

A NEW RECORD OF PHYSETEROIDEA FROM THE UPPER MIOCENE OF THE PIETRA LECCESE (SOUTHERN ITALY): SYSTEMATICS, PALEOECOLOGY AND TAPHONOMY OF A FOSSIL MACRORAPTORIAL SPERM WHALE

EMANUELE PERI^{1,2,*}, ALBERTO COLLARETA² & GIOVANNI BIANUCCI²

¹*Corresponding author. Dottorato Regionale Pegaso in Scienze della Terra, Università di Pisa, via Santa Maria 53, 56126 Pisa, Italy. E-mail: emanuele.peri@phd.unipi.it

²Dipartimento Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Pisa, Via S. Maria 53 56126 Pisa, Italy.

E-mail: emanuele.peri@phd.unipi.it; alberto.collareta@unipi.it; giovanni.bianucci@unipi.it

To cite this article: Peri E., Collareta A. & Bianucci G. (2020) - A new record of Physeteroidea from the Upper Miocene of the Pietra leccese (southern Italy): systematics, paleoecology and taphonomy of a fossil macroraptorial sperm whale. *Rin. It. Paleontol. Strat.*, 126(3): 751-769.

Keywords: Cetacea; Odontoceti; teeth; biostratinomy; scavenging; Mediterranean Sea; Salento Peninsula; Tortonian.

Abstract. We report on a partial skeleton of sperm whale (Cetacea, Odontoceti, Physeteroidea) from the Pietra leccese, a Miocene limestone widely exposed in the Salento Peninsula (southern Italy). This specimen was found in Tortonian strata cropping out at the Cisterna quarry, not far from the holotype of the stem physeteroid Zygophyster varolai. The presence of a deep and rectilinear groove medial to the tympanosquamosal recess of the squamosal, the bowed mandibles, and some dental features suggest that this specimen belongs to a still undescribed new genus and species of macroraptorial sperm whale that displays some affinities with the late Miocene Acrophyseter from Peru. Nevertheless, due to the incompleteness and poor preservation state of the skull, we abstain from creating a new taxon. The teeth exhibit both apical wear and deep occlusal facets, and three teeth even lost their crowns. These dental modifications suggest that the studied specimen used a raptorial feeding strategy for preying upon food items such as large-sized bony fishes or diminutive marine mammals. The bones are mostly disarticulated and broken, and some of them preserve traces hinting at the action of macro-scavengers, possibly including both sharks and bony fishes. Furthermore, the skull is pervasively encrusted by oysters, which suggests that it laid on the seafloor for a long time before being buried. This find provides new clues about the composition of the Miocene vertebrate assemblage of the Pietra leccese and indicates that various macroraptorial sperm whale species inhabited the Mediterranean Basin during the Tortonian.

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the superfamily Physeteroidea represents a small group of odontocete cetaceans consisting of only three living species: the great sperm whale (*Physeter macrocephalus*), the pygmy sperm whale (*Kogia breviceps*), and the dwarf sperm

Received: April 9, 2020; accepted: August 29, 2020

whale (*Kogia sima*). In spite of their low extant diversity, physeteroids are also known by several fossil species, which suggest an Oligocene origin (Mchedlidze 1970) and, especially, a significant radiation during the subsequent Miocene (Bianucci & Landini 2006; Kimura & Hasegawa 2006; Lambert 2008; Lambert et al. 2010, 2016, in press; Boersma & Pyenson 2015; Collareta et al. 2017, in press; Benites-Palomino et al. 2020; Paolucci et al. 2020). The Miocene sperm whales display an impressive variety of cranial morphologies and reconstructed

body sizes. Furthermore, differing from modern Physeteroidea that feed upon deep-water cephalopods and fish by means of suction, several Miocene sperm whales were raptorial predators that used their powerful bites to grab, break or tear apart their prey items (Lambert et al. 2010, 2014, 2016; Lambert & Bianucci 2019). Unfortunately, a significant part of the rich fossil record of physeteroids consists of fragmentary and poorly diagnostic remains (mostly isolated teeth) (Hampe 2006; Vélez-Juarbe et al. 2015; Lambert et al. 2016). For this reason, the real extent of the past ecological diversity of this odontocete clade is still far from being completely understood. Here we report on a new partial skeleton of Physeteroidea from the Tortonian strata of a calcareous formation of southern Italy, informally named Pietra leccese. This new specimen, kept at the Museo di Storia Naturale, Università di Pisa, consists of several fragmentary bones pervasively encrusted by oysters. Hereinafter, we provide a detailed description of this specimen and briefly discuss its systematic affinities, functional morphology, paleoecology and taphonomic history.

Institutional abbreviations: IRSNB: Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique, Bruxelles, Belgium; MAS, Museo Arqueologico de Salango, Salango, Ecuador; MAUS, Museo dell'Ambiente, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy; MGPUF, Museo di Storia Naturale, Sezione di Geologia e Paleontologia, Università degli Studi di Firenze, Firenze, Italy; MLP, Museo de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina; MNHN, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France; MSNC, Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Comiso, Comiso, Italy; MSNUP, Museo di Storia Naturale, Università di Pisa, Calci, Italy; MUSM, Museo de Historia Natural de la Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Lima, Peru; MUSNAF, Museo di Storia Naturale dell'Accademia dei Fisiocritici, Siena, Italy; USNM, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington DC, USA.

List of specimens directly examined for comparison: Acrophyseter deinodon (MNHN SAS 1626); Acrophyseter robustus (MNHN PI 239); Acrophyseter sp. (MUSM 2182); Albicetus oxymycterus (USNM 10923); Aprixokogia kelloggi (USNM 187015); Diaphorocetus poucheti (MLP 5-6); Eudelphis mortzelensis (IRSNB M.523); Kogia breviceps (MAS 4000; MNHN 1976-37; USNM 283625); Kogia pusilla (MGPUF 1540V); Kogia sima (MSNC 3450; MUSNAF Mam410); Koristocetus pescei (MUSM 888); Livyatan melvillei (MUSM 1676); Orcinus orca (MSNUP 298; MSNUP 301); Orycterocetus crocodilinus (USNM 22926); Orycterocetus sp. (MAUS 29/1); Physeter macrocephalus (MNHN 1831; MSNUP 265, 266, 267); Physeterula dubusi (IRSNB M.527); Pliokogia apenninica (MSNUP I-17603); Scaldicetus caretti (IRSNB M.512); Scaphokogia cochlearis (MNHN PPI 229, MUSM 971, MUSM 1998); Scaphokogia totajpe (MUSM 973); Scaphokogia sp. (MUSM 972); Scaphokogiinae sp. (MUSM 3291; MUSM 3405); Thalassocetus antwerpiensis (IRSNB M.525); Zygophyseter varolai (MAUS 229/1).

GEOLOGICAL AND PALEONTOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

The Pietra leccese is a Miocene calcareous formation exposed in the Salento Peninsula, i.e., the southern portion of the Apulia region of southeastern Italy (Fig. 1a). It consists of yellowish, weakly stratified biomicrites and biosparites that are rich in planktic foraminifera and calcareous nannofossils (Bossio et al. 2005; Margiotta 2015). The Pietra leccese overlies the Aquitanian Lecce Formation and, at the top, it gradually passes into the Messinian Calcareniti di Andrano Formation, which closes the Miocene sedimentary cycle of Salento (Mazzei et al. 2009). According to micropaleontological studies, the Pietra leccese spans between the early Burdigalian and the late Tortonian, and its depositional environment was the outer shelf (Bossio et al. 2005; Mazzei et al. 2009). The deposition of the Pietra leccese was repeatedly interrupted, resulting in significant hiatuses that are often marked by accumulations of glauconite (Bossio et al. 2005; Margiotta 2006, 2015; Mazzei et al. 2009). These interruptions in deposition might explain why the thickness of this formation is so reduced (i.e., a few tens of meters) even though its sedimentation lasted for about 11 million years (Margiotta 2006; Mazzei et al. 2009).



