# INTERACTION OF POINT DEFECTS IN PIEZOELECTRIC MATERIALS - NUMERICAL SIMULATION IN THE CONTEXT OF ELECTRIC FATIGUE 

Oliver Goy<br>Ralf Mueller<br>Dietmar Gross<br>Division of Mechanics, TU Darmstadt, Germany<br>e-mail: goy@mechanik.tu-darmstadt.de


#### Abstract

The paper is concerned with the problem of electric fatigue in functional materials such as piezoelectric sensors and actuators. The fatigue degrades electromechanical properties with an increasing number of working cycles during which ionic and electronic charge carriers interact with each other within the bulk and on the interfaces of a material. This, in turn, influences local electric and mechanical fields coupled via the piezoelectric effect. It is assumed in the paper that the electric charges (vacancies) can be modelled as point defects, which tend to agglomerate and finally form clusters, especially in the vicinity of electrodes. As a result, the piled up defects affect the distribution of polarisation. To solve the problem quantitatively, the analysed system is discretised with a grid of square cells and then transformed by FFT. Several numerical examples are formulated. Migration of a single defect, attraction of two and clustering of more point defects are thoroughly discussed and illustrated in the paper.
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## 1. Introduction

Ferroelectric materials occur in a wide field of applications and can be found in actuators, sensors and in electronics. A general description of ferroelectrics can be found in Xu (1990). Especially in the field of actuators there exists a demand for high precision and sustainability. A material has to function during a high number of loading cycles, which can lead to fatigue phenomena. Electric fatigue in functional materials encompasses a set of phenomena which result in degradation of material properties with an increasing number of electrical or mechanical cycles. Ionic and electronic charge carriers, later
modelled as point defects, interact with each other, with microstructural elements in the bulk and on the interfaces. This causes a change of both, the local electric and mechanical fields, which results from the electromechanical coupling. The main assumption at this stage is, that the point defects tend to agglomerate and to form clusters, especially in the vicinity of electrodes. As a result, the piled up defects could have an effect on the distribution of the polarisation.

Ferroelectrics change their polarisation and coupling behaviour by the domain switching mechanism, where a domain wall separating domains of different polarisations is moving through the material. Defects like the mentioned defect clusters are able to slow down or even stop the domain wall movement, which leads to a reduced ability of domain switching, so that the coupling effect gradually decreases. Further investigations on the role of point defects during the electric fatigue of lead zirconate titanate (PZT) have been made in Lupascu (2004) and Lupascu and Roedel (2005). The influence of defects on the mobility of domain walls has been simulated in Schrade et al. (2006). There, gadolinium molybdate (GMO) has been used to model macroscopic defects on the surface (holes in an electrode, lateral defects), and defects in the bulk (polarisation defect). As a main result, it can be stated that defects can significantly slow down or even stop the motion of domain walls, such that higher external electric fields are necessary to enforce further switching. The results are in good agreement with experimental observations.

In addition to the investigation of macroscopic defects, efforts will be made here to calculate the fields caused by point defects themselves, and to verify the parameters used. This can be regarded as the first step in getting a deeper insight in the mechanisms of clustering of point defects. Before considering more involved settings, an elementary investigation of a single defect and special configurations of two and three defects will be the topic of studies here. The analysis of those basic settings can provide a better understanding of complex situations.

The calculation can be done by different methods, numerical and analytical ones. Here, a combination of Difference Methods and Fast Fourier Transform is used to obtain a numerical solution. This provides a fast and flexible tool for simulations concerning the interaction of defects. Ferroelectrics often exhibit transversely isotropic or orthotropic properties. For calculations, a linear theory with the coupling will be used.

The interaction of point defects will be treated by means of material or configurational forces. More on that topic in non-coupled and coupled materials can be found e.g. in Gross et al. (2003), Gurtin (1995, 2000), Maugin (1993), Kienzler and Herrmann (2000). Configurational forces will be used in combination with thermodynamically admissible kinetic laws, to simulate the defect motion. The aim is to comprehend the defect agglomeration in ferroelectrics.

