Common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in S_m metric space

Mallaiah Katta * Srinivas Veladi[†]

Abstract

In the present paper, at first, we study the structure of the newly S_m - metric space, which is a combination of S-metric space and multiplicative metric space. We have proved a common fixed point theorem for four self-maps in S_m metric space with a new contraction condition by applying the concepts of weakly compatible mappings, semi-compatible mappings, and reciprocally continuous mappings. Further, we also provide some examples to support our results.

Keywords: Multiplicative metric space, S-metric space, S_m -metric space, weakly compatible mappings, reciprocally continuous mappings, and semi-compatible mappings. **2020** AMS subject classifications: 54H25¹

^{*}JN Government Polytechnic, Hyderabad, India; kamanilayam951@ gmail.com.

[†]University college of science OU, Hyderabad, India; srinivasmaths4141@gmail.com.

¹Received on August 8, 2022. Accepted on December 1, 2022. Published on January 2, 2023. DOI: 10.23755/rm.v39i0.808. ISSN: 1592-7415. eISSN: 2282-8214. ©The Authors. This paper is published under the CC-BY licence agreement.

1 Introduction

The notion of multiplicative metric space (MMS) was first developed by Bashirove [1]. Following that, several theorems came to light in this area of MMS [2],[3] and [4]. On the other side, Sedghi.S et al.[5] presented a new structure to S-metric space which modified D-metric and G-metric spaces, and then several fixed point theorems [6] and [7] were obtained. Pant et al. [8] generalized the notion of reciprocally continuous mapping which is weaker than continuous and compatible mappings. Recently, Mukesh Kumar Jain [9] introduced a more general form of semi-compatible mappings and proved many fixed point theorems in metric space.

In this article, we use a new generalized metric space referred to as S_m -metric space, which is a combination of both MMS and S -metric space. Using this concept, we establish a common fixed point theorem by applying weakly compatible mappings(WCM), reciprocally continuous mappings, and semi-compatible mappings. Furthermore, some examples are also discussed to support our conclusions.

2 Preliminaries:

Now we give some definitions and examples which are used in this theorem.

Definition 2.1. [1] "Let χ be a non-empty set and $\delta : \chi^2 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a multiplicative *metric space (MMS) satisfying the properties :*

- (i) $\delta(\psi, \phi) \ge 1$ and $\delta(\psi, \phi) = 1 \iff \psi = \phi$
- (ii) $\delta(\psi, \phi) = \delta(\phi, \psi)$
- (iii) $\delta(\psi, \phi) \leq \delta(\psi, \sigma)\delta(\sigma, \phi), \forall \psi, \phi, \sigma \in \chi.$ "

Definition 2.2. [5] "Let χ be a non-empty set defined $S : \chi^3 \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying:

- (i) $S(\psi, \phi, \sigma) \ge 0$
- (ii) $S(\psi, \phi, \sigma) = 0 \iff \psi = \phi = \sigma$
- (iii) $S(\psi, \phi, \sigma) \leq S(\psi, \psi, \rho) + S(\phi, \phi, \rho) + S(\sigma, \sigma, \rho), \forall \psi, \phi, \sigma, \rho \in \chi.$

A mapping S together with χ , (χ, S) is called a S-metric space."

Definition 2.3. [10] "Let χ be a non-empty set A function $S_m : \chi^3 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the conditions :

- (i) $S_m(\psi, \phi, \sigma) \ge 1$
- (ii) $S_m(\psi,\phi,\sigma) = 1 \iff \psi = \phi = \sigma$
- (iii) $S_m(\psi,\phi,\sigma) \leq S_m(\psi,\psi,\rho)S_m(\phi,\phi,\rho)S_m(\sigma,\sigma,\rho), \forall \psi,\phi,\sigma,\rho \in \chi.$

The pair (χ, S_m) is called as S_m -metric space".

Definition 2.4. [10] "Let (χ, S_m) be a S_m -metric space, a sequence $\{\psi_\theta\} \in \chi$ is said to be

- (i) cauchy sequence $\iff S_m(\psi_\theta, \psi_\theta, \psi_l) \to 1$, for all $\theta, l \to \infty$;
- (ii) convergent $\iff \exists \psi \in \chi \text{ such that } S_m(\psi_\theta, \psi_\theta, \psi) \to 1 \text{ as } \theta \to \infty;$
- (iii) is complete if every cauchy sequence is convergent."

