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#### Abstract

This paper describes a modeling and designing of inertial sensor using Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) to measure the position and orientation of a vehicle motion. Sensor modeling is used to derive the vehicle attitude models where the sensor is attached while the sensor design is used to obtain the data as the input to control the angles of a pan-tilt mechanism with 2 degrees of freedom. Inertial sensor Phidget Spatial $3 / 3 / 3$, which is a combination of 3 -axis gyroscope, 3 -axis accelerometer and 3 -axis magnetometer, is used as the research object. Software for reading the sensor was made by using Matlab ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$. The result shows that the software can be applied to the sensor in the real-time reading process. The sensor readings should consider several things i.e. (a) sampling time should not be less than 32 ms and (b) deviation ratio between measurement noise (r) and process noise ( q ) for the parameters of Kalman filter is $1: 5$ (i.e. $\mathrm{r}=0.08$ and $\mathrm{q}=0.4$ ).
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Two degrees of freedom pan-tilt mechanism requires orientation information of its platform due to movement of the vehicle in which it is fixed [1]. The orientation data can be read by an Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU). In this paper IMU is positioned as a part of Attitude and Heading References System (AHRS) and Inertial Navigation System (INS) as shown in Figure 1 [2]. AHRS can be used in a various applications such as land vehicles (e.g. cars, trains, and mobile robots), ships, and aircraft (eg.UAV [3]). Attitude modeling process in fact is quite complicated due to the error of sensor data which leads/causes the error accumulation in the calculation [2].

Advanced technology has developed a high precision and reliable IMU sensor using micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS). An advanced IMU is constructed with a combination of the three coordinate axis orientation complementary, where each axis consists of gyroscope (angular rate), accelerometer

[^0](acceleration), and magnetometer (Flux) [4]. Attitude or orientation can be represented by a three-way, i.e. (a) roll, pitch and yaw (RPY) or bank, elevation, and heading (BEH) with Euler angle ZYX method [5], (b) direction Cosine Matrix, and (c) Quaternion. The advantages and disadvantages of the three methods of representation are discussed in detail by Nguyen [6]. Euler angle is very intuitive and is widespread used, but has the disadvantage that it will have a singularity if the angle closes to $90^{\circ}$ [3, 6-7].

There are two approaches that are commonly used for attitude calculation. The first (relative attitude) is the attitude calculated based on inertial mechanization which uses discrete time integral of the rotational velocity measurements using data from 3 -axis gyroscope. Angle resulting from this approach is roll, pitch and yaw (RPY). In this case, the determination of attitude does not depend on the value of the previous measurements and will always have a drift, for it requires correction compensation continuously. The second (absolute attitude) is calculated based on recent measurements of the data from accelerometer and magnetometer, using analytic


Figure 1. INS components
geometry. Angle resulting from this approach is the bank, elevation, and heading (BEH). Bank and elevation are obtained from gravity vector measured by accelerometer, while heading is calculated from magnetometer and bankelevation angle [3, 7]. Orientation of the magnetic field must mathematically be rotated to the horizontal plane. If it is not rotated to the horizontal plane heading calculation would result in a considerable error [8].

The most fundamental problem in IMU sensor is noise in the form of white noise [9] which can lead to inaccuracy and imprecision of attitude calculation. Methods to minimize the noise using a Kalman filter is discussed by Cheng [10]. Kalman filter is a very effective estimator for dynamics state estimation of complex systems, particularly linear dynamic discrete systems involving process noise and measurement noise. Implementation of Phidget Spatial 3/3/3 [9] has been discussed by Agarwal [11] and Andersson [12], but they did not mention sensor performance issues in detail.

This paper provides two important things. The first is description of a simple and systematic attitude computation model so that it can be used directly to calculate absolute attitude and relative attitude as an input for controlling joint angles of a pan-tilt mechanism. Relation of coordinate systems between the pan-tilt mechanism and attitude RPY/BEH is shown in Figure 2 [1]. The second is explanation of application of IMU Phidget Spatial $3 / 3 / 3$ by creating its own software using Matlab ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ which works in realtime manner.

