

Effect of calcium, boron and sorbitol on fruit-set, yield and quality in mango cv. Himsagar

H.D. Talang*, P. Dutta, C. Mukhim and S. Patil

Department of Fruits and Orchard Management Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya Mohanpur, West Bengal-741252, India *E-mail: hammylliende@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

An investigation was carried out to study the effect of foliar spray of micronutrients, *viz*, calcium and boron and sorbitol on fruit-set, yield and fruit quality in mango (*Mangifera indica* L). cv. Himsagar, at Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Regional Research Station, Gayeshpur. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD), with three replications. Results revealed that boric acid (0.02%) + sorbitol (2.0%) were the most effective for enhancing fruit-set (1.58%), yield (48.51 kg tree-1), fruit weight (165.6 g), TSS (18.59°Brix), total sugars (14.92%) and ascorbic acid content $(20.32 \text{ mg 100 g}^{-1})$, while, calcium nitrate (Ca-0.06%) + boric acid (B-0.02%) proved to be the most effective for increasing shelf life in mango cv. Himsagar, at ambient room temperature.

Key words: Mango, calcium nitrate, boric acid, sorbitol, fruit quality

INTRODUCTION

Mango (Mangifera indica L.) is the 'national fruit' of India. India is the largest producer of mango in the world, producing 184.31 lakh tonnes from an area of 25.16 lakh ha with a productivity of 7.3 mt/ha, and, shares about 45.1 % of the total mango production in the world. In the mango, several problems are associated with fruit-set, yield and quality due to an imbalance in supply of nutrients resulting in poor plant health, fruit quality and increase in fruit-drop. Further, unhealthy plants are more prone to attack from insectpests and diseases. Attempts were made by several researchers earlier to enhance productivity and quality of mangoes through foliar application of nutrients. Calcium spray is known to increase productivity of mango, basically due to reduced abscission (Kumar et al, 2006; Wahdan et al, 2011). Jutamanee et al (2002), Singh and Maurya (2004) and Singh et al (2013) showed enhanced mango productivity with boron application. Boron treatment also resulted in improved fruit quality in terms of weight, TSS, total sugars and colour (Pandey and Singh, 2007; Dutta, 2004; Abd-Allah, 2006). The effects seen may be attributed to

boron on enhanced pollen germination, pollen-tube growth and sugar synthesis/accumulation. Therefore, keeping in view the importance of nutrients on fruitset, yield and quality, this study was undertaken in mango cv. Himsagar with the objective of finding the most effective and optimum concentration of micro – nutrients tested to improve fruit-set, yield and quality of fruits.

The experiment was conducted at Regional Research Station, Gayeshpur, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, West Bengal, during 2011-2013. Twelve-year-old trees of mango cv. Himsagar of uniform vigour and size, planted at 10m X 10m distance and maintained under uniform cultural practices, were selected for the study. Seven treatments comprising calcium nitrate (0.06%), boric acid (0.02%), sorbitol (2.0%) alone or in combination (i.e., calcium nitrate @ 0.06% + boric acid @ 0.02%; calcium nitrate @ 0.06% + sorbitol @ 2.0%; and, boric acid @ 0.02% + sorbitol @ 2.0%) and Control (water spray) were tested as foliar spray solutions by applying at about 50% initiation of panicles in the tree. Treatments were laid out in Randomized Block Design,

^{*} ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region ,Umiam -793103,Meghalaya,India

with three replications. Data were recorded on percent fruit-set, yield (kg tree⁻¹), fruit weight (g), total soluble solids in °Brix (with the help of a digital refractrometer), acidity (calculated by titrating the fruit pulp aliquot against 0.1N NaOH, as suggested in A.O.A.C., 1984, ascorbic acid (by reduction of 2,6dichlorophenol indophenol dye) and total sugars (Ranganna, 1986).

Results presented in Table 1 indicate that application of micronutrients and sorbitol significantly increased fruit-set. Highest fruit-set (1.90) was recorded with boric acid (B-0.02%) + sorbitol (2%), followed by boric acid (B-0.02%) (1.83); and, the lowest (1.54) was observed in Control. Increase in fruit-set with application of boron and carbohydrates was also been observed by Stino et al (2011) and Singh et al (2013). Table 1 also shows that plants sprayed with boric acid (0.02%) + sorbitol (2.0%) gave the highest yield (39.82 kg/tree), whereas Control recorded the lowest yield (32.13 kg/tree). Similar results were obtained by Negi et al (2010) and Singh et al (2013). Boron improves pollen grain germination and pollen-tube elongation, consequently leading to higher fruit-set and, finally, the yield (Abd-Allah, 2006). Further, it is evident from Table 1 that highest average fruit-weight (234.33g) was recorded with calcium nitrate (Ca-0.06%) + sorbitol (2%), which was at par with boric acid (B-0.02%) + sorbitol (2%) (231.75g); whereas, lowest average fruit weight (202.83g) was recorded in Control.