Definition 2.5. [11] " Two self-maps M and K of a S_m metric space are said to be

(i) Compatible: if

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(MK\psi_\theta, MK\psi_\theta, KM\psi_\theta) = 1,$$

whenever there exist a sequence $\{\psi_{\theta}\} \in \chi$ such that

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(M\psi_{\theta}, K\psi_{\theta}, \omega) = 1 \text{ for some } \omega \in \chi$$

(ii) Weakly- compatible mappings: if they commute at their coincidence points,

$$i.e.\omega \in \chi, S_m(M\omega, M\omega, K\omega) = 1, \implies S_m(MK\omega, MK\omega, KM\omega) = 1.$$

Definition 2.6. [9] "Two self maps M and K of S_m -metric space are said to be Semi- compatible: if

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(MK\psi_\theta, MK\psi_\theta, K\omega) = 1$$

whenever there exists a sequence $\{\psi_{\theta}\} \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(M\psi_{\theta}, K\psi_{\theta}, \omega) = 1 \text{ for all } \omega \in \chi."$$

Now we present an example in which semi-compatible is weaker than compatible.

Example 2.6.1

Consider $\chi = [0, \infty)$ with $S_m(\psi, \phi, \sigma) = e^{|\psi - \phi| + |\phi - \sigma| + |\sigma - \psi|}$, for every $\psi, \phi, \sigma \in \chi$. Define two self maps M and K as

$$M(\psi) = \begin{cases} \frac{\cos^2(\pi\psi) + 1}{2} & \text{if } 0 < \psi \le \frac{1}{2};\\ \sin(\pi\psi) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \psi \le 3. \end{cases}$$

and

$$K(\psi) = \begin{cases} \frac{2sin(\pi\psi)-1}{2} & \text{if } 0 < \psi \le \frac{1}{2};\\ 1-sin(\pi\psi) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \psi \le 3.\end{cases}$$

Consider a sequence $\{\psi_{\theta}\}$ as $\psi_{\theta} = \{\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\}$ for $\theta \ge 0.$

Then

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} M(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} M(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \frac{\cos^2 \pi (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}) + 1}{2} = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \frac{\sin^2 (\frac{\pi}{\theta}) + 1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} K(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} K(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \frac{2\sin\pi(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}) - 1}{2} = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \frac{2\cos(\frac{\pi}{\theta}) - 1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore
$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} M \psi_{\theta} = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} K \psi_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} = \omega$$
 (say).

Now

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} MK(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} M(\frac{2\cos\frac{\pi}{\theta} - 1}{2}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \frac{\cos^2\pi(\frac{2\cos\frac{\pi}{\theta} - 1}{2}) + 1}{2} = \frac{\cos^2\frac{\pi}{2} + 1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} KM(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} K(\frac{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{\theta} + 1}{2}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} [1 - \sin\pi(\frac{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{\theta} + 1}{2})] = 0.$$
$$\therefore \lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(MK\psi_{\theta}, MK\psi_{\theta}, KM\psi_{\theta}) \neq 0.$$

This implies these two self-maps M and K are not compatible. But $K(\omega) = K(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Therefore
$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(MK\psi_{\theta}, MK\psi_{\theta}, K\omega) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = 1.$$

Hence these two self maps M and K are semi-compatible but not compatible.

Definition 2.7. [8] "Two self-maps M, K of S_m -metric space are said to be **re**ciprocally continuous if

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(MK\psi_{\theta}, MK\psi_{\theta}, M\omega) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(KM\psi_{\theta}, KM\psi_{\theta}, K\omega) = 1,$$

whenever there exist a sequence $\{\psi_{\theta}\} \in \chi$ such that

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(M\psi_{\theta}, K\psi_{\theta}, \omega) = 1 \text{ some } \omega \in \chi.$$

Now we present an example in which satisfies reciprocally continuous is weaker but not compatible.

