

# Effect of post-harvest treatment on storage quality in 'Umran' ber fruit

S. K. Jawandha, J. S. Randhawa, P. P. S. Gill and Jagjit Singh

Department of Horticulture Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana – 141 004, India E- mail: punjabbeauty2000@rediffmail.com

## ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to study the effect of post-harvest sprays of CaCl<sub>2</sub> (@ 0.5%, 1.0% & 2.0%), Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (@ 0.5%, 1.0% & 2.0%), GA<sub>3</sub> (@ 20, 40 and 60 ppm) and Bavistin (0.1%) on storage quality of 'Umran' ber'. Fruits of uniform size were harvested at physiological maturity and treated with various chemicals. Treated fruits were placed in CFB boxes and placed in cold storage (3-5 °C and 85-95% RH). Stored fruits were evaluated at 10, 20 and 30 days from storage for palatability rating, TSS, acidity, Vitamin C and total sugars. After 30 days from storage, the highest palatability rating was recorded in GA<sub>3</sub> (60 ppm) treated fruits, followed by CaCl<sub>2</sub> (2.0%). Both TSS and Total sugars showed a similar trend of increase upto 20 days from storage, followed by a decrease. However, acidity and Vitamin C content of fruits decreased continuously with advancement of storage period. At the end of storage, maximum TSS, total acidity Vitamin C and total sugars were observed in GA<sub>3</sub> (60 ppm) treated fruits, followed by CaCl<sub>2</sub> (2.0%). Studies revealed that GA<sub>3</sub> (60 ppm) treated fruits, followed by CaCl<sub>2</sub> (2.0%).

Key words: Ber, GA<sub>3</sub>, calcium, post-harvest treatment, cold storage

# **INTRODUCTION**

Ber (Zizyphus mauritiana Lamk.) is a hardy fruit crop and its fruits are a good source of Vitamin C and minerals like calcium, phosphorus and iron. It is an ideal fruit for cultivation in the arid and semi-arid zones of northern India, because of its very low irrigation requirement in the hot and dry months of May and June, when it sheds its leaves and enters into a period of dormancy. Due to high economic returns, improved budded varieties of ber are being cultivated on a commercial scale in Punjab, Haryana, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh. Ber can thrive well under adverse conditions, viz., salinity, drought and waterlogging. However, high post-harvest losses are a major constraint in developing the ber fruit industry in the country. Ber fruits are perishable in nature and cannot be stored for long periods under ambient conditions (Salunkhe and Kadam, 1995). Calcium compounds are known to extend the shelf-life of several fruits by maintaining firmness, minimizing the rate of respiration, protein breakdown and disease incidence (Gupta et al, 1980). Growth regulators also increase the post harvest life of fruits by retarding of ripening, senescence, by minimizing the rate of respiration and by reduction in weight loss (Huang, 1974). The ber industry can take a further leap if its post-harvest life is extended without significant deterioration in fruit quality. The present study was, therefore, undertaken to study the effect of post-harvest treatments with various chemical compounds on the quality of ber fruit during cold storage.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was conducted in the Department of Horticulture, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana during the years 2002 and 2003. Uniform sized fruits of 'Umran' cultivar were harvested at optimum maturity from the marked trees. The fruits were dipped in aqueous solution (at 20°C) of different compounds, viz., as CaCl<sub>2</sub> (0.5, 1.0 & 2.0%), Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.5, 1.0 & 2.0 %), GA<sub>3</sub> (20, 40 & 60 ppm) and Bavistin (0.1%) for five minutes. Treated fruits were then air dried in shade, packed in Netlon bags (1.0 kg) and placed in CFB boxes (30.0 x 21.5 x 21.5 cm) of 5% perforation with paper lining. Thereafter, these boxes were kept in cold storage (3-5°C and 85-95% RH). The experiment was laid out in completely randomized block design with eleven treatments and three replications. Each replication comprised of one kilogram fruit. Fruit samples were analysed for physico-chemical changes like palatability rating (PR), TSS, acidity, Vitamin C content and total sugars at 10, 20 and 30 days of storage. Palatability rating (PR) was recorded on the basis of a score card viz., 1-poor; 2-Fair; 3-Good; 4-Very good and 5-Excellent (Dhanrai *et al*, 1980). Total soluble solids (TSS) were determined with the help of hand refractometer from the juice of fruit and the values were corrected at 20°C. Fruit acidity was estimated by titrating the juice against standard 0.1 N sodium hydroxide solution using phenolphthalein as indicator and represented as per cent. Vitamin C content was determined by titrating the juice against 2, 6dichlorphenol indophenol dye solution to a light pink colour, which persisted for 15 seconds. Results were expressed as mg/100 g of fruit flesh. Total sugars were estimated by titrating boiling Fehling Solution (5 ml A + 5 ml B) against aliquot using methylene blue as the indicator (A.O.A.C., 1980).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Palatability rating (PR) of fruits decreased significantly with advancement of storage period regardless of the post harvest treatment (Table 1). At the end of storage, fruits treated with  $GA_3$  (60 ppm) showed maximum PR (3.16 & 3.25). Prolongation of fruit life due to growth regulators is probably due to effectiveness of these chemicals in retardation of ripening and senescence and reduction in weight loss (Huang, 1974). Likewise, various calcium treatments significantly increased PR as compared to control. Increase in calcium content of the fruits has been associated with reduced softening (Haggag, 1987), decreased incidence of physiological disorders and improved storage life (Raese, 1986). Similar results were also reported by Chahal and Bal (2003) in ber fruits. TSS content of fruits increased upto 20 days of storage in all the treatments, except the control, which recorded increase in TSS content only upto 10 days of storage (Table 2). But, at 30 days of storage, decrease in TSS content was noticed in all the treatments. Jawanda et al (1980) also reported inconsistent trend in TSS of ber fruits during cold storage. Among the different treatments, GA3 (60 ppm) recorded the maximum TSS at the end of storage, closely followed by  $CaCl_{2}(2.0\%)$  treatment. This might be due to reduction in metabolic activities like respiration and senescence by GA<sub>2</sub> (60 ppm) and CaCl<sub>2</sub> (2.0%) treatments. During the course of investigation, there was an initial rise in TSS content of fruits till it reached the peak, followed by a gradual decline after 30 days of storage. The initial increase in TSS may be due to hydrolysis of starch into mono-and di-saccharides, and, on complete hydrolysis of starch, no further increase occurred. Subsequently, a decline was observed because of utilization of the primary substrate for respiration (Wills et al, 1980).

