# **Pairwise Paracompactness**

Pallavi S. Mirajakar\* P. G. Patil<sup>†</sup>

### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study a new paracompactness in bitopological spaces using  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed sets. Further, the properties of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed sets,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -continuous functions and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -irresolute maps and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact spaces are discussed in bitopological spaces. **Keywords**:  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed sets,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open sets,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -continuous and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed sets,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact spaces. **Keywords**:  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed sets,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open sets,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact spaces. **2010** AMS subject classifications: 54E55. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics (P. C. Jabin Science College, Hubbali, Karnatak, India); psmira-jakar@gmail.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics (Karnatak University, Dharwad, Karnatak, India); pg-patil@kud.ac.in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Received on January 10th, 2020. Accepted on May 3rd, 2020. Published on June 30th, 2020. doi: 10.23755/rm.v38i0.495. ISSN: 1592-7415. eISSN: 2282-8214. ©Pallavi S. Mirajakar et al. This paper is published under the CC-BY licence agreement.

### **1** Introduction

The research in topology over last two decades has reached a high level in many directions. Topological methods are widely used in many other branches of modern mathematics such as differential equation, functional analysis, classical mechanics, general theory of relativity, mathematical economics, quantum theory, biology etc.

Bitopological space is a triplet  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , where X is a non empty set and  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are topologies on a space X. In 1963, J. C. Kelly [8] initiated the study of bitopological spaces. In 1985, Fututake [5] studied the concept of generalized closed (briefly g-closed) sets in bitopological spaces. After that, several authors turned their attention towards the generalizations of various concepts in topology by considering bitopological spaces.

In this paper,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed sets,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -continuous functions and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -irresolute maps are defined and studied in bitopological spaces. Also, the concept of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompactness in bitopological spaces is introduced and studied.

### 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this present paper, let X, Y and Z always represents non-empty bitopological spaces  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  and  $(Z, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly mentioned and the integers  $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [13] A space X is said to be  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact if every open cover of X has a  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -locally finite  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -refinement.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $A \subseteq X$ . Then A is said to be a (a)  $\omega\alpha$ -closed [2] if  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\omega$ -open in X. (b)  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed[12] if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\omega\alpha$ -open in X.

**Definition 2.3.** A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a (a)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -g-closed [5] if  $\tau_j$ -cl $(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in  $\tau_i$ . (b)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -rg-closed [1] if  $\tau_j$ -cl $(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is regular open in  $\tau_i$ .

(c)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha g$ -closed [3] if  $\tau_j$ - $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in  $\tau_i$ . (d)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g\alpha$ -closed [3] if  $\tau_j$ - $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\alpha$ -open in  $\tau_i$ .

(e)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gpr-closed [7] if  $\tau_j$ -pcl $(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is regular open in  $\tau_i$ .

(f)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -g<sup>\*</sup>-closed [14] if  $\tau_j$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is g-open in  $\tau_i$ .

(g)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\omega \alpha$ -closed [11] if  $\tau_j$ -cl $(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\omega$ -open in  $\tau_i$ .

In all the above definitions  $i \neq j$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A map  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  is called a (a)  $\tau_j \cdot \mu_k$ -continuous [10] if  $f^{-1}(G) \in \tau_j$  for every open set G in  $\mu_k$ . (b)  $D(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot \mu_k$ -continuous [10] if the inverse image of every  $\mu_k$ -closed set in  $(Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g$ -closed in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . (c)  $D_r(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot \mu_k$ -continuous [1] if the inverse image of every  $\mu_k$ -closed set in  $(Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot rg$ -closed in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . (d)  $C(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot \mu_k$ -continuous [6] if the inverse image of every  $\mu_k$ -closed set in  $(Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot \omega$ -closed in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . (e)  $D^*(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot \mu_k$ -continuous [14] if the inverse image of every  $\mu_k$ -closed set in  $(Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^*$ -closed in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . (f)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot \alpha g$ -continuous [4] if the inverse image of every  $\mu_k$ -closed set in  $(Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$ is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot \alpha g$ -continuous [4].

**Definition 2.5.** [8] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be pairwise Hausdorff if for each pair of distinct points x and y of X, there exist  $U \in P_i$  and  $V \in P_j$ such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \phi$ .

# **3** $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^* \omega \alpha$ -Closed Sets

This section deals with the concept of  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in bitopological spaces and some of their properties.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2\}$  where  $i \neq j$ . A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed if  $\tau_j$ - $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U \in \tau_i$ - $\omega\alpha$ -open in X.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $X = \{m, n, p\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{n, p\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}\}$ . Consider a set in the space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $A = \{n, p\}$  which is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed.

**Remark 3.1.** If  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau$  in Definition 3.1, then  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is same as  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed [12] in  $(X, \tau)$ .

