyrei varies from 10% to 15% up to 40% in a count of 50 Calcidiscus spp. (Fig. 4). Reworked specimens of this species were found after its disappearance generally with percentages below 5%. Common reworked specimens of Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilicus characterize the Pleistocene nannofloral assemblages of this section. Moreover, well preserved specimens of R. pseu-

San Biagio section

32

30

28

26 24

22 20

18

16

14 12 10

8

6 4

0

0 10 20 30 40

metres 2 doumbilicus were found in the Mediterranean sediments of Early Pleistocene age (Stradner, 1973).

In the Foraminifera assemblages the Pleistocene markers are absent; G. cariacoensis and the increase in abundance of N. pachyderma left were not recognized; Bulimina elegans marginata and Bulimina cfr. B. elegans characterize the benthic Foraminifera assemblages.



# Serra Petrizza section



Serra Petrizza section: lithology and main nannofossil Fig. 5 events.



Fig. 6 - Abundance patterns of gephyrocapsids at Serra Petrizza section plotted as number of specimens per mm<sup>2</sup> of slide (see discussion in the text). The *H. sellii* and *C. macintyrei* abundances were plotted as percentages in a count of 100 *Helicosphaera* spp. and 50 *Calcidiscus* spp., respectively.

#### Serra Petrizza section.

A stratigraphical succession, three hundred metres thick, has been measured between the Casa Leo and Serra Petrizza localities, east-northeast of Aliano village (F° 211 of the "Carta Geologica d'Italia", 1:100.000; I SW). The calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy allows to refer the basal samples of the section to the large Gephyrocapsa Zone (Fig. 5 and 6, Tab. 4). The large Gephyrocapsa specimens are very few and rarely exceed 5.5 m in size. The abundance drop of large Gephyrocapsa occurs between the samples 7 and 8 and upwards this taxon becomes very rare. At the same level the percentage of H. sellii decreases below 8% in a count of 100 helicolithids (Fig. 6). For the pelagic sediments of the Mediterranean area, the LO of H. sellii is defined by its drop below 1% with respect to 50 helicolithids (Rio et al., 1990). These data point out that the reworking in terrigenous sediments makes it difficult to recognize the disappearance events.

The samples 8 and 9 have been referred to the small Gephyrocapsa Zone, despite the temporary disappearance of medium sized Gephyrocapsa and the small Gephyrocapsa dominance are not evident because of reworking and "diluition" of nannofossils. Moreover, Raffi et al. (1993) show the temporary disappearances of medium sized Gephyrocapsa occurring at several Pleistocene stratigraphic levels. The first occurrence of *Gephyrocapsa* sp. 3 occurs in sample 10 and it defines the base of the *P. lacunosa* Zone. Generally *Gephyrocapsa* sp. 3 is 4-4.5 $\mu$ m in size, but close to its appearance also measures 3.5 to 4  $\mu$ m; its abundance is very low (0.1-0.6 specimens per mm<sup>2</sup>). This is probably due to the terrigenous nature of the studied section, whose upper part corresponds to the proximal facies of the fan-delta system and to a fluvial system (Fig. 5). For the same reason, the drop in abundance of *Gephyrocapsa* sp. 3 at the top of the section (Fig. 6) cannot be surely interpreted as its last occurrence.

The analyses of Foraminifera assemblages allow to recognize *Bulimina etnea*, *Hyalinea balthica*, *Globigerinoides tenellus* and *Globorotalia inflata*. *G. truncatulinoides excelsa*, a very rare taxon in Mediterranean sections, is absent in all the samples.

#### Conclusions.

The quantitative study on the nannofossil assemblages is necessary to recognize biozonal events and to obtain precise age-assignment for the terrigenous sediments. The Pleistocene Foraminifera assemblages are less meaningful to detailed biostratigraphy because the markers are very rare or absent.

