Efficacy of Oral Zinc Sulphate in the Treatment of Recalcitrant Common Warts

Nadia Waqas ¹, Shazia Amir Khan ², Aqsa Naheed ², Zafar Iqbal Sheikh ³

1.Department of Dermatology Benazir Bhutto Hospital and Rawalpindi Medical University;2.Department of Dermatology, Yusra Medical and Dental College Islamabad;3. Department of Dermatology, Military Hospital, Rawalpindi.

Abstract

Background: To determine the efficacy of oral Zinc Sulphate in treatment of recalcitrant common warts.

Methods: In this randomized control trial 90 patients with recalcitrant warts were randomly allocated to two groups by lottery method named Group A (Oral Zinc sulphate) and Group B (Placebo). Group A patients were given oral zinc sulphate in a dose of 10mg/kg to a maximum dose of 600mg/day for two months. Group B received glucose tablets as placebo

<u>Results</u>: Out of 45 patients in oral zinc sulphate group, 28 (62.22%) patients had complete eradication or at least 75% reduction in number of warts noted at presentation. On the other hand in the placebo group only 2 (4.44%) patients had > 75% reduction in number of warts.In oral zinc sulphate group it was noted that only 6 (13.3%) patients had less than 50% reduction in no. of warts. 11 (24.4%) had 50-75% efficacy and majority 28 (62.2%) patients had > 75 % reduction in number of warts. In contrast, in the placebo group 33 patients (73.3%) had less than 50% reduction, followed by 10 (22.2%) patients having 50-75% reduction and only 2 (4.4%) patients had > 75% reduction in no. of warts

Conclusion: Warts are common viral infection of skin caused by Human Papilloma Virus. Despite various treatment options available at times warts become recalcitrant. Oral zinc sulphate is an effective treatment option for recalcitrant multiple viral warts. Being oral therapy it is easy to take with less frequent follow up visits required.

Key Words: Zinc sulphate, Common warts, Placebo.

Introduction

Warts are common, benign and usually self limiting lesions caused by human papilloma viruses (HPV).¹ HPV can cause disease at any site in stratified squameous epithelium either keratinized (skin) or non

keratinized (mucosa). Warts are broadly classified as cutaneous, oral, genital and laryngeal warts. Among cutaneous warts are common warts, plane warts, plantar warts, periungual and filliform warts.²Not all warts need treatment as many give little inconvenience and will resolve spontaneously.3,4,5 Different treatment options are available for warts which include duct tape occlusion, topical salicylic acid, glutaraldehyde, podophyllin and podophyllotoxin, 5-fluororacil, cryotherapy, electrocautery and curettage, imiquimod, photodynamic therapy, lasers and many others.^{6,7} Among the systemic treatments documented are Cimetidine, Levamisol and Zinc sulphate.8Of the available treatment options none is uniformly effective or virucidal9. Their safety and efficacy have not been assessed in double blind controlled clinical trials. Cryotherapy, electrocautery and topical salicyclic acid are most commonly used treatment options but none without side effects. Electrocautery carries a risk of scarring, cryotherapy causes pain and salicylic acid is irritant on facial skin and may cause contact dermatitis. 5,10

HPV infection does not induce inflammatory cytokines and therefore options aimed at modulating immune system and facilitating production of cytokines have been proposed¹¹. One immunomodulatory approach involves prescribing oral zinc, a micronutrient that is necessary for normal functioning of cells.¹²

Mun JH, et all showed complete resolution of warts in 50% of patients with no serious side effects.¹¹ Sadighha A in 2009 conducted a study showing a remarkable clearance rate of 76.9% in zinc sulphate treated patients versus 7.8% in placebo group.¹³ Raza N demonstrated that serum zinc levels were low in patients with persistent, progressive and recurrent viral warts. According to their study zinc levels were low in 56% of patients compared to 32% of control with a significant p value of 0.003.¹⁴.

Oral zinc sulphate is not being used locally for the treatment of recurrent viral warts. It is speculated that being an oral therapy it would be more convenient for patients, requiring lesser clinic visits as are required for other available treatment options.

Patients and Methods

This comparative study was conducted in Dermatology Department of Pakistan Institution of Medical Sciences(PIMS) Islamabad, from June 2016 to Dec 2016. Sample size (n) 90 patients ,45 in each group.