The family of all  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^* \omega \alpha$ -closed sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is denoted by  $P(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Every  $\tau_j$ -closed (resp.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular closed) is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed.

However the converse need not be true in general as shown in the following example.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = \{m, n, p\}, \tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{n, p\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}\}$ . Consider the set,  $A = \{m, p\}$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $g^* \omega \alpha$ -closed but not  $\tau_2$ -closed (resp.  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular closed).

We have the following implification:  $\tau_j$ -closed  $\rightarrow (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^* \omega \alpha$ -closed  $\rightarrow (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha$ g-closed

**Remark 3.2.** If A and B are  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  then  $A \cup B$  is also  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.2.** If a subset A of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed then  $\tau_j$ -cl(A) - A does not contain any non empty  $\omega\alpha$ -closed set in  $\tau_i$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$ -closed and  $F \subseteq \tau_i \cdot \omega \alpha$ -closed set such that  $F \subseteq \tau_j \cdot cl(A) - A$ . Now  $F \subseteq \tau_j \cdot cl(A)$  and  $F \subseteq X - A$ . Then  $A \subseteq X - F$  and by hypothesis A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$ -closed and X - F is  $\tau_i - \omega \alpha$ -open. Thus from Definition 3.1,  $\tau_j \cdot cl(A) \subseteq X - F$ , that is  $F \subseteq (X - \tau_j \cdot cl(A))$ . Then  $F \subseteq (\tau_j \cdot cl(A)) \cap (X - \tau_j \cdot cl(A)) = \phi$  and so  $F = \phi$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $\tau_j \cdot cl(A) - A$  does not contain any non empty  $\omega \alpha$ -closed set.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.3.** A  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed set need not be  $\tau_i$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed or  $\tau_j$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{m, n, p\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi\}$ . Then the set  $A = \{n, p\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed but not  $\tau_2$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed. Also, if  $X = \{m, n, p\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{p\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, n\}\}$  be topology on X. Then the set  $A = \{m, p\}$  is $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed but not  $\tau_1$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Remark 3.4.** In general  $P(\tau_i, \tau_j) \neq P(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ .

**Example 3.4.** Let  $X = \{m, n, p\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{p\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, n\}\}$ . Then  $g^* \omega \alpha C(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \{X, \phi, \{m, n\}\}$  and  $g^* \omega \alpha C(\tau_2, \tau_1) = \{X, \phi, \{n, p\}, \{p\}\}$ . Hence we can observe that  $g^* \omega \alpha C(\tau_1, \tau_2) \neq g^* \omega \alpha C(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ .

**Remark 3.5.** If  $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$ , then  $P(\tau_2, \tau_1) \subseteq P(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  but converse is not true.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $X = \{m, n, p\}, \tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{p\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, n\}\}$ . Then  $P(\tau_2, \tau_1) = \{X\}, \phi, \{m, n\}, \{n, p\}\}$  and  $P(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \{X, \phi, \{m, n\}, \{n, p\}, \{p\}\}$ . Then  $P(\tau_2, \tau_1) \subseteq G(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  but  $\tau_1 \nsubseteq \tau_2$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** A  $\tau_i$ - $\omega \alpha$ -open and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^* \omega \alpha$ -closed set is  $\tau_j$ -closed.

**Proof.** Now  $A \subseteq A$ . Then  $\tau_j$ - $cl(A) \subseteq A$  and  $A \subseteq \tau_j$ -cl(A). Therefore  $\tau_j$ -cl(A) = A and hence  $A \in \tau_j$ -closed.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** Let A be  $\tau_i \cdot \omega \alpha$ -open and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$ -closed. Suppose F is  $\tau_j$ -closed, then  $A \cap F$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$ -closed.

**Proof.** Let A be  $\tau_i$ - $\omega\alpha$ -open and A be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed and F be  $\tau_j$ -closed. Then from Theorem 3.3, A is  $\tau_j$ -closed. So  $A \cap F$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed and hence  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.5.** If A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \tau_j$ -cl(A), then  $\tau_j$ -cl(B)-B contains no non empty  $\tau_i$ -closed set.

**Proof.** Let A be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \tau_j$ -cl(A). Then B is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed follows from Theorem 3.19 [12]. Hence  $\tau_j$ -cl(B) - B contains no non empty  $\tau_i$ -closed set.  $\Box$ 

**Corolary 3.1.** If A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \tau_j$ -cl(A), then  $\tau_j$ -cl(B)-B contains no non empty  $\tau_i$ - $\omega\alpha$ -closed set.

**Theorem 3.6.** Arbitrary union of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed sets  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed if the family  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is  $\tau_j$ -locally finite.