The genus Gephyrocapsa has proven to be a good taxon for the Pleistocene biostratigraphy of the terrige-

								EA	RL	Y	1	MI	DD	LE	I	PLE	IST	00	CEN	<b>JE</b>											AGE	Serra
	arge	Gep	hyro	caps	a	r,	sma	ll G	1					Pse	eudo	emi	liani	ia 1	acur	iosa				-		_					NANNOFOSSILS Rio et al. (1990)	Peti
	G.	caria	acoe	nsis		1	/						Glo	obor	otal	ia tri	inca	tulir	noid	es e	xcel	sa									Colalongo & Sartoni (1979)	SZI
-	2	3	4	s	6	4	- 00	9	10	н	12	13	4	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	Samples	
0	>	0	0	R	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ч	ч	0	0	0	0	0	Т	-12	0	ST	ST	0	ST	ST	ST	ST	ST	ST	ABUNDANCE	
Ρ	Μ	М	Р	<	Р	Р	p	P	ъ	<	<	p	<	ъ	<	<	Р	<	<	P	<	$\mathbb{R}^{2}$	$\langle \Psi \rangle$	<			$(\cdot)$		$(\pm)$	$(\mathbf{r})$	PRESERVATION	
0	0	0	0	<	π	T	Ŧ	0	0	0	T	0	71	0	0	0	1	T	T	ч	π	2	8	T	8		9	٠			REWORKING	1
		4	4	28	ч	1				×	ų,	×	×	4	14	×	10	+1	*	*	π	÷.	1	*				٠			Braarudosphaera bigelowii	
0	0	0	0	0	F	11	0	0	0	0	Т	0	R	0	R	0	T.	0	R	0	T)	35	28	2				۲		*	Calcidiscus leptoporus	
×	×	v	×	$\overline{D}$	ж	×	×	20	×	х	×	×	1	×	9	×	x	1		•	-	2		*		2	-		*		Calcidiscus macintyrei	
A	>	A	>	R	A	C	A	A	P	A	>	Þ	0	A	C	A	A	A	A	0	0			0	×	+	*	8	8		Coccolithus pelagicus	CA
A	>	0	A	8	Α	0	A	A	0	0	A	0	Ŧ	0	0	0	A	0	A	0	0	8	25 	0		12 	点 11	3	15	10	Dictyococcites productus	5
0	0	0	<	2	73	0	×	0	н	<		×	-	×	S.,	~	<	<	*										.+		Gephyrocapsa caribbeanica	1 A
A	A	A	T	*	0	A	P	0	A	~	R	R	R	0	1	-		्या ्	_	(2)	:*)									- 2	Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.i.	EO
A	A	A (	A	8) 23	A	0		P	ି <u>ଜ</u>	385 385	A	0	a	- 11	- 10	्म 	>	A	~		а. С	10	8	~	30 	8	20 02	(2) (2)	8	- 10	large Gephyrocapsa	S
т	a	0	2	10 12	-12	~	1.5	2	Ê	~	÷.	2	~	2	4	S	_			÷.	÷.					÷.					Genhyrocansa sn. 3	NA
	~	~		-		0	~		1	~	_	2	-	0	0	0	~	0	-	0	-	3	10	55 52	3	2	8	12	12	1	Helicosphaera carteri	22
-	-	-	1	- 670. 1	-	-	1 -	8	2	-		×	4	×	0.40	×	×		1		1	22 10	a.	~ 34	2	~ *	÷.	3			Helicosphaera sellij	0
0	0		0	-	0	0		0	0	0	T	T	10	-	0	0	0	Ŧ	-10		-			<							Pontosphaera spp.	08
A	>	×	>	R	×	T	õ	>	>	R	0	0	-11	0	0	>		0	0	0	R	-	4	0	<u>s</u> .	ŝ.	4	3	- 52	54	Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	Ĕ
A	>	0	>	2	>	0	0	0	>	-	0	-	-11	0	0	A	A	0	0	0	15			0	÷.	38	а÷	19	Ξđ	1	Reticulofenestra minuta	10
A	A	0	>		A	0	>	A	>	-	11	-	-	-	0	×	A	C	С	0	T			C	ų.	i.	18	ä	ŝ.	3	Reticulofenestra minutula	
-	0	4	-	2	4	120	ч	-	-	-	<		34		-	H	2		-	4	н	<u>.</u>	4		2	÷.	÷.		×	1	Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilicus	
-	1	<	Υ.		3		R	-	- 72	-13	<	-11	æ	-	V	R	÷	3		12	85	13	i.e	$\sim$		2					small R. pseudoumbilicus	
-	R	R	R	20	12	0.00	52	<	T		R	R		<	R	τt	<	8		9	14	2	2	÷.	2	2	S2	$\mathbb{N}_{2}$	12	12	Rhabdosphaera spp.	
-	×	R	×	¥	34		¥2)	48	22	22	а.	14	5	Sel.	10	ě.		32		æ	1	3	18	28	8	28	38	×	18		Scyphosphaera spp.	
0	0	T	0	æ	T	T	T	0	0	0	0	T	R	X	R	T	0	0	77	T	T	2	2	<		1.1	2	10	.0	3	Syracosphaera spp.	
		+	*	•	4				•	20		4	5	2	1.	$\overline{\mathcal{M}}$	1		12	÷1	$\mathbb{R}^{2}$	9	32	*				×.	50		Globigerina atlantisae	
	*	*	5	$\hat{\kappa}$	*			ं ंव		8	*	8	*	*	*		*	*	82	•	$\otimes$		$\otimes$	*	$\sim$	$(\pm)$	8	*	۲	$^{\circ}$	Globigerina bulbosa	
*	*	*		*	*	*		9		12	*	*	*	*	*	late i	*	5	20	٠							÷		٠		Globigerina bulloides	
3	•			13	12	1	120		-	43	÷	*	*		24	543	-	¥3	27	×	(ā)	٠	4	×.,				*		(4)	Globigerina calabra	