## 2. Basic equations

In the following, we consider a continuous body which occupies the domain $\mathcal{B}$ with the boundary $\partial \mathcal{B}$. When neglecting inertia terms, the symmetric Cauchy stress tensor $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ satisfies the equilibrium condition with volume forces $\boldsymbol{f}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}+\boldsymbol{f}=\mathbf{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The electric displacement $\boldsymbol{D}$ satisfies the electro static relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{D}=q \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ represents electric charges in the bulk. Considering small deformations, the infinitesimal strain tensor $\varepsilon$ consists of the symmetric part of the displacement gradient $\nabla \boldsymbol{u}$, while the electric field $\boldsymbol{E}$ is the negative gradient of its potential $\varphi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}+(\nabla \boldsymbol{u})^{\top}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{E}=-\nabla \varphi \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constitutive equations for the electromechanical coupled system read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\mathbb{C} \varepsilon^{e}-\mathbf{b}^{\top} \boldsymbol{E} \quad \boldsymbol{D}=\mathbb{l} \boldsymbol{b}^{e}+\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{E}+\boldsymbol{P}^{0} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon^{e}=\varepsilon-\varepsilon^{0}$ represents the elastic strain of the material. The elastic material behaviour is described by the stiffness tensor $\mathbb{C}$ which has the symmetry properties $\mathbb{C}_{i j k l}=\mathbb{C}_{k l i j}=\mathbb{C}_{j i k l}$. The electromechanical coupling is characterised by the piezoelectric tensor $\mathbb{b}$, where $\mathbb{b}_{i j k}=\mathbb{b}_{j i k}$ and $\left(\mathbb{b}^{\top}\right)_{i j k}=\mathbb{b}_{k i j}$, while the dielectric properties are given by $\mathbf{A}$ with $A_{i j}=A_{j i}$. All material tensors will be assumed to be constant with respect to $\boldsymbol{x}$. The irreversible quantities are the inelastic strain $\varepsilon^{0}$ and the remanent polarisation $\boldsymbol{P}^{0}$. The constitutive equations can be obtained from the electric enthalpy function

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(\varepsilon^{e}, \boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{x}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{e}:\left(\mathbb{C} \varepsilon^{e}\right)-\boldsymbol{E} \cdot\left(\mathbf{l} \varepsilon^{e}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{E} \cdot(\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{E})-\boldsymbol{P}^{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by differentiation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{D}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial \boldsymbol{E}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

With (2.4) and (2.3), equations (2.1) and (2.2) can be rewritten in an index notation for Cartesian coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{C}_{i j k l} u_{k, j l}+\mathbb{b}_{k i j} \varphi_{, k j}=\mathbb{C}_{i j k l} \varepsilon_{k l, j}^{0}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \mathbb{b}_{i j k} u_{i, j k}-A_{i j} \varphi_{, i j}=\mathbb{b}_{i j k} \varepsilon_{j k, i}^{0}+q
\end{align*}
$$

In (2.7), we have taken advantage of the symmetries of the material tensors and neglected the influence of volume forces and polarisation.

In this paper, the admitted boundary conditions on $\partial \mathcal{B}$ can be either uniform or periodic. First, the uniform conditions will be defined. The mechanical boundary conditions can be prescribed values for the displacement $\boldsymbol{u}$ or the traction vector $\boldsymbol{t}$ on the surface

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{u}^{0} & \text { on } & \partial \mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{n}=\boldsymbol{t}^{0} & \text { on } & \partial \mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{t}} \tag{2.8}
\end{array}
$$

For the electric quantities, the electric potential $\varphi$ or the surface charge $Q^{0}$ can be set to

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\varphi=\varphi^{0} & \text { on } & \partial \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}  \tag{2.9}\\
\boldsymbol{D n}=-Q^{0} & \text { on } & \partial \mathcal{B}_{Q}
\end{array}
$$

When considering periodic boundary conditions, the body $\mathcal{B}$ is a periodic cell, that is, it can be reproduced in two (plane problems), or three non-parallel directions. The displacement and potential fields can be continued periodically from one cell to another.

When considering the defect interaction, it is necessary to define configurational forces, also known as driving forces acting (except for the definition of the sign) on each defect. The energy-momentum tensor $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and the material forces $\boldsymbol{g}$ fulfil the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}+\boldsymbol{g}=\mathbf{0} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\Sigma}=H \mathbf{1}-(\nabla \boldsymbol{u})^{\top} \boldsymbol{\sigma}-\nabla \varphi \otimes \boldsymbol{D}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \boldsymbol{g}=-(\nabla \boldsymbol{u})^{\top} \boldsymbol{f}+\nabla \varphi q-\left.\frac{\partial H}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}\right|_{\mathrm{expl} .}+\boldsymbol{\sigma}: \nabla \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{0}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left.(\partial(\cdot) / \partial \boldsymbol{x})\right|_{\operatorname{expl}}$. denotes the explicit derivation with respect to $\boldsymbol{x}$, when all other variables are kept constant, see Gross et al. (2003).