**Proof.** Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is  $\tau_j$ -locally finite and  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed. Let  $\cup A_i \subseteq U$  where  $U \in \tau_i \cdot \omega\alpha$ -open. Then  $A_i \subseteq U$  and  $\omega\alpha$ open in  $\tau_i$ . Since A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed the for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\tau_j$ - $cl(A_i) \subseteq U$ . Consequently  $\cup \tau_j$ - $cl(A_i) \subseteq U$ . Since the family,  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is  $\tau_j$ -locally finite  $\tau_j$ - $cl(\cup A_i) = \cup (\tau_j \cdot cl(A_i)) \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $\cup A_i$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.7.** For an element x in X, the set  $X - \{x\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed or  $X - \{x\}$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -open in  $\tau_i$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $X - \{x\}$  is not  $\omega \alpha$ -open in  $\tau_i$ , then X is the only  $\omega \alpha$ -open set containing  $X - \{x\}$ , that is  $\tau_j$ - $cl(X - \{x\}) \subseteq \tau_j$ - $cl(\{x\}) = X$ . Hence  $\tau_j$ - $cl(X - \{x\}) \subseteq X$ . Thus  $X - \{x\}$  is  $\tau_i, \tau_j$ )- $g^* \omega \alpha$ -closed.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 3.2.** A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ open if its complement is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed.

**Definition 3.3.** For a subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ interior of A is denoted by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -int(A) and is defined as  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -int $(A) = \cup \{F : F \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open and  $F \subseteq A\}$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** Let A be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open. Then P = X whenever G is  $\tau_i$ - $\omega\alpha$ -open and  $\tau_j$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -int $(A) \cup A^c \subseteq G$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** A set A is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open if and only if  $F \subseteq \tau_j$ -int(A) whenever F is  $\tau_i$ -closed and  $F \subseteq A$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** If A and B are separated  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open sets then  $A \cup B$  is also  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open.

**Proof.**Suppose A and B are  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open sets. Let F be an  $\tau_i$ -closed set such that  $F \subseteq A \cup B$ . Since A and B are separated,  $\tau_i$ -cl(A)  $\cap B = A \cap \tau_i$ -cl(B)  $= \phi$  and  $\tau_j$ -cl(A)  $\cap B = A \cap \tau_j$ -cl(B)  $= \phi$ . Then  $F \cap \tau_j$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq (A \cup B) \cap \tau_j$ cl(A) = A. Similarly,  $F \cap \tau_j$ -cl(B)  $\subseteq B$ . Since F is  $\tau_i$ -closed, we have  $F \cap \tau_i$ cl(A),  $F \cap \tau_i$ -cl(B) are also  $\tau_i$ -closed and from hypothesis A and B are  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open sets,  $F \cap \tau_j$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq \tau_j$ -int(A) and  $F \cap \tau_j$ -cl(B)  $\subseteq \tau_j$ -int(B). Now  $F = F \cap (A \cup B) \subseteq (F \cap \tau_j$ -cl(A)) \cup (F \cap \tau\_j-cl(B))  $\subseteq \tau_j$ -int(A \cup B). Hence  $A \cup B$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 3.4.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space if every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed set is  $\tau_j$ -closed.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $X = \{m, n, p\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, n\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{p\}, \{m, p\}\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space.

**Theorem 3.11.** If a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$  space, then for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is  $\tau_i$ - $\omega\alpha$ -closed or  $\tau_j$ -open.

**Proof.** Suppose  $\{x\}$  is not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open, then  $\{x\}^c$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed. As X is is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space,  $\{x\}^c$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed and hence  $\{x\}$  is  $\tau_j$ -open.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.6.** Every singleton subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed or  $\tau_i$ - $\omega\alpha$ -closed but  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space.

**Example 3.7.** Let  $X = \{m, n, p\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, n\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{p\}, \{m, p\}\}$ . Then every singleton set  $\{x\}$  of X is either  $\tau_2$ -open or  $\tau_1$ - $\omega\alpha$ -closed. However,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space.

**Remark 3.7.** If  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are both  $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space, then it need not imply  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space.

**Example 3.8.** Let  $X = \{m, n, p\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{n\}, \{n, p\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, n\}\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are  $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space, but  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space.

**Remark 3.8.** The space  $(X, \tau_1)$  is not generally  $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space if  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $X = \{m, n, p\}, \tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{n, p\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space, but  $(X, \tau_1)$  is not  $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space.

# 4 $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -Continuous and $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -Irresolute Maps

**Definition 4.1.** A map  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  is called  $P(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\mu_k$ -continuous (pairwise  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -continuous) if the inverse image of every  $\mu_k$ -closed set in  $(Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Every is  $\tau_i - \mu_k$ -continuous function is  $P(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \mu_k$ -continuous.