Example 2.7.1 Consider $\chi = (0, \infty)$ with $S_m(\psi, \phi, \sigma) = e^{|\psi - \phi| + |\phi - \sigma| + |\sigma - \psi|}$, for every $\psi, \phi, \sigma \in \chi$. Define two self maps M and K as

$$M(\psi) = \begin{cases} \psi^2 + 2 & \text{if } 0 < \psi \le 1; \\ 4 - \psi & \text{if } 1 < \psi \le 3. \end{cases}$$

and

$$K(\psi) = \begin{cases} 1 - 2\psi & \text{if } 0 < \psi \le 1; \\ \psi - 2 & \text{if } 1 < \psi \le 3. \end{cases}$$

Consider a sequence $\{\psi_{\theta}\}$ as $\psi_{\theta} = \{3 - \frac{1}{\theta}\}$, for $\theta \ge 0$. Now

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} M(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} [4 - (3 - \frac{1}{\theta})] = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{\theta \to \infty} K(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} [(3 + \frac{1}{\theta}) - 2] = 1$$
$$\therefore \lim_{\theta \to \infty} M\psi_{\theta} = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} K\psi_{\theta} = 1 = \omega_1 \neq \phi.$$

Also

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} MK(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} M[(3 - \frac{1}{\theta}) - 2] = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} M(1 - \frac{1}{\theta}) = 3$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} KM(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} K(4 - (3 - \frac{1}{\theta})) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} K(1 + \frac{1}{\theta}) = -1.$$

$$\therefore \lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(MK\psi_{\theta}, MK\psi_{\theta}, KM\psi_{\theta}) = S_m(3, 3, -1) \neq 1.$$

This gives the self maps M and K are not compatible in S_m - metric space. Moreover, $M(\omega_1) = 3$ and $K(\omega_1) = -1$. Which gives

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(MK\psi_{\theta}, MK\psi_{\theta}, M\omega_1) = S_m(3, 3, 3) = 1,$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(KM\psi_{\theta}, KM\psi_{\theta}, K\omega_1) = S_m(-1, -1, -1) = 1.$$

This implies the self-maps M and K are reciprocally continuous but not compatible in S_m metric space.

Now we proceed to the main theorem.

3 Main Theorem

Theorem 3.1. Let M, H, K, and J be self-mapping of a complete S_m -metric space satisfying the following

(3.1.1) $M(\chi) \subseteq J(\chi)$ and $H(\chi) \subseteq K(\chi)$

(3.1.2)

$$\begin{split} S_m(M\psi, M\psi, H\phi) \leq & \left\{ max[S_m(M\psi, M\psi, K\psi)S_m(H\phi, H\phi, J\phi), \\ & S_m(M\psi, M\psi, J\phi)S_m(K\psi, K\psi, H\phi), \\ & S_m(M\psi, M\psi, J\phi)S_m(H\phi, H\phi, J\phi), \\ & S_m(M\psi, M\psi, K\psi)S_m(H\phi, H\phi, K\psi)] \right\}^{\lambda} \\ & \text{ where } \lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \end{split}$$

(3.1.3) the pair M and K are reciprocally continuous and semi-compatible,

(3.1.4) the pair H and J are weakly compatible.

Then the self-maps M, H, K, and J have a unique common fixed point in χ .

Proof:

Let there is a point $\psi_0 \in \chi$, and the sequence $\{\psi_\theta\}$ be defined as $M\psi_0 = J\psi_1 = \phi_0$. For this point ψ_1 then there exists $\psi_2 \in \chi$ such that $H\psi_1 = K\psi_2 = \phi_1$. In general, by induction choose $\psi_{\theta+1}$, construct a sequence $\{\phi_\theta\} \in \chi$ such that

$$\phi_{2\theta} = M\psi_{2\theta} = J\psi_{2\theta+1}$$
 and $\phi_{2\theta+1} = H\psi_{2\theta+1} = K\psi_{2\theta+2}$, for $\theta \ge 0$.

On putting $\psi = \psi_{2\theta}$ and $\phi = \phi_{2\theta+1}$ in (3.1.2) we get.

$$\begin{split} S_{m}(\phi_{2\theta},\phi_{2\theta},\phi_{2\theta+1}) &= S_{m}(M\psi_{2\theta},M\psi_{2\theta},H\psi_{2\theta+1}) \\ &\leq \max \left\{ S_{m}(M\psi_{2\theta},M\psi_{2\theta},\theta\psi_{2\theta})S_{m}(H\psi_{2\theta+1},H\psi_{2\theta+1},J\psi_{2\theta+1}), \\ S_{m}(M\psi_{2\theta},M\psi_{2\theta},J\psi_{2\theta+1})S_{m}(H\psi_{2\theta+1},H\psi_{2\theta+1},\theta\psi_{2\theta}), \\ S_{m}(M\psi_{2\theta},M\psi_{2\theta},J\psi_{2\theta+1})S_{m}(H\psi_{2\theta+1},H\psi_{2\theta+1},J\psi_{2\theta+1}), \\ S_{m}(M\psi_{2\theta},M\psi_{2\theta},K\psi_{2\theta})S_{m}(H\psi_{2\theta+1},H\psi_{2\theta+1},K\psi_{2\theta}) \right\}^{\lambda} \end{split}$$