## 3. Point defects

In a perfect crystal with an undisturbed lattice, all atoms are at perfect lattice sites. On the continuum level, this is modelled as a homogeneous medium. By creating vacancies or inserting foreign atoms, a disturbance in the strain
and charge state occurs. In continuum mechanics, this is modelled as a point defect with localised strain and charge concentration in its vicinity. For the mechanical defect, the assumption of an isotropic eigenstrain has been made

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i j}^{0}=\alpha \delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\eta}) \delta_{i j} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

but this could be easily expanded to anisotropic eigenstrains. In (3.1), a point defect located at the position $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is assumed. The only material parameter $\alpha$ depends on lattice parameters and can be either positive or negative. The positive sign represents a foreign atom too big for its lattice site, the negative one is a too small foreign atom or a vacancy. Similarly, free point charges at the point $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ are modelled with the parameter $\beta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\beta \delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\eta}) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the sign of $\beta$ determines the sign of the charge of the defect. In the case of a homogeneous material, where $H$ is not explicitly depending on $\boldsymbol{x}$, with absence of volume forces $\boldsymbol{f}$ and by using $(2.11)_{2}$, (3.1) and (3.2), the material forces on a point defect can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{G}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{V_{\eta}(r)}[\boldsymbol{\sigma}: \mathbf{1} \alpha \nabla \delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\eta})+\nabla \varphi \beta \delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\eta})] d V=  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\left.[-\alpha \nabla(\operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\sigma})+\beta \nabla \varphi]\right|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{\eta}}=-\left.\nabla \Lambda\right|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{\eta}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{\eta}(r)$ represents a volume with the radius $r$ and centre $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, which is contracted to a point. The field $\Lambda:=\alpha \operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\sigma}-\beta \varphi$ can be regarded as the force field of a defect. Putting a second defect into this field will cause migration along the direction of the field gradient if the kinetic law admits the diffusion. The second law of thermodynamics gives the following relation for the dissipation $\mathcal{D}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}=-\boldsymbol{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \geqslant 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{v}=\partial \boldsymbol{\eta} / \partial t$ is the velocity of the defect. With the simple law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{v}=-c \boldsymbol{G} \quad c=\text { const }>0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the defect migration will be simulated later in Section 6.2.
By considering (3.1) and (3.2), equations (3.6) read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{C}_{i j k l} u_{k, j l}+\mathbb{b}_{k i j} \varphi_{, k j}=\mathbb{C}_{i j k l} \delta_{k l} \alpha \delta_{, j}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\eta})  \tag{3.6}\\
& \mathbb{b}_{i j k} u_{i, j k}-A_{i j} \varphi_{, i j}=\mathbb{b}_{i j k} \delta_{j k} \alpha \delta_{, i}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\eta})+\beta \delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\eta})
\end{align*}
$$

In the case of periodic boundary conditions, the solution to Eqs. (3.6) is uniquely determined up to a constant value, which does not influence the periodicity of the results. The treatment of this constant will be described in Section 5.

An analytical solution for general anisotropic materials of the coupled set of elliptic differential equations (3.6) can not be given in a closed form. However, it is possible to derive integral representations of $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\varphi$ by means of Fourier- or Radon Transforms (also known as Plane Wave Transforms). For elastostatics, fundamental solutions and their derivatives can be found e.g. in Barnett (1972) or Vogel and Rizzo (1973). Application of this method to point defects in elastic materials can be found in Dobovšek (1998, 2000). With respect to the implementation in the Boundary Element Method (BEM) the reader is referred to Schclar (1994).

The advantage of integral or closed form solutions is that the considered body is infinite, so the boundaries have no influence on the solution. Another approach is a purely numerical one. The simplest method is a Finite Difference (FD) scheme on a rectangular mesh. Boundary conditions can be prescribed in the Dirichlet or Neumann form, or periodic conditions could be given. Another popular method is the Finite Element Method (FEM), where naturally the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions are prescribed. For details the reader is referred to Mueller et al. (2006). With some efforts, periodic conditions can be implemented, but this is not further investigated here.

The approach carried out in this paper is a combination of a FD scheme and the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT). The major disadvantage of FD schemes is a large system matrix, which has to be solved. Although it is a sparse matrix, many efforts are necessary to manage the allocating of memory for this operation, especially in 3D. Furthermore, it is very time consuming. To avoid these problems, the DFT is applied on the discretised set of equations. Afterwards, the derivatives can be arranged in a way, that for each grid point a small set of linear equations has to be solved in the Fourier transformation space, which has to be transformed back numerically.

