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#### Abstract

Lower and upper bounds for the existence of linear codes which correct burst of length $b$ (fixed) and whose weight lies between certain limits have been presented.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that during the process of transmission errors occur predominantly in the form of a burst. However, it does not generally happen that all the digits inside any burst length get corrupted. Also when burst length is large then the actual number of errors inside the burst length is also not very less. Keeping this in view, we study codes which detect/correct moderate-density burst errors.

In the literature, various kinds of burst errors have been studied, viz. open loop bursts (c.f. Peterson and Weldon, Jr. (1972), p.109), closed-loop bursts [Campopiono, 1962], C.T. bursts [Chien and Tang, (1965)], low-density bursts [Dass, 1975], etc. One important kind of bursts errors which has not drawn much attention is burst of specified length (fixed) [Dass, 1982]. In this paper, we derive lower and upper bounds for linear codes that detect/correct Moderate-density bursts of length $b$ (fixed) for some positive integer $b$.

In what follows we shall consider a linear code to be a subspace of n-tuples over GF(q). The weight of a vector shall be considered in the Hamming's sense [Hamming, 1950] and we shall mean by a burst of length $b$ (fixed), is an n-tuple whose only nonzero components are confined to $b$ consecutive positions, the first of which is nonzero and the number of its starting positions is the first ( $n-b+1$ ) components.
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## 2. BOUNDS FOR CODES CORRECTING MODERATE-DENSITY BURSTS

In this section, we consider codes correcting moderate-density burst errors. We first obtain a lower bound on the number of check digits which is a necessary conditions for the existence of codes capable of correcting bursts of length $b$ (fixed) with weight lying between $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}\left(0 \leq \mathrm{w}_{1} \leq \mathrm{w}_{2} \leq\right.$ b).

Before this we prove the following Lemma.
Lemma 1. If $\mathrm{J}\left(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}\right)$ denotes the number of n -tuples over $\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q})$ which form bursts of length $b$ (fixed) with weight lying $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}\left(0 \leq w_{1} \leq w_{2} \leq b\right)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J}\left(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}\right)=(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b}+1) \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{w}_{1}-1 \\ \mathrm{w}_{1} \neq 0}}^{\mathrm{w}_{2}-1}\binom{\mathrm{~b}-1}{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{q}-1)^{\mathrm{i}+1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The Lemma follows immediately from the fact that the number of bursts of length $b$ (fixed) with weight $i$ is

$$
\binom{\mathrm{b}-1}{\mathrm{i}-1}(\mathrm{q}-1)^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b}+1) .
$$

Theorem 1. The number of parity check symbols in an ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}$ ) linear code that corrects all bursts of length $b$ (fixed) of weight lying between $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}\left(0 \leq w_{1} \leq\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{w}_{2} \leq \mathrm{b}\right)$ is at least.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{q}\left[1+J\left(n, b, w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right] . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since the code has $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}}$ cosets in all, and all the error patterns are to be in different cosets of the standard array, therefore, in view of Lemma 1, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}} \geq 1+\mathrm{J}\left(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result now follows by taking logarithm on both sides.
Remarks. If we put $\mathrm{w}_{1}=0$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}=\mathrm{b}$ in the above result then weight constraints imposed on the burst becomes redundant and we get

$$
\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}} \geq 1+[(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b}+1](\mathrm{q}-1)] \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{b}-1},
$$

which gives the number of parity check digits in an ( $n, k$ ) linear code over $\operatorname{GF}(q)$ that corrects all bursts of length $b$ (fixed), a result due to Dass [1980].

Now, if we take, $\mathrm{w}_{1}=0$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}=\mathrm{w}$ in (3) we get

$$
\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}} \geq 1+(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b}+1)(\mathrm{q}-1)[1+(\mathrm{q}-1)]^{(\mathrm{b}-1, \mathrm{w}-1)}
$$

which gives the number of check digits required for linear codes correcting bursts of length b (fixed) with weight w or less ( $\mathrm{w} \leq \mathrm{b}$ ) a result which is again due to Dass [1983].

