**Research article** 



# Nepal Journal of Biotechnology

Publisher: Biotechnology Society of Nepal Journal Homepage: www.nepjol.info/index.php/njb ISSN (Online): 2467-9313 ISSN (Print): 2091-1130



# Study of the Impact of Organic Manures and Biofertilizers on growth of *Phaseolus aureus* Roxb.

Chhaya Bhalshankar ២ 🖂

New Arts Commerce and Science College, Shevgaon, Dist.-Ahmednagar, M.S. (India) Article history:- Received: 15 Jun 2020; Revised: 20 Sep 2020; Accepted: 1 Oct 2020; Published online: 22 Oct 2020

# Abstract

Weeds are wild plants growing where they are not wanted, and they compete with the cultivated crop for nutrition. Though they are seen as agricultural waste throughout the year, they are rich sources of nutrients. They grow in abundance during the rainy season, but as the season ends these biomasses get wasted. In the present investigation, Tephrosia hamiltonii Drumm belonging to family Fabaceae, and Achyranthes aspera L. belonging to the family Amaranthaceae were used as a nutrient source to develop crop *Phaseolus aureus* Roxb. Weed manures, Vermicompost and Compost, were prepared by using weeds T. hamiltonii Drumm and A. aspera L. in 1:1 proportion. Chemical analysis of weed and weed manures were done before administering it into the soil. Neem cake was also used as one of the organic manures. In the experiment, a single dose of biofertilizers Azotobacter and Phosphate solubilizing bacteria were mixed with Weed Vermicompost, Weed Compost and Neem cake; and in one of the treatments, only biofertilizers were used indouble dose. Treatments were given to the crop as ATVB, ATCB, NCB, BioD, NPK, and Control in a randomized block design of experimental plot size 1.5X 1.5 m. The use of chemical pesticides or fertilizers was completely avoided except for NPK treatment plots. Single plant analysis of pulse crop P. aureus Roxb. was done. Observations were recorded in the forms of fresh weight and dry weight of root, stem, leaves, leaf (4th number), and legumes. Total fresh yield (kg ha-1), DM (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) increase over control, and Nitrogen efficiency ratio were recorded. Results showed that %DM (an increase over control) and DM kg ha-1 recorded highest in ATVB treatment and the highest N efficiency ratio was in BioD. The present investigation emphasized reducing the input cost of the farm products along with protection of the environment and natural resources.

Keywords: Biofertilizer, Neemcake, Organic agriculture, *P. aureus*, Weed compost, Weed Vermicompost.

Corresponding author, email: bhalshankarchhaya@gmail.com

# Introduction

Recent agricultural trends are focused on both reducing the usage of inorganic fertilizers by using organic manure and applying biofertilizers such as vermicompost and phosphatic biofertilizers [1]. Microbial activities play a key role in agriculture because they are significant in the movement and availability of minerals required for plant growth and ultimately lower the use of chemical fertilizers [2]. The maintenance of nutrients in the soil is most important for healthy plant growth [3]. Biofertilizers enhance soil health and crop yield. They improve fertility of soil, nutrient uptake, decomposition of crop residue, and microbial diversity of soil. They also reduce the requirement of chemical fertilizers [4]. The use of excessive chemical fertilizer, however, causes hazardous effects on the soil, leading to serious problems; thus, biofertilizers are important alternative sources of nutrients. They are biologically active microorganisms, like bacteria,

algae, fungi; they can provide nutrients to crops [5, 6]. Among Biofertilizers, beneficial bacteria are *Azotobacter, Azospirillum, Rhizobium,* symbiotic fungi *Mycorrhizae*; they are essential in crop production. Biofertilizers improve plants' resistance to an unfavorable environment [7]. The biological manure helps to increase crop yields, and also plays a vital role in the nutrient accessibility in soil by improving the physical, chemical, and biological structure of soil, and it enhances the utilization of applied fertilizers [8]. In developing countries, residue management is very important as the amount of nutrients in crop residue is several times higher than the quantities of these nutrients applied as high cost fertilizer [9].