## II. Modeling

## A. Sensor Model

Model of angular rate, acceleration, and flux is used to obtain estimated value of relative attitude (roll, pitch, and yaw/RPY), absolute attitude (bank, elevation, and heading/BEH), position and velocity. Angular rate is modeled as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\omega_{g}-b_{g}-n_{g} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Relation of coordinate systems
Where $\omega_{g}$ is angular rate from gyroscope, $b_{g}$ is the gyroscope bias, $n_{g}$ is noise from the gyroscope measurement.

Acceleration is modeled as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{a}-n_{a} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $a_{a}$ is acceleration, $n_{a}$ is noise from the accelerometer measurement.

Flux is modeled as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=m_{m}-i_{m}-n_{m} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $m_{m}$ is the magnetic field vector derived from the magnetometer, $i_{m}$ is the magnetic field vector caused by vehicles interference, and $n_{m}$ is the noise of the magnetometer measurement.

Based on the three models of the sensor, the noise ( $n_{g}, n_{a}$, and $n_{m}$ ) can be minimized by the use of a Kalman filter, the bias $\left(b_{g}\right)$ can be eliminated by static calibration process, while interference ( $i_{m}$ ) can be considered as zero if it is assumed that the magnetometer is isolated from vector magnetic field generated by the vehicle.

## B. AHRS Model

AHRS is a combination of three separate models derived through geometrical approach of the measurement sensors (gyroscope, accelerometer, and magnetometer) as shown in Figure 3. In the figure, it can be seen that the AHRS is broken down into three models: (1) the model of relative attitude consisting roll, pitch, and yaw (RPY) angles from the gyroscope, (2) the model of absolute attitude which consists of bank, elevation, and heading (BEH) angles from the accelerometer and magnetometer, and (3) the model of linear position and linear velocity from the accelerometer. It should be noted that prior to derivation of the three models described above, the difference between the sensor coordinate and global reference should be adjusted as shown in Figure 4. Notation of the two coordinates are adjusted to obtain $X_{r}=X, Y_{r}=Z$, and $Z_{r}=$ $-Y$.


Figure 3. AHRS modelling principle

## 1) Relative Attitude Model from Gyroscope Data

The relative attitude model was set up to obtain the roll, pitch, and yaw (RPY) angles. Gyroscope sensor output ( $\omega$ ) gives a value that states the amount of angular velocity. Angle ( $\theta$ ) of the sensor output can be calculated by integration. The relationship between angular rate and angle signals are given below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta(t)=\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} \omega(t) d t  \tag{4}\\
& \frac{d \theta(t)}{d t}=\omega(t) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

In discrete form, the above equation can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\theta_{k+1}-\theta_{k}}{\Delta T}=\omega_{k}  \tag{6}\\
& \theta_{k+1}=\theta_{k}+\omega_{k} \Delta T \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\theta_{k}$ is the current time angle, $\theta_{k+1}$ is calculated angle, $\omega_{k}$ is angular rate (gyroscope data), and $\Delta T$ is the current time $t_{k}$ minus the previous time $t_{k-1}$.

## 2) Absolute Attitude Model from Accelerometer and Magnetometer Data

The absolute attitude model was derived to obtain bank, elevation, and heading angles [13]. The angles formed by rotation along X -axis and Y-axis were calculated from the accelerometer data by assumption that $Z^{\prime} \approx 1$ as shown in Figure


Figure 4. Equalization of global reference coordinates with the sensor coordinate
5. If Z coordinates of the sensors is turned up the speed on Z-axis will become negative $\left(A_{z}<0\right)$ so that $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ turn to negative. Rotation matrix formed by the Euler angles can be calculated by substitution of $\alpha$ and $\gamma$, where $\alpha=\sin ^{-1}\left(A_{x}\right)$ and $\gamma$ $=\sin ^{-1}\left(A_{y}\right)$. Assuming that the magnetometer faces north or bearing ( $\beta=0$ ) then the following equation can be derived.

$$
\mathrm{R}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c(\alpha) & -s(\alpha) c(\gamma) & s(\alpha) s(\gamma)  \tag{8}\\
s(\alpha) & c(\alpha) c(\gamma) & -c(\alpha) s(\gamma) \\
0 & s(\gamma) & c(\gamma)
\end{array}\right]
$$