Patients of either gender between 18 and 65 years of age having single or multiple common warts on extragenital skin that are resistant to salicylic acid, electrocautery and cryotherapy used for at least six months(recalcitrant) were included. Immunocompromised patients and those having co morbidities like hypertension, diabetes or any other known chronic illness were not included in the study. Pregnant and lactating women were also not included. Number and site of warts were noted. Patients were randomly allocated to Group A and Group B by lottery method. Oral zinc sulphate in a dose of 10 mg/kg body weight upto a maximum of 600 mg/kg per day were given to group A for a period of two months. Group B received glucose tablets as placebo.Patients were reviewed after 4 weeks. Final outcome was seen at 8th week. Confounding factors like age, gender and duration of warts were controlled by stratification. Comparison of efficacy in two groups was calculated by Chi-Square test. p value of < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results

Majority (54.4%) of the patients were from the age interval of 20 - 30 years (Table 1). Mean age of Group A was 22.02±5.864 years having minimum age of 18 and maximum of 49 years. The mean age of placebo group was 22.96 years ±6.582 years having range of 18 According to gender distribution of to 44 years. the patients there were 23 (51.1%) males in group A (experimental group) and 21 (46.7%) in placebo group having almost equal distribution (Table 2). There was no main difference in average number of warts on presentation before treatment. The mean number of warts in Oral Zinc Sulphate group was 6.98±1.803 with a range of 2 to 13 warts and in placebo group it was 6.73±1.405 ranging from 3 to 10. After 4 weeks of treatment total number of warts reduced to 4.24±1.209 warts on average with a range of 1 to 7 warts in group A and in group B the number of warts reduced to 5.49±1.272 warts on average ranging from 2 to 8 warts After 8 weeks of treatment the average number of warts reduced very significantly in oral zinc sulphate group to 1.73±1.452 warts with a range of 0 to 6 warts and in placebo group the average number of warts were noted to 4.29 ± 1.160 warts with a range of 2 to 7 warts. The efficacy of the treatment was defined as at least 75% reduction in number of warts and it was noted that in oral zinc sulphate group majority of the patients had > 75% reduction in number of warts i.e. 28 (62.22%). In the placebo group majority of the patients 33 (73.3%) had less than 50% reduction (Table 3).The cross tabulation with respect to gender shows that there was no significant (p-value > 0.05) association between gender and efficacy of drug.

Table 1: Age distribution (n=90)

Categorized age	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
< 20	34	37.8	37.8
20 - 30	49	54.4	92.2
30 - 40	3	3.3	95.6
> 40	4	4.4	100.0

Table 2: Distribution of gender in both groups

Group	Gender	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
(Oral Zinc	Male	23	51.1	51.1
	Female	22	48.9	100.0
	Total	45	100.0	
Group B (Placebo)	Male	21	46.7	46.7
	Female	24	53.3	100.0
	Total	45	100.0	

Table 3: Distribution of Efficacy percentage in both groups

both groups							
Group	Efficacy percentage	Frequency	Percent	Cumulati ve Percent			
Group A (Oral Zinc Sulphate)	< 50%	6	13.3	13.3			
	50 - 75 %	11	24.4	37.8			
	> 75 %	28	62.2	100.0			
	Total	45	100.0				
Group B (Placebo)	< 50%	33	73.3	73.3			
	50 - 75 %	10	22.2	95.6			
	> 75 %	2	4.4	100.0			
	Total	45	100.0				
D1 1							

Discussion

Warts are benign epithelial proliferations caused by human papillomavirus (HPV). More than 200 types of

HPV have been recognized.¹⁵ Common warts are the commonest type of warts in children and adults.¹

HPV is efficient at evading recognition. The virus can globally downregulate keratinocyte innate immune sensors and suppress the type I interferon response, which is critical for the control of viral infection. There is no viremia and no virus-induced cell death; hence, there is no inflammation or danger signal to the system.¹⁷Therefore, methods immune aim at modulating and enabling the immune system to detect and defend against this virus, can be a therapeutic option. One such option is oral zinc sulphate. Zinc is required for multiple cellular tasks, and especially the immune system depends on a sufficient availability of this essential trace element.18

Thymulin which is a Thymus specific hormone binds to highly specific binding receptors on T cells, induces several T cell markers and promotes T cell functions including allogenic cytotoxicity, suppressor function and IL-2 production. Levels of Thymulin are significantly decreased in minor zinc deficiency.