Fig. 1 - Geographic locations of the finding site and stratigraphic position of the studied specimen. (a) Location of the site where the fossil cetacean specimen MSNUP I-17076 was found (Cisterna quarry, black star) on a schematic regional geological map. Sand brown-shaded areas indicate the exposures of the Pietra leccese, the Miocene calcarenite limestone from which the fossil originates. Redrawn and modified after Calia et al. (2013). (b) Section of the Cisterna quarry with the stratigraphical position of the cetacean specimens MSNUP I-17076 (the physeteroid specimen studied herein), MAUS 229/1 (holotype of the physeteroid Zygophyseter varolat), MAUS 240 (holotype of the ziphiid Messapicetus longirostris), and MSNUP I-15760 (referred specimen of Messapicetus longirostris). Redrawn and modified after Mazzei et al. (2009).

The Pietra leccese is widely known for its abundant fossil record of marine vertebrates that includes cetaceans, sirenians, turtles, and bony and cartilaginous fishes. Cetaceans are represented by both Odontoceti (toothed whales) and Mysticeti (baleen whales) (Bianucci & Varola 2014). Odontocetes include the holotypes of "Eurhinodelphis" salentinus (Eurhinodelphinidae), Hesperoinia dalpiazi (Inioidea?), Messapicetus longirostris (Ziphiidae), Rudicetus squalodontoides (Kentriodontidae), Zygophyseter varolai (Physeteroidea), and fragmentary remains of Inticetidae and Squalodontidae (Moncharmont Zei 1950, 1956; Bianucci et al. 1992, 1994a, b, 2016; Bianucci & Varola 2014; Bianucci & Landini 2006; Peri et al. 2019). Mysticetes include the holotype of Archaeschrichtius ruggieroi (Eschrichtiidae) and fragmentary remains of Balaenidae and Cetotheriidae (Bisconti & Varola 2000, 2006; pers. obs.). Sirenians are represented by the dugongid Metaxytherium medium (Bianucci et al. 2003). Sea turtle remains belong to Psephophorus polygonus (Dermochelyidae), Trachyaspis lardyi (Cheloniidae) and indeterminate cheloniids (Chesi et al. 2007). Bony fishes (Osteichthyes) include members of the families Istiophoridae (Makaira), Scombridae (Acanthocybium and Scomberomorus), Fistularidae (Fistularia), Serranidae and Holocentridae (Carnevale et al. 2002). Cartilaginous fishes (Chondrichtyes) belong to Lamniformes, Carchariniformes and Myliobatiformes (Menesini 1969; Sorce 2009). Lastly, marine vertebrate Digestichnia (including one coprolite and putative gastroliths) have also been reported (Tavani 1973; Collareta et al. 2019b).

Systematic paleontology

CETACEA Brisson, 1762 ODONTOCETI Flower, 1867 **Physeteroidea** Gray, 1821 Physeteroidea gen. et sp. indet. Figs. 2-13

Referred material: MSNUP I-17076, a partial skeleton consisting of the fragmentary cranium and mandibles, 20 detached teeth (some of which are incomplete), the incomplete atlas, the left radius and the incomplete ulna, six fragmentary ribs, and other fragments of postcranial bones. All these remains were enclosed in six blocks of limestone cut during the quarrying activities. Unfortunately, only some of the blocks containing the partial skeleton were recovered. Most of the preserved bones are broken and/or cut in several portions due both to taphonomic processes and cutting of the limestone blocks while still in the quarry.

Horizon and locality: MSNUP I-17076 was found in 1987 by Angelo Varola at the Cisterna quarry (indicative geographic coordinates: 40°19'35" N; 18°11'40" E), near Cavallino (Salento Peninsula, southern Italy) (Fig. 1a). In this quarry, a 22-m-thick stratigraphic section of Pietra leccese was measured (Fig. 1b) and dated by means of foraminiferal biostratigraphy to an age interval spanning from the Langhian to the middle Tortonian (Mazzei et al. 2009). MSNUP I-17076 was collected ca. 1.3 m below the top of the section, i.e., ca. 25 cm above the holotype of Zygophyseter varolai and ca. 80 cm above the holotype of Messapicetus longirostris (Bianucci & Landini 2006; Bianucci et al. 1992, 2016). The middle and upper portions of the Cisterna section, including MSNUP I-17076 and the holotypes of Z. varolai and M. longirostris (Fig. 1b), was dated to the Neogloboquadrina acostaensis zone of Iaccarino & Salvatorini (1982), sensu Foresi et al. (1998), which ranges between ca. 10.5 and 8.14 Ma (middle Tortonian) (Mazzei et. al. 2009; Bianucci et al. 2016).

Description. Except when explicitly stated otherwise, the anatomical terminology utilized in the present paper follows Mead & Fordyce (2009).

Total body length estimate and ontogeny. In MSNUP I-17076, the distance between the proximal limit of the left mandibular fossa and the sagittal plane of the cranium (275 mm) is slightly lower than in Zygophyseter varolai (305 mm), whose total body length was estimated at about 6.5–7 m (Bianucci & Landini 2006). Based on these data, it is reasonable to hypothesize that MSNUP I-17076 was likely slightly smaller than Z. varolai (total body length between 5.5 and 6.5 m). The closed pulp cavity of the teeth and the pronounced degree of dental wear suggest that MSNUP I-17076 was an adult individual.

Cranium. The cranium was extracted from three limestone blocks: one of these blocks contained most of the neurocranium, excluding its anterior portion, whereas the other two blocks contained the fragmentary rostrum and the anterior portion of the neurocranium. The preserved portion of the rostrum includes the incomplete vomer, the fragmentary premaxillae and maxillae, the left nasal, and the presphenoid.

In dorsal view (Fig. 2), the bones exposed along the rostrum (i.e., the maxillae, premaxillae and vomer) are more or less displaced laterally from their original (anatomical) position. Consequently, it is difficult to reconstruct the original geometry of these bones. The left maxilla exhibits a large, elliptical dorsal infraorbital foramen. The maxilla/premaxilla suture is not clearly discernible; it is probably represented by a light groove running near to the dorsal infraorbital foramen. The right premaxilla exhibits a longitudinal depression that extends lateral to the mesorostral groove. This depression re-



Fig. 2 - Cranium, in dorsal view, of MSNUP I-17076, Physeteroidea indet. from the upper Miocene of the Pietra leccese (southern Italy). Photograph (a) and explanatory line drawing (b). The grey-shaded areas denote the presence of encrusting oysters. The dotted pattern indicates skull areas covered by hard sediment. The oblique black stripes correspond to the areas damaged by the quarrying activities. The stippled lines indicate the reconstructed margins.

presents the sutural surface for the dislocated vomer. At about half of its length, the preserved portion of the right premaxilla hosts a small, elliptical premaxillary foramen (transverse width: 1.4 cm; anteroposterior length: 2.0 cm). The left premaxilla widens transversely, reaching a maximum width of 9.5 cm behind the left bony naris, at level of the left nasal. The vomer is dorsally exposed along the left half of the rostrum; it is V-shaped in cross section and reaches a maximum transverse width of 25 mm. Due to post-mortem breakage, the presphenoid is displaced with respect to its original anatomical position. It is transversely concave and borders the right half of the left bony naris. The right bony naris is significantly smaller than the left one (transverse width: right naris, 5.4 cm; left naris, 1.7 cm). The left naris is aligned to the mesorostral groove. In this respect, MSNUP I-17076 apparently differs from *Acrophyseter deinodon*, *Orycterocetus crocodilinus* and *Zygophyseter varolai*, all having the right bony naris aligned with the mesorostral groove (Bianucci & Landini 2006; Lambert et al. 2016). However, the pronounced displacement of the bones that comprise the preserved part of the rostrum of MSNUP I-17076 suggests considering this character with caution. The preserved left nasal displays a sub-rectangular shape and is superimposed to the posteromedial preserved portion of the left premaxilla. There are no hints suggesting that a right nasal was originally present, this bone being lacking in extant *Physeter* and *Kogia* and never Fig. 3 - Cranium, in ventral view, of MSNUP I-17076, Physeteroidea indet. from the upper Miocene of the Pietra leccese (southern Italy). Photograph (a) and explanatory line drawing (b). The greyshaded areas denote the presence of encrusting oysters. The dotted pattern indicates skull areas covered by hard sediment. The stippled lines indicate the reconstructed margins.



detected in any fossil physeteroid except *Acrophyseter*. The supracranial basin is largely hidden by encrusting oysters and patches of hardened sediment that, coupled with the overall poor state of preservation of the fossil, prevent us to identify the bone sutures on most of the neurocranium. Moreover, based on the preserved material, it is not clear whether the supracranial basin extended onto the rostrum. The posterodorsal portion of the neurocranium is broken and a large hole exposes the brain cavity. Posteriorly to this cavity, the incomplete supraoccipital and part of the right parietal are preserved. Lateral to the supracranial basin, the preserved glenoid process of the squamosal forms an almost right angle with the sagittal plane. The dorsal surface of the squamosal is posteromedially expanded to form a broad squamosal plate. Delimiting anterodorsally the extent of the squamosal plate, the subtemporal crest is steep and robust, and appears as completely preserved on the left side only. Posterodorsal to the subtemporal crest, the squamosal plate exhibits a wide and deep squamosal fossa.