6		*		81	36	19		0.62	*		$\geq$	3	3	25	22	393		<b>8</b> 0	20	35		2	20	-		$\langle \mathbf{r} \rangle$			$\underline{\ast}$	8	Globigerina falconensis	
*	•		$\mathbb{R}^{*}_{\mathcal{C}}$	$\overline{C}$	3	*	ži sa		1	10		1	1	1	2	3	÷.	훬	9	٠		3	*	1	*	*		*	-	4	Globigerina microfoliata	
÷.,	*	8	*	10	2	*	60 a)) -	ା	6.12	×.,		*	۰.	294 	٩.,	040 - 110		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	(*)		•	٠		Globigerina quadrilatera	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		5.5			25	*	*	*	*		*	2	*	12	а С	1 1 1	*		1		÷.	18. 	1 -	Globigerina quinqueloba	
st	N.,	2	2	*	*	1.0	*		K 8.	1	*	8		2			*							÷		*			ас 	- 41	Globigerinita spp.	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*		•	*		*	*	*	0.09			*0	•	*	•				×			•	*	. 4	*	Globigerinoides conglobatus	
18. 17.	•	*	*	*	18	102	*		6 *	÷	15	19 10	*	03 6 - 52	185	08	18 1 1 an	- 12 - 13	*		18 10	18 20	15 12	्यः	15 10	10 20	18. 20		- X	10	Globigerinoides diminutus	
*	*	*	*		*	192	*		1		1	*					*			_	÷.				Č					÷.	Globigerinoides elongatus	
<u> </u>	*	*	*				10	а <sup>ж</sup>		÷.,	<u>.</u>			1	1					÷.	÷.			2	5	2	3	3	- 2	÷.	" obligante obligante	
	85 22	2	1	<u>_</u>	88 10	2383) 11177		5 8	- 5		1.000	10					5 72. 5 22	- 65 - 20	10 20		8	- 15	2	С 14	С 2	С	ः प्र	2	1	 	Globigerinoides pyramidalis	
				?	Ĩ.,														÷.			2				_					Globigerinoides quadrilobatus	12
							- 21	- ŝ	- 2	- 2		14	8	2	1	2	2		2	2	4	ŝ.	ñ.	÷2	2	÷.	12	ii.	2		" trilobus immaturus	NA
20 22	200 #15	*	*		12	192		- 6			- 16	сс 24		2	14	- 20	10	*1	÷	×	$\sim$	æ		36	28					×	" trilobus sacculifer	TAT
		*		*	*			4.5								• :	10												÷.		" trilobus trilobus	NIC
	*	*	*	*	+			e îs							*	*	*	*	*	-	_	5	à.	*			æ	3	- 82	a.	Globigerinoides ruber	E.
	*		-	8	3		*	n n R R		×	*					*	5.85			×						35	3	æ	æ	st.	Globigerinoides tenellus	3
	*	*	*	*	*	1					*	÷				*	1	8		ŵ.	<u>.</u>	æ	2	*	÷.			4	12	2	Globorotalia acostaensis	
5	22	5	197	2	54	12	23	,	e xi	Q.	4	14	19	343	18	40	10	8	33	×	34	78	æ	*	- 14			38		$\sim$	Globorotalia bermudezi	
*	*	*	*	*				6 8	2	3	(5)	2	27		1	*			æ	3		1.5		*					3		Globorotalia inflata	
*	8	1	*	*			÷	6. 9		2	4	12	*		3		2	2	4	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	14	$\mathbb{R}^{2}_{\mathbf{a}}$	3		104	1	$\sim$	$\mathbb{R}$	$\sim$	$\overline{\alpha}$	Globorotalia obesa	
	<u>a</u> :	2		×	34	-	e e	,	• e	×	24	-	2		s	$(\mathbf{x})$	•		$\simeq$		26	it.	÷	58			$\simeq$		$\geq$	3	Globorotalia oscitans	
	*	*	18	*	*					æ	22	0.t	3	*		*	8			X	3	3	3		3	ž	۲		3	i.	Globorotalia sp.	
•	÷		e.		Ċ.		27	9	4	3		74	54	629	10	22	÷	Ŧ	$(\mathbf{x})$	4	$\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{k}}$	54	52	*	- 12	3	8	ίž.	12	3	Hastigerina pelagica	
	*				3	÷	8			×	$^{28}$	:0	- 00	00	÷	$\epsilon$	$\mathbf{x}$	$\langle \mathbf{r} \rangle$	$(\mathbf{r})$	$\sim$	$\sim$	3	13	$\sim$	38	34	đ	$^{\circ}$	$\mathbb{R}^{2}$	25	Hastigerina siphonifera	
	*	24	*		2			į	+ +	3		2:1		*		*		5	5		2	8		ž.	đ	ð		i.		ł	Neogloboquadrina pachyderma	
	*	÷.	22	2	14.1		ę z	7	$\odot$	÷	4	5.	142	ŝ	×		2		÷	20	19	8	22	54	32	19	Q.	9	37	×	Orbulina bilobata	
*	*	54	80	*	*	5.8	$\mathbf{x}$	×		3	$\sim$	2	$(\pi)$				×		×	$\sim$	3	27	$\geq t$		22	22	1	22	33	32	Orbulina suturalis	
*	*	*	*	*				1	* *						6.8				*	12	-	197		*		12	4	12	(4	4	Orbulina universa	
*	*	*	*				6 8	1	* *	- 18		8		6 (18			*		*			2			•	٠	2*	07		ilet 	Bulimina etnea	
*	*							e		1.0	÷		ALC: NO				*	12							17	3	3	1	1	- 23	Hyalinea balthica	