**Proof.** Follows from Theorem 3.1.  $\Box$ The converse need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $X = Y = \{m, n, p\}, \tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{n, p\}\}, \tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{p\}, \{m, p\}\}, \mu_1 = \{Y, \phi, \{n\}\} and \mu_2 = \{Y, \phi, \{m\}\}.$  Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be the identity map. Then f is  $P(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\mu_1$ -continuous but not  $\tau_2$ - $\mu_1$ -continuous, since for the  $\mu_1$ -closed set  $A = \{m, p\}$  in  $Y, f^{-1}(\{m, p\}) = \{m, p\}$  is not  $\tau_2$ -closed in X.

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be  $P(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \mu_k$ -continuous  $g : (Y, \mu_1, \mu_2) \rightarrow (Z, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  be  $P(\mu_1, \mu_2) - \gamma_m$ -continuous but their composition need not be  $P(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \gamma_m$ -continuous.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $X = Y = \{m, n, p\}, \tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, n\}\}, \tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{p\}, \{m, p\}\}, \mu_1 = \{Y, \phi, \{m\}, \{n, p\}\}, \mu_2 = \{Y, \phi, \{m\}\}, \gamma_1 = \{Z, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, p\}\} and \gamma_2 = \{Z, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, n\}, \{m, p\}\}.$  Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be identity map and define a map  $g : (Y, \mu_1, \mu_2) \rightarrow (Z, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  by g(m) = n, g(n) = m, g(p) = p. Then f and g are pairwise  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -continuous maps but their composition is not pairwise  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -continuous, since for the  $\gamma_1$ -closed set  $\{n, p\}$  in  $(Z, \gamma_1, \gamma_2), (gof)^{-1}(\{n, p\}) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\{n, p\})) = f^{-1}(\{m, p\}) = \{m, p\}$  is not  $(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cdot g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition 4.2.** A map  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  is called pairwise  $g^* \omega \alpha$ irresolute if for every  $A \in P(\mu_k, \mu_e)$  in  $(Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$ ,  $f^{-1}(A) \in P(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** If a map  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  pairwise  $g^* \omega \alpha$ -irresolute if f is  $P(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\mu_e$ -continuous.

**Proof.** Let F be  $\mu_e$ -closed, then F is  $(\mu_k, \mu_e)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in  $(Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$ . From Theorem 3.1,  $F \in P(\mu_k, \mu_e)$ . Since f is pairwise  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -irresolute,  $f^{-1}(F) \in P(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ . Therefore f is  $P(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\mu_e$ -continuous.  $\Box$ 

The converse of this theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 4.3.** Let  $X = Y = \{m, n, p\}, \tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, n\}\}, \tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{p\}, \{m, p\}\}, \mu_1 = \{Y, \phi, \{n\}, \{n, p\}\} and \mu_2 = \{Y, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, p\}\}.$ Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be the identity map. Then f is  $P(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cdot \mu_1 \cdot continuous$  map but not pairwise  $g^* \omega \alpha$ -irresolute map, since for the  $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$ -closed set  $\{m, p\}$  in  $(Y, \mu_1, \mu_2), f^{-1}(\{m, p\}) = \{m, p\}$  is not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be a map and  $(Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be  $(\mu_k, \mu_e)$ - $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space. Then f is pairwise  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -irresolute if and only if f is  $P(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\mu_e$ -continuous.

**Proof.** Suppose f is pairwise  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -irresolute. From Theorem 4.2, f is  $P(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\mu_e$ -continuous.

Conversely, let f be  $P(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\mu_e$ -continuous map. Let F be  $(\mu_k, \mu_e)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in  $(Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$ . By hypothesis  $(Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  is  $(\mu_k, \mu_e)$ - $T_{g^*\omega\alpha}$ -space, F is  $\mu_e$ -closed set in  $(Y, \mu_1, \mu_2)$ . Again, since f is  $P(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\mu_e$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ closed set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence f is pairwise  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -irresolute.  $\Box$ 

## **5** $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^* \omega \alpha$ -Paracompact Spaces

We recall that, a collection  $\xi = \{F_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$  of subsets of a space X is called a locally finite with respect to the topology  $\tau_i$ , if for each  $x \in X$  there exists  $U_x \in \tau_i$  containing x and  $U_x$  which intersects at most finitely many members of  $\xi$ .

**Definition 5.1.** A collection  $\xi = \{F_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$  of subsets of a space X is called  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally finite if for each  $x \in X$  there exist  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -g<sup>\*</sup> $\omega \alpha$ -open  $U_x$  in X and  $U_x$  intersects at most finitely many members of  $\xi$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $\xi = \{F_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$  be a collection of subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  then (a)  $\xi$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -locally finite if and only if  $\{(\tau_i, \tau_j)g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_{\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally finite.