## 4. Discrete Fourier Transformations

The Fourier-Transform $\widehat{f}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ of a function $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(f)=\widehat{f}(\boldsymbol{\xi})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}} d \boldsymbol{\xi} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. This transformation transfers the function $f(\boldsymbol{x})$, which is often real valued (but can also be complex) into the complex function $\widehat{f}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$.

In other words, the function $f$ is transformed from the so called signal domain into the frequency domain. The reverse operation is the inverse FourierTransform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{f})=f(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \widehat{f}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}} d \boldsymbol{\xi} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Fourier-Transform has some useful properties

- $\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}\right)=\mathrm{i} \xi_{j} \mathcal{F}(f)$
- $\mathcal{F}(\delta(\boldsymbol{x}))=1$
- $\mathcal{F}(a f+b g)=a \mathcal{F}(f)+b \mathcal{F}(g)$

When having only discrete values on a grid at hand, the continuous transform can be written in a discrete form, taking only the grid points into account. The integral over the infinite space has to be split up into sums over the grid points, so finite intervals $[0, a]$ and $[0, b]$ can be computed in the $x$ - and $y$-direction, respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{f}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \approx \widehat{f}(p, q)=\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(m, n) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} 2 \pi p m / M} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} 2 \pi q n / N} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p=0,1, \ldots, M-1, q=0,1, \ldots, N-1$.
In this context, a transformation in 2D is considered, where $p$ and $q$ are integer numbers indicating grid positions, and $M$ and $N$ are the total numbers of points in each direction. So, with the distance of the grid points $h_{x}=a /(M-1)$ and $h_{y}=b /(N-1)$, the position vector transforms to $\boldsymbol{x} \rightarrow\left[m h_{x}, n h_{y}\right]^{\top}$. The inverse transformation reads as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx f(m, n)=\frac{1}{M N} \sum_{p=0}^{M-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \widehat{f}(p, q) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi p m / M} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi q n / N} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m=0,1, \ldots, M-1, n=0,1, \ldots, N-1$.
It is in the nature of the DFT that the transformed function $f$ is periodic, so the result of (4.5) will be periodic in $m$ and $n$. Only quadratic domains will be considered, so $M=N$ and $h_{x}=h_{y}=h$. The properties of the discrete transformation used later are

- $\mathcal{F}\left(f_{m \pm 1, n}\right)=\widehat{f}_{p q} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} 2 \pi p / N}$
- $\mathcal{F}\left(f_{m, n \pm 1}\right)=\widehat{f}_{p q} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} 2 \pi q / N}$
where definitions $f_{m \pm 1, n}:=f(m \pm 1, n)$ and $\widehat{f}_{p q}:=\widehat{f}(p, q)$ have been used. The cost of a one-dimensional transform are $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2}\right)$ operations. This can be reduced to $\mathcal{O}\left(N \log _{2} N\right)$ operations by using the Fast-Fourier-Transform (FFT). For the examples in Section 6, the FFTW routines have been used, which are free available ${ }^{1}$. FFTW employs algorithms of the order $\mathcal{O}\left(N \log _{2} N\right)$ for all lengths of data vectors including prime numbers.

When discretising the domain, the space derivatives have to be substituted by difference formulas. The first derivatives of the real valued function $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ with respect to the coordinates $x_{i}$ are

$$
\nabla f:=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}  \tag{4.7}\\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}
\end{array}\right] \approx \begin{cases}\nabla_{h}^{f} f_{m, n}:=\frac{1}{h}\left[\begin{array}{l}
f_{m+1, n}-f_{m, n} \\
f_{m, n+1}-f_{m, n}
\end{array}\right] & \text { (forward) } \\
\nabla_{h}^{b} f_{m, n}:=\frac{1}{h}\left[\begin{array}{l}
f_{m, n}-f_{m-1, n} \\
f_{m, n}-f_{m, n-1}
\end{array}\right] & \text { (backward) } \\
\nabla_{h}^{c} f_{m, n}:=\frac{1}{2 h}\left[\begin{array}{l}
f_{m+1, n}-f_{m-1, n} \\
f_{m, n+1}-f_{m, n-1}
\end{array}\right] & \text { (central) }\end{cases}
$$

The second derivatives become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \approx \frac{f_{m+1, n}-2 f_{m, n}+f_{m-1, n}}{h^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} \approx \frac{f_{m, n+1}-2 f_{m, n}+f_{m, n-1}}{h^{2}}  \tag{4.8}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \approx \frac{f_{m+1, n}-f_{m-1, n}+f_{m, n+1}-f_{m, n-1}}{2 h^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