In ventral view (Fig. 3), the right maxilla is broadly extended on the rostrum, whereas the right premaxilla displays a narrow exposure medial to the right maxilla. The ventral portion of the left maxilla is missing or covered by hardened sediment, whereas only a small eroded piece of the left premaxilla is visible ventrally. The preserved portion of the right maxilla exhibits a roughly triangular shape. On





the medial side, the right maxilla displays a large and deep notch. The lateral margin of the right maxilla is mostly eroded, except for a small portion along its anterior half. At this level, the maxilla displays a shallow groove covered by encrusting oysters. We interpret this groove as a remnant of the upper alveolar groove. The laterally shifted vomer is longitudinally exposed on the ventral surface of the rostrum; this ventral exposure is triangular and tapers anteriorly. The basioccipital displays a roughly trapezoidal shape and is wider than long. The basioccipital basin is deep, but the angle formed by the basioccipital crests cannot be ascertained due to the poor preservation state of these structures. The exoccipital exhibits a wide ventral exposure posterior to the squamosal.

In posterior view (Fig. 4), a wide jugular notch is visible between the ventromedial margin (paroccipital process) of the exoccipital and the posterior end of the basioccipital crest. The jugular notch seemingly differs from that of *Acrophyster* spp. in not being ventrally closed (Lambert et al. 2016); however, the poor preservation state of MSNUP I-17076 prevents from drawing definitive conclusions in this respect.

In anterior view (Fig. 5), the preserved portion of the neurocranium displays right and left ventral bulges that are best observable on the broken transverse surface. These bulges represent the collapsed remains of the pterygoid hamuli. Ventrolateral to the pterygoid hamuli, the frontal grooves, the displaced and poorly preserved medial laminae of the pterygoids, and the elongated and robust squamosals are discernible. In frontal view, both the preserved proximal portions of the squamosals form an angle of about 20° with the horizontal plane of the cranium. Medial to the partially preserved left mandibular fossa, the triangular tympanosquamosal recess of the squamosal is laterally delimited by a deep, elongated, and rectilinear groove that recalls a similar feature observed in Acrophyseter spp. (Lambert et al. 2008; Lambert et al. 2016) Posterior to the tympanosquamosal recess and the mandibular fossa, the external auditory meatus is limited by the anterior and posterior meatal crests. Between the jugular notch and the ventral border of the squamosal, a deep fossa for the insertion of the periotic is discernible. Only the left fossa is visible, the right one being covered by a large oyster.

Fig. 5 - Cranium, in anterior view, of MSNUP I-17076, Physeteroidea indet. from the upper Miocene of the Pietra leccese (southern Italy). Photograph (a) and explanatory line drawing (b). The greyshaded areas denote the presence of encrusting oysters. The dotted pattern indicates skull areas covered by hard sediment. The stippled lines indicate the reconstructed margins.



Mandibles. The mandibles of MSNUP I-17076 are badly damaged and fragmented (Fig. 6). They exhibit a bowed shape, having a convex ventral margin and a concave dorsal margin in lateral view. Such a peculiar shape of the mandible is also observed in Acrophyseter spp. and, less pronounced, in Zygophyseter varolai and Physeterula dubusi. On the internal wall of a posterior fragment of the right mandible, three shallow depressions, representing remains of the posteriormost dental alveoli, are discernible. As in Acrophyster deinodon, these posterior alveoli are roughly vertical and closely spaced. On the same mandibular fragment, the anterior portion of the mandibular foramen is preserved, although it is not possible to reconstruct in detail its shape due to the poor preservation state. The anterior edge of the mandibular foramen seems to be located slightly posterior to the posterior margin of the posteriormost dental alveolus, a condition similar to Z. varolai (where the anterior edge of the mandibular foramen is located about 5 cm posterior to the posterior margin of the posteriormost alveolus) and different from A. deinodon (where the anterior edge of the mandibular foramen is located at the level of the anterior margin of the penultimate mandibular tooth). On the lateral surface of the right mandible, seven mental foramina are discernible; they are placed inside longitudinal grooves and are roughly aligned along an oblique line that rises posteriorly. Seven mental foramina, displaying a similar alignment, are also observed on the right mandible of A. deinodon, whereas in Z. varolai only three foramina aligned along a horizontal line are observed. A fragment, excavated by grooves, of the medial surface of the right mandible represents the posterior portion of the symphyseal surface, suggesting that the dentaries were not fused to each other, differing from the living sperm whale Physeter macrocephalus that exhibits fused dentaries (Werth 2004).





Teeth. All the 20 preserved teeth are detached and more or less complete; they are moderately slender, elongated, and straight to weakly curved. Their shape varies from fusiform to cylindrical (Figs. 7, 8). Measurements of the 16 best preserved teeth are reported in Table 1 (note that the tooth numbering used both here, in figure 7 and 8, and in Table 1 does not reflect the anatomical position of the teeth). The enamelled crown is conical, with a roughly circular transverse section. The ratio between the crown length (including the estimated apical portion of the crown missing, due to wear) and the total length of the tooth ranges from 0.22 to 0.23, inside the wider range of variation of Acrophyseter sp. MUSM 2182 (0.22-0.27). A smaller ratio is observed in Livyatan melvillei (0.10-0.11) and Zygophyseter varolai (0.18). The enamel layer is moderately thick (ca. 1 mm) and its surface is sculptured by longitudinal, shallow, sinuous and anastomosing grooves; a 5-8 mm high crown-root transition area is characterized by interdigitating portions of enamel covering the proximal portion of the root (Fig. 9). A similar sculpture and interdigitation of the enamel surface, although less pronounced, was also observed in Z. varolai and in some teeth of the holotype of Scaldicetus caretti (Lambert & Bianucci 2019). The total length of the complete root ranges from 126 to 141 mm, these values being intermediate between those of Acrophyster sp. MUSM 2182 (59-90 mm) and Z. varolai (140-177 mm). The root is moderately slender (ratio between maximum transverse diameter and total length of the root ran-

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9*	10*	11*	12*	13	14	15	16
Total length	167.0	163.1	144.5	129.8	158.8	+131.2	+118.6	+148.4	108.5	111.8	97.4	+98.5	+131.2	+120	+98.4	+124.2
Root length	136.9	141.4	129.4	111,2	142.5	126.1	+109.1	129.6	108.5	111.8	97.4	+98.5	+131.2	+92.9	+80.4	+124.2
Crown length	28.1	22.6	15.9	21.1	15.9	-	13.8	23.7	-	-	-	-	-	26.2	19.3	-
Maximum labiolingual diameter of root	38.5	39.3	30.6	32.6	37.4	29.6	27.5	38.9	38.3	31.5	32.4	37.4	38.0	-	-	39.9
Maximum mesiodistal diameter of root	30.4	33.1	32.9	32.5	31.9	29.4	30.0	-	29.6	-	37.8	31.4	26.8	-	-	32.7
Transverse diameter at crown base	20.3	18.8	17.1	-	19.0	20.5	17.6	18.3	-	-	-	-	-	16.6	17.8	-
Mesiodistal diameter at crown base	-	17.9	-	17.0	17.9	-	18.4	18.2	-	-	-	-	-	17.3	18.0	-

Tab. 1 - Measurements of detached teeth of Physeteroidea indet. (MSNUP I-17076) from the Tortonian of the Pietra leccese (southern Italy). Note that the tooth numbering used here does not reflect the anatomical position of the teeth. All measurements are reported in millimeters. *, crown completely lost due to in vivo wearing; +, incomplete.