Weed plants compete with the agricultural crops; they cause a tremendous reduction in crop yields and increase their production costs. Several scientists have estimated such losses in crop yields in different parts of India. A very broad-based



average of these estimates show that weeds reduced productivity of wheat by 15-30%, rice by 30-35%, and maize, sorghum, pulses and oilseeds by 18-85% each. Many cases of complete crop failure due to weeds particularly in upland rice and vegetable crops were recorded [10, 11, 12]. T. hamiltonii Drumm. and A. aspera L. are the weed plants used in the present study. The present study emphasized conversion and utilization of weeds beneficially by using them for the preparation of compost and vermicompost. Neem cake is a residue left after the extraction of neem oil and used as an organic fertilizer. With the utilization of these organic manuresalong with biofertilizers like Azotobacter and Phosphate solubilizing bacteria for the cultivation of Pulse crop *P. aureus Roxb*. belonging to the family Fabaceae.

We can minimize the cost of production, increase output per hectare by using organic manures like compost, vermicompost prepared from weed biomass, Neem cake, and biofertilizers for the production of crops and for sustainable agriculture.

# **Material and Methods**

The experiment was conducted during March 2008. A summer variety of *P. aureus* Roxb. was cultivated at college campus of New Arts, Commerce and Science College, Shevgaon District Ahmednagar, (Maharashtra), India. Shevgaon extends between 19°13 North latitude to 19°35 North latitude and between 75°01 East longitudes to 75°37 East longitude.

# Weed collection and preparation of manures

The fresh vegetation of weeds i.e. Aghada (A. aspera L.) and Unhali (*T. hamiltonii* Drumm.) were collected from different localities and chopped into small pieces (2-3 cm) by locally available iron cutter. Equal amount (6944+6944 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) 1:1 proportion mixture of weed pieces were used for the preparation of compost and vermicompost. To prepare compost this material was placed into pit (90x90x90 cm) and then added cow dung, soil and weed plant material layer by layer and sprinkled with water per requirement. Finally, the compost pit was sealed with dung-mud mixture to prevent loss of heat and moisture. After partial decomposition first turning was given after 15 days for homogeneous decomposition, subsequent turnings were given after every 15 days interval. Sufficient water was



sprinkled to maintain moisture. Finally, amorphous, dark brown, well fermented compost was obtained within 70 days. Fresh weight of Compost obtained from pit was 33 kg. Same procedure was applied for vermicomposting, only with the addition of the worms in the pits after 15 days (Worms' variety Eudriluseugeniae and Iceniafoetida). Identification of earthworms was done by the method prescribed in Fauna of India and Adjacent countries [13]. The prepared vermicompost was used for field trials. Fresh weight of vermicompost obtained from pit was 32 kg. The uniformly mixed samples (100 g) were collected immediately from the pit for nutrient analyses. Chemical analyses of weeds and weed manures and Neem cake were done using oven dried and pulverized powder of samples. All the manures compost, vermicompost and neemcake (1000 kg ha-1)were mixed with biofertilizer Azotobacter and phosphate solubilizing bacteria at the rate 25 kg ha-1 (recommended dose); and only Biofertilizer double dose treatment 50 kg ha-1 in two split doses were applied to appropriate plots except chemical fertilizer (NPK) plots. The Mung (P. aureus Roxb.) Variety "Raj Biotech" Balwan R.J. Biotech, Pvt Ltd. Siddharth Arcade, Station Road, Aurangabad was sown in the research plots of size 1.5 x1.5 m. at the rate of 20 kg ha-1.

# **Application of Inorganic Fertilizers**

The inorganic fertilizers were supplied to the experimental plots as Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P) and Potassium (K) through urea, single super phosphate (SSP) and muriate of potash at the rate of 25 kg N, 50 kg P and '0' K kg ha-1 (25:50:0) only for fertilizer treatment plots. Entire amount of  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  and N was applied at the time of sowing. The crop supplemented with irrigation during periods of growth and whenever necessary weeding was done. Use of insecticides and pesticides was completely avoided.

Seeds were planted in rows at a distance 30 cm x 10 cm. Soil was murum so the crop was grown under frequent irrigation after each 8-10 days. Sample from each plot was brought into laboratory chopped into 3-4 cm pieces. Measured amount of biomass was kept in digital electrical oven separately in pre-weighted tray at 95±5°C for 48 hours or more till constant weight. Weight of dried samples were reported as DM. Results were used to calculate %DM, DM Kgha-1, increase over control and Nitrogen efficiency ratio of crop.



### Results

 Table 1. Analyses of weeds administered in experimental plots through compost and vermicompost weed manures.

