

The Relationship Between the Performance and the Perceived Stress of Employees

Yeşim Avunduk Istanbul Ayvansaray University, Faculty of Economics, Administrative and Social Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey. Email: dryavunduk@gmail.com

Received : 2021-02-17 Revised : 2021-03-10 Accepted : 2021-05-19

doi

10.46303/ressat.2021.6

How to cite this paper: Avunduk, Y. (2021). The Relationship Between the Performance and the Perceived Stress of Employees. *Research in Social Sciences and Technology*, 6(1), 102-112. <u>https://doi.org/10.46303/ressat.2021.6</u>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract

The study aimed to determine the relationship between the job performance of individuals working in the sports industry and their perceived stress. The sample of the study consisted of a total of 474 people including 361 males and 113 females that working in a private company operating in the sports industry in Istanbul, and they were selected by purposeful sampling method. After the data showed normal distribution; Independent t-test, ANOVA and Pearson Correlation analysis were used to analyze the data. According to the result of the analysis, there was no statistically significant difference found between the job performance and perceived stress levels of the participants according to their marital status and educational status. A significant difference was found in the perceived stress levels and job performances of the individuals according to their gender and working period in the workplace. Finally, it was found that there was a negative and low-level relationship between the perceived stress scale and job performance scale. As a result, it was determined that the stress perceived by the individuals, and the higher the stress levels perceived by the individuals, the lower their job performance were.

Keywords: Sports Industry, Stress, Perceived Stress, Job Performance

INTRODUCTION

As a result of today's competitive environment and rapid changes, people work in a stressful work environment regardless of the profession. In such an environment, it is not possible to stay away from stress. Stress causes many organizational negativities such as psychological and mental problems, dismissal from work, and a decrease in work efficiency (Tekin et al., 2019). In other words, stress is a concept that is required to handle in terms of business management.



Because stress directly affects employees and determines their behaviour, productivity, and relationships with others (Luthans, 1994: Ceylan & Ulutürk, 2006). In general, feelings of fear, anger, depression, inability, hopelessness and guilt in the individual against factors that negatively affect the adaptation process of the environment in which individuals live, disturb and deprive them of their needs are caused by the stress process (Lazarus, 1966; Yener, 2018).

Froyen, who made the first studies on stress, defines stress as a physiological reaction of the body and mentions two types of stress. The first one, useful stress (eustress), makes it easier for individuals to adapt to changes and helps increase performance. The second is harmful stress (distress), the stress that can cause loss of efficiency, health deterioration, and collapse as a result of excessive pressure (1988, as cited in Şanlı, 2017). In this context, stress sources can be grouped into three groups as physical (originating from the employee's environment), social (interpersonal relationships in working life), and emotional (frustration, anxiety, anger resulting from perception) stress (Güney, 2009; Kesen & Akyüz, 2015). When the results are examined, it is possible to divide stress into two classes as good (positive) and bad (negative). Positive stress often produces positive results. It gives people satisfaction and joy of living instead of anxiety. Positive stress usually occurs in parallel with the positive events people desire (marriage, promotion, etc.). Negative stress primarily decreases the self-confidence level of individual, and the person becomes hopeless and desperate. Negative stress usually develops in parallel with negative situations in people's lives (being unemployed, death of a relative, etc.) (Canpolat, 2006; Şahin, 2018).

Job performance is the results obtained by an employee by fulfilling the task assigned to her/him within a certain period (Özgen et al., 2002; Kahya, 2013). According to another definition, job performance gives all the actions employees have taken to fulfil their duties and the degree to which they or their businesses achieve their goals as a result of these actions (Tekingündüz et al., 2016). According to Viswesvaran and Ones, work performance can define as measurable actions, behaviour, and outputs that contribute to the goals of the organization (2000, as cited in Şantaş et al., 2016). Wu (2011) stated that the essence of job performance is based on the requirements of the job, the goals and objectives of the organization, the behaviour in the organization, and the most valued beliefs (Yurttaş et al., 2020).

Work performance is dividing into two groups as individual and organizational performance. While the employee's performance of the assigned duties in a timely and correct manner defines the individual job performance; the overall job performance of the employees defines as organizational performance (Doğan & Bayraktar, 2020). In other words, Individual-level performance is the achievement of the desired quality and number of personal results by combining one's knowledge, abilities, and skills with personal efforts and behaviours (Aktaş & Gürkan, 2015; Kızanlıklı & Çöp, 2017). Job performance in organizations fulfils the defined job by the individual's characteristics and abilities within acceptable limits. The first thing which is important for an individual's performance should be the job description, later the job must match the individual's skills and characteristics; and meet the standards that indicate the level of performance. (Falay, 2000: Çakır & Gözdoğdu, 2019).