Fruit acidity showed a general decline in all the treatments as storage period progressed (Table 3). Such a decrease in acidity might be attributed to conversion of acids to sugars and then utilization in the respiration process (Pool *et al*, 1972). Sandbhor and Desai (1991) also reported a gradual decrease of acid content in ber fruit during storage. After 30 days of cold storage, lowest acidity was recorded

Table 1. Effect of post-harvest treatment on palatability rating in ber fruits during cold storage

	Palatability rating										
Treatment		2002		2003							
	]	Days after stora	ge		Γ	Days after storag	ge				
	10	20	30	Mean	10	20	30	Mean			
CaCl-, 0.5%	4.58	3.25	2.30	3.38	4.40	3.15	2.40	3.32			
CaCl-, 1.0%	4.66	3.30	2.40	3.45	4.50	3.41	2.50	3.47			
CaCl-, 2.0%	4.80	3.70	3.00	3.83	4.80	3.60	3.10	3.83			
$Ca(NO_{3})_{2} 0.5\%$	4.41	3.00	2.15	3.19	4.30	3.00	2.20	3.17			
$Ca(NO_3)_2 1.0\%$	4.60	3.15	2.20	3.31	4.38	3.00	2.33	3.24			
$Ca(NO_3)_2^2 2.0\%$	4.50	3.40	2.50	3.46	4.58	3.50	2.75	3.61			
GA <sub>3</sub> 20 ppm	4.60	3.20	2.30	3.37	4.50	3.33	2.50	3.44			
GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	4.75	3.50	2.75	3.67	4.70	3.60	2.85	3.72			
GA <sub>3</sub> 60 ppm	4.80	4.00	3.16	3.97	4.83	3.75	3.25	3.94			
Bavistin 0.1%	4.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	4.25	3.10	2.00	3.12			
Control (untreated)	3.75	2.50	1.60	2.62	3.83	2.60	1.62	2.68			
Mean	4.50	3.27	2.40		4.46	3.28	2.50				
CD (P=0.05)											
Treatments (A)	=	0.213				0.183					
Storage days (B)	=	0.111				0.196					
Interaction (A x B)	=	0.302				0.210					

