

Nova Biotechnologica et Chimica

In silico study of anticarcinogenic potential of the selenoprotein BthD from *Drosophila melanogaster*. Identifying the anticancer peptide CRSUR from the conserved region

Toluwase Hezekiah Fatoki^{1,2,⊠}, Omodele Ibraheem¹, Amos Olalekan Abolaji³ and David Morakinyo Sanni⁴

¹Translational Bioinformatics Unit, Department of Biochemistry, Federal University Oye, PMB 373 Oye-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria

²Fhezt Bioinformatics Laboratory, Ifaki-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria

³Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria

⁴Department of Biochemistry, Federal University of Technology Akure, PMB 704, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria

Article info

Article history: Received: 28th February 2020 Accepted: 5th May 2020

Keywords: Anticancer peptide Drosophila melanogaster Mechanism design NF-kappa-B signaling Selenoprotein BthD

Abstract

Drosophila melanogaster is used as a model system in biomedical studies. Selenoprotein is the major biological form of selenium in eukaryotes. Selenoproteins are generally involved in catabolic pathways in bacteria and archaea, whereas it participates in anabolic and antioxidant processes in eukaryotic. In this study, anticancer potential of selenoprotein BthD of D. melanogaster was investigated using bioinformatics methods. Results showed that selenoprotein BthD of D. melanogaster may have dual properties as evident by its orthology with selenoprotein H (SelH) of Homo sapiens and conserved domain of fructokinase-like protein 2 of Vitis vinifera. These dual properties were also revealed in the phylogenetic analysis, while further structural modeling showed that selenoprotein BthD possibly exists as homotetramer in the native functional structure. The anticancer property of selenoprotein BthD was proposed to be by synergy of antioxidant or redox activities of thioredoxin and glutathione reductase (TGR) domain and the signaling function of fructokinase-like protein 2 domain both in Golgi apparatus and cytoplasm, through energy deprivation. The anticancer peptide CRSUR was identified from conserved region of selenoprotein BthD, of which its cyclic form showed potential anticancer properties predictively through E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase regulating NF-kappa-B signaling by unleashing cells for spontaneous formation of the ripoptosome.

© University of SS. Cyril and Methodius in Trnava

Introduction

The genomic sequence of *D. Melanogaster* is about 115229998 bp and contains 13329 annotated genes (Adams *et al.* 2000). *Drosophila* possess genes systems which regulate nutrient uptake, storage and metabolism that are critical to survival

and have been found conserved almost in all eukaryotes including humans, brown alga, zebrafish, mouse, *Escherichia coli*, and *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Allocca *et al.* 2018; Hatfield *et al.* 2014). *D. melanogaster* has been used as a model system for toxicological studies and diseases mechanism such as neurological

Corresponding author: toluwase.fatoki@fuoye.edu.ng, fheztbioinformatics@gmail.com

disorders, developmental disorders, metabolic and storage disorders, cancer and cardiovascular disease (Bier 2005; Abolaji *et al.* 2014; Saraiva *et al.* 2018).

The major biological form of selenium in eukaryotes is selenocysteine (Sec) and its mostly found in the active site of selenoproteins. Selenocysteine is called the 21st amino acid which has chemical structure differs from cysteine only by the presence of selenium in place of the sulfur atom. Sec is co-translationally inserted into a polypeptide chain in response to in-frame UGA codons directed by the Sec insertion sequence element, a stem-loop structure in the untranslated regions (3-UTRs) of selenoprotein mRNAs (Hatfield et al. 2014). The human genome contains 25 selenoprotein genes (Kryukov et al. 2003) and they are involved in a variety of functions, most notably redox homeostasis. Larger selenoproteomes can be found in aquatic organisms such as zebrafish, and brown alga (Lobanov et al. 2007; Hatfield et al. 2014). Selenoproteins are involved generally in catabolic pathways in bacteria and archaea, whereas eukaryotic selenoproteins participate rather in anabolic and antioxidant processes (Herbette et al. 2007). Selenoproteins participate in thyroid hormone metabolism, muscle formation, selenocysteine synthesis and in sperm maturation (Rederstorff et al. 2006). According to Gladyshev et al. (2016), selenoproteins without known functions include SELENOF (selenoprotein F, 15-kDa selenoprotein, SEP15), SELENOH (selenoprotein H, SELH, C11orf31), SELENOK (selenoprotein K, SELK), SELENOM (selenoprotein M, SELM), SELENOO (selenoprotein О, SELO), **SELENOT** (selenoprotein T, SELT), and others. Human selenoprotein enzymes with known functions such as thioredoxin reductases (TR1), glutathione peroxidases (Sep15 and GPx2) are important cellular redox-regulators needed by both normal and cancer cells, which result in anti- and protumorigenic effects at a tissue-specific cellular level (Hatfield et al. 2014). In liver TRI exhibits anticancer protein and in lung TR1 is a pro-cancer protein and a prime candidate for cancer therapy (Yoo et al. 2006; Carlson et al. 2012). The GPx1 polymorphisms are associated with cancer risk (Zhuo and Diamond 2009). It remains to be elucidated whether these anti- and pro-tumorigenic

effects are tumor stage or grade-dependent.

The known selenoproteins in D. melanogaster are dSPS2, dSelK (former called dSelG) and dSelH (also known as dSelM or BthD) (Hirosawa-Takamori et al. 2000; Castellano et al. 2001). dSelK has one cysteine homolog and dSelH has two (Castellano et al. 2001; Martin-Romero et al. 2001). dSelH appears to belong to a class of selenoproteins widely distributed across the phylogenetic spectrum, as dSelH was found in zebrafish, human and mouse expressed sequence tag (EST) databases (Dickiy et al. 2007; Novoselov et al. 2007). The ability of dselH to reverse effects glutathione the toxic of depletion in Schneider cells was suggested to reflect a glutathione sparing effect via increased activity of an alternative anti-oxidant pathway, which restores the perturbed anti-oxidant-pro-oxidant balance (Morozova et al. 2003). Disruption of selenophosphate synthetase expression has recently been shown to modulate the Ras/MAPK signalling cascade in flies (Morey et al. 2001).

Ser/Thr kinase domain is one of the core kinase cascades in D. melanogaster and mammals (Yin and Zhang 2011). In D. melanogaster, Ser/Thr kinase domain is found in the core kinases of Hippo signaling pathway, as well as in the fourjointed and discs overgrown of upstream regulatory components (Yin and Zhang 2011). Defects in the core kinases and some of the upstream components of the pathway lead to robust organ overgrowth and link to numerous cancers (Pan 2010; Zhang et al. 2009). The Hippo signaling pathway limits organ size by regulating cell proliferation and apoptosis. In this study, anticancer potential of selenoprotein BthD of D. melanogaster was investigated based on the available genomic data and publications. The gene product with anticancer properties in an insect could be useful in the development of biologic agent for human cancer therapy.