$$S_{m}(\phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta+1}) \leq \max \left\{ S_{m}(\phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta-1}) S_{m}(\phi_{2\theta+1}, \phi_{2\theta+1}, \phi_{2\theta}), \\S_{m}(\phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta}) S_{m}(\phi_{2\theta+1}, \phi_{2\theta+1}, \phi_{2\theta-1}), \\S_{m}(\phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta}) S_{m}(\phi_{2\theta+1}, \phi_{2\theta+1}, \phi_{2\theta-1}), \\S_{m}(\phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta-1}) S_{m}(\phi_{2\theta+1}, \phi_{2\theta+1}, \phi_{2\theta-1}) \right\}^{\lambda}$$

this implies that

$$S_m(\phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta+1}) \le S_m(\phi_{2\theta-1}, \phi_{2\theta-1}, \phi_{2\theta+1})^{\lambda}.$$

 $S_m(\phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta+1}) \leq \{S_m(\phi_{2\theta-1}, \phi_{2\theta-1}, \phi_{2\theta})S_m(\phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta+1})\}^{\lambda}.$

$$S_m^{1-\lambda}(\phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta+1}) \le S_m^{\lambda}(\phi_{2\theta-1}, \phi_{2\theta-1}, \phi_{2\theta}).$$
$$S_m(\phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta+1}) \le S_m^{\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}}(\phi_{2\theta-1}, \phi_{2\theta-1}, \phi_{2\theta}).$$

$$S_m(\phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta}, \phi_{2\theta+1}) \le S_m^p(\phi_{2\theta-1}, \phi_{2\theta-1}, \phi_{2\theta}). \text{ where } p = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}.$$

Now this gives

 $S_m(\phi_{\theta}, \phi_{\theta}, \phi_{\theta+1}) \le S_m^p(\phi_{\theta-1}, \phi_{\theta-1}, \phi_{\theta}) \le S_m^{p^2}(\phi_{\theta-2}, \phi_{\theta-2}, \phi_{\theta-1}) \le \cdots S_m^{p^n}(\phi_0, \phi_0, \phi_n).$ By using triangular inequality

$$S_m(\phi_{\theta}, \phi_{\theta}, \phi_n) \le S_m^{p^{\theta}}(\phi_0, \phi_0, \phi_l) \le S_m^{p^{\theta+1}}(\phi_0, \phi_0, \phi_n) \le \cdots S_m^{p^{n-1}}(\phi_0, \phi_0, \phi_n)$$

Mallaiah K and Srinivas V

$$S_m(\phi_{\theta}, \phi_{\theta}, \phi_n) \leq S_m^{\frac{p^{\theta}}{1-p}}(\phi_0, \phi_0, \phi_l)$$
 for all $\theta \geq 1$.

Hence $\{\phi_{\theta}\}$ is a cauchy sequence in S_m -metric space. Since the self-maps, M and K are weakly reciprocally continuous.

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(MK\psi_{\theta}, MK\psi_{\theta}, M\omega) = 1 \text{ or } \lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(KM\psi_{\theta}, KM\psi_{\theta}, \theta\omega) = 1.$$
(1)

Also, the pair (M, K) is semi compatible, we have

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(MK\psi_{\theta}, MK\psi_{\theta}, K\omega) = 1.$$
 (2)

From (1) and (2) we get

$$S_m(M\omega, M\omega, K\omega) = 1.$$
(3)

Since $M(\chi) \subseteq J(\chi)$ which gives then there exists $\nu \in \chi$ such that $J\nu = M\psi_{\theta}$, since $M\psi_{\theta} \to \omega$ as $\theta \to \infty$. Which implies

$$S_m(J\nu, J\nu, \omega) = 1. \tag{4}$$

Now, we have to prove $S_m(J\nu, H\nu, \omega) = 1$. Substitute $\psi = \psi_{\theta}$ and $\phi = \nu$ in (3.1.2) we have