Fig. 7 - Six detached teeth of MSNUP I-17076, Physeteroidea indet. from the upper Miocene of the Pietra leccese (southern Italy) in lingual/labial (a, c, d, f, g, i, j, l, m, o, p, r) and distal (b, e, h, k, n, q) views. The stippled lines indicate the apical limit of the gingival collar. The dotted lines delimit the occlusal facets. The arrows indicate the lowering of the apical limit of the gingival collar. The numbers indicate the corresponding series of measurements in Table 1.

ging between 0.23 and 0.30) when compared with *Acrophyster* sp. MUSM 2182 (0.27-0.38) and *Z. varolai* (0.31-0.35). All roots are fusiform and display their greatest transverse diameter roughly at mid-length, with the exception of tooth 7 that has a cylindrical shape. With respect to this character, the teeth of MSNUP I-17076 differ from those of *Acrophyseter* spp. and *Z. varolai*, in which the maximum diameter of the root is located closer to the crown. The transverse section of the root varies from elliptical to circular. As in *Z. varolai*, the proximal extremity of the root tapers, and the pulp cavity is fully closed



Fig. 8 - Six detached teeth of MSNUP I-17076, Physeteroidea indet. from the upper Miocene of the Pietra leccese (southern Italy) in lingual/labial (a, c, d, f, g, i, j, l, m, o, p, r) and distal (b, e, h, k, n, q) views. The stippled lines indicate the apical limit of the gingival collar. The dotted lines delimit the occlusal facets. The arrows indicate the lowering of the apical limit of the gingival collar. The numbers indicate the corresponding series of measurements in Table 1.

in all teeth, suggesting that MSNUP I-17076 was an adult specimen. This condition is different from that observed in other physeteroids such as *Physeter macrocephalus* and the holotype of *Scaldicetus caretti*, in which the pulp cavity remains open also in physically mature specimens (Boschma 1938; Lambert et al. 2016; Lambert & Bianucci 2019). The external root is partially covered by a layer of dark material (Fig. 7d-f, j, l-r; Fig. 8g, i, p, q; Fig. 10a, b, d, f, j-l), also observed in other fossil teeth of physeteroids, named 'gingival collar' and interpreted as the area of connection between the gum and the teeth by



Fig. 9 - Close-up of a tooth crown of MSNUP I-17076, Physeteroidea indet. from the upper Miocene of the Pietra leccese (southern Italy), showing the ornamentation pattern of the enamel and the crown-root transition area.

Bianucci & Landini (2006). The gingival collar may represent fossil evidence of the calculus (dental plate) observed in extant odontocetes (Loch et al. 2011; Lambert & Bianucci 2019). The gingival collar is rather proximoapically long in the teeth of MSNUP I-17076, as in Acrophyseter deinodon, whereas in Z. varolai it forms a narrower band. The shape and orientation of the gingival collar provide information on the original inclination of the tooth with respect to the horizontal plane (Bianucci & Landini 2006). Based on these observations, all the roots appear to have been significantly inclined within their alveoli. Moreover, two opposite sides of the root show an obliquely oriented gingival collar (Fig. 7d, j, l, p-r; Fig. 8g, I; Fig. 10d, f, j, l), and as such, they are here interpreted as the labial/lingual surfaces of the tooth, whereas the other opposite sides where the gingival collar turns roughly orthogonal to the main axis of the root (Fig. 7e, n; Fig. 8q) are here interpreted as the mesial/distal surfaces of the tooth. In this way, it is possible to reconstruct that most of the teeth exhibit a mesiodistal compression of the root, a feature that is also observed in the closely spaced posterior upper teeth of A. deinodon, as well in the posterior teeth of the extant delphinid Orcinus orca (pers. obs. on MSNUP 298 and MSNUP 301). The degree of apical wear varies significantly among the teeth, with teeth 1 and 14 having only a few millimeters of their apexes missing, whereas teeth 5 and 7 lack a significant portion of crown. Most of the teeth display occlusal facets caused by the opposing teeth and affecting the upper portion of root not covered by the gum. In some teeth, the occlusal facet is deep and occupies a significant fraction of the root surface (up to 50%). Three teeth display two occlusal facets: 1) a short, almost vertical occlusal surface affecting the crown (e.g., tooth 3) and in one case extending also over the upper portion of the root (e.g., tooth 4); and 2) a longer and deeper occlusal surface that obliquely crosses the distal surface of the root. Four teeth completely lack the crown: their preserved apical portion is rounded and grooved by a deep, wide, obliquely oriented occlusal facet (Fig. 10). Such a tooth shape, also observed in one tooth of Z. varolai (Bianucci & Landini 2006: fig. 8D) and in several physeteroid teeth from the Miocene of Germany (Hampe 2006: figs. 3-5), could be a consequence of the breakage of the distal portion of the teeth, probably due to the progressive wear at the interface between opposing teeth. In teeth with most developed occlusal facets, there is also an abrupt lowering of the gingival collar that recalls the condition observed in teeth of Z. varolai and S. caretti. This suggests the presence of a gum cavity hosting the opposing tooth during jaw adduction.

Vertebrae. The incomplete atlas and a single thoracic vertebra are the only preserved elements of the vertebral column of MSNUP I-17076.

As a consequence of quarrying activities, the atlas was saw-cut at an angle of about 23° with the horizontal plane, and its dorsal half was consequently lost (Fig. 11a, b). Among fossil physeteroids, the atlas was described only in *Acrophyseter robustus*, *Placoziphius duboisi*, *Zygophyseter varolai* and, based on fragmentary material, in *Physeterula dubusi* and *Ferecetotherium kelloggi* (Mchedlidze 1970; Bianucci & Landini 2006; Lambert 2008; Lambert et al. 2016). Therefore, our comparison was made with these fossil species and with the extant *Kogia* spp. and *Physeter macrocephalus*. The fragmentary





atlas of MSNUP I-17076 is a robust and massive bone having an anteroposterior ventral length of 63 mm and an estimated transverse width of about 200 mm. In anterior view, the ventrolateral margin is semi-circular and regularly convex, thus differing from the almost rectilinear margin observed in P. macrocephalus. The poorly preserved right transverse process appears prominent and pointed as in A. robustus, P. dubusi and Z. varolai, whereas in P. macrocephalus the transverse process is short and dorsoventrally developed. We observed an intermediate condition (transverse process short and moderately developed dorsoventrally) in Kogia spp. and P. duboisi. The articular facet is moderately concave, as in the other physeteroids, and the transverse width across the lateral margins of the articular facets could be estimated at 150 mm, slightly smaller than in Z. varolai (175 mm).

The single thoracic vertebra lacks the neural arch and the transverse processes. The preserved centrum, which is fused to both the epiphyses, is deformed by diagenetic compression and its ventral surface is partially covered by encrusting oysters. The anterior and posterior profile are distinctly heart-shaped. Judging by the broken bases of the pedicles, which are located at the dorsolateral corners of the centrum, the neural arch was originally wide. The anteroposterior length of the centrum is 57 mm, whereas its transverse width is 83 mm.

Forelimb. The left radius and the incomplete left ulna are the only forelimb bones preserved in MSNUP I-17076 (Fig. 12). Comparisons were made with the extant Kogia spp. and Physeter macrocephalus, as well as with the only fossil physeteroids having these bones preserved (i.e., Brygomophyseter shigensis and Ferecetotherium kelloggi; Mchedlidze 1970; Hirota & Barnes 1994).

The almost complete left radius (Fig. 12a, d) is an elongated and lateromedially compressed bone. The total length of the radius is 204 mm, whereas its anteroposterior width at mid-length is 63 mm. The ratio between these two measurements is 0.31, a value greater than in *B. shigensis* and *F. kelloggi* (0.26) but significantly smaller than in *P. macrocephalus* (0.43-0.48) and *Kogia breviceps* (0.47-0.59), both having a proportionally shorter radius than MSNUP I-17076. In lateral view, the anterior margin of the radius is straight, whereas the posterior margin is weakly concave. On the proximal epiphysis, the articular surface with the humerus is oriented obliquely with respect to the main axis



Fig. 11 - Postcranial bones of MSNUP I-17076, Physeteroidea indet. from the upper Miocene of the Pietra leccese (southern Italy). (ab) Atlas in anterior (a) and posterior (b) view. (c-g) Five fragmentary ribs in lateral view.

of the radius. The anteroposterior length of this articular surface is about 67 mm, whereas its maximum lateromedial width is 33 mm. The distal epiphysis is more lateromedially compressed than the proximal epiphysis and, in lateral view, it exhibits a distal margin that is more convex than in *P. macrocephalus*. The radius of MSNUP I-17076 lacks the peculiar posterodistal projection that characterizes the radius of *B. shigensis* (Hirota & Barnes 1994).