It is seen in Table 2 that total soluble solids content in the fruit was significantly affected by various treatments with micronutrients and sorbitol. Pooled data for the two years showed that maximum TSS was recorded with boric acid (B-0.02%) + sorbitol (2%) (19.15 °Brix), and, the minimum TSS (16.50°Brix) was recorded in Control. Data presented in Table 2 indicate that acidity was not affected significantly by application of micronutrients or sorbitol. However, pooled data shows that the highest acidity (0.22%) was recorded in Control, and, the lowest (0.11%) with boric acid (B-0.02%) + sorbitol (2%). These results are in close conformity with finding of Sanna and Abd El-Megeed (2005). Negi et al (2009) pointed out that increase in TSS by boron could be due to a more rapid translocation of sugars from the leaves to the developing fruits. Further, our treatments significantly increased total sugars content in the fruit (Table 2). Pooled data reveals that maximum

total sugars (15.30%) were recorded in plants treated with boric acid (B-0.02%) + sorbitol (2%), which was significantly higher than total sugars content in all the other treatments, including Control (13.36%). These findings are in conformity with findings of Banik *et al* (1997) and Negi *et al* (2009). Increase in total sugar content may be due to a breakdown of complex polymers into simple substances by hydrolytic enzymes. Boron facilitates sugar transport within a plant, and it is reported that borate reacts with sugars to form a sugar-borate complex that is more easily available to the transverse membrane (Gauch and Duggar, 1954).

It is obvious from Table 2 that ascorbic acid content of the fruits significantly increased with application of micronutrients and sorbitol. Maximum ascorbic acid content (28.39 mg/100g pulp) was observed in fruits treated with calcium nitrate (Ca-0.06%) + boric acid (B-0.02%) followed by calcium nitrate (Ca-0.06%) + sorbitol (2%) (26.55 mg/100g pulp), whereas, the lowest (23.09 mg/100g pulp) was recorded in Control. Similar findings were reported by Negi *et al* (2009) and Singh *et al* (2013). Higher level of ascorbic acid with application of boron may be due to higher sugar content in the fruit as, ascorbic acid is synthesized from sugars.

It is clear from Table 1 that shelf-life (number of days, at ambient room temperature) of fruits recorded highest (9 days) in calcium nitrate (Ca-0.06%) + boric acid (B-0.02%), whereas, the lowest was observed in Control which was, however, statistically at par with boric acid (B-0.02%) (5 days). The increase in shelf-life by calcium treatment may be due to the action of calcium in imparting firmness to the fruit, and maintenance of structure and function of the cell wall, thereby leading to enhanced shelf-life (Ramkrishna *et al*, 2001).

Thus, it may be concluded that in our studies, boric acid (B-0.02%) + sorbitol (2%) is the most effective treatment in improving fruit set, yield, fruit weight, TSS and total sugars, while, calcium nitrate (Ca-0.06%) + boric acid (B-0.02%) proved to be the most effective for increasing ascorbic acid content and shelf-life in mango cv. Himsagar.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author is thankful to Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, New Delhi, for providing financial assistance.

J. Hortl. Sci. Vol. 11(2): 166-169, 2017

Treatment													•) ,	
	2012	2015	Pc	oled	2012	2013	Pool	pa	2012	2013	Pooled	1 201	6	2013	Pooled
T_1	49.31	46.8	4	8.07	1.58	1.55	1.57	5	08.17	216.67	212.42	384	10 3	30.33	34.43
\mathbf{T}_2	51.89	49.8	Q Q	0.89	1.85	1.82	1.83	5	28.67	234.83	231.75	42.7	13	35.22	39.03
T_3	49.91	47.6	9 4	8.79	1.69	1.67	1.68	5	16.67	221.67	219.17	39.4	15 3	32.57	36.05
T_4	50.33	49.4	5 4	68.6	1.73	1.70	1.72	61	21.50	227.43	224.47	40.9	0 3	33.89	37.45
Ţ	49.67	47.0	4	8.35	1.63	1.60	1.61	5	32.00	236.67	234.33	42.6	88	35.11	38.93
T	52.83	50.3.	3 5	1.58	1.91	1.90	1.90	5	31.00	235.00	233.00	43.5	54 8	36.03	39.82
\mathbf{T}_{i}	49.16	46.5;	2 4	7.84	1.55	1.52	1.54	5	02.33	203.33	202.83	36.0	01 2	38.23	32.13
SEm±0.08	0.05	0.07	Ö	005	0.006	0.005	1.07	-	0.88	0.65	0.21	0.1	6	0.11	
CD (P=0.05)	0.26	0.17		0.22	0.02	0.02	0.02		3.32	271	2.02	0.6	9	0.49	0.34
	Total solu	ıble solids	(°Brix)	Titrat	able acidit	ty (%)	Tota	ıl sugars	(%)	Ascorbic	s acid (mg/	(100g)	Shelfli	fe (nos. c	of days)
Treatment															
	2012	2013	Pooled	2012	2013	Pooled	2012	2013	Pooled	2012	2013	Pooled	2012	2013	Pooled
T_1	19.17	17.20	18.18	0.14	0.16	0.15	14.33	14.04	14.19	28.03	23.27	25.65	7	٢	7
$\mathbf{T}_{_{J}}$	19.40	17.68	18.54	0.14	0.12	0.13	15.40	14.13	14.77	27.80	23.40	25.60	5	S	S
$T_{\vec{s}}$	18.80	17.40	18.10	0.16	0.18	0.17	15.20	13.79	14.50	27.53	22.80	25.17	9	9	9
T_4	17.60	16.40	17.00	0.18	0.22	0.20	14.57	12.90	13.74	30.17	26.60	28.39	6	6	6
T	18.30	16.80	17.55	0.17	0.20	0.19	15.20	13.55	14.38	28.53	24.57	26.55	7	٢	٢
T	20.10	18.20	19.15	0.10	0.12	0.11	16.10	14.50	15.30	27.63	22.60	25.12	٢	٢	7
\mathbf{T}_{i}	16.90	16.10	16.50	0.20	0.24	0.22	14.03	12.69	13.36	25.27	20.90	23.09	S	Ś	S
SEm±0.14	0.14	0.08	0.01	0.008	0.009	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.45	0.36	0.22	0.21	0.17	0.14	
CD (P=0.05)	0.46	0.44	0.26	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.39	0.34	0.22	1.40	1.13	0.70	0.65	0.53	0.44