$$S_{m}(M\psi_{\theta}, M\psi_{\theta}, H\nu) \leq \left\{ max[S_{m}(M\psi_{\theta}, M\psi_{\theta}, K\psi_{\theta})S_{m}(H\nu, H\nu, J\nu), S_{m}(M\psi_{\theta}, M\psi_{\theta}, J\nu)S_{m}(K\psi_{1}, K\psi_{1}, H\nu), S_{m}(M\psi_{\theta}, M\psi_{\theta}, J\nu)S_{m}(H\nu, H\nu, J\nu), S_{m}(M\psi_{\theta}, M\psi_{\theta}, K\psi_{\theta})S_{m}(H\nu, H\nu, K\psi_{\theta})] \right\}^{\lambda}$$

$$S_{m}(\omega, \omega, H\nu) \leq \left\{ max[S_{m}(\omega, \omega, \omega)S_{m}(H\nu, H\nu, \omega), S_{m}(\omega, \omega, \omega)S_{m}(\omega, \omega, H\nu), S_{m}(\omega, \omega, \omega)S_{m}(H\nu, H\nu, \omega)] \right\}^{\lambda} S_{m}(\omega, \omega, H\nu) \leq \{(S_{m}(\omega, \omega, H\nu)\}^{\lambda} S_{m}^{(1-\lambda)}(\omega, \omega, H\nu) \leq 1 \implies S_{m}(H\nu, H\nu, \omega) = 1. \therefore S_{m}(J\nu, H\nu, \omega) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Since the pair (H.J) is WCM and ν is a coincidence point then $HJ\nu = JH\nu$

$$S_m(H\omega, H\omega, J\omega) = 1.$$
⁽⁵⁾

Substitute $\psi = \psi_{\theta}$ and $\phi = \omega$ in (3.1.2) we have

$$S_{m}(M\psi_{\theta}, M\psi_{\theta}, H\omega) \leq \begin{cases} max[S_{m}(M\psi_{\theta}, M\psi_{\theta}, K\psi_{\theta})S_{m}(H\omega, H\omega, J\omega), \\ S_{m}(M\psi_{\theta}, M\psi_{\theta}, J\omega)S_{m}(K\psi_{1}, K\psi_{1}, H\omega), \\ S_{m}(M\psi_{\theta}, M\psi_{\theta}, J\omega)S_{m}(H\omega, H\omega, J\omega), \\ S_{m}(M\psi_{\theta}, M\psi_{\theta}, K\psi_{\theta})S_{m}(H\omega, H\omega, K\psi_{\theta})] \end{cases}^{\lambda}$$

also

$$S_{m}(H\omega,\omega,\omega) \leq \left\{ max[S_{m}(\omega,\omega,\omega)S_{m}(H\omega,H\omega,\omega),S_{m}(\omega,\omega,\omega)S_{m}(\omega,\omega,H\omega), S_{m}(\omega,\omega,\omega)S_{m}(\omega,\omega,\omega)S_{m}(\omega,\omega,\omega)] \right\}^{\lambda} \\ S_{m}(\omega,\omega,\omega)S_{m}(H\omega,H\omega,\omega),S_{m}(\omega,\omega,\omega)S_{m}(H\omega,H\omega,\omega)] \right\}^{\lambda}$$

and this gives

$$S_m(H\omega, \omega, \omega) \le S_m(H\omega, \omega, \omega)^{\lambda}$$
$$S_m^{(1-\lambda)}(H\omega, \omega, \omega) \le 1 \implies H\omega = \omega$$
$$\therefore S_m(H\omega, J\omega, \omega) = 1.$$
(6)

Replace $\psi = \omega$ and $\phi = \nu$ in (3.1.2) then we have

$$S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, H\nu) \leq \left\{ max[S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, K\omega)S_{m}(J\nu, H\nu, H\nu), S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, J\nu)S_{m}(K\omega, K\omega, H\nu), S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, J\nu)S_{m}(J\nu, J\nu, H\nu), S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, K\omega)S_{m}(H\nu, H\nu, K\omega)] \right\}^{\lambda}$$

Mallaiah K and Srinivas V

$$S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, \omega) \leq \left\{ max[S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, M\omega)S_{m}(\omega, \omega, \omega), \\ S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, \omega)S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, \omega), \\ S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, \omega)S_{m}(\omega, \omega, \omega), \\ S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, M\omega, M\omega)S_{m}(\omega, \omega, M\omega)] \right\}^{\lambda}$$

$$S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, \omega) \leq \{S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, \omega)\}^{\lambda}$$

$$S_{m}^{(1-\lambda)}(M\omega, M\omega, \omega) \leq 1 \implies M\omega = \omega$$

$$\therefore S_{m}(M\omega, J\omega, \omega) = 1.$$
(7)