In the following, only the central difference will be considered for the first derivatives for accuracy reasons. Finally, (4.7) ${ }_{3}$ and (4.8) can can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{F}\left(\nabla_{h}^{c} f_{m, n}\right)=\frac{1}{2 h}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{e}^{+\omega(p-1)}-\mathrm{e}^{-\omega(p-1)} \\
\mathrm{e}^{+\omega(q-1)}-\mathrm{e}^{-\omega(q-1)}
\end{array}\right] \widehat{f}_{m n} \\
& \mathcal{F}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{+\omega(p-1)}+\mathrm{e}^{-\omega(p-1)}-2\right) \widehat{f}_{m n} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega=\mathrm{i} 2 \pi / N$.
By means of the Eulerian formulas, all exponential functions can also be expressed in trigonometric functions. It is obvious that the derivatives on a grid

[^0]point $(m, n)$ only depend on the Fourier transformed values of $\widehat{f}$ on the same grid points $(m, n)$ and not, as it was the case in (4.7), on their neighbours. Due to that fact, each variable in the Fourier space can be calculated independently. Afterwards, an inverse transformation is performed.

## 5. Discretisation

For calculation of the numerical solution for point defects, the domain $\mathcal{B}$ introduced in Section 2 has to be discretised. This is done by choosing a square cell of the edge length $a$ with $N$ grid points in each direction. The Fourier Transform gives periodic boundary conditions, so the cell is embedded into an infinite matrix of identical cells.

For equations (3.6), the well known Voigt notation is used for $\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and the material tensors, taking advantage of the symmetries $(i j \rightarrow I, k l \rightarrow J)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(\boldsymbol{\sigma})_{I} \hat{=}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{11} \\
\sigma_{22} \\
\sigma_{12}
\end{array}\right) & (\varepsilon)_{I} \hat{=}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{11} \\
\varepsilon_{22} \\
2 \varepsilon_{12}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{1,1} \\
u_{2,2} \\
u_{1,2}+u_{2,1}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{5.1}\\
(\mathbf{C})_{I J} \hat{=}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 \\
C_{12} & C_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C_{33}
\end{array}\right) & (\mathbf{b})_{k I} \hat{=}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & b_{13} \\
b_{12} & b_{22} & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{i j k l} \rightarrow C_{I J}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{k i j} \rightarrow b_{k I}$. Differential equations (3.6) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{I J} \varepsilon_{J, j}-b_{k I} E_{k, j}=C_{I J} \alpha I_{J} \delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\eta})  \tag{5.2}\\
& b_{i J} \varepsilon_{J, i}+A_{i j} E_{j, i}=b_{i J} \alpha I_{J} \delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\eta})+\beta \delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\eta})
\end{align*}
$$

with $\boldsymbol{I}=[1,1,0]^{\top}$. When applying (4.7) $)_{3}$ and (4.8) to equations (4.9), the differential operators can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}: & d_{j}:=\frac{1}{h} \mathrm{i} \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi}{N}\left(\bar{p}_{j}-1\right)\right) \\
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{k}}: & d_{j k}:=-\frac{1}{h^{2}} \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi}{N}\left(\bar{p}_{j}-1\right)\right) \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi}{N}\left(\bar{p}_{k}-1\right)\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{array}
$$

where $\bar{p}_{i} \hat{=}[p, q]^{\top}$. So the transformed equations can be expressed in a system of linear equations for fixed $p$ and $q$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M} \widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}_{p q}=\boldsymbol{r} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{M}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{11} d_{11}+C_{33} d_{22} & C_{12} d_{12} & \left(b_{21}+b_{13}\right) d_{12} \\
& C_{33} d_{11}+C_{22} d_{22} & b_{13} d_{11}+b_{22} d_{22} \\
\text { sym. } & & -\left(A_{11} d_{11}+A_{22} d_{22}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& \widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}_{p q}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\widehat{u}_{1}\right)_{p q} \\
\left(\widehat{u}_{2}\right)_{p q} \\
\widehat{\varphi}_{p q}
\end{array}\right) \quad \boldsymbol{r}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(C_{11}+C_{12}\right) d_{1} \alpha \widehat{\delta}_{p q} \\
\left(C_{12}+C_{22}\right) d_{2} \alpha \hat{\delta}_{p q} \\
\left(b_{21}+b_{22}\right) d_{2} \alpha \hat{\delta}+\beta \widehat{\delta}_{p q}
\end{array}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The discrete delta function $\delta$ with origin at the point $\left(m_{d}, n_{d}\right)$ can be easily obtained under consideration of property $(4.3)_{2}$

$$
\delta_{m n}:= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{h^{2}} & \text { if } \quad m=m_{d}, \quad n=n_{d}  \tag{5.6}\\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