Organizations need the contributions of high-performing individuals to achieve their goals successfully, provide a competitive advantage, and offer superior value to their customers



(Tabiu et al., 2016). One of the basic requirements for employees to show high performance in the working place has a healthy environment in both physical and psychological terms (Yurttaş et al., 2020). In this context, this study aimed to determine the relationship between the job performance of individuals working in the sports industry and their perceived stress, and the answers to the following questions were sought within the scope of the research.

Does the gender of individuals working in the sports industry have an impact on their job performance and perceived stress?

Does the marital status of individuals working in the sports industry have an impact on their job performance and perceived stress?

Does the educational status of individuals working in the sports industry have an impact on their job performance and perceived stress?

Does the working time of individuals working in the sports industry have an effect on their job performance and perceived stress?

METHOD

Research Method

This research was conducted with quantitative research methods. The research was designed with a non-experimental scanning model, and a relational model was used according to the scanning method. Relational model is a research model that aims to determine the presence or degree of change among two or more variables (Karasar, 2020).

Research Group

The research group consisted of a total of 474 people, 361 males (Mean_{age}= 38.32 ± 7.71), and 113 (Mean_{age}= 33.53 ± 7.42) females, who were working in a private company operating in the sports sector in Istanbul, and selected by purposeful sampling method.

Data Collection Tools

Personal Information Form: The "Personal Information Form" prepared by the researcher was used to determine the gender, age, marital status, financial status, working place working conditions, and working period in the working place of the individuals participating in the study.

Perceived Stress Scale: The "Perceived Stress Scale" developed by Cohen et al. (1983) to determine the perceived stress levels of individuals and adapted to Turkish by Eskin et al. (2013) was used. In the Turkish adaptation study of the scale, the validity and reliability study of the 10-article and 4-article short forms, as well as the 14-article long version, were also conducted. Articles in the scale scored as (0) Never, (4) Very Often.

Job Performance Scale: The "Job Performance Scale" developed by Kirkman and Rosen (1999) to determine the job performance of employees and adapted into Turkish by Çöl (2008) and Akkoç et al., (2012) was used. The scale consisted of one dimension and four items. The scale was 5-Likert type and answered as 1 = Strongly Disagree; 5 = Strongly Agree.

Data Collection

The implementation of the data collection tools used within the scope of the study was carried out with the permission of private companies. Data collection tools were filled in voluntarily by



individuals on the online platform after they were given permission by the companies. The answers given were checked by the researcher, missing or incorrect ones were excluded from the study, and valid and acceptable ones were included in the study for evaluation.

Data Analysis

SPSS 22.00 package program was used to evaluate the data. Percentage and frequency methods were used to determine the distribution of personal information of the participants. For the tests to be used in the analysis of the collected data, the skewness and kurtosis values were examined to determine whether the data showed normal distribution or not. Sposito et al. (1983) stated that the data showed a normal distribution of skewness and kurtosis values between -3 and +3. It was determined that the skewness and kurtosis values of the data obtained from the scales used in our study were in the range of -1 to +1 (Table 2), therefore the data were assumed to have a normal distribution, and the data were analyzed with parametric tests.

FINDINGS

After the data showed normal distribution, the following hypotheses were tried to be supported by applying the t-test, ANOVA and Pearson correlation test in the analyzes. Within the scope of the research, below are the distribution of demographic characteristics of individuals, distribution of scale scores and analysis results.

Research Hypotheses

Within the scope of the research, the hypotheses of the study were formed as follows:

 H_1 : There is a significant difference between the job performance of individuals according to their gender.

 $H_{2:}$ There is a significant difference between the stress perceived by individuals according to their gender.

 H_3 : There is a significant difference between individuals' job performance according to their marital status.

H₄: There is a significant difference between the stress perceived by individuals according to their marital status.

H₅: There is a significant difference between job performance of individuals according to their educational status.

 H_6 : There is a significant difference between the stress perceived by individuals according to their educational status.

H₇: There is a significant difference between job performances of individuals according to their working hours.

 H_8 : There is a significant difference between the stress perceived by individuals according to their working hours.

H₉: There is a significant relationship between job performance and perceived stress.