From (6) and (7) we get

$$M\omega = J\omega = H\omega = K\omega = \omega.$$
(8)

Therefore " ω " is a common fixed point of M, H, K, and J. Uniqueness

Let ρ be one more fixed point, we assume that $\rho \neq \omega$ then we have

$$M\rho = K\rho = H\rho = J\rho = \rho.$$

In the condition (3.1.2) put $\psi = \omega$ *and* $\phi = \rho$ *we get*

$$S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, H\rho) \leq \left\{ max[S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, K\omega)S_{m}(H\rho, H\rho, J\rho), S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, J\rho)S_{m}(K\omega, K\omega, H\rho), S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, J\rho)S_{m}(H\rho, H\rho, J\rho), S_{m}(M\omega, M\omega, K\omega)S_{m}(H\rho, H\rho, K\omega)] \right\}^{\lambda}$$

$$S_{m}(\omega, \omega, \rho) \leq \left\{ max[S_{m}(\omega, \omega, \omega)S_{m}(\rho, \rho, \rho), S_{m}(\omega, \omega, \rho)S_{m}(\omega, \omega, \rho), S_{m}(\omega, \omega, \rho)S_{m}(\rho, \rho, \rho), S_{m}(\omega, \omega, K\omega)S_{m}(\rho, \rho, \omega)] \right\}^{\lambda} \\ S_{m}(\omega, \omega, \rho) \leq \left\{ S_{m}(\omega, \omega, \rho) \right\}^{\lambda}$$

this implies that $S_m(\omega, \omega, \rho) = 1 \implies \omega = \rho$. This shows that " ω " is the unique common fixed point of M.H.J and K.

Now, the following example substantiates our theorem.

Example 3.2

Suppose $\chi = (0, 1), S_m$ - metric space by $S_m(\psi, \phi, \sigma) = e^{|\psi - \phi| + |\phi - \sigma| + |\sigma - \psi|}$,

when $\psi,\phi,\sigma\in\chi.$ Define M ,K ,H J: $\chi X\chi\to\chi$ as follows

$$\begin{split} M(\psi) &= \begin{cases} \frac{2-\psi}{5} & \text{if } 0 < \psi \leq \frac{1}{3}; \\ \psi & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} < \psi < 1. \end{cases} \\ K(\psi) &= \begin{cases} 1-2\psi & \text{if } 0 < \psi \leq \frac{1}{3}; \\ \frac{1+\psi}{2} & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} < \psi < 1. \end{cases} \\ H(\psi) &= \begin{cases} 3\psi^2 - 3\psi + 1 & \text{if } 0 < \psi \leq \frac{1}{3}; \\ \frac{2+\psi}{7} & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} < \psi < 1. \end{cases} \\ J(\psi) &= \begin{cases} 1-6\psi^2 & \text{if } 0 < \psi \leq \frac{1}{3}; \\ 1-\psi & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} < \psi < 1. \end{cases} \\ \text{Then } M(\chi) &= (\frac{1}{3}, 1] \subseteq J(\chi) = (0, 1] \text{ and } H(\chi) = (\frac{1}{3}, 1] \subseteq K(\chi) = (\frac{1}{3}, 1]. \end{split}$$

Therefore the condition (3.1.1) holds.

Consider a sequence $\{\psi_{\theta}\}$ as $\psi_{\theta} = \{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\theta}\}$ as $\theta \ge 0$.

Then
$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} M(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} M(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \frac{2 - (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\theta})}{5} = \frac{1}{3}$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} K(\psi\theta) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} K(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} [1 - 2(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\theta})] = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Therefore $\lim_{\theta \to \infty} M(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} K(\psi_{\theta}) = \frac{1}{3} = \omega_1.$

Further

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} H(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} H(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} [3(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\theta})^2 - 3(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\theta}) + 1] = \frac{1}{3}$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} J(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} J(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} [1 - 6(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\theta})^2] = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Therefore $\lim_{\theta \to \infty} H(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} J(\psi_{\theta}) = \frac{1}{3} = \omega_1.$

Moreover

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} MK(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} M[1 - (\frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{\theta})] = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} M(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{\theta}) = \frac{1}{3}$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} KM(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} K(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \frac{1 + 2(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5\theta})}{2} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

$$\therefore \lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(MK\psi_{\theta}, MK\psi_{\theta}, KM\psi_{\theta}) = S_m(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}) \neq 1$$

which implies that the pair (M, K) is not compatible. Furthermore

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} HJ(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} H(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta^2}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} (\frac{2 + (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta^2})}{7}) = \frac{1}{3}$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} JH(\psi_{\theta}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} J(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta^2}) = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} [1 - (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta^2})] = \frac{2}{3}$$

Therefore $\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(HJ\psi_{\theta}, HJ\psi_{\theta}, JH\psi_{\theta}) = S_m(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}) \neq 1.$

Which shows that the pair(H,J) is not compatible .