Experimental

Drosophila melanogaster *selenoproteins*

The selenoprotein was searched from *D. melanogaster* database on Ensembl genome browser v97 (http://www.ensembl.org). The genes

obtained from ensembl were looked up in the *D. melanogaster* database (www.flybase.org). The protein sequences and information were obtained from UniProt database (www.uniprot.org). The protein sequence of BthD of *D. melanogaster* was used to query *Homo sapiens* database on the Blastp server of NCBI (Camacho *et al.* 2009).

Conserved protein domain

Human selenoproteins TRI, Sep15 and GPx2 has been reported to possessed anti- and protumorigenic effect (Hatfield et al. 2014). The sequence of these proteins was obtained from UniProt. The conserved protein domain of all the selenoproteins of D. Melanogaster and four selenoproteins of Homo sapiens were investigated using the protein sequences on the CDD server (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb .cgi) of NCBI (Marchler-Bauer et al. 2017). The protein sequence of BthD of D. melanogaster was used to query database of eight plants in the taxonomy of Mesangiospermae identified from CDD results, on the Blastp server of NCBI (Camacho et al. 2009).

Phylogenetics analysis

The protein sequence of selenoproteins of *D. melanogaster* and *H. sapiens* obtained from the previous steps, were used for phylogenetics study. Multiple sequence alignment on ClustalO server (www.ebi.ac.uk/tools/msa/clustalo) was carried out and phylogenetic tree was constructed. The tree data was visualized at www.phylo.io.

Structural modeling of selenoprotein BthD

The three-dimensional structure of selenoprotein BthD of *D. melanogaster* was modelled on Swissmodel server (www.swissmodel.ch) using protein sequence (Camacho *et al.* 2009; Remmert *et al.* 2012; Waterhouse *et al.* 2018).

Integration of anticarcinogenic mechanism of selenoprotein BthD

The D. melanogaster pathways associated with growth of tumor were obtained from www.kegg.jp available information and in the scientific literatures was mined. These data were integrated with the kev results of this study and anticarcinogenic mechanism of selenoprotein BthD of *D. melanogaster* was proposed.

In silico prediction anticancer peptides of selenoprotein BthD and their physicochemical properties

of The prediction anticancer peptides performed in selenoprotein BthD was AntiCP Protein Scan server on (https://webs.iiitd.edu.in/raghava/anticp/submit_pro t.php), and generated the fragments amino acids residues of length of 5 with minimum Support vector machine (SVM) score of 1.15, and predict their anticancer property along with all

Table 1. Details of selenoprotein genes, and proteins of *D. melanogaster* integrated from Ensembl, Flybase and Uniprot.

Gene name	Chromosome location	No. of transcript	Human orthologs [species\gene symbol]	Best transcript name and ID	Length [nt]	Uniprot ID	Length [amino acids]	Subcellular location
BthD	Chr. X: 13,612,131- 13,613,228	1	Hsap\SELENOH	BthD-RA, FBtr0073806	977	Q9VYB0	249	Cytoplasm
SelG	Chr. X: 11,887,284- 11,888,24	1	Hsap\SELENOK	SelG-RA, FBtr0073570	827	Q7Z2C4	110	Golgi apparatus
SelR	Chr. 3R: 10,863,355- 10,868,642	8	Hsap\MSRB3	SelR-RE, FBtr0082295	1156	Q8INK9, D3DMP0	208, 192	Nucleus, Cytoplasm, cyto- skeleton
SelT	Chr. 2L: 5,010,922- 5,12,127	3	Hsap\SELENOT	SelT-RA, FBtr0079000	960	M9PCL4, Q9VMV6	198	Integral component of membrane, endomembrane system



Fig. 1. Model structures of selenoprotein BthD of D. melanogaster in homotetramer oligomeric state.

physico-chemical the important properties like hydrophobicity, charge, pI etc. The cell-penetrating efficacy of peptides were **CPPred-RF** predicted on server (http://server.malab.cn/CPPred-RF/index.jsp) (Wei et al. 2017).

In silico target prediction and pharmacokinetics of anticancer peptides of selenoprotein BthD

The structure and SMILES (Simplified Molecular Specification) Input Line Entry format of selenocysteine-containing peptide (in cysteine obtained from PepSMI form) was server (https://www.novoprolabs.com/tools/convertpeptide-to-smiles-string) and cysteine residue was selenocysteine edited to on https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/edit3/index.html. prediction of target silico was In done on SwissTargetPrediction server, where Homo sapiens was selected as target organism (Diana et al. 2019). The active peptides (peptides with predicted target) were then subjected to in silico Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, and (ADME) screening Excretion on SwissADME server at default parameters. (Diana et al. 2017).

Results

The search on the Ensembl genome browser showed four genes of selenoproteins present in the *D. melanogaster* genome. The gene products, orthology in *H. sapiens* and subcellular location were obtained from Flybase and UniProt databases,

Table 1. Each of the as shown in four selenoproteins in melanogaster D. has one ortholog in human. The homology alignment of **BthD** protein sequence (Uniprot ID: Q9VYB0) by Blastp confirmed similarity with human 41.38 % SelH (C11orf31) selenoprotein (Uniprot ID: Q8IZQ5) located the Golgi which is in apparatus and cytoplasm.

The conserved domain of D. melanogaster and *H. sapiens* are summarized in Table 2. The conserved domain of selenoprotein BthD was found to be exceptional with no similarity in H. sapiens unlike the other three. The conserved protein domain of BthD was classified as kinase which belong to PLN02967 superfamily of fructokinase-like protein 2 (EC 2.7.1.4), and belong protein clusters conserved to in taxonomy of Mesangiospermae in eukaryotic plant. This study is the first to discover and report the plant-like properties of BthD. Further homology alignment of the BthD protein sequence against eight plants in the PLN02967 superfamily of taxonomy Mesangiospermae, only showed 33.33 % similarity to an uncharacterized selenoprotein H (UniProt ID: D7SU28) of Vitis vinifera.