Tab. 4 - Calcareous nannofossil and planktonic foraminifera distribution chart of Serra Petrizza section. See legend of Tab. 1.

nous sediments. Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l., large Gephyrocapsa, Gephyrocapsa sp. 3 and small Gephyrocapsa represent morphotypes whose distribution is very useful for the Pleistocene calcareous nannofossil zonation. First appearance and last appearance of gephyrocapsids have been recognized, according to the scheme of Rio et al. (1990). C. macintyrei shows percentage abundances above 10% in the C. macintyrei Zone; reworked specimens of this species (percentage abundances below 5%) have been found in the large Gephyrocapsa and P. lacunosa Zones. The LO of H. sellii is defined by an abundance drop below 8% (in a count of 100 helicolithids) compared to an abundance drop below 1% as in pelagic sediments (Rio et al., 1990). Because of the reworking, in terrigenous sediments the appearance data are more reliable biostratigraphic events than the last occurrences.

Previous workers referred the Pleistocene marine units in the S. Arcangelo Basin to the Early Pleistocene, both on the basis of Foraminifera (*H. balthica* Zone, Lentini & Vezzani, 1974) and qualitative nannofossil analyses (NN19 Zone, "for the presence of *G. oceanica* and *P. lacunosa*", Hippolyte et al., 1991). The presence of *Gephyrocapsa* sp. 3 in the Serra Petrizza section and the recognition of the *P. lacunosa* Zone suggest that the marine sedimentation in the S. Arcangelo Basin extended up to the Middle Pleistocene. Therefore, the scheme of Rio et al. (1990) and the calcareous nannofossil quantitative analyses improve the biostratigraphic resolution and provide new chronostratigraphic data on the sedimentary evolution of the Plio-Pleistocene Sant'Arcangelo Basin.