Also $M(\frac{1}{3}) = \frac{1}{3}, K(\frac{1}{3}) = \frac{1}{3}.$

This implies
$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(MK\psi_{\theta}, MK\psi_{\theta}, M\omega_1) = S_m(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}) = 1$$

and
$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(KM\psi_{\theta}, KM\psi_{\theta}, K\omega_1) = S_m(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}) = 1.$$

This shows that the pair (M, K) is reciprocally continuous in \mathcal{S}_m metric space.

Also
$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} S_m(MK\psi_{\theta}, MK\psi_{\theta}, K\omega_1) = S_m(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}) = 1$$

This shows that the pair (M, K) is semi-compatible in \mathcal{S}_m metric space.

Hence the inequality (3.1.3) holds.

Further

$$S_m(H(\frac{1}{3}), J(\frac{1}{3}), \frac{1}{3}) = 1$$
 and $S_m(HJ(\frac{1}{3}), JH(\frac{1}{3}), \frac{1}{3}) = 1.$

This implies that $S_m(HJ(\frac{1}{3}), HJ(\frac{1}{3}), JH(\frac{1}{3})) = S_m(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}) = 1$. Which indicates that the pair (H, J) is weakly compatible.

Now, we prove the condition (3.1.2) in various cases

CASE-I

Let $\psi, \phi \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, while we have $S_m(\psi, \phi, \sigma) = e^{|\psi - \sigma| + |\phi - \sigma|}$. Take $\psi = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\phi = \frac{1}{5}$ then $M(\frac{1}{4}) = \frac{7}{20}$, $K(\frac{1}{4}) = \frac{1}{2}$, $H(\frac{1}{5}) = \frac{13}{25}$ and $J(\frac{1}{5}) = \frac{19}{25}$ substitute the above values in (3.1.2)

$$S_{m}(\frac{7}{20}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{13}{25}) \leq \left\{ max[S_{m}(\frac{7}{20}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{1}{2})S_{m}(\frac{13}{25}, \frac{13}{25}, \frac{19}{25}), S_{m}(\frac{7}{20}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{19}{25})S_{m}(\frac{13}{25}, \frac{13}{25}, \frac{1}{2}), S_{m}(\frac{7}{20}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{19}{25})S_{m}(\frac{13}{25}, \frac{13}{25}, \frac{1}{2}), S_{m}(\frac{7}{20}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{1}{2})S_{m}(\frac{13}{25}, \frac{13}{25}, \frac{1}{2})] \right\}^{\lambda}$$

$$we have e^{0.34} \leq \left\{ max[e^{0.3}e^{0.48}, e^{0.82}e^{0.34}, e^{0.3}e^{0.04}, e^{0.82}e^{0.48}] \right\}^{\lambda}$$

$$e^{0.34} \le \{ \max[e^{0.78}, e^{1.16}, e^{0.0.34}, e^{1.3}] \}^{\lambda} \implies e^{0.34} \le e^{1.16\lambda}$$

which gives $\lambda = 0.2$ where $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$.

CASE-II

Let $\psi, \phi \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, then $S_m(\psi, \phi, \sigma) = e^{|\psi - \sigma| + |\phi - \sigma|}$. Take $\psi = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi = \frac{1}{2}$ then $M(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$, $K(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{3}{4}$, $H(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{5}{14}$ and $J(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$ substitute the above values in (3.1.2)

$$S_{m}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{14}) \leq \left\{ max[S_{m}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})S_{m}(\frac{5}{14}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{1}{2}), S_{m}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})S_{m}(\frac{5}{14}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{3}{4}), \\ S_{m}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})S_{m}(\frac{5}{14}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{1}{2}), S_{m}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})S_{m}(\frac{5}{14}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{3}{4})] \right\}^{\lambda}$$

which implies that

$$e^{0.285} \le \left\{ \max[e^{0.5}e^{0.285}, e^{0.0}e^{0.786}, e^{0.0}e^{0.28}, e^{0.5}e^{0.786}] \right\}^{\lambda}$$
$$e^{0.285} \le \left\{ \max[e^{0.785}, e^{0.786}, e^{0.28}, e^{1.286}] \right\}^{\lambda} \implies e^{0.285} \le e^{1.286\lambda}$$