Moreover, when $\mathrm{w}_{1}=\mathrm{w}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}=\mathrm{b}$ we obtain

$$
\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}} \geq 1+(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b}+1) \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{w}-1 \\ \mathrm{w} \neq 0}}^{\mathrm{b}-1}\binom{\mathrm{~b}-1}{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{q}-1)^{\mathrm{i}+1},
$$

which gives the number of check digits required in an $(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$ linear code that corrects all bursts of length $b$ (fixed) with weight $w$ or more ( $\mathrm{w} \leq \mathrm{b}$ ) which coincides with the result due to the authors [2000].

Now, we first obtain a sufficient condition giving an upper bound for the existence of a code capable of detecting moderate-density burst errors, and then in the theorem following this result we shall obtain an upper bound for codes correcting such errors.

Theorem 2. Given non-negative integers, $w_{1}, w_{2}$ and $b$ such that $0 \leq w_{1} \leq w_{2} \leq b, a$ sufficient condition that there exists an ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}$ ) linear code that has no burst of length b (fixed) whose weight lies between $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}$, as a code word is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}}>1+\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{w}_{1}-1 \\ \mathrm{w}_{1} \neq 0}}^{\mathrm{w}_{2}-1}\binom{\mathrm{~b}-1}{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{q}-1)^{\mathrm{i}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The existence of such a code will be proved by constructing a suitable ( $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}$ ) x n parity check matrix $H$ for the desired code. For this we first construct an $(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{x}$ n matrix $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ and then H will be obtained by reversing altogether the columns of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$.

We select any non-zero ( $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}$ )-tuple as the first column of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$. Subsequent columns are added to $H^{\prime}$ in such a way that after having selected $j-1$ columns $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{j-1}$ suitably a nonzero ( $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}$ )-tuple is chosen as the j -th column such that it is not a linear combination of any p columns ( $\mathrm{w}_{1}-1 \leq \mathrm{p} \leq \mathrm{w}_{2}-1$ ) from the immediately preceding b-1 columns $h_{j-b+1}, h_{j-b+2}, \ldots, h_{j-1}$. Such a condition will ensure that a burst of length $b$ (fixed) with weight lying between $\mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}$ cannot be a code word in the code whose parity-check matrix is H to be obtained from $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ as prescribed earlier. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j} \neq a_{1} h_{j-b+1}+a_{2} h_{j-b+2}+\ldots+a_{b-1} h_{j-1}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where number of nonzero $a_{i}$ 's lies between $w_{1}-1$ and $w_{2}-2$. Since $a_{i} \in G F(q)$, the possible number of linear combinations on the R.H.S. of (5) including the case when all the $\alpha_{i}$ 's are zero is

$$
1+\sum_{\substack{i=w_{1}-1 \\ w_{1} \neq 0}}^{\mathrm{w}_{2}-1}\binom{\mathrm{~b}-1}{i}(\mathrm{q}-1)^{\mathrm{i}} .
$$

Therefore, a column $h_{j}$ can be added to $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ provided that this number is less than the total number of ( $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}$ )-tuples.

At worst, all these linear combinations might yield a distinct sum, therefore, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}$ can always be added to $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}}>1+\sum_{\substack{i=\mathrm{w}_{1}-1 \\ \mathrm{w}_{1} \neq 0}}^{\mathrm{w}_{2}-1}\binom{\mathrm{~b}-1}{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{q}-1)^{\mathrm{i}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is important note that this relation is independent of $j$, therefore we can go on adding the columns as long as we wish but for the code of length $j$ we shall stop after choosing j columns. So for $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{n}$ we shall added upto n columns.
By reversing the order of columns of the matrix $H^{\prime}=\left[h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}\right]$, we get the required parity check matrix $H=\left[H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right]$ where $h_{I}=H_{n-i+1}$ (i.e. $h_{n}=H_{1}, H_{n-1}=$ $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~h}_{1}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ].

Thus we obtain the inequality as stated in (4).
Examples 1. Consider the following $5 \times 7$ matrix of a $(7,2)$ code over GF (2).

$$
\mathrm{H}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

This matrix has been constructed by the synthesis procedure outlined in the proof of theorem 2 by taking $\mathrm{b}=4, \mathrm{w}_{1}=2$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}=3$. The code words of this code are $0000000,0111101,1000111,1111010$ which are not bursts of length 4 with weight lying between $\mathrm{w}_{1}=2, \mathrm{w}_{2}=3$.
Next we derive sufficient condition for codes correcting moderate-density bursts of length $b$ (fixed).

