

OVER THE CONSTRUCTION OF AN HYPERSTRUCTURE OF QUOTIENTS FOR A MULTIPLICATIVE HYPERRING

R. Procesi* - R. Rota**

Summary - In this paper we construct a weak hyperfield of quotients for a class of multiplicative hyperrings.

First of all we want to recall some algebraic definitions that will be used through the paper.

An hyperring (A, \oplus, \cdot) is a set A with an hyperoperation \oplus and a product \cdot such that the following properties hold:

- i) $\forall a, b, c \in A : a \oplus (b \oplus c) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c$,
- ii) $\forall a, b \in A : a \oplus b = b \oplus a$,
- iii) $\exists 0 \in A / \forall a \in A : 0 \oplus a = a \oplus 0 = a$,
- iv) $\forall a \in A \exists ! a' \in A : a \oplus a' = 0, (a' = -a)$,
- v) $\forall a, b, c \in A / a \in b \oplus c \Rightarrow c \in a - b (c \in a \oplus b')$,
- vi) $\forall a, b, c \in A : (a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$,
- vii) $\forall a, b, c \in A : (a \oplus b) \cdot c = a \cdot c \oplus b \cdot c$,
- viii) $\forall a, b, c \in A : a \cdot (b \oplus c) = a \cdot b \oplus a \cdot c$,
- ix) $\forall a \in A : a \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot a = 0$,

We recall that (A, \oplus) satisfying i), ii), iii), iv) and v) is called canonical hypergroup.

Let us observe ([3]) that axiom v) is equivalent to v)' and also to v)'':

$$v)' \quad \forall a, b \in A : -(a \oplus b) = -a - b,$$

* Facoltà di Scienze M.F.N. - Università La Sapienza Roma

** Facoltà di Ingegneria - Università di Roma Tre

$$v) \forall a, b, c, d \in A : (a \oplus b) \cap (c \oplus d) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow (c - a) \cap (b - d) \neq \emptyset.$$

An hyperring A is called hyperfield if (A^*, \cdot) is a group, where $A^* = A \setminus \{0\}$.

A multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \bullet)$ is an abelian group $(A, +)$ together with an hyperproduct satisfying the following properties:

- i) $\forall a, b, c \in A : a \bullet (b \bullet c) = (a \bullet b) \bullet c$;
- ii) $\forall a, b, c \in A : (a + b) \bullet c \subseteq a \bullet c + b \bullet c$;
- iii) $\forall a, b, c \in A : a \bullet (b + c) \subseteq a \bullet b + a \bullet c$;
- iv) $\forall a, b \in A : (-a) \bullet b = a \bullet (-b) = -(a \bullet b)$.

If a multiplicative hyperring satisfies, instead of properties ii) and iii), the following ones:

- ii)' $\forall a, b, c \in A : (a + b) \bullet c = a \bullet c + b \bullet c$
- iii)' $\forall a, b, c \in A : a \bullet (b + c) = a \bullet b + a \bullet c$

then $(A, +, \bullet)$ is called strongly distributive. Moreover $(A, +, \bullet)$ is strongly left (right) distributive if ii)' (iii)') holds.

In [2] J. Mittas studied the possibility of immersion for an hyperring in an hyperfield and constructed the hyperfield of quotients for a particular hyperring. In this paper we want to solve the analogous problem for a multiplicative hyperring.

Let $(A, +, \bullet)$ be a multiplicative, strongly distributive, commutative hyperring; from now on we will write ab instead of $a \bullet b$ and, for any two sets X and Y , $X \approx Y$ if and only if $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. We suppose that the hyperring A satisfies the following properties: i) if $ab \approx 0c$, $a \neq 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$; ii) $\forall X, Y, Z, W \in P^*(A) = P(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\} / XY \approx ZW \Rightarrow (\forall x \in X \text{ and } \forall w \in W \exists y \in Y \text{ and } z \in Z / xy \approx zw)$.

For this structure we can prove the following lemmas:

I.- For any $a, b \in A$ if $0 \in ab$ and $a \neq 0$ then $b = 0$.

Proof. - Since A is strongly distributive $0 \in 0c \forall c \in A$, thus from i) $b = 0$ follows.

II.- For any $X, Y \in P^*(A)$ and for any $d \in A \setminus \{0\}$, $dX \approx dY \Leftrightarrow X \approx Y$.