Moreover, human SelH contained domain architecture which is similar to that SelT of *D. melanogaster* and has been noted as thioredoxin and glutathione reductase (TGR Domain). This domain is homodimeric, FADcontaining member of the pyridine nucleotide disulfide oxidoreductase family. Table 3 shows the summary of homology analysis of BthD protein

Table 2. Details o	of Conserved	IDomain (CC)	D) analysis on NCBI.							
Protein Name	D	niProt ID P1 (D	rotein Classification)omain architecture)	Domain Name	Accession	Superfamily	Taxonomy	Interval	Bit Score	E-value
Drosophila melan BTHD_DROME	ngaster , Q	9VYB0 Ki	inase	PLN02967,	d30538	d30538	Magnoliophyta	144 – 240	39.64	1.29e ⁻⁰³
Selenoprotein Bt SELT DROME.	0 Q	9VMV6 C2	XXU selWTH family	uper family CXXU_selWTH	TIGR02174	c101407	(Mesangiospermae) Cellular organisms	44 – 182	81.95	5.55e ⁻²¹
Thioredoxin redu like selenomotein	ctase-	ūđ	otein (ID 10020357)	1			0			
homolog CG388										:
SELG_DROME Glycine-rich	ð	7Z2C4 Se	elK_SelG domain- ntaining protein	SelK_SelG	pfam10961	d12536	Eukaryota	2.68	54.22	4.49e ⁻¹¹
selenoprotein MCDD DDOME	C	II) Denveo de	D 1056/047)	C.dD	nfam01641	1150/1	Callular and all	56 106	00 100	1 2Ka-76
Methionine-R-sul reductase B1	lfoxide		epuce-meanue, (xv)-5- ide reductase D 10483249)	NIDO	TLOTOIIPId	1+0/11)	Cenual organisms	001 - 00	76.477	206-7
Homo sapiens										
SELH_HUMAN	°	8IZQ5 C3	XXU_selWTH family	CXXU_selWTH	TIGR02174	cl01407	Cellular organisms	36 - 119	78.48	1.57e ⁻²⁰
Selenoprotein H	Ē	ud coco	otein (ID 10020357)	. d 1130	00000	00000		C01 E	00 001	2 CD - 54
Glutathione perov	, P idase 2	15 68281	iutathione peroxidase D 10085912)	Con_reroxidase	cdUU54U	cluus	Cellular organisms	/ - 187	68.661	0.78e
TRXR1_HUMA	N, Q	16881 GI	RX_GRXh_1_2_like and	TGR	TIGR01438	d36907	Eukaryota	161 – 649	940.82	0 e +00
I hioredoxin redu	ctase I	DI I	ork domain-containing otein (ID 11556269)							
SEP15_HUMAN Selenoprotein F	0	60613 Se co (II	ep15_SelM domain- mtaining protein D 10555966)	Sep15_SelM	pfam08806	cl07422	Eukaryota	88 - 163	127.3	3.77e ⁻³⁹
Table 3. Summar of NCBI and Unil	y of homolo Prot.	gy analysis of	f BthD protein sequence of	D. melanogaster ;	against <i>H. sap</i>	iens and V. vi	<i>nifera</i> integrated fro	n Ensembl l	Browser	v97, Blastp
D. melanogaster	Species/	Gene hit	Genomic location	Transcript ID	Transc	cript UniProt	No. of E - val	ue % D	s	ubcellular
BthD Proteins	assembly				length	(III) ID	amino acids		4	ocation
Q9VYB0	H. sapiens/	SELENOH	Chromosome 11:57,	ENST00000534355	6 1192	;DZI8D	122 2.0e-12	2 41.38 %		iolgi apparatus,
	GRCh38		741, 491-57,743,550					(aa 28 -	115) c	ytoplasm
Q9VYB0	V. vinifera	PREDICTE selenoproteii	CD: Chromosome 4: n H 2,133, 923-2,140,047	VIT_04s0008g025	90.t01 796	D7SU2(A5BYV	3, 154 5.0e-4 8	33.33 % (aa 144	- 240) c	iolgi apparatus, ytoplasm

Table 4	I. Structural	modelling	g parameter	for Selenopro	tein Btl	Ū.									
Model	Template	Seq Ide	antity Olig	go-state (QSQE	Found by	Method	Resolution	Seq Similarity	Range	Coverage	Descripti	ion		QMEAN
MI	2ojl.1.A	23.8	hon	no-tetramer	0.19	HHblits	X-ray	2.10Å	0.33	26 – 119	0.31	Hypothet SelT/SelV	tical prot W/SelH	tein	-1.81
M2	2obk.1.A	23.8	hon	no-tetramer (0.13	HHblits	X-ray	2.70Å	0.33	26 - 121	0.31	selenopro	otein dor	nain	-4.28
M3	20ka.1.A	24.5	hon	no-tetramer (0.14	HHblits	X-ray	2.50Å	0.33	26 - 122	0.32	Hypothet	tical prot	tein	-3.83
Table 5	. The prope	rties of an	ticancer per	otides from se	lenopro	tein BthD w.	ith minim	um SVM score	of 1.15.						
															Cell
Serial No.	Peptide Sequence	SVM Score	Prediction	Hydrophobi	city hi	Steric _{Si} udrance	debulk]	Hydropathicity	Amphipathicity	Hydr philic	0- N ity Hyd	et rogen Ch	arge	Id	oenetrating 1ptake officiency
	RRAEE	1.15	Anticp	-0.90		0.65	0.65	-2.84	1.49	2.3	0 2.	00	00	6.50	High
2	MPPKR	1.16	Anticp	-0.55		0.57	0.57	-1.94	1.22	6.0	4 1.	20 2	.00	1.01	High
3	AEDKP	1.16	Anticp	-0.45		0.60	0.60	-2.14	0.99	1.7	0	80 -1	00	4.38	High
4	RGAFE	1.17	Anticp	-0.27		0.65	0.65	-0.76	0.74	0.6	0	0 00	00	6.36	High
5	VLYVE	1.19	Anticp	0.20		0.66	0.66	1.48	0.25	-0.8	2 0.	40 -1	00	4.00	None
9	QESKE	1.19	Anticp	-0.66		0.65	0.65	-3.04	1.49	1.9	0	40 -1	00	4.54	High
7	KEAKQ	1.19	Anticp	-0.65		0.65	0.65	-2.60	1.97	1.7	4	40 1	00	8.94	High
8	EEAQE	1.20	Anticp	-0.46		0.65	0.65	-2.44	1.01	1.7	4	-3	0	3.68	High
6	KEQTN	1.21	Anticp	-0.65		0.67	0.67	-3.02	1.24	1.2	0	60 0	00	6.35	High
10	RGPPR	1.23	Anticp	-0.70		0.55	0.55	-2.52	0.98	1.2	0	60 2	00	2.01	High
= :	ERDAG	1.24	Anticp	-0.54		0.66	0.66	-2.02	0.74	1.7	-i i	20	0	4.38	High H
12	RRRAE	1.24	Anticp	-1.13		0.65	59 .0	-3.04	1.72	2.3	0	60	00	1.70	High
n :	AGGMG	1.25	Anticp	0.20		0.67	0.67	0.50	0.00	-0.3	, <u> </u>	00	8	5.88	High
14	EKGLQ	1.26	Anticp	-0.48		0.65	0.65	-1.62	0.99 5 5	8.0	~ -	40 0 0	88	6.36	High -
a ;	SENTE	07.1	Anticp	95.0- 22.0		8C.U	4C.U	-1.80	10.0	7.7		7- •	0 0	5.80	ugin .
16	KQSKE	1.26	Anticp	c/.0-		0.65	C 0.0	-3.12	1.97	I.9	0 ·	60 1.	8	8.94	High
17	SESQE	1.26	Anticp	-0.49		0.62	0.62	-2.42	0.76	13	6 1.	20 -2	00	3.80	High
18	RRGAF	1.28	Anticp	-0.50		0.65	0.65	-0.96	0.98	0.6	0	60 2	.00	2.01	High
19	KSSKI	1.28	Anticp	-0.40		0.62	0.62	-0.98	1.47	6.0	5 1.	20 2	00	0.02	High
20	VEHCR	1.30	Anticp	-0.44		0.54	0.54	-0.90	1.03	0.6	0	20	50	7.07	High
21	KRGPP	1.31	Anticp	-0.57		0.55	0.55	-2.40	1.22	1.2	0	20 2	.00	1.01	High
22	CRSUR	1.37	Anticp	-0.75		0.50	0.50	-1.46	0.98	1.0	б 1.	80 2.	.00	0.38	High
23	FPTVE	1.39	Anticp	0.06		0.59	0.59	0.24	0.25	-0.2	8	40 -1	00	4.00	None
24	KRTTR	1.41	Anticp	-1.00		0.62	0.62	-2.86	1.71	1.6	4 2.	40 3	.00	2.01	High