Findings Related to Demographic Variables

Table 1. Distribution of Participants' Personal Information

Variables	Gender	F	%
	Female	113	23,8
Gender	Male	361	76,2
	Total	474	100
	Married	378	79,7
Marital Status	Single	96	20,3
	Total	474	100
	High School	42	8,9
Education Status	Undergraduate	374	78,9
Education Status	Graduate	58	12,2
	Total	474	100
	Less Than 1 year	139	29,3
Working Period	1-3 year	309	65,2
working Period	4 or more year	26	5,5
	Total	474	100

The distribution of personal information of the participants in the study shown in Table 1. According to the analysis results, it was found that while 76.2% of the participants were "Male"; 79.7% were "Married"; 78.9% were "Undergraduate", 65.2% were between "1-3 Years" at work.

Scores Obtained From Scales

 Table 2. Distribution of Scale Points

Scale Sul	b-dimensions	Items	Ν	Mean	Sd.	Skewness	Kurtosis
Perceived	Perceived	4	474	3 42	0 57	- 43	1 1 2
Stress Scale	Stress	4	4/4	5.42	0.57	45	1.12
Job Performance scale	Job Performance	4	474	3.52	0.97	-1.03	.63

Table 2 showed the mean scores of the participants. According to the analysis results, the mean score of the work performance scale was (3.52), while the mean score of the perceived stress scale was (3.42).



The Effect of Gender on Individuals' Job Performance and Perceived Stress

	Gender	Ν	Mean ± Sd.	t	р
Perceived Stress —	Female	113	3.54±0.66		.011*
	Male	361	3.38±0.53	2.553	.011
Job Performance —	Female	113	3.75±0.85		004*
	Male	3.61	3.45±0.99	2.891	.004*

Table 3. Independent t-Test Results of Scale Scores According to the Gender Variable

In Table 3, independent t-test results regarding the scale scores of the participants according to their gender given. According to the analysis results, it was determined that there was a statistically significant difference between the perceived stress levels of the participants according to their gender (p <0.05). It was determined that the stress level perceived by females were higher than the stress level perceived by males. Moreover, a significant difference was determined between the job performance levels of the individuals according to their gender (p<0.05). Females' job performance was higher than males job performance.

The Effect of Marital Status on Individuals' Job Performance and Perceived Stress

	Marital Status	Ν	Mean ± Sd.	t	р	
Perceived Stress	Married	378	3.40±0.58	-	000	
Perceived Stress –	Single	96	3.51±0.50	1.691	.092	
Job Performance	Married	378	3.48±0.99	-		
Job Performance –	Single	96	3.69±0.83	1.523	.059	

 Table 4. Independent t-Test Results of Scale Scores According to the Marital Status Variable

In Table 4, independent t-Test results regarding the scale scores according to the marital status of the participants were given. According to the analysis results, it was determined that there was no statistically significant difference between the perceived stress levels according to the marital status of the individuals (p>0.05). There was no significant difference between the job performance levels of the individuals according to their marital status (p>0.05).

The Effect of Education Status on Individuals' Job Performance and Perceived Stress

Table 5. ANOVA Results on Scale Scores According to Education Status

Education Status	Ν	Mean ± Sd.	F	р
High School	42	3.28±0.60		
Undergraduate	374	3.44±0.53		.204
Graduate	58	3.39±0.74	- 1.595	
High School	42	3.48±1.11		
Undergraduate	374	3.52±0.96	1.240	.905
Graduate	58	3.56±0.92		
	Status High School Undergraduate Graduate High School Undergraduate	StatusNHigh School42Undergraduate374Graduate58High School42Undergraduate374	Status N Mean ± Sd. High School 42 3.28±0.60 Undergraduate 374 3.44±0.53 Graduate 58 3.39±0.74 High School 42 3.48±1.11 Undergraduate 374 3.52±0.96	Status N Mean ± Sd. F High School 42 3.28±0.60



4.994

3.59±0.90

3.77±0.75

In Table 5, the results of ANOVA analysis regarding the scale scores according to the educational status of the participants in the research were given. According to the analysis results, there was no statistically significant difference determined between the perceived stress levels of the participants according to their educational status (p > 0.05). Besides, there was no significant difference determined between the individuals according to their educational status (p > 0.05).

Table 6. ANOVA Results of Scale Scores According to the Work period in the Working place					
	Work Period	Ν	Mean ± Sd.	F	р
	Less than 1	139	2 2010 C2		
Perceived Stress	year	122	3.30±0.62		.015*
	1-3 year	309	3.36±0.53	4.215	.015
	7 or more year	26	3.47±0.60		
	Less than 1	139	3.31±1.11		
Job Dorformanco	year	129	5.51±1.11		007*
Job Performance	4.2	200			.007.