 Here,weeds used were A. aspera L. and T. hamiltonii Drumm. Kg plot-1 (Plot size 1.5 m X 1.5m)

	Fresh weight		DM Nitrogen			%					
Weed Name	Kg plot <sup>-1</sup>	Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	% DM	Kg ha-1	% N	Kg ha-1	Ash	Р	К	С	C:N
Achyranthes	1.56	6944	19.29	1339.50	2.03	27.19	17.43	0.123	0.43	10.11	4.99
Tephrosia	1.56	6944	22.40	1555.46	1.94	30.18	18.57	0.115	0.51	10.77	5.54

**Table 2.Analyses of weed manure and Neem cake amendment along with biofertilizer.** Here, ATVB=*Achyranthes, Tephrosia* vermicompost mixed with Biofertilizer single dose, ATCB=*Achyranthes, Tephrosia* compost along with Biofertilizer single dose, NC=Neem cake along with Biofertilizer single dose

Treat ments	Fresh	Fresh	DM		N	[%				
	weight	weight								
	Kg plot <sup>-1</sup>	Kg ha-1	%	kg hect-1	% N	Kg hect-1	Р	Κ	Ca	
ATVB	2.00	8889	67.21	5974.30	0.42	25+4.485	0.13	0.14	3.6	
ATCB	2.06	9169	65.07	5966.27	0.50	30+4.485	0.12	0.16	4.3	
NCB	0.23	1000	97.94	0979.40	1.96	19+4.485	0.81	0.48	0.9	

(Amount of Nitrogen fixed by single dose of biofertilizer is 4.485 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as according to N balance method[14]). These values added in N kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of other treatments and amount of N kg ha<sup>-1</sup> fixed by *Azotobacter* biofertilizer double dose was 8.97 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

**Table 3.** C:N ratio of organic amendments. Here, ATV=Achyranthes, Tephrosia vermicompost, ATC=Achyranthes, Tephrosia compost, NC=Neem cake

Tanata		CN		
Treatments	Ash	С	Ν	——————————————————————————————————————
ATV	32.00	18.56	0.42	44.56
ATC	36.50	21.17	0.50	42.36
NC	74.93	43.46	1.96	22.17

**Table 4. Fresh wt and DM analyses of Single Plant of** *Phaseolus* (at 56 DAS). Here, ATVB=*Achyranthes, Tephrosia* vermicompost mixed with Biofertilizer single dose, ATCB=*Achyranthes, Tephrosia* compost mixed with Biofertilizer single dose, NC=Neem cake along with Biofertilizer single dose. BioD=Biofertilizer double dose, NPK=Inorganic fertilizer, CON=Control. (DAS=Days after sowing)

Treatment	Plant Fresh wt in gm						DM in gm					
				4th	Total					4th	Total	
	Root	Stem	Leaves	leaf	plant	Legume	Root	Stem	Leaves	leaf	plant	Legume
ATVB	0.49	4.89	11.29	3.59	22.55	5.83	0.21	1.44	2.99	0.82	7.99	2.71
ATCB	0.48	3.93	09.79	2.57	18.53	4.21	0.19	1.17	2.77	0.60	6.47	3.03
BioD	0.60	5.29	12.87	3.92	24.37	5.44	0.24	1.40	3.25	0.90	8.30	3.49
NCB	0.39	2.75	06.79	1.66	12.71	2.65	0.13	0.74	1.80	0.43	4.20	1.67
NPK	0.51	3.70	08.38	2.15	15.09	2.63	0.20	1.08	2.21	0.53	4.76	1.60
CON	0.19	1.82	03.49	1.14	07.26	1.72	0.08	0.47	1.02	0.27	2.33	1.41
S.E	0.06	0.53	1.37	0.44	2.61	0.68	0.02	0.16	0.34	0.10	0.95	0.36
C.D.	0.12	1.13	2.92	0.94	5.56	1.45	0.05	0.33	0.73	0.21	2.02	0.76

**Table 5. Increase over control of total biomass and Nitrogen efficiency ratio (73DAS)**. It is the ratio of the crop Nitrogen uptake to the total input of Nitrogen fertilizer.