INF- γ is a major component of Th1 response and it upregulates MHC I antigen expression. INF γ is decreased in zinc deficiency.¹⁹ There are several other mechanisms by which zinc acts in boosting the immune system and enabling to counteract various bacterial and viral infections.¹⁹ Al Gurairi et al first conducted a randomized placebo controlled trial. He administered oral zinc sulphate in a dose of 10mg/Kg body weight for two months in patients with recalcitrant warts and showed a clearance rate of 87% versus no response in placebo group.^{20,21} Two placebo controlled RCTs showed remarkable CR rates: 76.9% and 78.1% in the zinc sulphate treated group compared with 7.8% and 13% in the placebo group after 2 months of treatment.^{13,22}Another randomized double-blind prospective study comparing the efficacy of oral zinc sulfate and cimetidine revealed a 62.5% CR in the zinc-treated group versus 0% in the cimetidine group.²³Mun JH, et all showed complete resolution of warts in 50% of patients treated with oral zinc sulphate with no serious side effects.¹¹

Conclusion

Oral zinc sulphate is an effective treatment option for recalcitrant multiple viral warts. Being oral therapy it is easy to take with less frequent follow up visits required.

References

1. Bernard HU, Burk RD, Chen Z. Classification of papilloma viruses (PVs) based on 189 PV types and proposal of taxonomic amendments. Virology. 2010; 401:70-79

- 2. Lowg DR, Androphy EJ. Warts. In: Fitzpatric TB, editors. Dermatology in General Medicine. 7th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company; 2008:1915–23
- 3. Keogh-Brown MR, Fordham RJ, Thomas KS, Bachmann MO. To freeze or not to freeze: a cost-effectiveness analysis of wart treatment. Br J Dermatol. 2007; 156:687-92
- 4. Gibbs S, Harvey I. Topical treatments for cutaneous warts. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006; (3):CD001781.
- Sterling JC. Virus infections. In: Rooks TB, Tony B, Stephen B, Neil C, editors. Textbook of Dermatology. 8th ed. Vol. 2. Italy: Blackwell Publishing Company; 2010:33.39–33.51
- 6. Dall'soglio F, D'Amico V, Nasca MR, Micali G. Treatment of cutaneous warts: an evidence-based review. Am J Clin Dermatol. 2012; 13:73-96
- 7. Richard C, Reichman . Human papilloma virus infections. In: Braunwald E, Isselbacher KJ, Petersdorf RG, Wilson JD, editors. Harrison's Principle of Medicine.16th ed. Vol. 169. New York: McGraw-Hill Company; 2005:1056–58.
- 8. Simonart T, de Maertelaer V. Systemic Treatments for cutaneous warts: a systemic review. J Dermatolog Treat. 2012; 23:72-77
- 9. Kim SY, Jung SK, Lee SG, Yi SM. New alternative combination therapy for recalcitrant common warts: the efficacy of imiquimod 5% cream and duct tape combination therapy. Ann Dermatol. 2013; 25:261-63
- 10. Rivera A, Tyring SK. Therapy of cutaneous human Papillomavirus infections. Dermatol Ther. 2004; 17:441-48
- 11. Mun JH, Kim SH, Jung DS, Ko HC, Kim BS. Oral zinc sulfate for viral warts: an open label study. J Dermatol. 2011; 38:541-45
- 12. Prasad AS. Zinc in human health: effect of zinc on immune cells. Mol Med. 2008; 14:353-57
- Sadighha A. Oral zinc sulphate in recalcitrant multiple viral warts: a pilot study. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2009; 23:715-17
- 14. Raza N, Khan DA. Zinc deficiency in patients with persistant viral warts. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2010; 20:83-86
- 15. Cubie HA. Diseases associated with human papillomavirus infection. Virology. 2013; 445:21-34
- 16. Al-Mutairi N, AlKhalaf M. Mucocutaneous warts in children: clinical presentations, risk factors, and response to treatment. Acta Dermatovenerol Alp Panonica Adriat. 2012; 21:69-72
- 17. Stanley MA. Epithelial cell responses to infection with human papillomavirus. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2012; 25:215-22
- Overbeck S, Rink L, Haase H. Modulating the immune response by oral zinc supplementation: a single approach for multiple diseases. Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz). 2008; 56:15–30
- 19. Prasad AS. Zinc in human health: effect of zinc on immune cells. Mol Med. 2008; 14:353-57
- 20. Al-Gurairi FT, Al-Waiz M, Sharquie KE. Oral zinc sulphate in the treatment of recalcitrant viral warts: randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial. Br J Dermatol. 2002; 146:423–31
- 21. Gibbs S. Zinc sulphate for viral warts. Br J Dermatol. 2003; 148:1082–83
- 22. Yaghoobi R, Sadighha A, Baktash D. Evaluation of oral zinc sulfate effect on recalcitrant multiple viral warts: a randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2009; 60:706–08.
- 23. Stefani M, Bottino G, Fontenelle E, Azulay DR. [Efficacy comparison between cimetidine and zinc sulphate in the treatment of multiple and recalcitrant warts. An Bras Dermatol. 2009; 84:23-27