The ulna of MSNUP I-17076 (Fig. 12b, c) is incomplete, lacking its distal epiphysis. Compared with the radius, the ulna shows a similar late-

romedial compression; however, in lateral view, it is significantly narrower than the radius, reaching a minimum anteroposterior width of 41 mm. Considering its pronounced anteroposterior narrowing, the ulna of MSNUP I-17076 appears as more slender than in other physeteroids having this bone preserved. The partially preserved olecranon process appears well developed, forming a semi-circular notch with the posterior margin of the diaphysis. A large oleocranon is also observed in other physeteroids, with the exception of *Kogia* spp. in which this process is significantly reduced.

Ribs. MSNUP I-17076 includes several rib



Fig. 12 - Left radius (a, d) and ulna (b, c) of MSNUP I-17076, Physeteroidea indet. from the upper Miocene of the Pietra leccese (southern Italy), in lateral (a, b) and medial (c, d) view.

fragments. It was not possible to reconstruct the original position of these bones along the rib cage due to their incompleteness. The only exceptions are two large proximal portions belonging respectively to a right and a left rib (Fig. 11c-g). They are flat and remarkably wide, their maximum transverse width approaching 80 mm, and they exhibit a pronounced curvature, all features that compare favorably with the peculiar first ribs of cetaceans.

TAPHONOMIC FEATURES

Differing from the holotype of Zygophyster varolai, whose skeletal elements were found only slightly displaced from their anatomical position (Bianucci & Landini 2006), the fossil bones of MSNUP I-17076 are scattered and lay far from their original position. In addition, many bones display deeply eroded surfaces, pervasive breakages and an overall poor state of preservation. Based on the irregular broken surface that delimits anteriorly the preserved portion of the neurocranium, the latter was not separated from the rostrum as a consequence of quarrying operations, but rather as a consequence of pre-burial biostratinomic processes. Moreover, the roof of the neurocranium is largely lost, thus exposing the brain cavity. The preserved rostral bones are displaced from their original position and display several breakages. The mandibles are highly fragmented, and all the preserved teeth are no longer hosted within the dental alveoli. The few preserved rib fragments exhibit some irregular broken surfaces indicating pre-burial breakages. The preservation of forelimb bones is remarkable from a taphonomic point of view; indeed, elements of the forelimb are only rarely preserved in fossil Physeteroidea. Unfortunately, detailed information about the disposition and orientation of the bones was not collected during the fossil preparation and the removal of the entombing sediment.

A noteworthy taphonomic peculiarity of MSNUP I-17076 lies in the presence of numerous incisions on the external surface of the bones (Fig. 13). These traces suggest intense scavenging upon the bones before the definitive burial of the skeleton. Based on their morphology, these traces can be divided into three groups. The first incision type (Fig. 13a), the most commonly observed, consists of numerous sub-rectilinear sulci with variable length (from a few millimeters up to more than 30 mm) and depth. In most cases, these scars appear randomly organized, showing no preferential orientation. In a few cases, for example on a fragment of mandible (Fig. 13a-b) and on the left radius, the observed sulci are shallow, relatively short (i.e., not exceeding 135 mm in length), and arranged in a subparallel, closely spaced fashion. The second incision type (Fig. 13c-d) has been observed on a mandible frag-



Fig. 13 - Close-up of some skeletal elements of MSNUP I-17076, Physeteroidea indet. from the upper Miocene of the Pietra leccese (southern Italy), exhibiting bite marks by macro-scavengers. (a, b) Mandible fragment displaying incisions of the first type attributed to the gnawing action of a bony or cartilaginous fish. (c, d) Mandible fragment displaying incisions of the second type attributed to a scrape by a serrated shark tooth. (e, f) Indeterminate bone fragment displaying incisions of the third type attributed to the bite of a bony fish with Sparus-like anterior teeth. See the main text for details.

ment only. It consists of a 5-mm-wide, 9-mmlong series of parallel and undulated striae. The third incision type (Fig. 13e-f) consists of a few marks arranged in half-circles; it is found only on an indeterminate bone fragment that was superimposed onto the neurocranium. These marks have an uneven depth and usually display one or two deeper points. The diameter of the half-circles ranges between 14 and 19 mm.

Another impressive taphonomic feature of MSNUP I-17076 is represented by the pervasive colonization of the bone surfaces by encrusting oysters (Figs. 2-5). The main concentration of oysters is observed on the cranium. In particular, clusters of oysters partially cover the supracranial basin, the pterygoid hamuli, the left squamosal, the upper part of the medial wall of the temporal fossa, the dorsal surface of the right premaxilla, and the ventral surface of the right maxilla. Their maximum length varies between 10 and 30 mm, suggesting the co-occurence of individuals at different ontogenetic stages.

DISCUSSION

Taxonomic identification and affinities

As mentioned above, the bones of MSNUP I-17076 are largely disarticulated, severely fractured, and often dislocated due to biostratinomic and diagenetic processes, as well as to the quarrying activities. This frustrates any attempt to reconstruct the general outline of the skull of MSNUP I-17076. Furthermore, the bones of the cranium are intensively eroded and partially covered by cemented oysters that obliterate most of the potentially diagnostic characters. Nevertheless, some diagnostic features of the skull and teeth are preserved. The presence of a supracranial basin and the asymmetry of the bony nares (the left naris being significantly larger than the right one) allow us to refer MSNUP I-17076 to the superfamily Physeteroidea (Kellogg 1965; Bianucci & Landini 2006; Lambert 2008; Lambert et al. 2008, 2016; Vélez-Juarbe et al. 2015; Collareta et al. 2019a; Paolucci et al. 2020). The presence of a crown with thick and ornamented enamel

is a plesiomorphic character absent in most of the crown Physeteroidea (Physeteridae and Kogiidae); as such, it suggests a stem position for MSNUP I-17076 within the Physeteroidea. Furthermore, the elongated, deep, and rectilinear groove that is found medial to the tympanosquamosal recess of the squamosal, the bowed shape of mandible in lateral view, the anteroposterior compression of the root of some teeth (probably the upper posterior teeth), and the broad gingival collar observed on the teeth are all characters shared by MSNUP I-17076 and Acrophyseter spp. Nevertheless, the larger size of the cranium and some peculiar features of the teeth (e.g. the presence of enamel ornamented by longitudinal, shallow, sinuous, and anastomosing grooves and the enamel interdigitations extending over the upper portion of the root) suggest referral of MSNUP I-17076 to a new genus possibly related to (but distinct from) Ac*rophyseter*. Considering the poor state of preservation and the lack of some highly diagnostic skeletal elements (e.g., the ear bones), MSNUP I-17076 likely belongs to and underscribed taxon of sperm whale within the stem group of Physeteroidea, pending the discovery of more complete specimens.

Paleoecology

The deep occlusal facets observed on the teeth of MSNUP I-17076 suggest a strong degree of occlusion and a great use of the biting action. Similar dental features have been observed in other fossil physeteroids proposed to have been macroraptorial predators (Bianucci & Landini 2006; Hampe 2006; Lambert et al. 2008, 2010, 2014, 2016). Repetitive mandible adduction probably led to the formation of deep occlusal facets; this process may have resulted, as an extreme consequence, in the breakage of some tooth crowns. It is worth mentioning the absence of vertical fractures on the roots, which differs from the condition observed in the teeth of the holotype of Scaldicetus caretti (Lambert & Bianucci 2019). This is probably due to the completely filled pulp cavity of MSNUP I-17076, which provided the teeth with a certain degree of robustness and prevented vertical fractures. The general morphology of the teeth, the presence of deep occlusal facets, whose deepening led in some cases to the breakage of the crowns, and the presence of flat apical wear surfaces in several tooth crowns lead us to hypothesize that MSNUP I-17076 could have been a macroraptorial sperm whale. The prey items of MSNUP I-17076 could have included large bony fishes as well as small marine mammals. We exclude sharks from the usual diet of MSNUP I-17076: indeed, a shark-rich diet would have likely reflected in a stronger apical wear caused by frequent friction with the highly abrasive skin of these cartilaginous fishes. This kind of wearing, leading to the flattening of the teeth down to the gum level, has been observed in living adult offshore killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) by Foote et al. (2009) and Ford et al. (2011); interestingly, offshore killer whales are known to include a large proportion of sharks in their diet (Dahlheim & Heyning 2008).