Proof. - Since $dX = \bigcup dx$, $x \in X$, and $dY = \bigcup dy$, $y \in Y$, thus, from the hypothesis, there exists $z \in dX \cap dY$, that is $z \in dx$ and $z \in dy$, for some $x \in X$

and $y \in Y$; this implies, from the strong distributivity, $0 \in d(x-y) = dx - dy$ and, since $d \neq 0$, $x=y$, thus $X \approx Y$. The inverse implication is obvious.

III.- For any $X, Y \in P^*(A)$ and for any $d_i \in A \setminus \{0\}$, $(X+Y)d_1 \bullet \dots \bullet d_k = (Xd_1 \bullet \dots \bullet d_k) + (Yd_1 \bullet \dots \bullet d_k)$.

Proof. We can prove the lemma for $k=1$; the general case will follow as a consequence of the associative property. Now $(X+Y)d = \{z / z \in (x+y)d, x \in X, y \in Y\} = \{z / z \in xd + yd, x \in X, y \in Y\} = Xd + Yd$.

Denoting by A^* the set $A \setminus \{0\}$ and by H the set $A \times A^* = \{(a,b) / a \in A, b \in A^*\}$, we define in H the following relation $(a,b) \rho (c,d) \Leftrightarrow ad \approx bc$; for this relation the following properties hold:

IV.- $(a,b) \rho (0,d) \Leftrightarrow a=0$.

Proof. - Since $(a,b) \rho (0,d) \Leftrightarrow ad \approx 0b$ then, from i) and $d \neq 0$, $a=0$ follows. Vice-versa, since $0 \in 0d \cap 0b$, then $(0,b) \rho (0,d)$, $\forall b, d \in A^*$.

V.- For any $a, b, c, d, f \in A$, if $ad \approx bc$, then $adf \approx bcf$.

Proof. - If $z \in ad \cap bc$ then $zf \subseteq adf$ and $zf \subseteq bcf$; thus $adf \approx bcf$.

VI.- ρ is an equivalence relation.

Proof. - Reflexivity and symmetry are for ρ immediate consequence of commutativity in A . As for transitivity let $(a,b) \rho (c,d)$ and $(c,d) \rho (e,f)$; then $ad \approx bc$ and $cf \approx de$ that is there exist $z, w \in A$ such that $z \in ad \cap bc$, $w \in cf \cap de$, thus $zw \subseteq adcf \cap bcde$. So $afdc \approx bedc$ and, since $d \neq 0$, from proposition II we obtain $afc \approx bec$; now, if $c \neq 0$, again from proposition II $af \approx be$, while if $c=0$ then, from proposition IV, $a=e=0$. In both cases it results $(a,b) \rho (e,f)$ as requested.

Let now $K=H/\rho$, we want to define in K two commutative hyperoperations \oplus and \otimes in such a way that the following conditions hold:

- 1) (K, \oplus) is a canonical hypergroup;
- 2) $\forall x, y, z \in K : x \otimes (y \otimes z) = (x \otimes y) \otimes z$;
- 3) $\forall x, y, z \in K : x \otimes (y \oplus z) \subseteq (x \otimes y) \oplus (x \otimes z)$;
- 4) $\forall x, y \in K : -(x \otimes y) = (-x) \otimes y = x \otimes (-y)$;
- 5) $\exists 1 \in K / \forall x \in K : x \in x \otimes 1$;
- 6) $\forall x \in K \setminus \{0\} \exists y \in K \setminus \{0\} / 1 \in x \otimes y$.

For any $[(a,b)], [(c,d)] \in K$ let us define $[(a,b)] \oplus [(c,d)] = [(ad+bc, bd)] = \{[(s,t)] / s \in ad+bc, t \in bd\}$ and $[(a,b)] \otimes [(c,d)] = [(ac, bd)] = \{[(s,t)] / s \in ac, t \in bd\}$; first

In order to prove the existence of a zero element in (K, \oplus) we first recall that, as a consequence of proposition IV, $[(0, y)] = \{(0, d) / d \in A^*\}$, moreover $[(a, b)] \oplus [(0, y)] = \{(s, t) / s \in ay + b0, t \in by\} = [(a, b)]$; in fact, since $(by)a \subseteq \subseteq ayb + b0b$, we have $bya \approx (ay + b0)b$ and, from ii), $\forall s \in ay + b0 \forall t \in by$ it results $sb \approx ta$. This proves that $[(0, y)]$ is a zero for \oplus ; let us now prove that it is unique. To do this let $[(x, y)] \in K$ such that $[(a, b)] \oplus [(x, y)] = [(a, b)]$, that is $\forall (s, t) \in H$ such that $s \in ay + bx, t \in by$ then $sb \approx ta$. From this it follows that $ayb + bxb \approx bya$, then there exists $z \in (ayb + bxb) \cap bya, z = z' + z'', z' \in ayb$ and $z'' \in bxb, z \in bya$; that is $z'' \in bya - bya$ or $(bya - bya) \cap bxb \neq \emptyset$. Thus $((a - a)y)b \approx \approx (bx)b$ and, from proposition II, $0y \approx bx$ with $b \neq 0$; because of i) $x = 0$ and the uniqueness is proved.