#### Jawandha et al

	TSS%										
Treatment		2002		2003							
	D	ays after storag	je		Γ	ays after storag	je				
	10	20	30	Mean	10	20	30	Mean			
CaCl-, 0.5%	13.66	14.40	12.50	13.52	13.53	14.30	12.60	13.48			
CaCl-, 1.0%	13.53	14.20	12.66	13.46	13.40	14.20	12.70	13.43			
CaCl-, 2.0%	13.46	13.80	12.86	13.37	13.35	13.80	12.94	13.36			
$Ca(NO_{3}), 0.5\%$	13.80	14.80	12.30	13.63	13.60	14.40	12.46	13.49			
$Ca(NO_{3})_{2}^{2}$ 1.0%	13.70	14.40	12.45	13.52	13.60	14.20	12.60	13.46			
$Ca(NO_{3})_{2}^{2}$ 2.0%	13.60	14.00	12.70	13.43	13.50	14.00	12.80	13.43			
GA <sub>3</sub> 20 ppm	13.60	14.40	12.60	13.53	13.60	14.20	12.70	13.50			
GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	13.40	13.93	12.80	13.38	13.42	13.80	12.85	13.36			
GA <sub>3</sub> 60 ppm	13.40	13.70	13.00	13.37	13.20	13.73	13.13	13.35			
Bavistin 0.1%	13.66	14.60	12.33	13.53	13.70	14.40	12.40	13.50			
Control (untreated)	14.80	13.80	12.10	13.57	14.80	13.86	12.00	13.55			
Mean	13.69	14.18	12.57		13.61	14.08	12.65				
CD (P=0.05)			Base val	ue = 13.20			Base valu	ie = 13.10			
Treatments (A)	=	0.072				0.008					
Storage days (B)	=	0.088				0.010					
Interaction (A x B)	=	0.029				0.033					

Treatment				Acidity (%)						
		2002		2003						
	]	Days after stora	ge		Ι	Days after storag	ge			
	10	20	30	Mean	10	20	30	Mean		
CaCl-, 0.5%	0.154	0.144	0.128	0.142	0.157	0.143	0.132	0.144		
CaCl-, 1.0%	0.157	0.144	0.130	0.143	0.160	0.150	0.137	0.149		
CaCl-, 2.0%	0.164	0.152	0.140	0.152	0.170	0.156	0.142	0.156		
$Ca(NO_{3})_{2} 0.5\%$	0.152	0.139	0.122	0.137	0.155	0.140	0.130	0.142		
$Ca(NO_3)_2 1.0\%$	0.157	0.140	0.126	0.141	0.160	0.150	0.134	0.148		
$Ca(NO_3)_2^2 2.0\%$	0.164	0.146	0.134	0.148	0.164	0.148	0.138	0.150		
GA <sub>3</sub> 20 ppm	0.160	0.148	0.136	0.148	0.164	0.152	0.138	0.151		
GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	0.167	0.150	0.138	0.151	0.174	0.152	0.140	0.155		
GA <sub>3</sub> 60 ppm	0.170	0.156	0.142	0.156	0.174	0.159	0.148	0.160		
Bavistin 0.1%	0.152	0.140	0.124	0.138	0.157	0.146	0.132	0.145		
Control (untreated)	0.140	0.132	0.120	0.130	0.150	0.138	0.118	0.135		
Mean	0.157	0.144	0.131		0.162	0.148	0.135			
CD (P=0.05)		В	ase value $= 0.1$	73		Base $= 0.176$				
Treatments (A)	=	0.0034				0.0032				
Storage days (B)	=	0.0018				0.0017				
Interaction (A x B)	=	NS				NS				