MSNUP I-17076 represents a specimen of prime importance for reconstructing the paleoecological framework of the late Miocene Mediterranean Sea. MSNUP I-17076 likely shared its habitat with the contemporaneous Zygophyseter varolai, another macroraptorial sperm whale whose holotype skeleton was found near and roughly in the same layer as MSNUP I-17076. Moreover, at least another raptorial physeteroid lived in the Mediterranean Sea during the early late Miocene, as indicated by nine isolated teeth from the Tortonian of Cessaniti, southwestern Italy (Marra et al. 2016). The Cessaniti physeteroid teeth clearly differ from both Z. varolai and MSNUP I-17076 by the absence of enamel interdigitations at the base of the crown and by displaying the greatest transverse diameter of the root closer to the crown base; moreover, the teeth of both the Cessaniti physeteroid and Z. varolai differ from those of MSNUP I-17076 in being significantly less slender. Interestingly, the Tortonian Mediterranean Sea was populated by large-sized sharks such as Anotodus agassizi, Carcharocles megalodon, Cosmopolitodus hastalis, Galeocerdo aduncus and Parotodus benedeni (Menesini 1969; Sorce 2009). Such a load of top predators strongly contrasts with the present-day scenario, which sees Carcharodon carcharias and the relatively rare Orcinus orca as the sole apex predators of the Mediterranean vertebrate trophic chains (Notarbartolo di Sciara et al. 1993; Morey et al. 2003; Abdulla 2004; Notarbartolo di Sciara 2016). It is thus tempting to hypothesize that the central Mediterranean was more productive during the Tortonian than it is today. Some clues about this come from the Serravallian-Tortonian phosphaterich sediments, usually associated with glauconite, that were found within the Pietra leccese (Föllmi et al. 2015; Vescogni et al. 2018). These deposits have

been interpreted as the result of nutrient-rich current system that flowed in the central Mediterranean from the east, thus setting an upwelling regime in this area (Vescogni et al. 2018). These phosphatic sediments have been observed not only in the Salento Peninsula (Föllmi et al. 2015; Vescogni et al. 2018), but also in the Maltese Islands and Sicily (Catanzariti & Gatt 2014; Föllmi et al. 2008). Interestingly, along the stratigraphic section of the Cisterna quarry, at least three glauconitic levels are discernible (Fig. 1).

MSNUP I-17076 sheds new light on this paleoenvironment, which was able to sustain several taxa of large-sized predators, and as such, a complex network of trophic interactions. The co-occurrence of several cetacean apex predators could be explained by hypothesizing a certain degree of ecological segregation, bound to different diet regimes. The differences observed between MSNUP I-17076, *Z. varolai* and the Cessaniti physeteroid in terms of dentition could indeed reflect different target preys, and as such, different trophic niches.

Taphonomic history

The aforementioned taphonomic observations allow us to propose a reconstruction of the complex taphonomic history of MSNUP I-17076, which is here summarized.

After the death of the cetacean, we cannot exclude a floating phase before deposition of the carcass on the seafloor; however, such a putative phase of floating was certainly not prolonged. Indeed, in case of a prolonged floatation, the head and the forelimbs would have likely separated from the body, as observed in extant dolphins by Schäfer (1972) and suggested for Miocene fossil odontocetes of the East Pisco Basin by Bianucci et al. (2010, 2018).

Before and/or after the deposition of the carcass on the seafloor, the defleshing action of macroscavengers would have occurred, as testified by the abundant incisions found on several bones. The majority of these traces, and especially the chaotically arranged scars referred to the first incision type, cannot be attributed to a specific tracemaker. Judging from their arrangement, the series of subparallel incisions of the first type can instead be attributed to gnawing of the bone by large-sized bony or cartilaginous fishes provided with numerous, sharply pointed teeth (Bianucci et al. 2018). In the light of the morphological-genetic classification scheme proposed by Cigala Fulgosi (1990) and then emended by Bianucci et al. (2010) and Collareta et al. (2017), the parallel and undulated striae that are found on the mandibular fragment and referred to the second incision type likely originated as the serrated cutting edge of a shark tooth scraped the bone, with an undulatory movement, perpendicular to the dental axis (Cigala Fulgosi 1990). Shark species from the upper Miocene portion of the Pietra leccese that might account for the production of this mark include Carcharocles megalodon and Galeocerdo aduncus (Menesini 1969; Sorce 2009). The half-circular marks of the third type are very similar to those found on recent sea urchin tests by Sievers & Nebelsick (2017: fig. 4 c-d). These bite marks were attributed to two species of bony fishes belonging to the family Sparidae, which are provided by incisiform anterior teeth that are moderately bent outwards. Therefore, we tentatively refer the semicircular marks found on bones of the studied physeteroid to the biting action of a bony fish with a Sparuslike morphology of anterior teeth.

The abundant presence of encrusting oysters at different ontogenetic stages suggests a prolonged exposure of the bones on the seafloor before burial. The highest accumulation of oysters has been found on the skull (Figs. 2-5). This observation seemingly indicates that the skull lied on the seafloor in a higher position above the sediment-water interface with respect to the other bones. In addition, the mostly dorsal collocation of the oyster clusters suggests that the neurocranium mostly rested dorsal side-up and only underwent limited extent of rolling before burial.

CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

We reported on MSNUP I-17076, a new partial skeleton of sperm whale from the upper Miocene of southern Italy. The presence of a relatively thick enamel layer coating the tooth crown apices supports a placement of this specimen within the stem-Physeteroidea. Despite the poor preservation state of MSNUP I-17076, and the lack of important diagnostic features, the fossil sperm whale presented here displays several morphological affinities with the roughly coeval Peruvian genus *Acrophyseter*, but also some specific features suggesting the referral of this skeleton to an as yet undescribed genus possibly related to (but distinct from) *Acrophyseter*.

The morphology of the preserved teeth and the presence of deep occlusal facets led us to hypothesise that MSNUP I-17076 was a macroraptorial sperm whale that probably fed upon large bony fishes or diminutive marine mammals.

Several scars have been observed incising the external surfaces of various bones; they testify to the defleshing action of macro-scavengers, possibly including both cartilaginous and bony fishes. The abundant presence of oysters encrusting the bones indicates a long period of exposure of the preserved parts of the skeleton on the seafloor.

This new find increments our current knowledge on the diversity and ecological structure of the Tortonian Mediterranean, which appears to have been rich in large-sized macroraptorial predators, both among cetaceans (Zygophyseter varolai and two different species of indeterminate physeteroids from Cessaniti and the Cisterna guarry, respectively) and among selachians (e.g., Anotodus agassizi, Carcharocles megalodon, Cosmopolitodus hastalis, Galeocerdo aduncus, Parotodus benedeni and Physogaleus contortus). All these taxa formed a peculiar guild of high-trophic level predators that presents no equivalent in the present-day Mediterranean; similar trophic positions are occupied by only two species, namely, the killer whale and the great white shark. Such an assemblage of large predators is extremely intriguing for and should be further investigated in future studies about the Pietra leccese fossil assemblage, studies which will hopefully contribute to unravel the trophic relationships within the Pietra leccese vertebrate ocryctocoenosis as well as the feeding strategies and trophic niches of its high trophic level predators.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to Fabrizio Cancelli, Letizia Del Favero, Gianni Insacco, Simone Farina, Mariagabriella Fornasiero, Olivier Lambert, Walter Landini, Christine Lefèvre, Giuseppe Manganelli, James G. Mead, Christian de Muizon, Charles W. Potter, Nicholas D. Pyenson, Luca Ragaini, Daniel Robineau, Rodolfo Salas-Gismondi and Chiara Sorbini, for providing access to specimens under their care for fruitful discussions about several issues dealt with in the present paper. We also thank Olivier Lambert and an anonymous reviewer, who greatly contributed to improve the quality of this paper with their useful advice. Finally, we are grateful to Lorenzo Rook for his expert editorial support.