sp Q9VMV6 SELT_DROME sp Q8INK9 MSRB_DROME sp P18283 GPX2_HUMAN sp 060613 SEP15_HUMAN sp Q722C4 SELG_DROME sp Q16881 TRXR1_HUMAN tr D7SU28 D7SU28_VITVI sp Q9VYB0 BTHD_DROME sp Q8IZQ5 SELH_HUMAN	-MAFIAKSFY -MVAMAAGPSGCLVPAFG MGCAEGKAVAAAAPTELQTKGKNGDGRRRSAKDHHPGKTLPENPAGFTSTATA MAPKKRR-EGEAPVDTSTTSVRVTRSSTRRLGAKANDSVAPAPAPPERPKKKVKK-TE MPP-KRNKKAEAPIAE- MAPRGRKRKAEAAVVAVAEKR-EK	0 9 17 0 53 56 15 23
sp 09VMV6 SELT_DROME	MERLTGRNVALLVLCLCAG-YALVFAEGEKEIPVTKFGQNIAPTMTF	46
sp Q8INK9 MSRB DROME		14
sp P18283 GPX2_HUMAN	DLSAISLDGEKVDFNTFRGRAVLIENVASLUGTTTRDFTQ-LNELQCRFPRRLV	62
sp 060613 SEP15_HUMAN	-LRRELGFSSN	49
sp Q7Z2C4 SELG_DROME	E	12
sp Q16881 TRXR1_HUMAN	DSREVKKLFK	87
tr D7SU28 D7SU28_VITVI	DVKSFKTRAT	87
sp Q9VYB0 BTHD_DROME	-RDVFRRRAE	45
sp Q8IZQ5 SELH_HUMAN	LANVYGRNAA	52
sp Q9VMV6 SELT_DROME	QVNGGNYDPPGLNYYLSKMIFALKIIIIVSVVSAVSPFTFLGLNTPSWW	121
sp Q8INK9 MSRB_DROME	AIPRFFA	23
sp P18283 GPX2_HUMAN	LVQKCEVNGQNEHPV-FA-	117
sp 060613 SEP15_HUMAN	AFVRSDKPKLFR	117
sp Q7Z2C4 SELG_DROME	KRPWD	17
sp Q16881 TRXR1_HUMAN	AYQEGRLQKLLKMNGPEDLPKSYD	162
tr D/SU28 D/SU28_VIIVI	VVNP-EKPKRGC-	113
SPIQ9VYB0 BTHD_DROME	QUNAL-GAPKNGA	/3
SD10812051SELH_HUMAN	KV-NP-TKPKRGS	/8
sp Q9VMV6 SELT_DROME	SHMQANKIYACMMIFFLGNMLEAQLISSGAFEITLNDVPVWSK-LQTGRFPSPEVLFQ	178
sp Q8INK9 MSRB_DROME	-DSRQDSDNPDKRYSGPAATMDNKSEKVTVN	53
sp P18283 GPX2_HUMAN	-YLKDKLPYPYDDPFSLMTDPKLIIWSP-VRRSD	149
sp 060613 SEP15_HUMAN	-GLQIKYVRGSDPVLKLLDDNGNIAEELSILKWNT-DSVEEFLSEKL	162
sp Q7Z2C4 SELG_DROME	BLFVGIWFA-IKQ	34
sp Q16881 TRXR1_HUMAN	YDLIIIGGGSGGLAAAKEA-AQYGKKVMVL	191
tr D7SU28 D7SU28_VITVI	FISL-LD-MKR-PFAPMKALDMDK	144
sp Q9VYB0 BTHD_DROME	FFELSLSAGGMGKQEQVALWSG-LKRGPPRARKFPTVEE	110
sp Q8IZQ5 SELH_HUMAN	FFEVTLLRPDGSSAELWTG-IKKGPPRKLKFPEPQE	112

Fig. 2. Multiple sequence alignment of all selenoproteins of *D. melanogaster*, four selenoproteins of *H. sapiens* and a selenoprotein of *V. vinifera*.

sequence of D. melanogaster against H. sapiens and V. vinifera integrated from Ensembl Browser v97, Blastp of NCBI and UniProt. The structural model parameter BthD selenoprotein of on Swissmodel server is shown in Table 4. The structure was modeled as homotetramer based on the percentage similarities to three different proteins; 2oil (crystal template structure Q7WAF1 BORPA of from Bordetella parapertussis), 20bk (crystal structure of the putative Se binding protein from Pseudomonas 20ka (crystal fluorescens), and structure Q9HYQ7_PSEAE of from Pseudomonas aeruginosa) as shown in Fig. 1. This structure can be further elucidated through X-ray crystallography. The result in Fig. 2 showed the position of conserved amino acid residues among selenoproteins (D7SU28_VITVI, BTHD_DROME and SELH_HUMAN). The phylogenetic tree confirmed the evolutionary relatedness of BthD gene of D. melanogaster, SelH gene of H. sapiens and D7SU28 gene of V. vinifera (Fig. 3).

The integral mechanism of anticarcinogenic property of selenoprotein BthD is shown in Fig. 4. This mechanism was based on the glycolytic energy stress induced by hepatocytes depletion of ATP by fructose and its impact of AMPK1, Hippo signaling and Notch1 signaling pathways; the role of trehalose to regulate glucose metabolism in stress condition; the ability of isomaltose to regulate adenylate biosynthesis; and the capacity V. vinefera to provide fructose and phytochemicals such as resveratrol. Based on the mechanism proposed in this study, we have hypothesized that amino acid sequences EHCRSUR and GAPRRGA from selenoprotein BthD as well as EHCKQCN and EKPRRGC from V. vinefera (grape) could be key bioactive peptides that can alone the and synergistically modulate this mechanism impact the required antioxidant effect. and This was validated by the results of anticancer peptides from selenoprotein BthD shown Table 5, where selenocysteine-containing in peptide CRSUR has SVM score of 1.37 and high



Fig. 3. The phylogenetic tree of all selenoproteins of *D. melanogaster*, four selenoproteins of *H. sapiens* and a selenoprotein of *V. vinifera*.