309

26

The Effect of Working Time on Individuals' Job Performance and Perceived Stress

In Table 6, ANOVA analysis results were given regarding the scale scores of the participants in the study according to their working period in a working place. According to the analysis results, there was a statistically significant difference determined between the perceived stress levels of the participants according to their working period in the working place (p <0.05). It was determined that the perceived stress levels of individuals who worked in a business for 7 years or more were higher. There was a significant difference determined between the working place (p <0.05). Moreover, it was determined that the participants according to their working to their working period in the working place (p <0.05). Moreover, it was determined that the participants who were working in an enterprise for 7 years or more have higher job performance levels compared to other individuals.

The Relationship between Job Performance and Perceived Stress

1-3 year

7 or more year

Table 7. Correlation Analysis Results between Perceived Stress and Job Performance Scores

	Perceived Stress	Job Performance
Perceived Stress	1	
Job Performance	125*	1

In table 7, The analysis results regarding the relationship between the perceived stress scale and the work performance scale were given. According to the research results, there was a negative and low level of relationship determined between the perceived stress scale and job performance scale (p<0.05). In other words, as individuals' perceived stress levels increase, their job performance levels decrease.



DISCUSSION

The study aimed to determine the relationship between the job performance of individuals working in the sports industry and their perceived stress. In this context, the result of the research was discussed and interpreted in this section.

It was determined that there was a statistically significant difference between the stress levels perceived by individuals according to the gender variable. In other words, the stress levels perceived by females were higher than the stress levels perceived by males. While the results of the study conducted by Özgan et al. (2008) and Arslan (2018) was not parallel with the results of this study, the stress levels of males were found to be higher than females in the study by Kesen and Akyüz (2015) and Tokgöz and Önen (2021). Similarly, in their study examining the relationship between individuals' perceived stress levels and their strategies for dealing with stress, Savcı and Aysan (2014), it was determined that females' stress levels were higher than male's. In this context, the results of this study were parallel with the results of the study conducted by Savci and Aysan (2014). When the job performance levels of females and males were examined, it was determined that female's job performance was higher than males. There was no difference determined between individuals' job performances according to their gender in the study by Ozdemir et al. (2019) that was about The Mediating Role of person-organization Fit in the Effect of Leader Support and Organizational Justice Perception on Job Performance. In this context, the result of Özdemir et al.'s (2019) study and the results of this study was not parallel. According to the marital status variable, there was no statistically significant difference determined between the stress levels perceived in the participants. In other words, although the perceived stress levels of single individuals were higher than married participants, this difference was not significant. In the study conducted by Görün et al. (2020), no significant difference determined between the stress levels perceived by the participants according to their marital status. Similarly, in the study conducted by Yanık (2017), it was found that there was no difference between the stress levels of the participants according to their marital status. The results of the studies conducted by Görün et al. (2020) and Yanık (2017) were parallel with the results of this study. Similarly, no statistically significant difference was found between the job performance levels of the individuals according to their marital status. The result of the Özdemir et al.'s (2019) study and the results of this study were parallel.

There was no significant difference determined between the stress levels perceived by individuals according to their educational status. In general, although the perceived stress levels of university graduates were higher, this difference was not thought to be significant. Arslan (2018) stated, there was no relationship between individuals' educational status and perceived stress in her study about the relationship between individuals 'conscious awareness, depression, and perceived stress. Again, in the study conducted by Yanık (2017), there was no difference found between the stress levels of the participants and their educational status. As a result, the results of the study conducted by Arslan's (2018) and Yanık (2017) parallel to the results of this study. When the job performance levels of the participants were examined according to their education levels, there was no statistically significant difference found between the job performance of the individuals and their educational status. In other words, it can be explained that the education level of the individuals did not affect their job performance. It was determined that there was a significant difference between the stress levels perceived by individuals according to their working period in the working place. In other words, individuals



who were working in the working place for 7 years or more have higher perceived stress levels than other individuals. In the study conducted by Yanık (2017), According to their professional experience, it was determined that individuals with 25 years of professional experience have higher stress levels. Similarly, it was determined that individuals who were working for 7 years or more have higher job performance levels than other individuals. In the study by Karaman et al. (2020), which examined the effect of organizational exclusion on job performance and intention to quit, it was stated that there was no significant difference between individuals' job performance by years of service. In this context, the results of the study conducted by Karaman et al. (2020) and the results of this study were not parallel.