It should be mentioned that $\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}_{p q}, \widehat{\varphi}_{p q}$ and $\delta_{m n}$ are representing matrix entries of the field values at discrete grid points. The Discrete Fourier Transform of (5.6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\delta}_{p q}=\frac{1}{h^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} 2 \pi\left(m_{d} p+n_{d} q\right) / N} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

System (5.4) can be easily inverted and the field variables $\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}$ can be transformed back by the numerical FFT. As described in Section 4, the FFTW routines are used for this purpose.

It should be emphasised, that the index combination $p=1, q=1$ in (5.4) requires special treatment because coefficient matrix (5.5) $)_{1}$ becomes singular. In this special case, a constant term appears in the transformation, which can be chosen arbitrarily. It represents a rigid body translation in the mechanical displacement or a bias potential field in electrical terms.

## 6. Examples

With the objective of getting a better physical understanding of the results, a two-dimensional representation has been chosen, where a plane strain state is assumed. The material considered is a transversally isotropic PZT, which is poled along the $y$-axis. So, the material constants are

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{I J} \hat{=}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
13.7 & 7.16 & 0 \\
7.16 & 12.4 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3.14
\end{array}\right) \cdot 10^{4} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mm}^{2}} \\
& b_{k I} \hat{=}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 10.4 \\
-4.0 & 23.3 & 0
\end{array}\right) \cdot 10^{-6} \frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~mm}^{2}}  \tag{6.1}\\
& A_{i j} \hat{=}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
7.95 & 0 \\
0 & 5.15
\end{array}\right) \cdot 10^{-12} \frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~mm}}
\end{align*}
$$

Additionally, the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ will be chosen positive or negative to show the dependence of the fields on the charge and misfit of defects. A possibility to obtain these parameters is the adjusting of the continuous fields to atomistic simulations. Studies of MgO resulted in the first estimate for the mechanical defect parameter $\alpha^{2}$. Here, a periodic cell is considered, where by means of Density Functional Theory (DFT) the displacement of atoms in the vicinity of the oxygen vacancy is determined. The continuous displacement field is adjusted to discrete values at the atom positions of the relaxed atom lattice. Hence, the value for $\alpha$ lies in the region of $-10^{-18} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$. The electric parameter $\beta$ will be roughly approximated by a multiple of an elementary charge $e_{0}=1.602 \cdot 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}$. Assuming that we have an oxygen vacancy at hand, the parameter would be $\beta=3.204 \cdot 10^{-19} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$.

The domain of interest is a square of the length $a$, where a mesh of $N \times N$ grid points is applied. The distance $h=a /(N-1)$ is determining the numerical error.

### 6.1. A single point defect

At first, the fields of a single point defect will be investigated. The material parameters are chosen as described in Section 6.

The displacement fields in Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b exhibit plausible qualitative results. Material points in the domain are moved towards the defect, and the absolute values of the displacement show the singularity in the vicinity of the defect. Singular behaviour is also observed in the electric potential $\varphi$, shown in Fig. 1c. Due to the periodicity, the periodic variable $u_{1}$ vanishes on the right and left boundary in Fig. 1a, while $u_{2}$ and $\varphi$ vanish at the upper and lower boundary in Fig. 1b and Fig. 1c. All fields are dominated by the mechanical defect parameter $\alpha$, and the weak anisotropy results in a slightly stiffer material response in the $x_{1}$-direction. The isolines of the electric potential field caused only by $\beta>0$ would be ellipses with vertical semi-minor axes.