(b) if  $\xi$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally finite, then  $\cup (\tau_i, \tau_j)g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_\lambda) = (\tau_i, \tau_j)g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(\cup F_\lambda)$ . (c)  $\xi$  is locally finite with respect to the topology  $\tau_i$  if and only if the collection  $\{(\tau_i, \tau_j)g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Gamma)\}$  is locally finite with respect to the topology  $\tau_i$ .

**Proof.** (a) Suppose  $\xi$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally finite. Then for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set  $U_x$  containing x, which meets only finitely many of the sets  $F_{\lambda}$ , say  $F_{\lambda_1}, F_{\lambda_2}, ..., F_{\lambda_n}$ . Since  $F_{\lambda_K} \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j)g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_{\lambda_K})$  for each k = 1,2,...,n and  $U_x$  meets  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_{\lambda_1}), ..., (\tau_i, \tau_j)g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_{\lambda_n})$ . Therefore  $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_{\lambda})$  where  $\lambda \in \gamma$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally finite.

Conversely, let  $x \in X$ . Then there exists  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open  $U_x$ , which meets only finitely many of the sets  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_{\lambda_n})$ , say  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_{\lambda_1})$ , ...,

### Pairwise Paracompactness

 $(\tau_i, \tau_j)g^*\omega\alpha - cl(F_{\lambda_n})$ . Then  $U_x \cap (\tau_i, \tau_j) - g^*\omega\alpha - cl(F_{\lambda_k}) \neq \phi$ . Let  $q \in U_x$  and  $q \in (\tau_i, \tau_j) - g^*\omega\alpha - cl(F_{\lambda_k})$ , implies that for every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set  $V_q$ , we have  $V_q \cap F_{\lambda_k} \neq \phi$ . But, we have  $U_x$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set containing q and so  $U_x \cap F_{\lambda_k} \neq \phi$  for each k=1,2,...,n. Thus  $\xi$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P locally finite.

(b) Suppose  $\xi$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally finite, then  $\cup (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_\lambda) \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j)g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(\cup F_\lambda)$ . On the other hand, let  $q \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(\cup F_\lambda)$ . Then for every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set  $V_q$  such that  $V_q \cap (\cup F_\lambda) \neq \phi$ . But from the hypothesis, there exists  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set  $U_q$  such that  $U_q$  meets only finitely many of the sets  $F_\lambda$ , say  $F_{\lambda_1}, F_{\lambda_2}, ..., F_{\lambda_n}$ . Thus for each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set  $V_q$  containing q, we have  $V_q \cap (\cup F_{\lambda_k}) \neq \phi$  where k=1,2,...,n. That is, for each  $q \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(\cup F_{\lambda_k})$ , there exists h such that  $q \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_{\lambda_h})$ . Therefore  $q \in \cup (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_\lambda)$  and hence  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(\cup F_\lambda) = \cup (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_\lambda)$ .

(c) Suppose  $\xi$  is locally finite with respect to the topology  $\tau_i$ , then for each  $x \in X$  there exists  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U_x$  which meets only finitely many set  $F_\lambda$ , say  $F_{\lambda 1}, F_{\lambda 2}, ...$ ,  $F_{\lambda_n}$ , but  $F_{\lambda k} \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha \cdot cl(F_{\lambda_k})$ . Then  $U_x$  meets  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha \cdot cl(F_{\lambda_1}), ...,$  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha \cdot cl(F_{\lambda_n})$ . Thus  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha \cdot cl(F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Gamma)$  is locally finite with respect to the topology  $\tau_i$ .

Conversely, let  $x \in X$ . Then there exists  $\tau_1$ -open set  $U_x$  which meets only finitely many of the sets  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_\lambda)$ , that is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_{\lambda_1}), ..., (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_{\lambda_n})$ . Let  $q \in U_x$  and  $q \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(F_{\lambda_k})$  where k=1,2,...,n. Then for each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set  $V_q$  containing q such that  $V_q \cap F_{\lambda_k} \neq \phi$ . But  $q \in U_x$ and so  $U_x$  meets only finitely many of the sets  $F_\lambda$ . Hence  $\xi$  is locally finite with respect to the topology  $\tau_i$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a function. Then f is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed if and only if for every  $y \in Y$  and  $U \in \tau_1O(X)$  which contains  $f^{-1}(y)$  there exists  $V \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set in  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  such that  $y \in Y$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -irresolute. If  $\xi = \{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally finite collection in Y, then  $f^{-1}(\xi) = \{f^{-1}(F_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P locally finite collection in X.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -continuous. If  $\xi = \{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P locally finite collection in Y, then  $f^{-1}(\xi) = \{f^{-1}(F_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$  is locally finite collection with respect to the topology  $\tau_i$ .