in untreated fruits, whereas highest acidity was observed with  $GA_3$  (60 ppm) followed by  $CaCl_2$  (2.0%) treatment. This might be due to low respiration rate in  $GA_3$  (60 ppm) and  $CaCl_2$  (2.0%) treatments. Data pertaining to Vitamin C content in the fruit are presented in Table 4. Significant decrease in Vitamin C content was noted with advancement of storage period in all the treatments. These findings were in accordance with the results of Bal *et al* (1978) who reported a decrease in Vitamin C content with prolongation of storage period. Reduction in Vitamin C content might be attributed to its oxidation in the presence of molecular oxygen by ascorbic acid oxidase (Mapson, 1970; Tarkase

and Desai, 1989). At the end of storage, minimum Vitamin C content was found in Control fruits, whereas, it was maximum in  $GA_3(60 \text{ ppm})$  treated fruits, followed by  $CaCl_2$  (2.0%) treatment, which may be a result of low respiration transpiration rates and delayed senescence (Huang, 1974; Faust and Shear, 1972).

Total sugars showed an increasing trend up to 20 days of storage in all the treatments except in control, but decreased after 30 days of storage. Similar results were also reported by Jayachandran *et al* (2005) in gauva fruits. Stahl and Camp (1971) reported certain cell wall materials such

#### Post-harvest storage quality in ber

Treatment	Vitamin C (mg/100 g fruit flesh)									
	2	2002		2003						
	Days aft	er storage	Mean		Days aft	er storage	Mea			
	10	20	30		10	20	30			
CaCl-, 0.5%	82.76	62.80	53.68	66.41	83.25	63.45	55.03	67.24		
CaCl-, 1.0%	84.32	67.08	57.63	69.67	87.20	67.12	57.83	70.72		
CaCl-, 2.0%	90.87	71.42	60.88	74.39	92.86	71.79	63.92	76.19		
$Ca(NO_3), 0.5\%$	82.80	60.40	52.29	65.16	82.40	60.93	54.49	65.94		
$Ca(NO_3)_2 1.0\%$	85.98	63.84	55.62	68.48	84.80	66.41	56.34	69.18		
$Ca(NO_3)_2 2.0\%$	86.72	65.27	58.26	70.08	88.32	67.44	59.74	71.83		
GA <sub>3</sub> 20 ppm	88.44	67.35	56.82	70.87	90.40	68.36	57.62	72.13		
GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	91.59	70.23	59.83	73.88	93.82	72.62	62.03	76.16		
GA <sub>3</sub> 60 ppm	95.10	73.48	62.39	76.99	96.56	79.46	64.48	80.16		
Bavistin 0.1%	80.82	61.13	55.10	65.68	82.34	62.10	52.80	65.75		
Control (untreated)	77.73	56.02	50.69	61.48	79.20	57.26	49.87	62.11		
Mean	86.10	65.36	56.65		87.38	66.99	57.65			
CD (P=0.05)	Base value = $96.79$						Base val	ue = 98.93		
Treatments (A)	=	1.224				1.018				
Storage days (B)	=	0.639				0.532				
Interaction (A x B)	=	2.121				1.764				

Table 4. Effect of post-harvest treatment on Vitamin C content in ber fruits during cold storage

Table 5.	Effect of	post-harvest	treatment	on total	sugars in	ber fruits	during co	ld storage

Treatment			Tota	l sugars (%)					
	2	2002			2003				
	Days aft	er storage	Mean		Days aft	er storage	Mean		
	10	20	30		10	20	30		
CaCl-, 0.5%	10.08	10.38	9.00	9.82	10.01	10.30	9.02	9.78	
CaCl-, 1.0%	9.92	10.27	9.02	9.74	9.89	10.26	9.10	9.75	
CaCl-, 2.0%	9.84	10.00	9.22	9.69	9.70	10.07	9.29	9.68	
$Ca(NO_{3}), 0.5\%$	10.16	10.67	8.80	9.87	10.10	10.35	8.93	9.79	
$Ca(NO_3)_2 1.0\%$	10.10	10.37	8.92	9.80	10.10	10.26	9.02	9.79	
$Ca(NO_3)_2^2 2.0\%$	9.90	10.17	9.09	9.72	9.90	10.17	9.16	9.74	
GA <sub>3</sub> 20 ppm	9.90	10.38	9.02	9.76	9.92	10.28	9.10	9.76	
GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	9.82	10.10	9.18	9.70	9.77	10.10	9.20	9.69	
GA <sub>3</sub> 60 ppm	9.78	9.90	9.30	9.66	9.68	9.92	9.40	9.66	
Bavistin 0.1%	10.10	10.65	8.82	9.86	10.14	10.37	8.90	9.80	
Control (untreated)	10.69	10.15	8.73	9.86	10.60	9.90	8.84	9.78	
Mean	10.02	10.27	9.01		9.98	10.18	9.08		
CD (P=0.05)	Base value= 9.71			Base value $= 9.60$					
Treatments (A)	=	0.061				0.059			
Storage days (B)	=	0.092				0.072			
Interaction (A x B)	=	0.030				0.040			