This work is dedicated to the memory of the late Angelo Varola, prominent paleontologist who discovered and prepared most of the fossil vertebrates of the Pietra leccese, including the specimen herein described from Cisterna quarry. Angelo Varola's prime discoveries have earned us one of the reference assemblages worldwide for reconstructing the Miocene marine vertebrate faunas and Mediterranean ecosystems, as well as an outstanding training ground for various generations of paleontologists. We remember him with affection and gratitude.

References

- Abdulla A. (2004) Status and conservation of sharks in the Mediterranean Sea. *IUCN Technical paper*, 144, 7 pp.
- Benites-Palomino A., Vélez-Juarbe J., Salas-Gismondi R. & Urbina M. (2020) - Scaphokogia totajpe, sp. nov., a new bulky-faced pygmy sperm whale (Kogiidae) from the late Miocene of Peru. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, e1728538.
- Bianucci G. & Landini W. (2006) Killer sperm whale: a new basal physeteroid (Mammalia, Cetacea) from the Late Miocene of Italy. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 148: 103-131.
- Bianucci G. & Varola A. (2014) I cetacei fossili della Pietra leccese nei musei del Salento. *Museologia Scientifica*, 13: 114-119.
- Bianucci G. (2001) A new genus of kentriodontid (Cetacea: Odontoceti) from the Miocene of South Italy. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, 21: 573-577.
- Bianucci G., Collareta A., Bosio G., Landini W., Gariboldi K., Gioncada A., Lambert O., Malinverno E., Muizon C. de, Varas-Malca R., Villa I.M., Coletti G., Urbina M. & Di Celma C. (2018) - Taphonomy and palaeoecology of the lower Miocene marine vertebrate assemblage of Ullujaya (Chilcatay Formation, East Pisco Basin, southern Peru). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 511: 256-279.
- Bianucci G., Collareta A., Post K., Varola A. & Lambert O. (2016) - A new record of *Messapicetus* from the Pietra leccese (Late Miocene, Southern Italy): antitropical distribution in a fossil beaked whale (Cetacea, Ziphiidae). *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, 122: 63-74.
- Bianucci G., Landini W. & Varola A. (1992) Messapicetus longirostris, a new genus and species of Ziphiidae (Cetacea) from the Late Miocene of "Pietra leccese" (Apulia, Italy). Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana, 31: 261-264.
- Bianucci G., Landini W. & Varola A. (1994) New remains of Cetacea Odontoceti from the "Pietra leccese" (Apulia, Italy). *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana*, 33: 215-230.
- Bianucci G., Landini W. & Varola A. (1994) Relationships of *Messapicetus longirostris* (Cetacea, Ziphiidae) from the Miocene of South Italy. *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana*, 33: 231-241.
- Bianucci G., Landini W. & Varola A. (2003) New records of *Metaxytherium* (Mammalia: Sirenia) from the late Miocene of Cisterna quarry (Apulia, southern Italy). *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana*, 42: 59-64.
- Bianucci G., Sorce B., Storai T. & Landini W. (2010) Killing in the Pliocene: shark attack on a dolphin from Italy. *Palaeontology*, 53: 457-470.
- Bisconti M. & Varola A. (2000) Functional hypothesis on an unusual mysticete dentary with double coronoid process from the Miocene of Apulia and its systematic and behavioural implications. *Palaeontographia Italica*, 87: 19-35.
- Bisconti M. & Varola A. (2006) The oldest eschrichtiid mysticete and a new morphological diagnosis of Eschrich-

tiidae (gray whales). Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia, 112: 447-457.

- Boersma A.T. & Pyenson N.D. (2015) *Albicetus axymycterus*, a new generic name and redescription of a basal physeteroid (Mammalia, Cetacea) from the Miocene of California, and the evolution of body size in sperm whales. *PloS one*, 10: e0135551.
- Boschma H. (1938) On the teeth and some other particulars of the sperm whale (*Physeter macrocephalus* L.). *Temminckia*, 3: 151-278.
- Bossio A., Mazzei R., Monteforti B. & Salvatorini G. (2005)
 Stratigrafia del Neogene e Quaternario del Salento sud-orientale (con rilevamento geologico alla scala 1: 25.000). *Geologica Romana*, 38: 31-60.
- Brisson M.-J. (1762) Regnum Animale in classes IX distributum, sine synopsis methodical. Theodorum Haak, Paris.
- Calia A., Laurenzi Tabasso M., Maria Mecchi A. & Quarta G. (2013) - The study of stone for conservation purposes: Lecce stone (southern Italy). *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 391: 139-156.
- Carnevale G., Sorbini C., Landini W. & Varola A. (2002) -Makaira cf. M. nigricans Lacépède, 1802 (Teleostei: Perciformes: Istiophoridae) from the Pietra leccese, Late Miocene, Apulia, Southern Italy. Palaeontographia Italica, 88: 63-75.
- Catanzariti R. & Gatt M. (2014) Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy from the middle/late Miocene of Malta and Gozo (Central Mediterranean). *Stratigraphy*, 11: 303-336.
- Chesi F, Delfino M., Varola A. & Rook L. (2007) Fossil sea turtles (Chelonii, Dermochelyidae and Cheloniidae) from the Miocene of Pietra Leccese (late Burdigalianearly Messinian), Southern Italy. *Geodiversitas*, 29: 321-333.
- Cigala Fulgosi F. (1990) Predation (or possible scavenging) by a great white shark on an extinct species of bottlenosed dolphin in the Italian Pliocene. *Tertiary Research*, 12: 17-36.
- Collareta A., Cigala Fulgosi F. & Bianucci G. (2019a) A new kogiid sperm whale from northern Italy supports psychrospheric conditions in the early Pliocene Mediterranean Sea. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, 64: 609-626.
- Collareta A., Gemelli M., Varola A. & Bianucci G. (2019b) - Trace fossils on a trace fossil: a vertebrate-bitten vertebrate coprolite from the Miocene of Italy. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie-Abhandlungen*, 293: 117-126.
- Collareta A., Lambert O., Landini W., Di Celma C., Malinverno E., Varas-Malca R., Urbina M. & Bianucci, G. (2017)
 Did the giant extinct shark *Carcharocles megalodon* target small prey? Bite marks on marine mammal remains from the late Miocene of Peru. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 469: 84-91.
- Collareta A., Lambert O., Muizon C. de, Benites-Palomino A.M., Urbina M. & Bianucci G. (in press) - A new physeteroid from the late Miocene of Peru expands the diversity of extinct dwarf and pygmy sperm whales (Cetacea: Odontoceti: Kogiidae). *Comptes Rendus Palevol*, in press.
- Collareta A., Lambert O., Muizon C. de, Urbina M. & Bianucci G. (2017) *Koristocetus pescei* gen. et sp. nov., a diminutive

sperm whale (Cetacea: Odontoceti: Kogiidae) from the late Miocene of Peru. *Fassil Record*, 20: 259-278.