**Definition 5.2.** A non empty collection  $\xi = \{A_i, i \in I, an index set\}$  is called a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open cover of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  if  $X = \cup A_i$  and  $\xi \subseteq \tau_1$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \cup \tau_2$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $\xi$  contains at least one member of  $\tau_1$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and one member of  $\tau_2$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition 5.3.** A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -compact if every cover of A by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open sets has a finite subcover.

**Example 5.1.** Let  $X = \{m, n, p, q\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, n\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m, n\}, \{m, n, p\}, \{m, p, q\}\}$ . Let  $\xi = \{\{m\}, \{m, n\}, \{m, n, p\}, \{m, p, q\}\}$  be a  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -compact.

**Definition 5.4.** A set A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -compact relative to X if every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open cover of A has a finite subcover as a subspace.

**Theorem 5.4.** Every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^* \omega \alpha$ -compact space is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  compact.

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -compact. Let  $\xi = \{A_i : i \in I\}$  be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ open cover of X. Then  $X = \bigcup A_i$  and  $\xi \subseteq \tau_i \cup \tau_j$ , so  $\xi$  contains at least one member of  $\tau_i$  and one member of  $\tau_j$ . Since, every  $\tau_i$ -open set is  $\tau_i$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open, we have  $X = \bigcup A_i$  and  $\xi \subseteq \tau_i$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(X) \cup \tau_j$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(X)$  and by definition  $\xi$  contains at least one member of  $\tau_i$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and one member of  $\tau_j$  $g^*\omega\alpha O(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Therefore  $\xi$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open cover of X. As X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  $g^*\omega\alpha$ -compact then  $\xi$  has the finite subcover and hence X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  compact.

**Theorem 5.5.** If Y is  $\tau_i$ -g<sup>\*</sup> $\omega \alpha$  closed subset of a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -g<sup>\*</sup> $\omega \alpha$ -compact space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  then Y is  $\tau_j$ -g<sup>\*</sup> $\omega \alpha$  compact.

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$ -compact. Let  $\xi = \{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a  $\tau_j \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$  open cover of Y. As Y is  $\tau_i \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$  closed,  $Y^c$  is  $\tau_i \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$  open. Also,  $\xi \cup Y^c = Y^c \cup \{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$ -open cover of X. Since X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$ -compact, we have  $X = Y^c \cup A_1 \cup \ldots \cup A_n$ , so  $Y = A_1 \cup \ldots \cup A_n$ . Hence, Y is  $\tau_j \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$  compact.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  continuous, bijective and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - g^* \omega \alpha$ -irresolute. Then the image of a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - g^* \omega \alpha$ -compact space under f is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - g^* \omega \alpha$ -compact.

**Proof:** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  continuous surjective and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed. Let X be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -compact. Let  $\xi = \{A_i, i \in I\}$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open cover of Y. Then  $Y = \bigcup A_i$  and  $\xi \subseteq \sigma_1$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(Y) \cup \sigma_2$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(Y)$  and  $\xi$  contains at least one member of  $\sigma_1$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(Y)$  and one member of  $\sigma_2$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(Y)$ . Therefore  $X = f^{-1}(\bigcup(A_i)) = \bigcup f^{-1}(A_i)$  and  $f^{-1}(\xi) \subseteq \tau_1$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(X) \cup \tau_2$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(X)$  and  $f^{-1}(\xi)$  contains at least one member of  $\tau_1$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(X)$  and one member of  $\tau_2$ - $g^*\omega\alpha O(X)$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(\xi)$  is the  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open cover of X. Since X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -compact, we have  $X = \bigcup f^{-1}(A_i)$  for each i = 1, ..., n, that is  $Y = f(X) = \bigcup(A_i)$ , i=1, ..., n. Hence,  $\xi$  has the finite subcover. Therefore Y is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -compact.

**Definition 5.5.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact (pairwise  $g^*\omega\alpha$  paracompact) if every  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X has a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally finite  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open refinement.

#### Pairwise Paracompactness

**Example 5.2.** Let  $X = \{m, n, p\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, n\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{p\}, \{m, p\}\}$ . Let  $\xi = \{\{m\}, \{m, n\}\}$ . Then the space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$   $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact.

**Definition 5.6.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then X is said to be: (a)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -regular if for each  $\tau_i$ -closed set F and  $x \in X$  there exist  $\tau_i$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set U and  $\tau_j$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set V such that  $x \in U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ . (b)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -normal if there exist two disjoint  $\tau_i$ -closed sets A and B, there exist disjoint  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open sets U and V such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

**Example 5.3.** Let  $X = \{m, n, p\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{m, n\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{p\}, \{m, p\}\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -regular.