#### Acknowledgments.

Thanks are due to S. Monechi for useful discussions on biostratigraphical data of this work. Critical reviews by K. Perch-Nielsen, M. Gaetani and an anonymous referee improved the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Backman J. & Shackleton N. (1983) Quantitative biochronology of Pliocene and Early Pleistocene calcareous nannofossils from the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific oceans. *Mar. Micropaleont.*, v. 8 (1983/1984), pp. 141-170, Amsterdam.
- Brolsma M. J. (1978) Quantitative foraminiferal analysis and environmental interpretation of the Pliocene and topmost Miocene of South coast of Sicily. Utrecht Micropaleont. Bull., v. 18, pp. 1-143, Utrecht.
- Caldara M., Loiacono F., Morlotti E., Pieri P. & Sabato L. (1988a) - Caratteri geologici e paleoambientali dei depositi plio-pleistocenici del Bacino di Sant'Arcangelo (parte settentrionale); Italia meridionale. Atti 74° Congr. Naz. S.G.I., v. B, pp. 51-58, Sorrento.
- Caldara M., Loiacono F., Morlotti E., Pieri P. & Sabato L. (1988b) - I depositi plio-pleistocenici della parte nord del Bacino di S. Arcangelo (Appennino lucano): caratteri geologici e paleoambientali. *Mem. Soc. Geol. It.*, v. 41, pp. 391-410, Roma.
- Camarlinghi R., Patacca E., Santini U., Scandone P. & Tozzi M. (1994) - Il Bacino pleistocenico di Sant'Arcangelo. Relazioni tra tettonica e sedimentazione. In "Geologia delle aree di avampaese", Riassunti della 76a Riunione estiva - Congresso Nazionale della Soc. Geol. It., pp. 206-208, Bari 26-28 ottobre.
- Carbone S., Catalano S., Lazzeri S., Lentini F. & Monaco C. (1991) - Presentazione della carta geologica del bacino del Fiume Agri (Basilicata). *Mem. Soc. Geol. It.*, v. 47, pp. 129-143, Roma.
- Castradori D. (1993) Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy and biochronology in eastern Mediterranean deep-sea cores. *Riv. It. Paleont. Strat.*, v. 99, n. 1, pp. 107-126, Milano.
- Channell J. E. T., Di Stefano E. & Sprovieri R. (1992) Calcareous Plankton Biostratigraphy, Magnetostratigraphy

and paleoclimatic History of the Plio-Pleistocene Monte San Nicola Section (Southern Sicily). *Boll. Soc. Paleont. It.*, v. 31, pp. 351-382, Modena.

- Channell J. E. T., Rio D., Sprovieri R. & Glaçon G. (1990) -Biomagnetostratigraphic correlation from Leg 107 in the Tyrrenian sea. In Kastens K. A., Mascle J. et al. -*Proceedings of the ODP, Scientific Results*, v. 107, pp. 669-682, Washington.
- Colalongo M. L. & Sartoni S. (1979) Schema biostratigrafico per il Pliocene e il basso Pleistocene in Italia. *Contributo Carta Neotettonica Italiana*, Publ. 251, pp. 645-654, Roma.
- Gartner S. (1977) Calareous nannofossil biostratigraphy and revised zonation of the Pleistocene. Mar. Micropaleont., v. 2, pp. 1-25, Amsterdam.
- Haq B. U., Berggren W. & Van Couvering J. A. (1977) Corrected age of the Plio-Pleistocene boundary. *Nature*, v. 269, pp. 483-488, New York.
- Hilgen F.J. (1991) Astronomical calibration of Gauss to Matuyama sapropels in the Mediterranean and implication for Geomagnetic Polarity Time Scale. *Earth Planet. Sc. Letters*, v. 104, pp. 226-244, Amsterdam.
- Hippolyte J., Angelier J., Roure F. & Müller C. (1991) -Géométrie et mécanisme de formation d'un bassin "piggyback": le bassin de Sant'Arcangelo (Italie méridionale). C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 312, (II), pp. 1373-1378, Paris.
- Lentini F. (1967) Le successioni stratigrafiche plio-pleistoceniche sui due lati della dorsale Nocara-Colobraro (MT). *Atti Acc. Gioenia Sc. Nat.*, s. 6, v. 18, pp. 181-206, Catania.
- Lentini F. (1968) Stratigrafia micropaleontologica dei terreni plio-pleistocenici di S. Arcangelo (Potenza). Atti Acc. Gioenia Sc. Nat., s. 6, v. 19, pp. 255-344, Catania.