168

REFERENCES

- Abd-Allah, A.S.E. 2006. Effect of spraying some macro and micro nutrients on fruit set, yield and fruit quality of Washington Naval trees. J. Appl. Sci. Res., 2(11):1059-1063
- A.O.A.C.1984. (*Official Method of Analysis*). 14th Edition, Association of Official Agriculturist Chemists. Washington D. C., USA, p 16
- Banik, B.C., Mitra, S.K., Sen, S.K. and Bose, T.K. 1997. Interaction effects of zinc, iron and boron sprays on flowering and fruiting of mango cv. Fazli. *Indian Agriculturist*, **41**(3):187-192
- Dutta, P. 2004. Effect of foliar boron application on panicle growth, fruit retention and physico-chemical characters of mango cv. Himsagar. *Indian J. Hort.*, 61(3):265-266
- Guach, H.G and Duggar, W.W. Jr. 1954. The physiological action of boron in higher plants: A review and interpretation. *Univ. Maryland Agril. Expt'l. Stn. Tech. Bull.* (A), **80**
- Jutamanee, K., Eoomkham, S., Pichakum, A., Krisanapook, K. and Phavaphutanon, L. 2002. Effects of calcium, boron and sorbitol on pollination and fruit set in mango cv. Namdokmai. *Acta Hort.*, 575(2):829-834
- Kumar, M.R., Reddy, Y.N., Chandrasekhar, R. and Srihari, D. 2006. Effect of calcium and plant growth regulators on flowering and yield of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Baneshan. J. Res. ANGRAU., 34(1):21-25
- Negi, S.S., Singh, A.K. and Singh, C.P. 2009. Effect of foliar application of nutrients on fruit-set, yield and quality of mango cv. Dashehari. *Haryana J. Hortl. Sci.*, **38**(1&2):20-22

- Negi, S.S., Singh, A.K. and Rai, P.N. 2010. Effect of foliar application of nutrients on pollen, flowering, fruitset, fruit drop and yield in mango cv. Dashehari. *The Hort. J.*, 23(2):45-48
- Pandey, R. and Singh, C.P. 2007. Effect of pre-harvest foliar spray of micronutrients on chemical properties of mango fruit cv. Langra. *Pantnagar J. Res.*, 5:56-61
- Ramkrishna, M., Haribabu, K., Reddy, Y.N. and Puroshotham, K. 2001. Effect of pre-harvest application of calcium on physico-chemical changes during ripening and storage of papaya. *Indian J. Hort.*, **58**(1):228
- Ranganna, S. 1986. *Handbook of Analysis and Quality Controls for Fruit and Vegetable Products*, 2ndedn., pp. 89-90, Tata McGraw Hill Co. Ltd., New Delhi
- Sanna, E. and Abd-Migeed, M.M.M. 2005. Effect of spraying of sucrose and some nutrient elements on Fagri Kalan mango trees. J. Appl. Sci. Res., **1**(5):341-346
- Singh, J. and Maurya, A.N. 2004. Effect of micronutrients on bearing of mango (*Mangifera indica*) cv. Mallika. *Prog. Agri.*, 4(1):47-50
- Singh, A.K., Singh, C.P., Shant Lal and Pratibha. 2013. Effect of micronutrients and sorbitol on fruit set, yield and quality of mango cv. Dashehari. *Prog. Hort.*, **45**(1):43-48
- Stino, R.G., Abd El Wahap, S.M., Habashy, S.A. and Kelani, R.A. 2011. Productivity and fruit quality of three mango cultivars in relation to foliar sprays of calcium, zinc, boron or potassium. *J. Hortl. Sci. & Orn. Pl.*, 3(2):91-98
- Wahdan, M.T., Habib, S.E., Bassal, M.A. and Qaoud, E.M. 2011. Effect of some chemicals on growth, fruiting, yield and fruit quality of "Succary Abiad" mango. *The J. Amer. Sci.*, 7(2):651-658

(MS Received 19 February 2016, Revised 15 September 2016, Accepted 20 December 2016)