We want now to verify the existence and uniqueness, for any $[(a, b)] \in K$, of an element $[(z, w)] \in K$ such that $[(a, b)] \oplus [(z, w)] \ni [(0, y)]$. First of all we observe that $[(a, b)] \oplus [(-a, b)] = \{(s, t) / s \in ab - ab, t \in bb\}$ and this set trivially contains $[(0, t)]$. As for the uniqueness let $[(z, w)] \in K$ such that $[(0, y)] \in [(a, b)] \oplus \oplus [(z, w)] = \{(s, t) / s \in aw + bz, t \in bw\}$; then $0 \in aw + bz$ or $0 = u + v, u \in aw, v \in bz$ that is $v = -u \in -(aw) = (-a)w$ which implies $(-a)w \approx bz$ and this means $[(z, w)] = [(-a, b)]$.

Finally we must prove condition v) in the definition of canonical hypergroup which is equivalent to the following condition: $-([(a, b)] \oplus [(c, d)]) = -([(a, b)]) \oplus \oplus [(-c, d)] = [(-a, b)] \oplus [(-c, d)]$.

To prove such equality, we first must prove that $-([(a, b)] \oplus [(c, d)]) \subseteq [(-a, b)] \oplus \oplus [(-c, d)]$; to do this let $[(-s, t)]$ such that $s \in ad + bc, t \in bd$. Thus $-s \in -(ad + bc) = -ad - bc$ that is $-s = (-z) + (-w), z \in ad, w \in bc$; from this, since $-(ad) = (-a)d$ and $-(bc) = b(-c)$, $[(-s, t)] \in [(-a, b)] \oplus [(-c, d)]$ follows. Similarly the inverse inclusion can be proved.

From all that has been proved we obtain the requested result. Moreover we can prove the following proposition:

X.- In (K, \oplus, \otimes) the following hold:

$$\alpha) \forall x, y, z \in K : x \otimes (y \oplus z) = (x \otimes y) \otimes z;$$

$$\beta) \exists 1 \in K / \forall x \in K : x \in x \otimes 1;$$

$$\gamma) \forall x, y, z \in K : x \otimes (y \oplus z) \subseteq (x \otimes y) \oplus (x \otimes z);$$

$$\delta) \forall x, y \in K : -(x \otimes y) = (-x) \otimes y = x \otimes (-y);$$

$$\varepsilon) \forall x \in K, x \text{ different from zero}, \exists y \in K, y \text{ different from zero, such that } 1 \in x \otimes y.$$

Proof. - $\forall [(a, b)], [(c, d)], [(e, f)] \in K$ we have $([(a, b)] \otimes [(c, d)]) \otimes [(e, f)] = \{(s, t) / s \in ac, t \in bd\} \otimes [(e, f)] = \{(x, y) / x \in se, y \in tf\} = \{(x, y) / x \in (ac)e, y \in (bd)f\}$;

of all we observe that, because of i), t is always different from zero since b and d are different from zero.

Let us now prove that \oplus and \otimes are well defined by proving the following two propositions.

VII.- If $(a',b') \in [(a,b)]$ and $(c',d') \in [(c,d)]$ then $[(ad+bc,bd)] = [(a'd'+b'c',b'd')]$.