Theorem 3. A sufficient condition for the existence of an ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}$ ) linear code over $\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q})$ which corrects all burst of length b (fixed) with weight lying between $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}\left(0 \leq \mathrm{w}_{1} \leq \mathrm{w}_{2} \leq \mathrm{b}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.q^{n-k}>1+\left[\sum_{\substack{i=w_{1}-1 \\
w_{1} \neq 0}}^{w_{2}-1}\binom{b-1}{i}(q-1)^{i}\right](n-2 b+1) \sum_{\substack{i=w_{1}-1 \\
w_{1} \neq 0}}^{w_{2}-1}\binom{b-1}{i}(q-1)^{i+1}\right]+\left[\sum_{i=0}^{p}\binom{b-1}{i}(q-1)^{i}\right] \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{b-1}\left[\sum_{\substack{r_{1}=w_{1}-1 \\
w_{1} \neq 0}}^{w_{2}-1}\binom{b-k}{r_{1}}(q-1)^{r_{1}+1} \sum_{\substack{r_{2}, r_{3} \\
r_{2}+r_{3} \geq w_{1}-2}}\binom{k-1}{r_{2}}\binom{b-k-1}{r_{3}}(q-1)^{r_{2}+r_{3}}\right] \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{b-1}\left[\sum_{\substack{r_{2}, r_{2}, r_{3}: \\
r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3} \leq 2 w_{2}-2 \\
w_{1}-k-1 \leq r_{1} \leq w_{1}-2}}\binom{b-k}{r_{1}}\binom{k-1}{r_{2}}\binom{b-k-1}{r_{3}}(q-1)^{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}+1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { where } \begin{align*}
\mathrm{p} & =2 \mathrm{w}_{2}-1, & & \text { when } \mathrm{b} \geq 2 \mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{q}=2  \tag{7}\\
& =2 \mathrm{~b}-2 \mathrm{w}_{1}-1 & & \text { when } \mathrm{b}<2 \mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{q}=2
\end{align*}
$$

and $\mathrm{w}_{1}-\mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{r}_{1} \leq \mathrm{w}_{2}-1, \mathrm{w}_{1}-\mathrm{k}-1 \leq \mathrm{w}_{2}-1, \quad 0 \leq \mathrm{r}_{2} \leq 2 \mathrm{w}_{2}-3, \quad \mathrm{r}_{1}+\mathrm{r}_{2}+\mathrm{r}_{3} \leq 2 \mathrm{w}_{2}-2$.
Proof. The existence of such a code shall be proved as in the previous theorem.
A nonzero ( $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}$ )-tuple is chosen as the first column of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$. Subsequent columns are added such that after having selected $j-1$ columns, $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{j-1}$ suitably a column $h_{j}$ is added provided that it is not a linear combination of any number of columns lying between $\mathrm{w}_{1}-1$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}-1$ among the immediately preceding $\mathrm{b}-1$ columns $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{b}+1}$, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{b}+2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{j}-1}$ together with any number of columns lying between $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ among any b consecutive columns out of all the $j-1$ columns selected so far. In other words, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}$ can be added provided that
$h_{j} \neq\left(\alpha_{1} h_{j-b+1}+\alpha_{2} h_{j-b+2}+\ldots+\alpha_{b-1} h_{j-1}\right)+\left(\beta_{i} h_{i}+\beta_{i+1} h_{i+1}+\ldots+\beta_{i+b} h_{i+b-1}\right)$
where $h_{j}$ 's are any $b$ consecutive columns from all the $j-1$ previously chosen columns and the number of nonzero $\beta_{i}$ 's lies between $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ whereas the number of nonzero $\alpha_{i}$ 's lies between $w_{1}-1$ and $w_{2}-1$ along with the case when all the $\alpha_{i}$ 's are zero.