**Example 5.4.** Let  $X = \{m, n, p\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}, \{n, p\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \phi, \{m\}\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^* \omega \alpha$ -normal.

**Proposition 5.1.** A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$ -regular if and only if for each  $\tau_i$ -open set U and  $x \in U$  there exists  $V \in (\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha O(X)$  such that  $x \in V \in (\tau_i, \tau_j) g^* \omega \alpha \cdot cl(V) \subseteq U$ .

**Theorem 5.7.** Every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact pairwise Hausdorff bitopological space is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -regular.

**Proof.** Let A be  $\tau_i$ -closed set with  $x \notin A$ . Then for each  $y \in A$ , choose  $\tau_i$ -open sets  $U_y$  and  $H_x$  such that  $y \in U_y$ ,  $x \in H_x$  and  $U_y \cap H_x = \phi$ , that is  $x \notin (\tau_i, \tau_j)g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(U_y)$ . Therefore the family  $U = \{U_y : y \in A\} \cup \{X - A\}$  is an  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X and so it has a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally finite  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open refinement say  $\rho$ . Let  $V = \{H \in \rho : H \cap A \notin \phi\}$ , then V is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set containing A and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(V) = \cup\{(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ - $cl(H) : H \in \rho$  and  $H \cap A \notin \phi\}$ . Therefore  $U = X - (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -cl(V) is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set containing x such that U and V are disjoint subsets of X. Thus X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -regular.  $\Box$ 

**Corolary 5.1.** Every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact pairwise Hausdorff bitopologcal space  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -normal.

**Theorem 5.8.** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  be two regular spaces. Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact if and only if every  $\tau_i$ -open cover  $\xi$  of X has a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P locally finite  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed refinement say  $\rho$ .

**Proof.** Necessity: Let  $\xi$  be an  $\tau_1$ -open cover of X. Then for each  $x \in X$  choose a member  $U_x \in \xi$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are  $\tau_i$ -regular, there exists  $\tau_i$ -open set  $V_x$  containing x such that  $\tau_i \subseteq cl(V_x) \subseteq U_x$ . Therefore  $\Psi = \{V_x : x \in X\}$  is an  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X and by hypothesis  $\Psi$  has a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally

finite  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^* \omega \alpha$ -refinement say  $\Omega = \{W_\lambda : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$ . Consider the collection  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)g^*\omega \alpha - \Omega = \{(\tau_i, \tau_j)g^*\omega \alpha \cdot cl(W_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally finite of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^*\omega \alpha$ -closed subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Since for every  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ ,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^*\omega \alpha$ - $cl(W_\lambda) \subseteq (\tau_i, \tau_j)g^*\omega \alpha \cdot cl(V_x) \subseteq \tau_1 \cdot cl(V_x) \subseteq U_x$  for some  $U_x \in \xi$ , therefore  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) \cdot g^*\omega \alpha \cdot cl(\Omega)$  is a refinement of  $\xi$ .

Sufficiency: Let  $\xi$  be an  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X and  $\Psi$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P locally finite  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed refinement of  $\xi$ . Then for each  $x \in X$  choose  $W_x \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ O(X) such that  $x \in W_x$  and  $W_x$  intersects at most finitely many member of  $\Psi$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally finite refinement of  $\Omega = \{W_x : x \in X\}$ . Then for each  $V \in \Psi$ ,  $V^1 = X - H$ , where  $H \in \Sigma$  and  $H \cap V = \phi$ . Then  $\{V^1 : V \in \Psi\}$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open cover of X. Now for each  $V \in \Psi$ , let us choose  $U_v \in \Xi$  such that  $V \subseteq U_v$ . Hence the collection  $\{U_v \cap V^1 : V \in \Psi\}$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\xi$ . Thus  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.9.** Let A be a (i, j) regular closed subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $(A, \tau_{i_A}, \tau_{j_A})$  is (i, j)-g<sup>\*</sup> $\omega \alpha$ -paracompact.

**Proof.** Let  $\Sigma = \{V_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$  is an  $\tau_i$ -open cover of A in  $(A, \tau_{i_A}, \tau_{j_A})$ . Then for each  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ , choose an  $U_{\lambda} \in \tau_i$  such that  $V_{\lambda} = A \cap U_{\lambda}$ . Then the collection  $\xi = \{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Gamma\} \cup \{X - A\}$  which is an  $\tau_i$ -open cover of the (i, j)- $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact space X and so it has a (i, j)-P-locally finite (i, j)- $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open refinement say  $\Sigma = \{W_{\delta} : \delta \in \Delta\}$ . But we have  $(i, j) - RO(X) \subseteq (i, j) - O(X)$ , then the collection  $\{A \cap W_{\delta} : \delta \in \Delta\}$  is a (i, j)-P-locally finite (i, j)- $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\Sigma$  in  $(A, \tau_{i_A}, \tau_{j_A})$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.10.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be  $(\tau_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $(\tau_2, \sigma_2)$  closed  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -irresolute surjective function such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $\tau_i$ -compact in  $(X, \tau_1)$  for each  $y \in Y$ . If  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is also  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact.