Cell-penetrating uptake efficiency, while RRGAF has SVM score of 1.28 and high cell-penetrating uptake efficiency. The structure of the CRSUR peptide in linear and cyclic (head-to-tail bond) is shown in Table 6. The predicted targets of CRSUR peptide from selenoprotein BthD show that this selenocysteine-containing peptide have anticancer and antiviral or antineuronal properties based on the biological processes by the target genes obtained such as Furin, Integrin beta-3, and Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing protein (Table 7). The result of pharmacokinetics of CRSUR (Table 8) indicate that gastrointestinal route will not be good for the administration of bioactive peptide.

Discussion

Selenoprotein BthD (BthD) has been reported to possesses antioxidant potential (Castellano et al. 2001). Selenoprotein T (SelT) is a thioredoxindisulfide reductase (EC 1.8.1.9) that belongs to the SelWTH family and SELT subfamily. Selenoprotein R (SelR or MsrB) is a peptidemethionine (R)-S-oxide reductase (EC 1.8.4.12) which belongs to the MsrB Met sulfoxide reductase family (Kryukov et al. 2003). The thioredoxin and glutathione reductase (TGR Domain) homodimeric, is FAD-containing member of the pyridine nucleotide disulfide oxidoreductase family which contains a C-terminal motif Cys-SeCys-Gly, where SeCys is selenocysteine encoded by TGA which is a stop codon in some sequence).

(Sun *et al.* 2001 TIGR02174 domain is a member of the superfamily cl01407 together with related to pfam10262, a domain found in both bacteria and animals selenoproteins SelT, SelW, and SelH (Dickiy *et al.* 2007).

Taxonomy of Mesangiospermae belongs to eukaryotic plant and its PLN02967 superfamily was similar to an uncharacterized selenoprotein H (UniProt ID: D7SU28) of *V. vinifera*. Some studies have reported that grape (*V. vinifera*) extracts showed cytotoxicity towards cultured cells as well as inhibited tumor growth in animal models (Shrotriya *et al.* 2012; Sun *et al.* 2012).

Different molecular mechanisms have been proposed for these protective effects of grape extracts, such as inhibition of enzymes playing an essential role in cell proliferation (e.g. human topoisomerase I) and inhibition of angiogenesis (Agarwal et al. 2004; Stagos et al. 2005). The result of а double-blinded randomized crossover human trial showed that dietary supplementation of grape seed extract at a dose of 600 mg/day for 4 weeks can decrease of oxidative stress and enhance glutathione (GSH)/oxidized glutathione (GSSG) and total antioxidant status (Kar et al. 2009). The anticancer effects of whole black grape (seeds included) extract have been reported in the cancerous colon tissues of humans by inhibition in DNA turnover enzymes (Durak et al. 2005). An in silico study has reported the molecular targets for the key bioactive components present in grape such as resveratrol, piceatannol, and scirpusin A (Fatoki et al. 2018a).



Fig. 4. Integrated anticarcinogenic mechanism of Selenoprotein BthD.

Comprehensive review of anticancer properties of grape can be found in another publication (Zhou and Raffoul 2012).

In *D. melanogaster*, three forms of hexokinases (Jelnes 1971). However, whether these three (Hex A, B and C) were found by agar gel hexokinases were either aldose or ketose was not electrophoresis (Madhavan *et al.* 1972). Hex A investigated. The chromosomal location of BthD is

and Hex B have been mapped on the same structural gene on the X chromosome (Voelker *et al.* 1978) while Hex C on the second chromosome (Jelnes 1971). However, whether these three hexokinases were either aldose or ketose was not investigated. The chromosomal location of BthD is

Туре	Smiles	Structure
Linear	N[C@@H](CS)C(=O)N[C@@H](CCCNC(=N)N)C(=O)N[C@@H](CO)C(=O)N[C@ @H](C[Se])C(=O)N[C@@H](CCCNC(=N) N)C(=O)O	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
Head-to-tail bond	N1[C@@H](CS)C(=O)N[C@@H](CCCNC (=N)N)C(=O)N[C@@H](CO)C(=O)N[C@ @H](C[Se])C(=O)N[C@@H](CCCNC(=N) N)C1=O	Se O H H O H H O H H O H H S O H H O H H S H O H H S H O H H S H H S H H H S H

Chromosome X: 13,612,131-13,613,228 (Table 1). Fructokinase (also known as ketohexokinase; which catalyzes the phosphorylation KHK). of fructose to fructose 1-phosphate, was identified MALDI-TOF MS and found expressed by at extremely low rates in the renal tumor tissues (Hwa et al. 2006). A study has shown that fructoseinduced ATP depletion in human, rat and mouse hepatocytes cause full protected against tumor necrosis factor-alpha $(TNF-\alpha)$ -induced cytotoxicity, whereas hepatic tumor cell lines showed increased hexokinase II (HKII) expression which inhibited fructose-mediated cytoprotection (Speicher *et al.* 2010).

Study has shown that trehalose do not stimulate rapid increases in blood glucose and excessive secretion of insulin and gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP) promoting fat accumulation (Yoshizane *et al.* 2017). It has been demonstrated that trehalose-6-phosphate (T6P) inhibits yeast hexokinase 2 (HKII) activity, thus it is likely that this metabolite regulates glycolysis by modulating the flow of phosphorylated sugars towards this pathway (Blázquez *et al.* 1993;

S/N	Targets	UniProt ID	C	RSUR Peptide % probability]
			Linear	Head-to-tail bond
1	Furin	P09958	60	45
2	Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 4, 5, 6	Q6UW60, Q92824, P29122	60	45
3	Complement factor B Ba fragment	P00751	55	-
4	Complement C2	P06681	55	-
5	Neurotensin receptor type 1, 2	P30989, O95665	50	-
6	WD repeat-containing protein 5, 5B	P61964, Q86VZ2	40	45
7	Coagulation factor VII, IXa heavy chain	P08709, P00740	40	40
8	Factor X light chain	P00742	40	40
9	Complex (Integrin beta-3)	P08514/P05106	35	50
10	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase XIAP	P98170	_	45
11	Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing protein 2, 3, 8	Q13490, Q13489, Q96P09	—	45

 Table 7. Predicted targets of CRSUR Peptide from selenoprotein BthD.

Probability on target was computed based on a cross-validation. They may therefore not represent the actual probability of success for any new molecule.