- Lentini F. (1969a) Facies e stratigrafia dei depositi pliocenici affioranti tra il F. Agri e la zona di Craco (MT). Atti Acc. Gioenia Sc. Nat., s. 7, v. 1, pp. 529-556, Catania.
- Lentini F. (1969b) Sezioni stratigrafiche plioceniche nella Val d'Agri presso Gannano (MT). Atti Acc. Gioenia Sc. Nat., s. 6, v. 20, pp. 19-79, Catania.
- Lentini F. & Vezzani L. (1974) Note illustrative del Foglio 506 "S. Arcangelo". C.N.R. I.R.P.I., 46 pp., Cosenza.
- Loiacono F., Marino M., Pieri P. & Sabato L. (1994) The tectonic and sedimentary evolution of a Plio-Pleistocene piggyback in the southern Apennine (Sant'Arcangelo Basin). RCMNS Iterim Colloquium "Neogene Basin and Tectonics of the Mediterranean Area", Abstracts. Rabat, April 7-8, 1994.
- Maiorano P. (1993) Plio-Pleistocene biostratigraphy of terrigenous sediments in the Southern apenninic foredeep (Italy). *INA Newsletters*, v. 15, pp. 76-77, Salamanca.
- Marino M. (1992) Biostratigrafia integrata a nannofossili calcarei e foraminiferi planctonici di alcune successioni terrigene plio-pleistoceniche di avanfossa (Bacino di Sant'Arcangelo e margine appenninico della Fossa bradanica - Italia Meridionale). Tesi di Dottorato, Università di Bari, 1992, 125 pp.
- Marino M. (1994) Biostratigrafia integrata a nannofossili calcarei e foraminiferi planctonici di alcune successioni terrigene pliocenico-superiori del Bacino di Sant'Arcangelo (Appennino meridionale). *Boll. Soc. Geol. It.*, v. 113, pp. 329-354, Roma.
- Marino M. & Monechi S. (1993) Integrated calcareous plankton biost Atigraphy of Pliocene-Pleistocene terrigenous deposits (Southern Apennine, Italy). *INA Newsletters*, v. 15, pp. 77-78, Salamanca.
- Martini E. (1971) Standard Tertiary and Quaternary calcareous nannoplankton zonation. In A. Farinacci (Ed.) -*Proc. II Planktonic Conference*, Roma 1970, pp. 738-785, Roma.
- Mostardini F. & Merlini S. (1986) Appennino centro-meridionale. Sezioni geologiche e proposta di modello strutturale. *Mem. Soc. Geol. It.*, v. 35, pp. 177-202, Roma.
- Ogniben L. (1969a) Note illustrative del F.211, "S. Arcangelo". Serv. Geol. It., 80 pp., Roma.
- Ogniben L. (1969b) Schema introduttivo alla geologia del confine calabro-lucano. *Mem. Soc. Geol. It.*, v. 8, pp. 453-763, Roma.
- Okada H. & Bukry D. (1980) Supplementary modification and introduction of code numbers to the low-latitude coccolith biostratigraphic zonation (Bukry, 1973; 1975). *Mar. Micropaleont.*, v. 5, pp. 321-325, Amsterdam.
- Patacca E. & Scandone P. (1989) Post-Tortonian mountain building in the Apennines. The role of the passive sinking of a relic lithospheric slab. Acc. Naz. Lincei, Atti dei Convegni Lincei, v. 80, pp. 157-176, Roma.
- Pieri P., Sabato L. & Loiacono F. (1993) Carta geologica del Bacino di Sant'Arcangelo (tra il Torrente Sauro e il Fiume Agri). Grafiche Paternoster, Matera.
- Pieri P., Sabato L., Loiacono F. & Marino M. (1994) Il Bacino di piggy back di Sant'Arcangelo: evoluzione tettonico-sedimentaria. *Boll. Soc. Geol. It.*, v. 113, pp. 465-481, Roma.