[^1]

Fig. 1. (a) $u_{1}$, (b) $u_{2}[\mathrm{~mm}]$ and (c) $\varphi[\mathrm{V}]$ with $\alpha=-1 \cdot 10^{-18} \mathrm{~mm}^{-2}$, $\beta=3.204 \cdot 10^{-19} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$

The stress components and the electric displacement vector, shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, respectively, are also dominated by the mechanical parameter $\alpha$. The stress distribution looks very plausible: on the $x_{1}$-axis the stress $\sigma_{12}$ vanishes and there is tension (positive values for $\sigma_{11}$ ) along lines with $x_{2}=$ const and pressure (negative values for $\sigma_{22}$ ) along lines with $x_{1}=$ const. The same behaviour can be seen on the $x_{2}$-axis, where $\sigma_{11}$ and $\sigma_{22}$ change their sign. Due to the anisotropy, slightly higher stresses in the $x_{1}$ direction can be observed. The electric displacement $D_{1}$ is strongly influenced by the shear strains, which can be seen from the shear stresses $\sigma_{12}$ in Fig. 2c. The second component $D_{2}$ mostly results from the electromechanical coupling with the $x_{2}$ strain component, see $\sigma_{22}$ in Fig. 2b. In the case of an electric defect only $(\beta>0)$, the electric displacement vectors would form a central vector field, where $\boldsymbol{D}$ points away from the centre.

Another point of interest is the interaction of defects and to investigate how they migrate through the material. Due to the periodicity of the cell, there is no interaction of defects with the boundary. Hence, resulting driving force (3.3) on a single defect will vanish, and therefore it has no tendency to move. Mathematically spoken, the gradient on the $\Lambda$-field is vanishing at the point $\eta$ in this case. On the basis of Fig. 4a, the first estimation for defect interaction can be obtained. When inserting a second defect, the influence on


Fig. 2. (a) $\sigma_{11}$, (b) $\sigma_{22}$ and (c) $\sigma$ [MPa] with $\alpha=-1 \cdot 10^{-18} \mathrm{~mm}^{-2}$, $\beta=3.204 \cdot 10^{-19} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$


Fig. 3. (a) $D_{1}$, (b) $D_{2}\left[\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right]$ with $\alpha=-1 \cdot 10^{-18} \mathrm{~mm}^{-2}, \beta=3.204 \cdot 10^{-19} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
that defect is determined by the gradient of the $\Lambda$-field and vice versa. So the second defect will always take the path with the greatest ascend and move in regions with higher values. At this point, it can already be anticipated what the general trend of configurations with more than one point defect will be. Thus, the second defect of the same properties would be attracted when inserted in the areas around the diagonals of the cell and would be repelled when placed along the direction of the poling axis or perpendicular to it. It should be emphasised here, that the defect fields are not reflected by boundaries due to the periodicity of the cell. But the periodic boundary conditions also imply that two repelled point defects come to an equilibrium position, where each
defect experiences the same force from the defect in the considered cell and the neighbouring cell. These predictions will be verified in Section 6.2.


Fig. 4. $\Lambda$-field with (a) $\alpha$ dominant and (b) $\beta$ dominant
In Fig. 4a, the field is dominated by the mechanical parameter $\alpha$, and therefore the mechanical hydrostatic stress as well, see equation (3.3). Additionally, the absolute values of the stress field caused by the parameter $\alpha$ are very large compared to those of the potential field, see Figs. 2a,b and Fig. 1c. So the first term in (3.3) becomes dominant. When increasing the parameter $\beta$, the influence of the electric potential becomes obvious. First of all, the second term in (3.3) becomes more dominant. But also the topology of the electric potential field changes. So the region of negative $\Lambda$ values widens, while the anisotropy in the dielectric constants becomes very limited. Furthermore, a gradient in each corner of the cell is observable. There are now repulsive and attractive forces caused by mechanical stresses and repulsive forces caused by the electric potential. Consequently, the area with the repulsive effect is getting widened. Defects will show the tendency to align diagonally due to local maxima of the $\Lambda$-field.

### 6.2. Selected configurations of multiple point defects

In this section, several arrangements of two and three defects will be investigated. The interaction of two defects will be simulated by means of trajectories.

At first, two defects arranged along horizontal (Fig. 5a) and vertical lines (Fig. 5b) are examined. The driving force is pointing outwards, so the defects will move apart from each other, as simulations show. The displayed force vector is a normalised vector and does not account for the strength of the driving force: $\boldsymbol{G}^{0}=-\boldsymbol{G} /\|\boldsymbol{G}\|$. It should be emphasised that the two defects will take up an equilibrium position, where each defect has an equal distance to the other defect and to its counterpart in the neighbouring cell, as mentioned previously.