CONCLUSION

As a result, it was found that there was a negative and low-level relationship between perceived stress and job performance. In other words, as the stress levels perceived by individuals increase, their job performance levels increase. As a result, both the stress the female perceived and their job performances were higher compared to males. Even though the stress single individuals perceived and their job performances were higher compared to males. Even though the stress significant. Besides, it can be understood that there was no significant difference between the perceived stress and job performances of graduate education. Both the perceived stress of individuals who worked in the business for 7 years or more and their job performances were higher compared to other individuals. Finally, it was concluded that the stress perceived by individuals increased, their job performance decreased. Therefore, it is recommended that companies should look for solutions to improve their job performance, especially to eliminate the stress situations of employees.

REFERENCES

- Akkoç, İ., Çalışkan, A., & Turunç, Ö. (2012). Örgütlerde gelişim kültürü ve algılanan örgütsel desteğin iş tatmini ve iş performansına etkisi: Güvenin aracılık rolü. *Yönetim Ekonomi, 19*(1), 105-135.
- Aktaş, H. & Gürkan, G.Ç. (2015). İş-aile ve aile-iş çatışması ile bireysel performans etkileşiminde mesleki bağlılığın aracılık rolü: hemşireler üzerinde bir araştırma. *Doğuş Üniversitesi Dergisi, 16*(2), 139-154.
- Arslan, I. (2018). Bilinçli farkındalık, depresyon ve algılanan stres arasındaki ilişki. *Birey ve Toplum Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 8*(16), 73-86.
- Çakır, A. & Gözoğlu, Ö.F. (2019). Algılanan kurumsal itibarın iş performansı ve işten ayrılma niyetine etkisi: şanlıurfa ili konaklama işletmeleri üzerine bir araştırma. *Harran Üniversitesi İİBF Dergisi, 3*(4), 46-71
- Canpolat, Ö. (2006). *Çalışanların stres düzeylerini etkileyen faktörler ve iş sağlığı hemşiresinin stres ile baş etmede etkinliği*. [Yüksek Lisans Tezi]. Kocaeli Üniversitesi, Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü.
- Ceylan, A. & Ulutürk, Y.H. (2006).Rol belirsizliği, rol çatışması, iş tatmini ve performans arasındaki ilişkiler. *Doğuş Üniversitesi Dergisi, 7*(1), 48-58.



- Cohen, S., Kamarck, T. & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A Global Measure of Perceived Stress. *Journal* of Health and Social Behavior, 24, 385-396
- Çöl, G. (2008). Algılanan güçlendirmenin işgören performansı üzerine etkileri. *Doğuş Üniversitesi Dergisi, 9*(1), 35-46
- Doğan, R., & Bayraktar, O. (2020). Özel sağlık sektöründe yıldırma ile iş performansı arasındaki ilişki: hemşireler üzerine bir araştırma. *Ekonomi, İşletme ve Maliye Araştırmaları Dergisi,* 2(1), 53-67.
- Eskin, M., Harlak, H., Demirkıran, F. & Dereboy, Ç. (2013). Algılanan Stres Ölçeğinin Türkçeye Uyarlanması: Güvenirlik ve Geçerlik Analizi. *Journal of New Symposium*, *51*(3), 132-140.
- Falay, N. (2000), "Yerel Yönetimlerde Performans Ölçümü: Bir Ön Çalışma," 15. Türkiye Maliye Sempozyumu, Antalya, 15-17 Mayıs 2000, 377-409.
- Görün, L., Öntürk, Y., Efek, E., Bingöl, E. & Bayrakdaroğlu, Y. (2020). Futbol hakemlerinin benlik saygıları ve algılanan stres düzeyleri arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi. (Düzce İli Örneği). *Akdeniz Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 3*(1), 127-140.
- Güney, S. (2009). Davranış Bilimleri, 4. Baskı.
- Kahya, C. (2013). Örgütsel sinizm, iş performansını etkiler mi? iş tatminin aracılık etkisi. *Global Journal of Economics and Business Studies, 2*(3), 34-46.
- Karaman, M., Yoldaş, A. & Kılıç, B. (2020). Örgütsel dışlanmanın iş performansı ve işten ayrılma niyeti üzerindeki etkisinin incelenmesi. *Selçuk Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Meslek Yüksekokulu Dergisi, 23*(2), 479-496.
- Karasar, N. (2020). Bilimsel Araştırma Yöntemi: Kavram ilkeler ve yöntemler.
- Kesen, M. & Akyüz, B. (2015). Üniversite örgüt kültürü algılamaları ve demoğrafik değişkenlerin algılanan stresi yordayıcı değişkenler olarak incelenmesi. *Çankırı Karatekin Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi, 5*(1), 71-94.
- Kızanlıklı, M. & Çöp, S. (2017). Otel işletmesi çalışanlarında pozitif psikolojik sermaye ile iş performansı algısı arasındaki ilişki. *Journal of Tourism and Gastronomy Studies, 5*(3), 268-287.
- Lazarus, R.S. (1966). Psychological stress and the coping process. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Luthans, F. (1994). Organizational behaviour. McGraw-Hill Inc. New York.
- Özdemir, O., Birer, İ. & Akkoç, İ. (2019). Lider desteği ve örgütsel adalet algısının iş performansına etkisinde kişi-örgüt uyumunun aracılık rolü. *Toros Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 6*(10), 77-106.
- Özgan, H., Balkar, B. & Eskil, M. (2008). Eğitim fakültesi öğrencileri tarafından sınıfta algılanan stres nedenleri ile kişisel değişkenleri strese olan etkisi. *Elektronik Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi,* 7, 337-350.
- Özgen, H., Azim Ö. & Azmi, Y (2002). İnsan Kaynakları Yönetimi. Adana, Nobel Kitabevi.