- Pieri P., Sabato L. & Marino M. (1996) The Plio-Pleistocene piggyback Sant'Arcangelo Basin: tectonic and sedimentary evolution. Notes et Mémoires du Service Géologique du Maroc, Rabat. In press.
- Raffi I., Backman J., Rio D. & Shackleton N. (1993) Plio-Pleistocene nannofossil biostratigraphy and calibration to oxygen isotope stratigraphies from DSDP Site 607 and ODP Site 677. *Paleoceanography*, v. 8, n. 3, pp. 387-408, Washington.
- Raffi I. & Rio D. (1979) Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy of DSDP Site 132-Leg 13 (Tyrrhenian sea-western Mediterranean). *Riv. It. Paleont. Strat.*, v. 85, n. 1, pp. 127-172, Milano.
- Rio D. (1982) The fossil distribution of Coccolithophore Genus Gephyrocapsa Kamptner and related Plio-Pleistocene Chronostratigraphic problems. In Prell W. L., Gardner J. V. et al., 1982, Initial Rep. DSDP, v. 18, pp. 325-343, Washington.
- Rio D., Raffi I. & Villa G. (1990) Pliocene-Pleistocene nannofossil distribution patterns in the Western Mediterranean. In Kastens K. A., Mascle J. et al. - *Proceedings of* ODP, Scientific Results, v. 107, pp. 513-533, Washington.
- Ruddiman W.F., Raymo M.E., Martinson D.G., Clement B.M. & Backman J. (1989) - Pleistocene evolution: northern hemisphere ice sheets and North Atlantic Ocean. *Paleoceanography*, v. 4, pp. 353-412, Washington.
- Sanvoisin R., D'Onofrio S., Lucchi R., Violanti D. & Castradori D. (1993) - 1 Ma Paleoclimatic record from the eastern Mediterranean. Marflux Project: first results of a micropaleontological and sedimentological investigation of a long piston core from the Calabrian ridge. *Il Quaternario*, v. 6, pp. 169-188, Roma.
- Shackleton N. J, Berger A. & Peltier W.R. (1990) An alternative astronomical calibration of the lower Pleistocene timescale based on ODP Site 677. Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, v. 81, pp. 251-261, Edinburgh.
- Sprovieri R. (1993) Pliocene-Early Pleistocene astronomically forced planktonic Foraminifera abundance fluctuations and chronology of Mediterranean calcareous plankton bio-events. *Riv. It. Paleont. Strat.*, v. 99, n. 3, pp. 371-414, Milano.
- Sprovieri R., Ruggieri G. & Unti M. (1980) Globorotalia truncatulinoides excelsa N. subsp., foraminifero planctonico guida per il Pleistocene inferiore. Boll. Soc. Geol. It., v. 99, pp. 3-11, Roma.
- Stradner H. (1973) Catalogue of calcareous nannoplankton from sediment of Neogene age in the eastern North Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea. In Ryan W. B. F., Hsü K. J. et al. - *Initial Rep. DSDP*, v. 13, n. 2, pp. 1137-1199, Washington.
- Takayama T. & Sato T. (1987) Coccolith biostratigraphy of the North Atlantic Ocean, Deep Sea Drilling Project Leg 94. In *Initial Rep. DSDP*, v. 94, pp. 651-702, Washington.
- Tauxe L., Opdyke N. P., Pasini G. & Elmi C. (1983) The paleomagnetism of the Vrica section (Calabria, Italy), the proposed Pliocene/Pleistocene boundary-stratotype section. *Nature*, v. 304, pp. 125-129, London.

- Thunell R. C. (1979) Mediterranean Neogene planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy: quantitative results from D.S.D.P. Sites 125, 132, and 372. *Micropal.*, v. 25, pp. 412-437, New York.
- Vergnaud Grazzini C., Capotondi L. & Lourens L. (1994) A refined Pliocene to early Pleistocene chronostratigraphy frame at ODP Hole 653A (West Mediterranean). *Mar. Geol.*, v. 117, pp. 329-349, Amsterdam.
- Vezzani L. (1966) La sezione stratigrafica di Caliandro nel Pliocene medio-inferiore della Val d'Agri (Lucania). *Riv. It. Paleont. Strat.*, v. 72, n. 1, pp. 191-229; v. 72, n.2, pp. 287-297, Milano.
- Vezzani L. (1967a) Il bacino pliopleistocenico di S. Arcangelo (Lucania). Atti Acc. Gioenia Sc. Nat. s. 6, v. 18, pp. 207-227, Catania.
- Vezzani L. (1967b) La sezione stratigrafica pleistocenica di Castronuovo di S. Andrea (Potenza). Riv. It. Paleont. Strat., Mem. v. 13, pp. 11-59, Milano.
- Vezzani L., 1968 Geologia della tavoletta "Castronuovo di S.Andrea" (Prov. di Potenza F°. 211 IV SE). Atti Acc. Gioenia Sc. Nat., s. 6, v. 19, pp. 9-108, Catania.

Received April 4, 1995; accepted February 14, 1996