Only slightly perturbed positions from the above mentioned horizontal and vertical arrangement, as in Fig. 6a lead to completely different behavio-


Fig. 5. $\Lambda$-field for two defects with driving force $\boldsymbol{G}^{0}$ arranged (a) horizontally and (b) vertically
ur. The direction of the gradient on the $\Lambda$-field does not coincide with the connecting line between the two defects anymore. When applying law (3.5), the trajectories of the two defects can be calculated by evaluating the configurational forces at the defect positions and moving them one grid point into the negative force direction. The trajectories of the two defects in Fig. 6b can not be concluded from the initial direction of the configurational forces. The small disturbance from the vertical alignment drives the defects to a diagonal alignment, where both attract each other, so they finally collapse. Different behaviour is observed in Fig. 7, where an equilibrium position is achieved by putting the defects far away from each other. The initial configurational forces predict the tendency of the two defects to push each other away. Here, the dependence on the distance of the two defects becomes obvious.


Fig. 6. Two defects, (a) starting position with $\Lambda$-field and $\boldsymbol{G}^{0}$, (b) paths with $\bigcirc$ - start position, $\triangle$ - end position

At last, three defects are investigated with their initial driving forces. The first arrangement in Fig. 8a shows that the upper defect is attracting the lower ones, while the latter show a repulsive interaction between each other. Because of the grid dependency, the symmetry can not be assured, and the force vectors exhibit slightly asymmetric directions. The driving forces have different


Fig. 7. Two defects, (a) starting position with $\Lambda$-field and $G^{0}$, (b) paths with $\bigcirc$ - start position, $\triangle$ - end position
magnitudes in these scenarios. That would lead to different defect velocities and the motion is calculated by time integration of (3.5). So, simulations will not be as easy as with two defects (where both defects experienced the same force).


Fig. 8. Three defects with $\Lambda$-field and $\boldsymbol{G}^{0}$
A similar result can be observed with the constellation in Fig. 8b. This behaviour is plausible, because $C_{11}$ and $C_{22}$ do not differ much, so both configurations are nearly equivalent.

## 7. Conclusion

A micromechanical approach for describing point defects in a piezoelectric material has been presented in this paper. The coupling and an anisotropic material have been taken into account. The energy momentum tensor and configurational forces on point defects have been briefly introduced. A solution for periodic boundary conditions has been obtained by using a FD scheme followed by FFT of coupled differential equations. Therefore, a regular grid has been required, which was chosen to be quadratic. After the transformation, a
small system of equation on each grid point have had to be solved, followed by a numerical back transformation to obtain the final solution.

Several examples have been presented, where an oxygen vacancy has been simulated. The resulting fields have been shown and the significance of different defect parameters has been pointed out. On the basis of the fields of one defect, predictions could be made for defect migration. Another point of interest has been the simulation of defect movement by means of configurational forces, where the interaction of defects with simple kinetic laws has been studied. It has been shown that two vacancies in a piezoelectric material often have the tendency to unify when they get close to each other. There are lines in and perpendicular to the poling direction, where the defects repel each other, nearly all other alignments lead to a unification of both vacancies. Beside the dependence on the relative position of the defects, the initial distance is important. Two defects attract each other, if they are initially close enough, and they separate to an equilibrium position in all other cases. So it can be deduced, that defects, brought close enough together, will form defect clusters. Then these clusters will naturally interact with other accumulated defects, and so on. This can slow down a domain wall and cause the fatigue phenomena mentioned at the beginning.
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Oddziaływanie defektów punktowych w materiałach piezoelektrycznych symulacje numeryczne w kontekście zmeczenia elektrycznego

## Streszczenie

Praca dotyczy zagadnienia zmęczenia elektrycznego materiałów funkcjonalnych, takich jak piezoelektryki używane do wyrobu czujników i elementów wykonawczych. Zmęczenie elektryczne powoduje degradację właściwości elektromechanicznych z rosnącą liczbą przebytych cykli roboczych, w czasie których jonowe ładunki elektryczne lub elektrony oddziaływują ze sobą wewnątrz i na skraju danego materiału. To z kolei wpływa na lokalne pola elektryczne i mechaniczne sprzężone ze sobą efektem piezoelektrycznym. Założono w pracy, że ładunki elektryczne (dziury) będą zamodelowane defektami punktowymi, które mają skłonność do gromadzenia się i tworzenia skupisk, zwłaszcza w pobliżu elektrod. W rezultacie, nagromadzone defekty zmieniają rozkład polaryzacji układu. Do ilościowego rozwiązania zagadnienia zastosowano dyskretyzację badanego układu siecią kwadratowych komórek i następnie przeprowadzono szybką transformatę Fouriera. Obliczenia wykonano na kilku przykładach. Szczegółowo przedyskutowano problem migracji pojedynczego oraz wzajemnego przyciągania dwóch defektów, by wreszcie zilustrować proces tworzenia skupisk przez całe gromady defektów punktowych.
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