		FRESH	WT %		DRY W	N Efficiency Ratio			
Treatment	FW	Increase	Increase	DM	Increase	Increase			
	Kg ha-1	Over Con	Over Con	Kg ha-1	over con	over con	Input N	Fresh	Dry
ATVB	4685	1676	55.71	1660	695	72.02	29.485	56.85	23.57
ATCB	4312	1303	43.31	1505	540	55.96	34.485	37.79	15.66
BioD	4136	1127	37.44	1409	444	46.01	8.970	125.60	49.50
NCB	3480	0471	15.64	1150	185	19.17	23.485	20.04	7.88
NPK	3380	0371	12.33	1066	101	10.47	25.000	14.84	4.04
CON	3009	0000	00.00	965	000	00.00	0.00	00.00	00.00



Analyses of weeds were done on dry matter basis. Observations of weed analyses are recorded in the Table 1. Fresh weightswere used, 1.56 kg plot -1 (6944 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of each weed, for preparations of manures. % DM of Tephrosia weed was higher (22.4), followed by Achyranthes (19.29). The DM kg ha-1 of Tephrosia weed was higher (1555.46) followed by Achyranthes weed (1339.50). % N was higher in Achyranthes (2.03) followed by Tephrosia (1.94) (Tephrosia weed was collected from comparatively non fertile land and Achyranthes from fertile land with ample domestic waste nearby. So, Nitrogen percent of Tephrosia was less than Achyranthes though it is leguminous weed). N kg ha-1 of *Tephrosia* weed was higher (30.18) it was followed by Achyranthes (27.19). % Ash of Tephrosia weed was higher (18.57); it was followed by Achyranthes (17.43). % Pwas higher in Achyranthes (0.123) followed by Tephrosia (0.115). % K was higher in Tephrosia (0.51) followed by Achyranthes (0.43). % Cof Tephrosia weed was higher (10.77); it was followed by Achyranthes (10.11). C:N ratio of Tephrosia weed was higher (5.54), and it was followed by Achyranthes (4.99).

Analyses of Achyranthes and Tephrosia weed manure and Neemcake were done; it is presented in Table 2. Fresh weight of weed compost (ATC) was administered at the rate of 2.06 kg plot<sup>-1</sup> (9169 kg ha-<sup>1</sup>) and weed Vermicompost (ATV) was added at the rate of 2.00 kg plot<sup>-1</sup> (8889 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Fresh weight of Neem cake (NC) was used at the rate of 0.23 kg plot <sup>1</sup> (1000 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). All the manures treatment was mixed with single dose of biofertilizer i.e. 25 kg ha-1. Double dose of biofertilizer 50kg ha-1was given to the biofertilizer treatment (BioD). % DM of ATV (on 211th day) was 67.21 %; it was followed by ATC (on 211th day) was 65.07% and Neemcake 97.94%. DM kg ha-1 was highest in Vermicompost (5974.30) followed by Compost (5966.27) and lowest in Neemcake (979.40). % N and N kg ha-1 was highest in ATCB (0.5%, 30 kg) followed by ATVB (0.42%, 25 kg) and NCB (1.96%, 19 kg). Single dose of biofertilizer fixed 4.485 Nkg ha-1; so, input of N was 29.485 for ATVB, 34.485 for ATCB and 23.485 for NCB. %Phosphorus recorded highest in Neem cake (0.81) and % Potassium in Neemcake (0.48); and % Ca was highest in ATC (4.3).

Amount of Nitrogen fixed by single dose (recommended dose) of biofertilizer was 4.485 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and amount of N kg ha<sup>-1</sup> fixed by *Azotobacter*  biofertilizer double dose was  $8.97 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1}$  in according to N balance method [14]. As per **Table 3**, % ash % C and % N were highest in NC (74.93, 43.46 and 1.96, respectively) followed by ATC (36.5, 21.17, & 0.5) and lowest in ATV (32, 18.56 & 0.42). C:N ratio was highest in ATV (44.56), followed by ATC (42.36) lowest in Neemcake (22.17).

In Table 4, Analyses of Fresh weight and Dry weight of single plantare presented. Fresh weight of root was highest in BioD (0.60) followed by NPK, ATVB, ATCB, NCB and lowest in the CON (0.19), statistically significant in all the treatments. The fresh weight of stem leaves and 4th leaf and total plant was highest in BioD followed by ATVB, ATCB, NPK, NCB and lowest in the CON, FW of stem and total plant statistically not significant in NCB. FW of legume was highest in ATVB (5.83) followed by BioD, ATCB, NCB, NPK and lowest in CON (1.72), statistically significant in all the treatments except in NCB and NPK. The DM of root was highest in BioD (0.24) followed by ATVB, NPK, ATCB, NCB and lowest in the CON (0.08), statistically significant in all the treatments. DM of stemwas highest in ATVB (1.44) followed by BioD, ATCB, NPK, NCB and lowest in CON (0.47), statistically significant in all the treatments except in NCB. DM of leaves, 4th leaf and total plant was highest in BioD followed by ATVB, ATCB, NPK, NCB and lowest in CON treatments, statistically not significant in NCB for 4th leaf and total plant. DM of legume was highest in BioD (3.49) followed by ATCB, ATVB, NCB, NPK and lowest in CON (1.41), statistically not significant in NCB and NPK treatments.