- Dahlheim M.E. & Balcomb K.C. (2008) Eastern temperate North Pacific offshore killer whales (*Orcinus orca*). In: Ridgway S.H. & Harrison R.S. (Eds.) - Handbook of Marine Mammals: 719-728. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Flower W.H. (1867) Description of the skeleton of *Inia geof-frensis* and the skull of *Pontoporia blainvillii*, with remarks on the systematic position of these animals in the Order Cetacea. *Transactions of the Zoological Society of London*, 6: 87-116.
- Föllmi K.B., Gertsch B., Renevey J.P., De Kaenel E. & Stille P. (2008) - Stratigraphy and sedimentology of phosphaterich sediments in Malta and south-eastern Sicily (latest Oligocene to early Late Miocene). *Sedimentology*, 55: 1029-1051.
- Föllmi K.B., Hofmann H., Chiaradia M., de Kaenel E., Frijia G. & Parente M. (2015) - Miocene phosphate-rich sediments in Salento (southern Italy). *Sedimentary Geology*, 327: 55-71.
- Foote A.D., Newton J., Piertney S.B., Willerslev E. & Gilbert M.T.P. (2009) - Ecological, morphological and genetic divergence of sympatric North Atlantic killer whale populations. *Molecular Ecology*, 18: 5207-5217.
- Ford J.K.B., Ellis G.M., Matkin C.O., Wetklo M.H., Barrett-Lennard L.G. & Withler R.E. (2011) - Shark predation and tooth wear in a population of northeastern Pacific killer whales. *Aquatic Biology*, 11: 213-224.
- Fordyce R.E. & Muizon C. de (2001) Evolutionary history of cetaceans: a review. In: Mazin J-M., de Buffrénil V. (Eds)
 - Secondary adaptation of tetrapods to life in water: 169-233. Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, München.
- Foresi L.M., Iaccarino S., Mazzai R. & Salvatorini G. (1998) - New data on calcareous plankton stratigraphy of the Middle to Late Miocene (Serravallian/Tortonian) of the Mediterranean area. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, 104: 95-114.
- Hampe O. (2006) Middle/late Miocene hoplocetine sperm whale remains (Odontoceti: Physeteridae) of North Germany with an emended classification of the Hoplocetinae. *Fossil Record*, 9: 61-86.
- Hirota K. & Barnes L.G. (1994) A new species of Middle Miocene sperm whale of the genus Scaldicetus (Cetacea; Physeteridae) from Shiga-mura, Japan. *Island Arv*, 3: 453-472.
- Iaccarino S. & Salvatorini G. (1982) A framework of planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy for Early Miocene to Late Pliocene Mediterranean area. *Paleontologia Stratigrafia* ed Evoluzione, 2: 115-125.
- Kellogg R. (1965) Fossil marine mammals from the Miocene Calvert Formation of Maryland and Virginia. Part 2. The Miocene Calvert sperm whale Orycterocetus. United States National Museum Bulletin, 247: 47-63.
- Kimura T. & Hasegawa Y. (2006) Fossil sperm whales (Cetacea, Physeteridae) from Gunma and Ibaraki prefectures, Japan; with observations on the Miocene fossil sperm whale *Scaldicetus shigensis* Hirota and Barnes, 1995. *Bulletin* of Gunma Museum of Natural History, 10: 1-23.
- Lambert O. (2008) Sperm whales from the Miocene of the North Sea: a re-appraisal. Bulletin de l'Institut Royal des

Sciences Naturelles de Belgique, Sciences de la Terre, 78: 277-316.

- Lambert O. & Bianucci G. (2019) How to break a sperm whale's teeth: dental damage in a large Miocene physeteroid from the North Sea Basin. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, 39(4): e1660987.
- Lambert O., Bianucci G. & Beatty B.L. (2014) Bony outgrowths on the jaws of an extinct sperm whale support macroraptorial feeding in several stem physeteroids. *Naturwissenschaften*, 101: 517-521.
- Lambert O., Bianucci G. & Muizon C. de (2016) Macroraptorial sperm whales (Cetacea, Odontoceti, Physeteroidea) from the Miocene of Peru. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 179: 404-474.
- Lambert O., Bianucci G. & Muizon C. de (2008) A new stemsperm whale (Cetacea, Odontoceti, Physeteroidea) from the latest Miocene of Peru. *Comptes Rendus Palevol*, 7: 361-369.
- Lambert O., Bianucci G., Post K., Muizon C. de, Salas-Gismondi R., Urbina M. & Reumer J. (2010) - The giant bite of a new raptorial sperm whale from the Miocene epoch of Peru. *Nature*, 466: 105-108.
- Lambert O., Muizon C. de, Urbina M. & Bianucci G. (in press) - A new longirostrine sperm whale (Cetacea, Physeteroidea) from the lower Miocene of the Pisco Basin (southern coast of Peru). *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology*, in press. doi: 10.1080/14772019.2020.1805520.
- Loch C., Grando L.J., Kieser J.A. & Simões-Lopes P.C. (2011) - Dental pathology in dolphins (Cetacea: Delphinidae) from the southern coast of Brazil. *Diseases of aquatic organisms*, 94: 225-234.
- Margiotta S. (2006) Bio-cronostratigrafia a foraminiferi planctonici dei sedimenti miocenici nell'area di Strudà (Lecce, Puglia). *Geologica Romana*, 39: 1-14.
- Margiotta S. (2015) Inquadramento geologico e territoriale della pietra leccese. *Thalassia Salentina*, 37 (Suppl.): 17-28.
- Marra A.C., Carone G. & Bianucci G. (2016) Sperm whale teeth from the late Miocene of Cessaniti (Southern Italy). *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana*, 55: 222-225.
- Mazzei R., Margiotta S., Foresi L.M., Riforgiato F. & Salvatorini G. (2009) - Biostratigraphy and chronostratigraphy of the Miocene Pietra Leccese in the type area of Lecce (Apulia, southern Italy). *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana*, 48: 129-145.
- Mchedlidze G.A. (1970) Some general characteristics of the evolution of cetaceans, part 1. Akademia Nauk Gruzinskoi SSR, Institut Paleobiologii, Tbilisi, 112 pp.
- Mead J.G. & Fordyce, R.E. (2009) The therian skull: a lexicon with emphasis on the odontocetes. *Smithsonian contributions to zoology*.
- Menesini E. (1969) Ittiodontoliti miocenici di Terra d'Otranto (Puglia). *Palaeontographia Italica*, 65: 2-61.
- Moncharmont Zei M. (1950) Sopra una nuova specie di Eurhinodelphis della Pietra leccese. Rendiconti dell'Accademia di Scienze Fisiche e Matematiche della Società Nazionale di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti di Napoli, 4: 1-11.

- Moncharmont Zei M. (1956) Hesperoina dalpiazi n. gen. et n. sp., Platanistidae, Cetacea, della Pietra leccese. Memorie dell'Istituto di Geologia e Mineralogia dell'Università di Padova, 19: 1-10.
- Morey G., Martínez M., Massutí E. & Moranta J. (2003) -The occurrence of white sharks, *Carcharodon carcharias*, around the Balearic Islands (western Mediterranean Sea). *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 68: 425-432.
- Notarbartolo di Sciara G. (2016) Marine mammals in the Mediterranean Sea: An overview. In: di Sciara G.N., Podestà M. & Curry B.E. (Eds.) - Advances in marine biology Vol. 75: 1-36. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Notarbartolo di Sciara G., Venturino M.C., Zanardelli M., Bearzi G., Borsani F.J. & Cavalloni B. (1993) - Cetaceans in the central Mediterranean Sea: distribution and sighting frequencies. *Italian Journal of Zoology*, 60: 131-138.
- Paolucci F, Buono M.R., Fernández M.S., Marx F.G. & Cuitiño J.I. (2020) - *Diaphorocetus poucheti* (Cetacea, Odontoceti, Physeteroidea) from Patagonia, Argentina: one of the earliest sperm whales. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontol*ogy, 18: 335-355.
- Peri E., Collareta A., Insacco G. & Bianucci G. (2019) An Inticetus-like (Cetacea: Odontoceti) postcanine tooth from the Pietra leccese (Miocene, southeastern Italy) and its palaeobiogeographical implications. Neues Jabrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie-Abhandlungen, 291: 221-228.
- Schäfer W. (1972) Ecology and Palaeoecology of Marine Environments. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 568 pp.
- Sievers D. & Nebelsick J.H. (2018) Fish predation on a Mediterranean echinoid: identification and preservation potential. *Palaios*, 33: 47-54.
- Sorce B. (2009) Palaeontological study of the order Lamniformes in the Miocene Mediterranean basin. PhD dissertation, Università degli Studi di Pisa.
- Tavani G. (1973) Ipotesi sulla presenza di grossi frammenti di gneiss e di calcare nella "Pietra leccese" della Puglia. *Atti della Società Toscana di Scienze Naturali, Memorie, Serie* A, 80: 121-125.
- Vélez-Juarbe J., Wood A.R., De Gracia C. & Hendy A.J.W. (2015) - Evolutionary patterns among living and fossil kogiid sperm whales: Evidence from the Neogene of Central America. *PLoS ONE*, 10: e0123909.
- Vescogni A., Vertino A., Bosellini F.R., Harzhauser M. & Mandic O. (2018) - New paleoenvironmental insights on the Miocene condensed phosphatic layer of Salento (southern Italy) unlocked by the coral-mollusc fossil archive. *Facies*, 64: 7.
- Werth A.J. (2004) Functional morphology of the sperm whale (*Physeter macrocephalus*) tongue, with reference to suction feeding. *Aquatic Mammals*, 30: 405-418.