Thevelein *et al.* 1995). T6P plays a critical role as sensing molecule that promotes sugar a fermentation and glucose repression in yeast (Vicente et al. 2018). Thus, trehalose diet by cancer patient may be necessary to trigger energy stress which will in turn open up cancer cell to the pathway of selenoprotein BthD. Isomaltose will be needed to mitigate against the diabetes signaling molecules that are associated with cancer cell proliferation. Isomaltose inhibit adenylosuccinate lyase which is a more proximal enzyme in the adenylosuccinate biosynthesis pathway, lowers S-AMP levels and impairs glucosestimulated insulin secretion (Fatoki et al. 2018b), and may help to reduce the risks associated with obesity and type 2 diabetes (van Can et al. 2012).

Previous studies haves established that phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK1) and pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2) the only two ATP-generating glycolytic enzymes, which function as protein kinases and play active roles in tumor development (Li et al. 2016a). Another study has shown that hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cells reduce the fructose metabolism rate, and involved a switch in expression from fructokinase C (KHK-C) to fructokinase A (KHK-A) (Li et al. 2016b), and in the process KHK-A enhanced nucleic acid synthesis for tumorigenesis (Li et al. 2016c), and also enhanced p62's aggregation with Kelchlike ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1) and nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) activation (Xu et al. 2019). Nrf2 is located in the cytoplasm and guided by Keap1, but under oxidative stress

Nrf2 moves to the nucleus, where it binds the antioxidant response element (ARE) and drives the expression of several downstream genes such as y-glutamyl cysteine synthetase modifier subunit (GCLm), glutamate cysteine ligase catalytic subunit (GCLC), heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) and NAD(P)H quinine oxidoreductase-1 (NQO1) (Shibata et al. 2008; Singh et al. 2008; Ding et al. 2010; Kansanen et al. 2013). A novel peptide activator of a key antioxidant gene transcription pathwayin the hippocampus, which disrupted the Nrf2-Keap1 interaction in global cerebral ischemia model has been reported (Tu et al. 2015). The Hippo signaling pathway plays a crucial role in cell proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, and development. Transcriptional co-activators Yes-associated protein 1 (YAP) and WW domaincontaining transcription regulator protein 1 (TAZ), are major effectors of the Hippo signaling pathway (Bae et al. 2017). They function as transcription factors along with TEAD (TEA domain family nucleus, member) in the which increases expression of such target genes as Ctgf, Cyr61, AXL, and Survivin (Bae et al. 2017). Study has shown that phosphofructokinase 1 (PFK1) mediates glucose-induced YAPand TAZ-TEAD interactions (Enzo et al. 2015). Energy stress, as induced by culturing cells in glucose-free conditions, results in inhibition of YAP activity in mouse hepatocytes in vivo as demonstrated by starvation/re-feeding experiments (Wang et al. 2015). In recent time, small peptides having anticancer properties have emerged as a potential

Table 8. Pharmacokinetics	of CRSUR Peptide	from Selenoprotein BthD.
---------------------------	------------------	--------------------------

C/N	Deveneters	CRSUR Peptide				
5/IN	Parameters	Linear	Head-to-tail bond			
1	Molecular Weight [g.mol ⁻¹]	669.64	651.62			
2	Heavy Atoms (HA)	41	40			
3	Molar Refractivity	151.53	167.52			
4	Total Polar Surface Area (Å2)	362.55	328.33			
5	Lipophilicity Consensus LogP	-3.96	-3.73			
6	Water Solubility ESOL Class	Highly soluble	Very soluble			
7	Gastrointestinal Absorption	Low	Low			
8	Blood Brain Barrier (BBB) Permeant	No	No			
9	P-glycoprotein Substrate	No	No			
10	Cytochrome P450s Inhibitor	No	No			
11	Skin permeation log Kp [cm.s ⁻¹]	-15.29	-12.84			
12	Lipinski Violation	3	3			
13	Bioavailability Score	0.17	0.17			
14	Synthetic Accessibility	5.62	6.40			

alternative approach for cancer therapy (Thundimadathil et al. 2012). Anticancer peptides (ACPs) are small (5 - 30 amino acids) peptides, often derived from antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) and are cationic in nature (Tyagi et al. 2013), while cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs) are small peptides that have unique inherent ability to directly enter cells without significantly damaging the cell membrane (Wei et al. 2017). In this study, the selenocysteine-containing peptide CRSUR was identified and further investigated among all peptides obtained. The results show that cyclic peptide CRSUR will be a good anticancer agent through E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase regulating NFkappa-B signalling by unleashes cell for spontaneous formation of the ripoptosome, a large multi-protein complex that has the capability to kill cancer cells in a caspase-dependent and caspaseindependent manner (Bertrand et al. 2011).

Conclusions

Low calories diets can cause significant reduction in tumor incidence and tumor growth. and the mechanistic links between diet and cancer which has remain poorly understood (Warr et al. 2018), has been unravelled for application in human health through this in-silico study. We have shown that selenoprotein BthD can be good antioxidant supplement alone or together with the whole fruit juice of V. vinifera, not only against cancer but also virus infection and for overall human health (Moghadaszadeh and Beggs 2006).

Thus, it is possible to build on the understanding of the antioxidant/anticancer potential of BthD by investigating new synthetic peptides from the conserved regions. Further study will be to evaluate anticancer potential of optimized peptides of selenoprotein BthD consisting of 5 - 10 amino acid residues; and also investigate the Seccontaining disaccharides as novel anticancer compounds.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Abolaji AO, Kamdem JP, Lugokenski TH, Nascimento TK, Waczuk EP, Farombi EO, Loreto EL, Rocha JB (2014) Involvement of oxidative stress in 4 vinylcyclohexeneinduced toxicity in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 71: 99-108.
- Adams MD, Celniker SE, Holt RA, Evans CA, Gocayne JD, Amanatides PG, Scherer SE, Li PW, Hoskins RA, Galle RF (2000) The genome sequence of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Science 287: 2185-2195.
- Agarwal C, Singh RP, Dhanalakshmi S, Agarwal R (2004) Anti-angiogenic Efficacy of grape seed extract in endothelial cells. Oncol. Rep. 11: 681-685.
- Allocca M, Zola S, Bellosta P (2018) The fruit fly, Drosophila melanogaster: Modeling of human diseases (Part II). In Perveen FK (Ed.) Drosophila melanogaster -Model for recent advances in genetics and therapeutics, Intechopen, pp. 131-156.
- Bae JS, Kim SM, Lee H (2017) The Hippo signaling pathway provides novel anti-cancer drug targets. Oncotarget. 8: 16084-16098.