In Table 5, Percent increase over control and nitrogen efficiency ratio is presented. The percent increase over control in Phaseolus for fresh weight was found highest in ATVB (55.71) followed by ATCB (43.31), BioD (37.44), NCB (15.64), and minimum in NPK (12.33). Similarly, dry matter percentage (DM%) was found maximum with the treatment ATVB (72.02) followed by ATCB (55.96), BioD (46.01), NCB (19.17) and minimum in NPK (10.47).DM kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded highest in ATVB (1660) followed by ATCB, BioD, NCB, NPK and lowest in CON (965), statistically significant in ATVB, ATCB, BioD, but statistically not significant in NPK and NCB. The nitrogen efficiency ratio for fresh weight was found highest in BioD (125.60) followed by ATVB (56.85), ATCB (37.79), NCB (20.04) and lowest



in NPK (14.84). Similarly, the nitrogen efficiency ratio for Dry matter (DM) was found highest in BioD (49.50) followed by ATVB (23.57), ATCB (15.66), NCB (7.88) and lowest in NPK (4.04). Highest Fresh weight and DM kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in Treatment ATVB.

# Discussion

Azotobacter treated seedlings of knolkhol showed the highest whole plant weight [15]. Biofertilizers such as Azotobacter, Azospirillum, PSB, and a mixture of Aza + Azo + PSB were administered to crops which showed the increased plant fresh weight, dry weight [16]. Similar results showing fresh weight and dry weight of BioD treatment was recorded highest at 56 DAS. Combined inoculation of soybean by symbiotic bacteria improved the dry weight of soybean [17]. Vermicompost and phosphate biofertilizer showed improved growth and yield in Anise (Pimpinella anisum L) [1]. Vermicompost and PSB when applied together was found helpful in developing production and yield in anise [18]. Azotobacter increases the production of agriculture crop plants by 10-12%. Azotobacter can also improve growth and grain yield in wheat crops. Azotobacter act as one of the vital biofertilizers in the case of rice and some cereals could be applied by seed dipping and seedling root dipping methods [19]. Maize hybrid seed priming with Azotobacter showed the highest grain yield (7.01 ton/ha) and DM accumulation (2019 gr / m2) in treatment compound SC-434 [20]. Panchgavyawas found to contribute to better growth and yield of *Pisum sativum* as compared to NPK [21].

In biochemical analyses of the total biomass of plant, Nitrogen, and total crude protein was recorded highest in ATVB [22]. The findings of the present experiment showed that Fresh weight and Dry weight was recorded highest in Biofertilizer double dose at 56 DAS. But at harvesting 73 DAS maximum Fresh and Dry Yield was recorded highest in Weed vermicompost + Biofertilizer *Azotobacter* and Phosphate solubilizing bacteria treatment (ATVB).

# Conclusion

The results of this investigation concluded that weed vermicompost, weed compost along with a single dose of biofertilizer and biofertilizer double dose can effectively be used as a nutrient source to increase crop yield and soil fertility. Weed manures and



Neem Cake with biofertilizers worked more efficiently as compared to the chemical fertilizers (NPK) to improving the quality of the crop; it could reduce the input cost of the farm produce as well in addition to protecting the environment and natural resources.

# **Author's Contribution**

CB is responsible for all the data collection, conceptualization, writing – original draft preparation, review & editing the final draft of the manuscript. CB read and approved the final manuscript.

# **Competing Interests**

No competing interests

# Funding

The author(s) declared that no grants supported this work.

# Acknowledgments

I express my deep sense of gratitude to my respected teacher and research guide Late Capt. Dr. Bharati Jadhav (retired professor), Department of Botany; Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad. I express my deep sense of gratitude to my respected institute Ahmednagar Jilha Maratha Vidya Prasarak Samaj's Management for their continuous encouragement.

# **Ethical Approval and Consent**

Not applicable.