- Şahin, A. (2018). Üniversite öğrencilerinin algılanan stres düzeylerinin fakülte türü ve cinsiyet değişkenlerine göre incelenmesi. *Kilis 7 Aralık Üniversitesi Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 2*(1), 28-35.
- Şanlı, Ö. (2017). Öğretmenlerin algılanan stres düzeylerinin çeşitli değişkenler açısından incelenmesi. *Elektronik Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 16*(61), 385-396.
- Şantaş, F., Uğurluoğlu, Ö., Kandemir, A. & Çelik, Y. (2016). Sağlık Çalışanlarında Örgütsel Sinizm, İş Performansı ve Örgütsel Özdeşleşme Düzeyleri Arasındaki İlişkilerin İncelenmesi. *Gazi Üniversitesi iktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi, 18*(3), 867-886.
- Savcı, M. & Aysan, F. (2014). Üniversite Öğrencilerinde Algılanan Stres Düzeyi İle Stresle İle Başaçıkma Stratejileri Arasındaki İlişki. *Uluslararası Türk Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi, 3,* 44-56.
- Sposito, V. A., Hand, M. L. & Skarpness, B. (1983). On the efficiency of using the sample kurtosis in selecting optimal lpestimators. *Communications in Statistics Simulation and Computation, 12*(3), 265-272.
- Tabiu, A., Pangil, F. & Othman, S. Z. (2016). Examining The Link Between HRM Practices And Employees' Performance In Nigerian Public Sector. *Management Science Letters, 6*, 395–408.
- Tekin, E., Çilesiz, Z.Y. & Gede, S. (2019). Farklı meslek gruplarında çalışanların algılanan stres düzeyleri ve stresle başa çıkma tarzları üzerine bir araştırma. *Ordu Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Araştırmaları Dergisi, 9*(1), 79-89.
- Tekingündüz, S., Seçkin, M., Yaman, K., Türk, I. & Aslan, S. (2016). Performans, iş tatmini ve işaile yaşam çatışması arasındaki ilişkiler. *Uluslararası Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi, 9*(42), 1307-9581.
- Tokgöz, A. & Önen, Ö. (2021). Investigation of the relationship between organizational democracy and job stress level perceptions of administrators and teachers. Shanlax International Journal of Education, 9(2), 26-33.
- Wu, Y.C. (2011). Job Stress And Job Performance Among Employees In The Taiwanese Finance Sector: The Role Of Emotional Intelligence. *Social Behavior and Personality, 39*(1), 21-32.
- Yanık, A. (2017). Muhasebe meslek mensuplarının algıladıkları stres düzeyine yönelik bir araştırma. *International Journal of Economics Studies*, *3*(1), 99-107.
- Yener, S. (2018). Teknostresin iş performansı üzerindeki etkisi: tükenmişliğin aracı rolü. *Afyon Kocatepe Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 20*(2), 85-101.
- Yurttaş, Y., Erat, S. & Alnıaçık, E. (2020). Etkileme taktiklerinin iş performansı ve iş stresi üzerindeki etkisi: kimya sektöründe bir araştırma. *International Review of Economics and Management, 8*(2), 176-200.