**Proof.** Let  $\xi = \{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$  be an  $\tau_i$ -open cover of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $\xi$  is an  $\tau_i$ -open cover of the  $\tau_i$ -compact subspace  $f^{-1}(y)$ . So there exist a finite subcover  $\Gamma_y$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq \cup U_{\lambda}$  for each  $\lambda \in \Xi_{\lambda}$ . Let  $U_{\lambda} = \cup U_{\lambda}$  which is an  $\tau_i$ -open in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . As f is  $(\tau_1, \sigma_1)$ -closed, then for each  $y \in Y$  there exists  $\sigma_1$ -open set  $V_y$  in Y such that  $y \in V_y$  and  $f^{-1}(V_y) \subseteq U_{\lambda}$ . Then the collection  $\Psi = \{V_y : y \in Y\}$  is an  $\sigma_1$ -open cover of the  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact space  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  and so it has a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P locally finite  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open refinement say  $\Omega = \{W_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Delta\}$ . As f is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally finite cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that for each  $\gamma \in \delta$ ,  $f^{-1}(W_{\gamma}) \subseteq U_y$  for some  $y \in Y$ . Then the collection  $\{f^{-1}(W_{\gamma}) \cap U_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Delta\}$ 

 $\delta, \lambda \in \Gamma_y$ } is an  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -P-locally finite  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\xi$ . Thus  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $g^*\omega\alpha$ -paracompact.  $\Box$ 

## Conclusion

The notions of sets and functions in topological spaces are extensively developed and used in many fields such as particle physics, computational topology, quantum physics. By researching generalizations of closed sets, some new Paracompact spaces have been founded and they turn out to be useful in the study of digital topology.

### 6 Acknowledgment

The second author wish to thanks to University Grant Commission, New Delhi for financial support.

## References

- I. Arockiarani, Studies on Generalizations of Generalized Closed Sets and Maps in Topological Spaces, Ph.D., Thesis, Bharathiar Univ., Coimbatore, 1997.
- [2] S. S. Benchalli, P. G. Patil and T. D. Rayanagaudar, ωα-Closed Sets is Topological Spaces, The Global. Jl. Appl. Math. and Math. Sci., 2, (2009), 53-63.
- [3] R. Devi, K. Balachandran and H. Maki, Semi-Generalized Closed Maps and Generalized Semi-Closed Maps, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math., 14 (1993), 41-54.
- [4] O. A. El-Tntawy and H. M. Abu-Donia, Generalized Separation Axioms in Bitopological Spaces, The Arabian Jl. for Sci. and Engg., Vol. 30(1) A, (2005), 117-129.
- [5] T. Fukutake, On Generalized Closed Sets in Bitopological Spaces, Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed. Part III, 35 (1986), 19-28.
- [6] T. Fukutake, P. Sundaram and M. Shaik John, On ω-Closed Sets, ω-Open Sets and ω-Continuity in Bitopological Spaces, Bull. Fakuoka Univ. Edn., 35, Part III, 51 (2002), 1-9.

- [7] Y. Gnanambal, Studies on Generalized Pre-Regular Closed Sets and Generalization of Locally Closed Sets, Ph.D Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, (1998).
- [8] J. C. Kelly, *Bitopological Spaces*, *Proc. London Math. Society*, 13 (1963),71-89.
- [9] F. H. Khedr and H. S. Al-saadi, *On Pairwise Semi-Generalized Closed Sets*, *JKAU Sci. Jl.*, Vol 21, No. 2, (2009), 269-295.
- [10] H. Maki, P. Sundaram and K. Balachandran, On Generalized Continuous Maps and Pasting Lemma in Bitopological Spaces, Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed. Part III, 40 (1991), 23-31.
- [11] P. G. Patil, ωα-Closed Sets in Bitopological Spaces, International Jl. of Advances in Management, Technology and Engineering Sciences, Vol.II, 3(IV) (2012), 111-114.
- [12] P. G. Patil, S. S. Benchalli and P. S. Mirajakar, *Generalized Star*  $\omega\alpha$ -*Closed Sets in Topological Spaces, Jl. of New Results in Science,* Vol 9, (2015), 37-45.
- [13] P. G. Patil and P. S. Mirajakar, *New Class of Paracompact Spaces in Topological Spaces*, (Communicated).
- [14] M. Sheik John and P. Sundaram, g\*-Closed Sets in Bitopological Spaces, Indian Jl. Pure Appl. Math., 35(1)(2004), 71-80.