# **References:**

- 1. Darzi MT, Hadi MH, Rejali F. Effects of vermicompost and phosphate biofertilizer application on yield and yield components in Anise (*Pimpinella anisum L.*). Iranian Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants. 2011;26(4).
- Verma S, Singh A, Pradhan SS, Singh RK, Singh JP. Bioefficacy of organic formulations on crop production-A review. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(5):648-65. https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas. 2017.605.075
- 3. Devi V, Sumathy VJ. Production of biofertilizer from fruit waste. European journal of pharmaceutical and medical research. 2017;4(9):436-43.
- Singh M, Dotaniya ML, Mishra A, Dotaniya CK, Regar KL, Lata M. Role of biofertilizers in conservation agriculture. InConservation Agriculture 2016 (pp. 113-134). Springer, Singapore.https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-2558-7\_4
- Javoreková S, Maková J, Medo J, Kovácsová S, Charousová I, Horák J. Effect of bio-fertilizers application on microbial diversity and physiological profiling of microorganisms in arable soil. Eurasian Journal of Soil Science. 2015;4(1):54. https://doi.org/10.18393/ejss.07093
- Rao DL, Balachandar D, Thakuria D. Soil biotechnology and sustainable agricultural intensification. Indian Journal of Fertilisers. 2015 Oct;11:87-105.

- Sneha S, Anitha B, Sahair RA, Raghu N, Gopenath TS, Chandrashekrappa GK, Basalingappa KM. Biofertilizer for crop production and soil fertility. Acad J Agric Res. 2018;6(8):299-306.
- Mercy S, Mubsira BS, Jenifer I. Application of different fruit peels formulations as a natural fertilizer for plant growth. Int J SciTechnol Res. 2014 Jan 25;3(1):300-7.
- Singh H. Effect of crop residue management on microbial biomass accumulation in the soil. Current science (Bangalore). 1993;65(6):487-8.
- Mukhopadhyay SK. Emerging problems and advances in weed management. InPresidential Address, Agriculture Section, Indian Science Congress held at Baroda 1992.
- 11. Jain NK, Choubey SD. Weed control in Soyabean. Ind. J. Weed Sci. 1969;1(1):5-9.
- Friesen GH, Korwer GR. Weed management for dry land crops. Weed Res. 1983;23:365-71. https://doi.org/10.1111 /j.1365-3180.1983.tb00560.x
- 13. Julka JM, India. ZS of The fauna of India and the adjacent countries. Calcutta: Zoological Survey of India; 1988.
- 14. Peoples MB, Herridge DF. Nitrogen fixation by legumes in tropical and subtropical agriculture. InAdvances in agronomy 1990 Jan 1 (Vol. 44, pp. 155-223). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(08)60822-6
- Sharma JP, Rattan P, Kumar S. Response of vegetable crops to use of integrated nutrient management practices. ISABB Journal of Food and Agricultural Sciences. 2012 Jan 31;2(1):15-9.
- Patel HD, Krishnamurthy R, Azeez MA. Effect of biofertilizer on growth, yield and bioactive component of *Plumbago zeylanica* (Lead Wort). Journal of Agricultural Science. 2016;8(5). https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v8n5p141
- Rosas SB, Rovera M, Andres JA, Correa NS. Effect of phosphorous solubilizing bacteria on the rhizobia-legume simbiosis. InFirst International Meeting on Microbial Phosphate Solubilization 2007 (pp. 125-128). Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-5765-6\_17
- Darzi MT, Seyedhadi MH, Rejali F. Effects of the application of vermicompost and phosphate solubilizing bacterium on the morphological traits and seed yield of anise (*Pimpinella anisumL.*). Journal of Medicinal Plants Research. 2012 Jan 31;6(2):215-9. https://doi.org/10.5897/JMPR11.949
- 19. Shridhar BS. Review: Nitrogen fixing microorganisms. Int J Microbiol Res. 2012;3(1):46-52.
- 20. Sharifi RS, Khavazi K. Effects of seed priming with Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) on yield and yield attributes of maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids. Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment. 2011;9(3/4 part 1):496-500.
- Bhusare BP, Kulkarni AA (2017) Studies on Comparative Effect of NPK and Panchagavya on Growth and Yield of *Pisumsativum* L. Flora and Fauna 23:341–344.
- 22. Bhalshankar CK, Ahire MD. Integrated Nutrient Management of Phaseolus Aureus Roxb. by Using Weed Manures and Biofertilizers [Internet]. Scholar World-International Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research; 2016 [cited 2020 Jan 2]. Available from: http://irmjcr. scholarsworld.net/index.html#Archive?archiveId=62317036 09671680