Proof. - In order to prove the requested result we must prove that for any $[(s',t')] \in [(a'd'+b'c',b'd')]$ there exists $[(s,t)] \in [(ad+bc,bd)]$ such that $(s',t') \rho(s,t)$ and vice-versa. If $(a',b') \in [(a,b)]$ and $(c',d') \in [(c,d)]$ then $a'b \approx b'a$ and $c'd \approx d'c$ from which we can have $(a'd'+b'c')bd \approx b'd'(ad+bc)$; in fact, as for proposition V, we have $a'b'dd' \approx b'add'$ and $c'dbb' \approx d'cbb'$ from which the set $a'b'dd'+c'dbb'$ intersects the set $b'add'+d'cbb'$, by proposition III we have, as requested, $(a'd'+b'c')bd \approx b'd'(ad+bc)$. Now let $(s',t') \in H$ such that $s' \in a'd'+b'c'$ and $t' \in b'd'$; from $(a'd'+b'c')bd \approx b'd'(ad+bc)$ and ii) there must exist $(s,t) \in H$ with $s \in ad+bc$ and $t \in bd$ with the condition $s't \approx t's$. Thus $(s',t') \rho(s,t)$. Similarly it can be proved that for any $[(s,t)] \in [(ad+bc,bd)]$ there exists $[(s',t')] \in [(a'd'+b'c',b'd')]$ such that $(s',t') \rho(s,t)$; thus \oplus is well defined.

VIII.- If $(a',b') \in [(a,b)]$ and $(c',d') \in [(c,d)]$, then $[(ac,bd)] = [(a'c',b'd')]$.

Proof. - As in proposition VII we prove that for any $[(s',t')] \in [(a'c',b'd')]$ there exists $[(s,t)] \in [(ac,bd)]$ such that $(s',t') \rho(s,t)$ and vice-versa. If $(a',b') \in [(a,b)]$ and $(c',d') \in [(c,d)]$ then $a'b \approx b'a$ and $c'd \approx d'c$ that is $a'bc'd \approx b'ad'c$ or $a'c'bd \approx b'd'ac$; from ii) the requested result follows.

At this point we can study the properties of hyperstructure (K, \oplus, \otimes) .

IX.- (K, \oplus) is a canonical hypergroup.

Proof. As for associativity we have $([(a,b)] \oplus [(c,d)]) \oplus [(e,f)] = \{[(s,t)] / s \in ad+bc, t \in bd\} \oplus [(e,f)] = \{[(z,w)] / [(z,w)] \in [(s,t)] \oplus [(e,f)]\} = \{[(z,w)] / z \in sf+te, w \in tf, s \in ad+bc, t \in bd\} = \{[(z,w)] / z \in (ad+bc)f+(bd)e, w \in (bd)f\}$, while $[(a,b)] \oplus ([(c,d)] \oplus [(e,f)]) = [(a,b)] \oplus \{[(u,v)] / u \in cf+de, v \in df\} = \{[(p,q)] / [(p,q)] \in [(a,b)] \oplus [(u,v)]\} = \{[(p,q)] / p \in av+bu, q \in bv, u \in cf+de, v \in df\} = \{[(p,q)] / p \in a(df)+b(cf+de), q \in b(df)\}$ and, from associativity and distributivity in A , the two sets are equal. Commutativity of \oplus follows from commutativity of $+$ and \bullet in A as can be easily proved.

moreover $[(a,b)] \otimes [(c,d)] \oplus [(e,f)] = [(a,b)] \otimes \{[(u,v)] / u \in ce, v \in df\} \cup \{[(x,y)] / x \in au, y \in bv\} = \{[(x,y)] / x \in a(ce), y \in b(df)\}$.

Thus associativity for \otimes follows from the analogous property in (A, \bullet) . Similarly commutativity in (K, \otimes) follows from commutativity in (A, \bullet) .

As for the existence of a unity let us consider the element $[(x,x)] \in K$, $x \neq 0$; obviously $[(x,x)] = [(y,y)] \quad \forall y \in A^*$. For this element and for any other element $[(a,b)] \in K$ it results: $[(a,b)] \otimes [(x,x)] = \{[(s,t)] / s \in ax, t \in bx\}$. At this point we observe that, since $\{a\}bx = \{b\}ax$, from ii) we have that, $\forall s \in bx, t \in ax$ such that $as \approx bt$ and this implies that $[(a,b)] \in [(a,b)] \otimes [(x,x)]$.