Mallaiah K and Srinivas V

which gives $\lambda = 0.22$ where $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

CASE-III

Let $\psi, \phi \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, then $S_m(\psi, \phi, \sigma) = e^{|\psi - \sigma| + |\phi - \sigma|}$ Take $\psi = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\phi = \frac{1}{2}$ then $M(\frac{1}{4}) = \frac{7}{20}$, $K(\frac{1}{4}) = \frac{1}{2}$, $H(\frac{1}{5}) = \frac{5}{14}$ and $J(\frac{1}{5}) = \frac{1}{2}$ substitute the above values in (3.1.2)

$$S_{m}(\frac{7}{20}, 0\frac{7}{20}, \frac{5}{14}) \leq \left\{ max[S_{m}(\frac{7}{20}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{1}{2})S_{m}(\frac{5}{14}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{1}{2}), S_{m}(\frac{7}{20}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{1}{2})S_{m}(\frac{5}{14}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{1}{2}), S_{m}(\frac{7}{20}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{1}{2})S_{m}(\frac{5}{14}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{1}{2}), S_{m}(\frac{7}{20}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{1}{2})S_{m}(\frac{5}{14}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{1}{2})] \right\}^{\lambda}$$

which implies that

$$e^{0.014} \le \left\{ \max[e^{0.3}e^{0.28}, e^{0.3}e^{0.28}, e^{0.3}e^{0.28}, e^{0.3}e^{0.28}] \right\}^{2}$$

$$e^{0.014} \le \{ \max[e^{0.58}, e^{0.58}, e^{0.58}, e^{0.58}] \}^{\lambda} \implies e^{0.014} \le e^{0.5.8\lambda}$$

this gives that $\lambda = 0.14$ where $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Hence the inequality (3.3.2) holds.

It can be seen that " $\frac{1}{2}$ " is a unique common fixed point for four self mappings M, K H, and J.

4 Conclusions

In this article, we established a common fixed point theorem in S_m -metric space by using weakly-compatible mappings, semi-compatible mappings, and reciprocally continuous mappings for four self-maps. Furthermore, our results are also justified with suitable examples.

References

[1] Agamirza E Bashirov, Emine Mısırlı Kurpınar, and Ali Özyapıcı. Multiplicative calculus and its applications. *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, 337(1):36–48, 2008.

- [2] Afrah AN Abdou. Common fixed point results for compatible-type mappings in multiplicative metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl*, 9:2244–2257, 2016.
- [3] Nihal Yılmaz Özgür and Nihal Taş. Some generalizations of fixed-point theorems on s-metric spaces. In *Essays in Mathematics and its Applications*, pages 229–261. Springer, 2016.
- [4] Ravi P Agarwal, Erdal Karapınar, and Bessem Samet. An essential remark on fixed point results on multiplicative metric spaces. *Fixed point theory and applications*, 2016(1):1–3, 2016.
- [5] Shaban Sedghi, N Shobkolaei, M Shahraki, and T Došenović. Common fixed point of four maps in s-metric spaces. *Mathematical Sciences*, 12(2):137–143, 2018.
- [6] Somkiat Chaipornjareansri. Fixed point theorems for generalized weakly contractive mappings in s-metric space. *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, pages 50–62, 2018.
- [7] Nguyen Van Dung. On coupled common fixed points for mixed weakly monotone maps in partially ordered s-metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2013(1):1–17, 2013.
- [8] RP Pant. Common fixed points of four mappings. *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 90:281–286, 1998.
- [9] Mukesh Kumar Jain and Mohammad Saeed Khan. Generalization of semi compatibility with some fixed point theorems under strict contractive condition. *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, 17:25–35, 2017.
- [10] K Mallaiah and V Srinivas. Common fixed point of four maps in sm-metric space. *International Journal of Analysis and Applications*, 19(6):915–928, 2021.
- [11] MA Al-Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad. Generalized i-nonexpansive selfmaps and invariant approximations. Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 24(5):867–876, 2008.