In the implementation, acceleration [ax, $a y, a z$ ] and flux [ $m x, m y, m z$ ] should be normalized to ensure that the resulted vector is worth one. They are normalized as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{x}=\frac{a_{x}}{a} ; A_{y}=\frac{a_{y}}{a} ; A_{z}=\frac{a_{z}}{a}  \tag{9}\\
& M_{x}=\frac{m_{x}}{m} ; M_{y}=\frac{m_{y}}{m} ; M_{z}=\frac{m_{z}}{m} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Where

$$
a=\sqrt{a_{x}^{2}+a_{y}^{2}+a_{z}^{2}} ; m=\sqrt{m_{x}^{2}+m_{y}^{2}+m_{z}^{2}}
$$

By adjusting the magnetic field coordinates from sensor to coordinates as shown in Figure 4 then $m_{f x}=M_{x}, m_{f y}=M_{z}$, and $m_{f z}=-M_{y}$. In vector form, they are expressed as below:

$$
m_{v e k}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
m_{f x} & m_{f y} & m_{f z} \tag{11}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The magnetic field vector in the above equation is then transformed to eliminate the influence of rotation that occurs through the following equation:

$$
V_{m}=m_{v e k} R=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
X_{r} & Y_{r} & Z_{r} \tag{12}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where:

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{r}= & m_{f x} c(\alpha)+m_{f y} s(\alpha)  \tag{13}\\
Y_{r}= & -m_{f x} s(\alpha) c(\gamma)+m_{f y} c(\alpha) c(\gamma)+ \\
& m_{f z} s(\gamma)  \tag{14}\\
Z_{r}= & m_{f x} s(\alpha) s(\gamma)-m_{f y} c(\alpha) s(\gamma)+ \\
& m_{f z} c(\gamma) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$



The above equation describes components of X and Y at global reference coordinates that are rotated along X -axis and along Z -axis as shown in Figure 6. If the coordinates are adjusted back to the global reference coordinate we obtain $X_{h}=$ $-Z_{r}, Y_{h}=-X_{r}$, and $Z_{h}=Y_{r}$. On this basis, $X_{h}$ and $Y_{h}$ are given by the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{h}= & -m_{x} s(\alpha) s(\gamma)+m_{y} c(\alpha) s(\gamma)- \\
& m_{z} c(\gamma)  \tag{16}\\
Y_{h}= & -m_{x} c(\alpha)-m_{y} s(\alpha) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

$X_{h}$ and $Y_{h}$ components in the above equation are used to calculate the north or Bearing $(\beta)$ of the coordinates of the earth as shown in Figure 7. $X_{h}$ and $Y_{h}$ are calculated using arctan so the quadrant of the two components must be considered. The two components are subject to provision shown in Table 1. At the time $Z_{h}$

Table 1.
Quadrant rules for $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{h}}$ components [8]

| Condition | Absolute value $(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\left(X_{h}<0\right)$ | $\pi-\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{Y h}{X h}\right)$ |
| $\left(X_{h}>0 \& Y_{h}<0\right)$ | $-\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{Y h}{X h}\right)$ |
| $\left(X_{h}>0 \& Y_{h}>0\right)$ | $2 \pi-\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{Y h}{X h}\right)$ |
| $\left(X_{h}=0 \& Y_{h}<0\right)$ | $\pi / 2$ |
| $\left(X_{h}=0 \& Y_{h}>0\right)$ | $3 \pi / 2$ |


coordinate changes in the opposite direction on Z-axis, acceleration will be negative ( $A_{z}<0$ ). In this case, $\beta$ is the absolute value of $(\beta-2 \pi)$. All stages, the value that has been obtained are converted into a unit of degrees as the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Heading, } \beta_{k}=\beta\left(\frac{180}{\pi}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& \text { Elevation, } \alpha_{k}=\alpha\left(\frac{180}{\pi}\right)  \tag{19}\\
& \text { Bank, } \gamma_{k}=\gamma\left(\frac{180}{\pi}\right) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3) The Position and Velocity Model from Accelerometer Data

Linear velocity is derived through integration process of acceleration data that can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{V}=\int(\vec{A}) d t \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{A}$ is the acceleration vector ( $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{A}_{z}$ ) after normalization.