To compute the number of all possible linear combinations corresponding to R.H.S. of (8) for all possible choices of $\alpha_{j}$ and $\beta_{i}$ we analyse three different cases as follows.
Case 1. When $h_{j}$ 's are completely confined to the first $j-b$ columns.
The number of ways that the coefficients $\alpha_{j}$ 's can be selected is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{i=w_{1}-1 \\ w_{1} \neq 0}}^{w_{2}-1}\binom{b-1}{i}(q-1)^{i} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further the number of ways that the coefficients of $\beta_{i}$ 's which form a burst of length $b$ (fixed) with weight lying between $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ in a vector of length $j$-b can be selected is (refer Lemma 1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(j-b, b, w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=(j-2 b+1) \sum_{\substack{i=w_{1}-1 \\ w_{1} \neq 0}}^{w_{2}-1}\binom{b-1}{i}(q-1)^{i+1} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the total number of choices of coefficients in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left[\sum_{\substack{i=w_{1}-1 \\ w_{1} \neq 0}}^{w_{2}-1}\binom{b-1}{i}(q-1)^{i}\right](j-2 b+1) \sum_{\substack{i=w_{1}-1 \\ w_{1} \neq 0}}^{w_{2}-1}\binom{b-1}{i}(q-1)^{i+1}\right] . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case II. When $h_{j}$ 's are completely confined to the immediately preceding $b-1$ columns.
In this case the number of linear combinations corresponding to R.H.S. of (8) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{p}\binom{b-1}{i}(q-1)^{i} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{w}_{2}-1, \quad$ when $\mathrm{b} \geq 2 \mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{q}=2$

$$
=2 \mathrm{~b}-2 \mathrm{w}_{1}-1 \quad \text { when } \mathrm{b}<2 \mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{q}=2
$$

Case III. When $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}$ 's are neither completely confined to the first ( $\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{b}$ ) columns nor to the last $\mathrm{b}-1$ columns.


Let the burst starts from $(\mathrm{j}-2 \mathrm{~b}+1+\mathrm{k})$-th position which can continue upto $(\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{k})$-th position, $(1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{b}-1)$. We select at least $\mathrm{w}_{1}-1$ and at the most $\mathrm{w}_{2}-1$ nonzero components corresponding to $\mathrm{j}-2 \mathrm{~b}+1+\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{j}-2 \mathrm{~b}+2+\mathrm{k}, \ldots, \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{k}-1$ columns together with nonzero components lying between $\mathrm{w}_{1}-1$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}-1$ corresponding to $\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{b}+1$, $j-b+2, \ldots, j-1$ columns. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $r_{3}$ be the number of nonzero components corresponding to columns lying between $(\mathrm{j}-2 \mathrm{~b}+1+\mathrm{k})$-th to $(\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{b})$-th, $(\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{b}+1)$-th to ( $\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{k}-1$ )-th and $(\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{k}+1)$-th to $(\mathrm{j}-1)$-th column respectively, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{1}-\mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{r}_{1} \leq \mathrm{w}_{2}-1, \mathrm{w}_{1}-\mathrm{k}-1 \leq \mathrm{r}_{3} \leq \mathrm{w}_{2}-1,0 \leq \mathrm{r}_{2} \leq 2 \mathrm{w}_{2}-3, \mathrm{r}_{1}+\mathrm{r}_{2}+\mathrm{r}_{3} \leq 2 \mathrm{w}_{2}-2 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore total possible number of distinct choices of coefficients is

$$
+\sum_{k=1}^{b-1}\left[\sum_{\substack{r_{1}=w_{1}-1 \\ w_{1} \neq 0}}^{w_{2}-1}\binom{b-k}{r_{1}}(q-1)^{r_{i}+1} \sum_{\substack{r_{2}, r_{3} ; \\ r_{2}+r_{3} \geq w_{1}-2}}^{w_{2}-1}\binom{k-1}{r_{2}}\binom{b-k-1}{r_{3}}(q-1)^{r_{2}+r_{3}}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\sum_{k=1}^{\mathrm{b}-1}\left[\sum_{\substack{r_{2}, r_{2}, r_{3}: \\ \mathrm{r}_{1}+\mathrm{r}_{2}+\mathrm{r}_{3} \leq 2 \mathrm{w}_{2}-2 \\ w_{1}-k-1 \leq r_{1} \leq w_{1}-2}}\binom{\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{r}_{1}}\binom{\mathrm{k}-1}{\mathrm{r}_{2}}\binom{\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{k}-1}{\mathrm{r}_{3}}(\mathrm{q}-1)^{\mathrm{r}_{1}+\mathrm{r}_{2}+\mathrm{r}_{3}+1}\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus total possible number of distinct linear combinations corresponding to (8), which cannot be equal to $h_{j}$ including zero vector is