Let us now prove property γ ; $\forall [(a,b)], [(c,d)], [(e,f)] \in K$ it results $[(a,b)] \otimes [(c,d)] \oplus [(e,f)] = [(a,b)] \otimes \{[(s,t)] / s \in cf+de, t \in df\} \cup \{[(x,y)] / x \in as, y \in bt\} = \{[(x,y)] / x \in a(cf+de), y \in b(df)\} \cup \{[(x,y)] / x \in acf+ade, y \in bdf\}$ and $([(a,b)] \otimes [(c,d)] \oplus [(a,b)] \otimes [(e,f)]) = \{[(s,t)] / s \in ac, t \in bd\} \oplus \{[(u,v)] / u \in ae, v \in bf\} = \{[(z,w)] / z \in sv+tu, w \in tv\} = \{[(z,w)] / z \in acbf+bdae, w \in bdbf\} = \{[(z,w)] / z \in b(acf+ade), w \in b(bdf)\}$. Since $[(x,y)] \otimes [(b,b)] \ni [(x,y)]$, the previous set contains $\{[(x,y)] / x \in acf+ade, y \in bdf\}$, that is:

$$[(a,b)] \otimes [(c,d)] \oplus [(e,f)] \subseteq [(a,b)] \otimes [(c,d)] \oplus [(a,b)] \otimes [(e,f)].$$

Moreover, in order to prove δ), we have $-[(a,b)] \otimes [(c,d)] = -\{[(s,t)] / s \in ac, t \in bd\} = \{[(-s,t)] / -s \in (-ac), t \in bd\} = \{[(-s,t)] / -s \in (-a)c, t \in bd\} = \{[(-s,t)] / -s \in a(-c), t \in bd\} = -[(a,b)] \otimes [(c,d)] = [(a,b)] \otimes [-(c,d)]$.

Finally, $\forall [(a,b)] \in K$, $a \neq 0$, it results $[(a,b)] \otimes [(b,a)] = \{[(s,t)] / s \in ab, t \in ba\} \ni [(x,x)]$, $x \neq 0$, and this ends the proof.

We want now to prove, under particular hypothesis, that there exists a substructure of K which is weakly isomorphic to A . To do this let us remember that an element $1 \in A^*$ is called weak unity if and only if $\forall x \in A$ it results $1 \bullet x \approx x$ ([4]). Then, if $(A, +, \bullet)$ is a multiplicative, strongly distributive, commutative hyperring such that: i) if $a \bullet b \approx 0 \bullet c$, $a \neq 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$; ii) $\forall X, Y, Z, W \in P^*(A) / XY \approx ZW \Rightarrow (\forall x \in X \text{ and } \forall w \in W \exists y \in Y \text{ and } z \in Z / x \bullet y \approx z \bullet w)$; iii) $\exists 1 \in A / \forall x \in A$ it results $1 \bullet x \approx x$, it is possible to prove the following two results:

XI.-The map $\varphi : A \longrightarrow K$ defined as $\varphi(a) = [(a,1)]$, $\forall a \in A$, is a weak monomorphism.

Proof. - Because of proposition II φ is injective; in fact, if $[(a,1)] = [(b,1)]$, then $a \bullet 1 \approx b \bullet 1$ and, from proposition II, this implies $a = b$. Moreover $\varphi(a+b) = [(a+b,1)]$ while $\varphi(a) \oplus \varphi(b) = [(a,1)] \oplus [(b,1)] = \{[(s,t)] / s \in a \bullet 1 + b \bullet 1, t \in 1 \bullet 1\}$ and, since $a+b \in a \bullet 1 + b \bullet 1$ and $1 \in 1 \bullet 1$, then $\varphi(a+b) \in \varphi(a) \oplus \varphi(b)$.

Finally it results $\varphi(a \bullet b) = \{ \varphi(x) / x \in a \bullet b \} = \{ [(x,1)] / x \in a \bullet b \} \subseteq \varphi(a) \otimes \varphi(b) = [(a,1)] \otimes [(b,1)] = \{ [(s,t)] / s \in a \bullet b, t \in 1 \bullet 1 \}$.

XII.- Each element of K belongs to a product $x \otimes y$ where $x \in \text{Im} \varphi$ and y is such that there exists $y' \in \text{Im} \varphi : y \otimes y'$ contains $[(z,z)]$.

Proof. - For $[(a,b)] \in K$ it results $[(a,b)] \in [(a,1)] \otimes [(1,b)] = \{ [(s,t)] / s \in a \bullet 1, t \in 1 \bullet b \}$.

As a consequence of what has been proved we will call (K, \oplus, \otimes) the weak hyperfield of quotients for $(A, +, \bullet)$.

REFERENCES

- 1 P. CORSINI. *Sur les homomorphismes d'hypergroupes*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 52 (1974)
- 2 J. MITTAS. *Sur les hyperanneaux et les hypercorps*, Math. Balk. 3 (1973)
- 3 J. MITTAS. *Sur les structures hypercompositionnelles*, Proc. AHA (1990)
- 4 R. PROCESI - R. ROTA. *On some classes of hyperstructures*, (preprint)