The position is obtained by double integration of the acceleration data.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{S}}=\int\left(\int(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{A}}) \mathrm{dt}\right) \mathrm{dt} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before performing the integral, the acceleration coordinate must be adjusted to the sensor coordinates as Figure 4. In addition, the acceleration must be transformed in order to remain on the field of play in a horizontal plane


Figure 7. Coordinate of Xh and Yh components


Figure 8. Pan-tilt mechanism control system
through the process of multiplying the acceleration data with rotation matrix as follows:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{x} & A_{y} & A_{z}
\end{array}\right] \cdot R=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{x 2} & A_{y 2} & A_{z 2} \tag{23}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{x 2}= & A_{x} c(\alpha)+A_{y} s(\alpha)  \tag{24}\\
A_{y 2}= & -A_{x} s(\alpha) c(\gamma)+A_{y} c(\alpha) c(\gamma)+ \\
& A_{z} s(\gamma)  \tag{25}\\
A_{z 2}= & A_{x} s(\alpha) s(\gamma)-A_{y} c(\alpha) s(\gamma)+ \\
& A_{z} c(\gamma) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

## III. Hardware and Software

The complete structure of pan-tilt mechanism control system is shown in Figure 8. In this section, the hardware is presented focusing only on IMU sensor, while the software described is only associated with data reading.

## A. Hardware

The IMU sensor used in this research is Phidget Spatial 3/3/3 [9]. It consists of a combination of three sensors, those are: 3-axis accelerometer, 3 -axis gyroscope, and 3 -axis magnetometer. The electronic component of the
sensor physically consists of several integrated circuits (ICs) collected in one electronic module as shown in Figure 9. Specifications of the sensor are listed in Table 2.

## B. Software

In this paper a software has been developed using Matlab ${ }^{\text {tM }}$ to read measurement data from sensor Phidget Spatial 3/3/3. Figure 10 shows the flowchart of the software. Appropriate sampling time was determined by trial and error through experiment. Figure 11 depicts effect of sampling time on the magnetometer sensor (Z-axis). Figure 11(a) shows an example that sampling time less than 32 ms (e.g. 16 ms ) caused mall-function of the magnetometer sensor reading. Figure 11(b) shows experiment result using sampling time of 32ms. Experiment result using sampling time above 32 ms demonstrate to some extent the similar results. Therefore, in this paper 32 ms is selected as the sampling time.

The hardware connection greatly influences the program operation; therefore correct understanding of phidget library is necessary. The software reads data from the sensor and displays some information including: the three


Figure 9. Top view of Phidget Spatial 3/3/3 sensor

Table 2.
Specifications of Phidget Spatial 3/3/3 [9]

| Characteristics | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gyroscope | $\pm 400 \% / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Measurement Max | $0,02 \% \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Resolution | $4 \% \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Drift | $\pm 4 \mathrm{Gauss}$ |
| Compass | $400 \mu \mathrm{G}$ |
| Measurement Max | $2^{\circ}$ |
| Resolution | $\pm 5 \mathrm{~g}\left(49 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$ |
| Offset from North | $228 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Accelerometer | 2 mg |
| Measurement Max | 110 Hz |
| Resolution | $300 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Error Through Rotation | $300 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Bandwidth | $500 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Axis 0 Noise Level (X-Axis) |  |
| Axis 1 Noise Level (Y-Axis) |  |
| Axis 2 Noise Level (Z-Axis) | $4 \mathrm{~ms}-1000 \mathrm{~ms}$ |
| Board | $4,75-5,25 \mathrm{VDC}$ |
| Sampling Speed | 45 mA |
| USB Voltage | Full speed (12Mbit) |
| Current Consumption Max | $0-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| USB Speed |  |
| Operating Temperature |  |

sensors measurement data, and calculation results of both models. Output of model 3 (velocity and position) is ignored because it is useless for the pan-tilt mechanism to compensate the disruption of the platform.

## IV. Experiment and Analysis

## A. Sensor Data Measurement

The measurements were conducted by adjusting the sensor at stop position (kept in a state of rest). Figure 12 shows the results of the reading of the accelerometer, gyroscope, and magnetometer sensors. The signals in the figure show that the raw data obtained from the sensor contains noise. The detail of noise reduction will be discussed in the next section.

The most common noise in the IMU sensor is in the form of white noise [9], so that it can be analyzed using standard deviation and average

(a)


Figure 10. Phidget Spatial 3/3/3 software flowchart
value. Table 3 shows the analysis result. Standard deviation value of the accelerometer and magnetometer sensor is smaller than the gyroscope sensor.