Therefore, the j -th column can be added to $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}}>\mathrm{M} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where M denotes expression (15).
For the existence of an ( $n, k$ ) desired code relation (16) should hold for $j=n$ so that it is possible to add upto nth column to form an $(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{x} \mathrm{n}$ matrix. Thus we have constructed the matrix $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}=\left[\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]$, ( $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}$ denotes the i-th column from which we obtain the required parity check matrix $\mathrm{H}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\right],\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ denotes the i -th column) by reversing its column altogether, i.e. $h_{i} \rightarrow H_{n-i+1}$. This proves the result.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+\left[\sum_{\substack{i=w_{1}-1 \\
w_{1} \neq 0}}^{w_{2}-1}\binom{b-1}{i}(q-1)^{i}\right]\left[(n-2 b+1) \sum_{\substack{i=w_{1}-1 \\
w_{1} \neq 0}}^{w_{2}-1}\binom{b-1}{i}(q-1)^{i+1}\right]+\left[\sum_{i=0}^{p}\binom{b-1}{i}(q-1)^{i}\right] \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{b-1}\left[\sum_{\substack{r_{1}=w_{1}-1 \\
w_{1} \neq 0}}^{w_{2}-1}\binom{b-k}{r_{1}}(q-1)^{r_{1}+1} \sum_{\substack{r_{2}, r_{3}: \\
r_{2}+r_{3} \geq w_{1}-2}}\binom{k-1}{r_{2}}\binom{b-k-1}{r_{3}}(q-1)^{r_{2}+r_{3}}\right] \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{b-1}\left[\sum_{\substack{r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}: \\
r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3} \leq 2 w_{2}-2 \\
w_{1}-k-1 \leq r_{1} \leq w_{1}-2}}\binom{b-k}{r_{1}}\binom{k-1}{r_{2}}\binom{b-k-1}{r_{3}}(q-1)^{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}+1}\right] \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Remarks 1. If we take $\mathrm{w}_{1}=0, \mathrm{w}_{2}=\mathrm{b}$ in (16) the weight constraints becomes redundant. Hence the bound gives $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}}>\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{b}-1}\left[\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{b}-1}(\mathrm{n}-2 \mathrm{~b}+1)(\mathrm{q}-1)+1\right]$ which is a result due to Dass [1980].
2. If we put $\mathrm{w}_{1}=0, \mathrm{w}_{2}=\mathrm{w}$, in (16) we get the bound obtained by Dass [1982], which is a sufficient condition for the existence of low-density burst correcting code that corrects all bursts of length $b$ (fixed).

Example 2. Consider the following matrix 6 x 9 of a $(9,3)$ code over $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ which can correct all bursts of length 4 (fixed) with weight 2 or 3 .

$$
\mathrm{H}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

This matrix is constructed by the synthesis procedure outline in the proof of theorem 3.
It can be seen from the table 1 that syndromes of all the correctable error patterns are distinct and therefore the null space of this matrix gives the desired code.

Table 1

| Error Pattern | Syndrome | Error Pattern | Syndrome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110000000 | 000011 | 111000000 | 000111 |
| 011000000 | 000110 | 011100000 | 001110 |
| 001100000 | 001100 | 001110000 | 011100 |
| 000110000 | 011000 | 000111000 | 111000 |
| 000011000 | 110000 | 000011100 | 001111 |
| 000001100 | 011111 | 000001110 | 011110 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 101000000 | 000101 | 110100000 | 001101 |
| 010100000 | 001010 | 011010000 | 011010 |
| 001010000 | 010100 | 001101000 | 110100 |
| 000101000 | 101000 | 000110100 | 100111 |
| 000010100 | 101111 | 000011010 | 110001 |
| 000001010 | 100001 | 000001101 | 010101 |
| 100100000 | 001001 |  | 101100000 |
| 010010000 | 010010 | 010110000 | 001011 |
| 001001000 | 100100 | 001011000 | 010110 |
| 000100100 | 110111 | 000101100 | 101100 |
| 000010010 | 010001 | 000010110 | 010111 |
| 000001001 | 101010 | 000001011 | 101110 |
|  |  |  | 101011 |
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