- Bertrand MJ, Lippens S, Staes A, Gilbert B, Roelandt R, De Medts J, Gevaert K, Declercq W, Vandenabeele P (2011) cIAP1/2 are direct E3 ligases conjugating diverse types of ubiquitin chains to receptor interacting proteins kinases 1 to 4 (RIP1-4). PLoS One. 6: e22356.
- Bier E (2005) *Drosophila*, the golden bug, emerges as a tool for human genetics. Nat. Rev. Genet. 6: 9-23.
- Blázquez MA, Lagunas R, Gancedo C, Gancedo JM (1993) Trehalose-6-phosphate, a new regulator of yeast glycolysis that inhibits hexokinases. FEBS Lett. 329: 51-54.
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL (2009) BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinfomatics 10: 421-430.
- Carlson BA, Yoo MH, Tobe R, Mueller C, Naranjo-Suarez S, Hoffmann VJ, Gladyshev VN, Hatfield DL (2012) Thioredoxin reductase 1 protects against chemically induced hepatocarcinogenesis via control of cellular redox homeostasis. Carcinogenesis 33: 1806-1813.
- Castellano S, Morozova N, Morey M, Berry MJ, Serras F, Corominas M, Guigo R (2001) *In silico* identification of novel selenoproteins in the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome. EMBO Rep. 2: 697-702.
- Diana A, Michielin O, Zoete V (2017). SwissADME: a free web tool to evaluate pharmacokinetics, druglikeness and medicinal chemistry friendliness of small molecules. Sci. Rep. 7: 42717.
- Diana A, Michielin O, Zoete V (2019). SwissTargetPrediction: updated data and new features for efficient prediction of protein targets of small molecules. Nucleic Acids Res. 47: W357-W364.
- Dickiy A, Novoselov SV, Fomenko DE, Sengupta A, Carlson BA, Cerny RL, Ginalski K, Grishin NV, Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN (2007) SelT, SelW, SelH, and Rdx12: genomics and molecular insights into the functions of selenoproteins of a novel thioredoxin-like family. Biochemistry 46: 6871-6882.
- Ding M, Zhao J, Bowman L, Lu Y, Shi X (2010) Inhibition of AP-1 and MAPK signaling and activation of Nrf2/ARE pathway by quercitrin. Int. J. Oncol. 36: 59-67.
- Durak I, Cetin R, Devrim E, Erguder IB (2005) Effects of black grape extract on activities of DNA turn-over enzymes in cancerous and non-cancerous human colon tissues. Life Sci. 76: 2995-3000.
- Enzo E, Santinon G, Pocaterra A, Aragona M, Bresolin S, Forcato M, Grifoni D, Pession A, Zanconato F, Guzzo G, Bicciato S, Dupont S (2015) Aerobic glycolysis tunes YAP/TAZ transcriptional activity. EMBO J. 34: 1349-1370.
- Fatoki TH, Awofisayo OA, Ogunyewo OA, Ugboko HU, Sanni DM (2018a) Impacts of analogy and dimerization of bioactive compounds on molecular biological functions. J. Adv. Med. Pharm. Sci. 19: 45211.
- Fatoki TH, Sanni DM, Momodu DU, Ugboko HU, Adeseko CJ, Faleye BC (2018b) Evaluation of empirical functions and fate of isomaltose. J. Appl. Life Sci. Int. 16: 9370.
- Gladyshev VN, Arnér ES, Berry MJ, Brigelius-Flohé R, Bruford EA (2016) Selenoprotein gene nomenclature. J. Biol. Chem. 291: 24036-24040.

- Hatfield DL, Tsuji PA, Carlson BA, Gladyshev VN (2014) Selenium and selenocysteine: roles in cancer, health and development. Trends Biochem. Sci. 39: 112-120.
- Herbette S, Roeckel-Drevet P, Drevet JR (2007) Selenoindependent glutathione peroxidases. More than simple antioxidant scavengers. FEBS J. 274: 2163-2180.
- Hirosawa-Takamori M, Jackle H, Vorbruggen G (2000) The class 2 selenophosphate synthetase gene of *Drosophila* contains a functional mammalian-type SECIS. EMBO Rep. 1: 441-446.
- Hwa JS, Kim HJ, Goo BM, Park HJ, Kim CW, Chung KH, Park HC (2006) The expression of ketohexokinase is diminished in human clear cell type of renal cell carcinoma. Proteomics 6: 1077-1084.
- Jelnes JE (1971) Identification of hexokinases and localisation of a fructokinase and a tetrazolium oxidase locus in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Hereditas 67: 291-293.
- Kansanen E, Kuosmanen SM, Leinonen H, Levonen AL (2013) The Keap1-Nrf2 pathway: Mechanisms of activation and dysregulation in cancer. Redox Biol. 1: 45-49.
- Kar P, Laight D, Rooprai HK, Shaw KM, Cummings M (2009) Effects of grape seed extract in Type 2 diabetic subjects at high cardiovascular risk: a double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial examining metabolic markers, vascular tone, inflammation, oxidative stress and insulin sensitivity. Diabetic Med. 26: 526-531.
- Kryukov GV, Castellano S, Novoselov SV, Lobanov AV, Zehtab, O, Guigó R, Gladyshev VN (2003) Characterization of mammalian selenoproteomes. Science 300: 1439-1443.
- Li X, Jiang Y, Meisenhelder J, Yang W, Hawke DH, Zheng Y, Xia Y, Aldape K (2016a) Mitochondria-translocated PGK1 functions as a protein kinase to coordinate glycolysis and the TCA cycle in tumorigenesis. Mol. Cell. 61: 705-719.
- Li X, Qian X, Peng LX, Jiang Y, Hawke DH, Zheng Y, Xia Y (2016b) A splicing switch from ketohexokinase-C to ketohexokinase-A drives hepatocellular carcinoma formation. Nat. Cell. Biol. 18: 561-571.
- Li X, Qian X, Lu Z (2016c) Fructokinase A acts as a protein kinase to promote nucleotide synthesis. Cell Cycle 15: 2689-2690.
- Lobanov AV, Fomenko DE, Zhang Y, Sengupta A, Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN (2007) Evolutionary dynamics of eukaryotic selenoproteomes: large selenoproteomes may associate with aquatic life and small with terrestrial life. Genome Biol. 8: R198.
- Madhavan K, Fox DJ, Ursprung H (1972) Developmental genetics of hexokinase isoenzymes in *Drosophila melanogaster*. J. Insect Physiol. 18: 1523-1530.
 Marchler-Bauer A, Bo Y, Han L, He J, Lanczycki CJ, Lu S, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Geer RC (2017) CDD/SPARCLE: functional classification of proteins via subfamily domain architectures. Nucleic Acids Res. 45: 200-203.
- Martin-Romero FJ, Kryukov GV, Lobanov AV, Carlson BA, Lee BJ, Gladyshev VN, Hatfield DL (2001) Selenium

metabolism in *Drosophila*: selenoproteins, selenoprotein mRNA expression, fertility, and mortality. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 29798-29804.