## B. Attitude Computation using Kalman Filter

A Kalman filter was designed through computer simulation using Matlab. The Kalman filter is used to minimize the noise effect. Figure 13 shows an illustration of a Kalman filter formulation. The detail formula was described by Welch and Bishop [14].

The measurement noise covariance (R) was obtained from the direct measurement when the sensor was positioned stationary (not moving). In this paper, R is the square of the standard deviation values of the measurement noise (r). The presented data in this section is the

(b)

Figure 11. Effect of sampling time on the magnetometer sensor data (Z-axis): (a) Sampling time 16 ms ; (b) Sampling time 32 ms


Figure 12. Measurement of X-axis: (a) Gyroscope; (b) Accelerometer; (c) Magnetometer
gyroscope sensor data that has been stored in the form of text. It was selected for Kalman filter design due to the larger standard deviation than the accelerometer and magnetometer as shown in Table 3.

The parameters set in the simulation are standard deviation values of the process noise (q) and the measurement noise (r). The selected noise measurement value was $0.08 \%$. The estimated standard deviation of the process noise (q) is used to obtain the value of the process noise covariance ( Q ). The q value was set half of standard deviation of the measurement noise(r). The combination of parameters for the simulation can be seen in Table 4 and Table 5.

The simulation results of the three parameter combinations are shown in Figure 14. It can be seen that the greater the value of q the better the Kalman filter estimates the actual movement,

Table 3.
Standard deviation and average value of the sensor data measurements

| Sensor | X axis | Y axis | Z axis |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gyroscope (\%s) |  |  |  |
| Mean | -0.0104 | 0.0042 | -0.0054 |
| Standard deviation | 0.0881 | 0.0880 | 0.0741 |
| Accelerometer (g) |  |  |  |
| Mean | -0.0538 | 0.0126 | 0.9985 |
| Standard deviation | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 |
| Magnetometer (G) |  |  |  |
| Mean | -0.8170 | -0.1382 | 0.5598 |
| Standard deviation | 0.0006 | 0.0010 | 0.0009 |

while the smaller the value of q the worst the Kalman filter estimate the actual movement. In contrast to the variation in the value of q , the value of $r$ indicates that the smaller value of $r$ makes the Kalman filter estimate the better actual movement, while the greater the value of r makes the Kalman filter estimate the worse actual movement. It can be seen that Figure 14(c) shows the better performance compared to Figure 14(a) and Figure 14(b). Based on these results the ideal ratio between the deviation of the measurement noise ( r ) and the deviation of process noise ( q ) is about $1: 5$ ( $\mathrm{r}=0.08$ and $\mathrm{q}=0.4$ ) or ( $\mathrm{r}=0.008$ and $\mathrm{q}=0.04$ ). Based on this result, in this paper $\mathrm{r}=$ 0.08 and $\mathrm{q}=0.4$ are chosen.

Figure 15 and Figure 16 show the results of measurements of the relative attitude and absolute attitude calculation. Sensor data used to generate the attitude has been filtered through the Kalman filter. Noise in gyroscope sensor affects the results of integration, so that the calculated RPY angles accumulate error and continuously drift, when the sensor is in a state of rest.


Figure 13. Operation of a Kalman filter [14]

Table 4.
Variations of the process noise (q)

| No | Combination <br> ofq | Process <br> noise (q) | Measurement <br> noise $(\mathbf{r})$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{q} / 10$ | 0.004 | 0.08 |
| 2 | q (estimation) | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{q}^{*} 10$ | 0.4 | 0.08 |

Table 5.
Variations of the measurement noise (r)

| No | Combination <br> of $\mathbf{r}$ | Process <br> noise (q) | Measurement <br> noise $(\mathbf{r})$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{r} / 10$ | 0.04 | 0.008 |
| 2 | r (estimation) | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{r}^{*} 10$ | 0.04 | 0.8 |

The drift can be observed in Table 6. Drift accumulation was successfully minimized by the Kalman filter algorithm. The drift in the table (roll $=0.6^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$, pitch $=0.2^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$, and yaw $=$ $0.3 \% \mathrm{~min}$ ) is smaller than the original specification from the manufacturer, $4 \% \mathrm{~min}$ in average, as shown in the specifications for gyroscope sensors in Table 2. The noise analysis result of the calculated absolute attitude shown in Figure 16 is listed in Table 7. The absolute


Figure 14. Optimization of the angular rate when the sensor is not actuated

Table 6.
Drift of relative attitude value

| RPY <br> angle | Slope ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  | }{} |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Initial value | Final value |  |
| roll | 0 | -0.5959 | 0.6 |
| pitch | 0 | 0.2410 | 0.2 |
| yaw | 0 | 0.3459 | 0.3 |

Table 7.
Standard deviation and mean value of the calculated absolute attitude

| BEH angle | Mean $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Standard deviation ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Bank | 0.7224 | 0.0066 |
| Elevation | 3.0845 | 0.0075 |
| Heading | -98.8103 | 0.0460 |

attitude in Table 7 has small standard deviation values. Thus, it can be said that the model 2 which calculates the absolute attitude using measurement data from the accelerometer and magnetometer works well.

## C. IMU Sensor Implementation

The modelled and designed IMU sensor has been tested on a crane. Figure 17 shows the application of the IMU on a crane. Desired information from the measurements data was to determine the extent of horizontal rotation (Pan) of the crane. As the crane worked according to sequence in Table 8, the data generated by the
Table 8.
Crane working sequence

| Time | Events |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 4 : 4 2}$ | Crane was lifting a motor wire moving from <br> West to North |
| $\mathbf{1 4 : 4 4}$ | Crane was placing the motor wire at North |
| $\mathbf{1 4 : 4 9}$ | Crane was lifting a box moving from West to <br> North |
| $\mathbf{1 4 : 5 2}$ | Crane was placing the box at North |
| $\mathbf{1 4 : 5 4}$ | Crane was lifting a generator moving from <br> North to South East |
| $\mathbf{1 4 : 5 8}$ | Crane was lifting the generator higher at South <br> East |
| $\mathbf{1 5 : 0 1}$ | Crane was placing the generator at North |
| $\mathbf{1 5 : 0 2}$ | Crane was rotating from North to South East, <br> then to North |
| $\mathbf{1 5 : 0 7}$ | Crane was lifting two small drums from North <br> to West |
| $\mathbf{1 5 : 0 9}$ | Crane was placing the drums at west |
| $\mathbf{1 5 : 1 3}$ | Crane was placing the boom to boom rest |
| $\mathbf{1 5 : 1 4}$ | Crane was lifting the boom from boom rest |
| $\mathbf{1 5 : 1 6}$ | Crane was lifting a motor from North to North <br> West |
| $\mathbf{1 5 : 1 6}$ | Crane was placing the motor at North West |
| $\mathbf{1 5 : 1 8}$ | Crane was placing the boom to boom rest |


(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 15. Calculated relative attitude: (a) X-axis; (b) Y-axis; and (c) the Z-axis

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 16. Calculated absolute attitude: (a) X-axis;(b) Y-axis; and (c) the Z-axis
IMU sensor was recorded. Angle values obtained in this trial do not exactly represent the actual values because were not measured with a calibrated comparison tool. This test was only to find out the sensor ability in open environment application.

The data generated in this trial can be seen in Figure 18. The figure shows that in general the developed IMU sensor can work well and can be used to measure the horizontal angle of the crane movement. The data possessed 'jump' which may be caused by metal interference and the rigidity of the crane itself.


Figure 17. IMU sensor implementation on a crane


Figure 18. Measurements of horizontal crane angle

## V. Conclusions

From the results of this research the following conclusions can be drawn. The modelling and design of the IMU sensor derived in this research could be implemented on the sensor Phidget Spatial $3 / 3 / 3$ to obtain position, velocity, and attitude of an object. The standard deviation of error that occurs in the gyroscope sensor at the X axis is $\pm 0.0881^{\circ}$, in the accelerometer at the X axis is $\pm 0.0003 \mathrm{~g}$, and in the magnetometer at the X axis is $\pm 0.0006 \mathrm{G}$. In this research, through simulation and experiment, the following appropriate values are obtained: (a) sampling time should not be less than 32 ms ; (b) comparison between the measurement noise (r) and the process noise (q) for the parameters of the Kalman filters is $1: 5$ with $\mathrm{r}=0.08$ and $\mathrm{q}=$ 0.4 .
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