- Moghadaszadeh B, Beggs AH (2006) Selenoproteins and their impact on human health through diverse physiological pathways. Physiology 21: 307-315.
- Morey M, Serras F, Baguna J, Hafen E, Corominas M (2001) Modulation of the Ras/MAPK signalling pathway by the redox function of selenoproteins in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Dev. Biol. 238: 145-156.
- Morozova N, Forry EP, Shahid E, Zavacki AM, Harney JW, Kraytsberg Y, Berry MJ (2003) Antioxidant function of a novel selenoprotein in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genes Cells 8: 963-971.
- Novoselov SV, Kryukov GV, Xu XM, Carlson BA, Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN (2007) Selenoprotein H is a nucleolar thioredoxin-like protein with a unique expression pattern. J. Biol. Chem. 282: 11960-11968.
- Pan D (2010) The Hippo signaling pathway in development and cancer. Dev. Cell. 19: 491-505.
- Rederstorff M, Krol A, Lescure A (2006) Understanding the importance of selenium and selenoproteins in muscle function. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 63: 52-59.
- Remmert M, Biegert A, Hauser A, Söding J (2012) HHblits: lightning-fast iterative protein sequence searching by HMM-HMM alignment. Nat. Methods 9: 173-175.
- Saraiva MA, Ávila ER, da Silva GF, Macedo GE (2018) Exposure of *Drosophila melanogaster* to Mancozeb induces oxidative damage and modulates Nrf2 and HSP70/83. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2018: 5456928.
- Shibata T, Ohta T, Tong KI, Kokubu A, Odogawa R, Tsuta K, Asamura H, Yamamoto M, Hirohashi S (2008) Cancer related mutations in NRF2 impair its recognition by Keap1-Cul3 E3 ligase and promote malignancy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 105: 13568-13573.
- Shrotriya S, Deep G, Gu M, Kaur M, Jain AK, Inturi S, Agarwal R, Agarwal C (2012) Generation Of reactive oxygen species by grape seed extract causes irreparable DNA Damage leading to G2/M Arrest and apoptosis selectively in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells. Carcinogenesis 33: 848-858.
- Singh A, Boldin-Adamsky S, Thimmulappa RK, Rath SK, Ashush H, Coulter J, Blackford A, Goodman SN, Bunz F, Watson WH (2008) RNAi-mediated silencing of nuclear factor erythroid-2-related factor 2 gene expression in nonsmall cell lung cancer inhibits tumor growth and increases efficacy of chemotherapy. Cancer Res. 68: 7975-7984
- Speicher T, Foehrenbacher A, Pochic I, Weiland T, Wendel A (2010) Malignant but not naïve hepatocytes of human and rodent origin are killed by TNF after metabolic depletion of ATP by fructose. J. Hepatol. 53: 896-902.
- Stagos D, Kazantzoglou G, Magiatis P, Mitaku S, Anagnostopoulos K, Kouretas D (2005) Effects of plant phenolics and grape extracts from Greek varieties of *Vitis vinifera* on Mitomycin C and topoisomerase I-induced nicking of DNA. Int. J. Mol. Med. 15: 1013-1022.
- Sun QA, Kirnarsky L, Sherman S, Gladyshev VN (2001) Selenoprotein oxidoreductase with specificity for thioredoxin and glutathione systems. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 3673-3678.

- Sun T, Chen QY, Wu LJ, Yao XM, Sun XJ (2012) Antitumor And antimetastatic activities of grape skin polyphenols in a murine model of breast cancer. Food Chem. Toxicol. 50: 3462-3467.
- Thevelein, JM, Hohmann S (1995) Trehalose synthase: Guard to the gate of glycolysis in yeast? Trends Biochem. Sci. 20: 3-10.
- Thundimadathil J (2012). Cancer treatment using peptides: current therapies and future prospects. J. Amino Acids 2012: 967347
- Tu J, Zhang X, Zhu Y, Dai Y, Li N, Yang F, Zhang Q, Brann DW, Wang X (2015) Cell-permeable peptide targeting the Nrf2–Keap1 interaction: A potential novel therapy for global cerebral ischemia. J. Neurosci. 35: 14727-14739.
- Tyagi A, Kapoor P, Kumar R, Chaudhary K, Gautam A, Raghava GPS (2013) *In Silico* models for designing and discovering novel anticancer peptides. Sci. Rep. 3: 2984.
- van Can JGP, van Loon LJC, Brouns F, Blaak EE (2012) Reduced glycaemic and insulinaemic responses following trehalose and isomaltulose ingestion: implications for postprandial substrate use in impaired glucose-tolerant subjects. Br. J. Nutr. 108: 1210-1217.
- Vicente RL, Spina L, Gómez JPL, Dejean S, Parrou J, François JM (2018) Trehalose-6-phosphate promotes fermentation and glucose repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Microb. Cell. 5: 444-459.
- Voelker RA, Langley CH, Leigh-Brown AJ, Ohnishi, S (1978) New data on allozyme loci in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Drosoph. Inform. Serv. 53: 200.
- Wang W, Xiao ZD, Li X, Aziz KE, Gan B, Johnson RL (2015) AMPK modulates Hippo pathway activity to regulate energy homeostasis. Nat. Cell Biol. 17: 490-499.
- Warr CG, Shaw KH, Azim A, Piper MDW, Parsons LM (2018) Using mouse and *Drosophila* models to investigate the mechanistic links between diet, obesity, Type II diabetes, and cancer. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19: 4110.
- Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, Studer G, Tauriello G, Gumienny R, Heer FT, de Beer, TAP, Rempfer C, Bordoli L, Lepore R, Schwede T (2018) SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. Nucleic Acids Res. 46: W296-W303.
- Wei L, Xing P, Su R, Shi G, Ma Z, Zou Q (2017) CPPred-RF: a sequence-based predictor for identifying cellpenetrating peptides and their uptake efficiency. J. Proteome Res. 16: 2044-2053.
- Xu D, Li X, Shao F, Lv G, Lv H, Lee JH, Qian X, Wang Z, Xia Y, Du L, Zheng Y, Wang H, Lyu J, Lu Z (2019) The protein kinase activity of fructokinase A specifies the antioxidant responses of tumor cells by phosphorylating p62. Sci. Adv. 5: eaav4570.
- Yin M, Zhang L (2011) Hippo signaling: A hub of growth control, tumor suppression and pluripotency maintenance. J. Genet. Genom. 38:471-481.
- Yoo MH, Xu XM, Carlson BA, Gladyshev VN, Hatfield DL (2006) Thioredoxin reductase 1 deficiency reverses tumor phenotype and tumorigenicity of lung carcinoma cells. J. Biol. Chem. 281: 13005-13008.

- Yoshizane C, Mizote A, Yamada M, Arai N, Arai S, Maruta K (2017) Glycemic, insulinemic and incretin responses after oral trehalose ingestion in healthy subjects. Nutr. J. 16: 9.
- Zhang L, Yue T, Jiang J (2009) Hippo signaling pathway and organ size control. Fly 3: 68-73.
- Zhou K, Raffoul JJ (2012). Potential anticancer properties of grape antioxidants. J. Oncol. 2012: 803294.
- Zhuo P, Diamond AM (2009) Molecular mechanisms by which selenoproteins affect cancer risk and progression. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1790: 1546-1554.