as pectin and hemicellulose to be converted into reducing substances during prolonged storage. At the end of the storage, maximum total sugars content were recorded in  $GA_3$  (60 ppm) and  $CaCl_2$  (2.0%) treated fruits, whereas untreated fruits registered minimum total sugars content (Table 5). It might be due to low respiration rate and delayed senescence in  $GA_3$  and  $CaCl_2$  (2.0%) treated fruits. Gupta *et al* (1984) stated that calcium compounds significantly thickened middle lamella of the fruit cells owing to increased deposition of calcium pectate, thereby maintaining the cell wall and cell wall material.

## REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. 1980. Official methods of analysis of analytical chemists. Association of the Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C.
- Bal, J. S. Singh, P. and Singh, R. 1978. Preliminary observations on the storage behaviour of ber at room and refrigerated temperature. J. Res. Punjab Agri. Univ., Ludhiana 25: 396-99.
- Chahal, S. and Bal, J. S. 2003. Effect of post-harvest treatments and packaging on shelf-life of 'Umran' ber at cool temperature. *J. Res. Punjab. Agri. Univ.*, **40**: 363-370.

- Dhanraj, S., Ananihakrishna, S. M. and Govindrajan, V. S. 1980. Apple quality: development of descriptive quality profile for objective sensory evaluation. J. Food Qual. 4: 83-100.
- Faust, M. and Shear, C. B. 1972. The effect of calcium on respiration of apples. *J. Amer. Soc. Hortl. Sci.*, **97** : 437-439.
- Gupta, O. P. Jindal, P. C and Singh, B. P. 1980. Effect of pre-harvest spray of calcium nitrate on the storage behaviour of grapes cv. Perlette. J. Res. Haryana Agri. Univ., 10: 204-206.
- Gupta, O. P. Singh, B. P. Singh, S. P. and Chauhan, K. S. 1984. Effect of calcium compounds as pre-harvest spray on the shelf life of peach cv. Sharbati. *Punjab Hort. J.*, **24** : 105-110.
- Haggag, M. N. 1987. Effects of pre-harvest and post-harvest calcium treatments on storage behaviour of LeConte pears. *Alexandra J. Agri. Res.*, **32** : 175-188.
- Huang, C. C. 1974. Maintaining freshness of pineapple fruits for export. *Taiwan Agril. Quarterly*, **10**: 103-11.
- Jayachandran, K. S., Srihari, D. and Reddy, Y. N. 2005. Pre-harvest sprays of different sources of calcium to improve the shelf-life of guava. *Ind. J. Hortl. Sci.*, **62**: 68-70.
- Jawanda, J. S., Bal, J. S., Josan, J. S. and Mann, S. S. 1980. Studies on storage of ber fruit II. Cool temperature. *Punjab Hort. J.*, **20** : 56-61.
- Mapson, L.W. 1970. Vitamins in fruits: Stability of L-

ascorbic acid. **In:** Biochemistry of Fruits and their products. Vol. I (Ed., A.C. Hulme). Academic Press, London 376-377.

- Pool, K. M. Weaver, R. J. and Kliewer, K. M. 1972. The effect of growth regulators on changes in fruits of Thompson Seedless during cold storage. *J. Amer. Soc. Hortl. Sci.*, **97**: 67-70.
- Rasese, J. T. 1986. Nitrogen and calcium important in determining yield, fruit quality and disorders of 'Anjou' pears. In. Proc. Pac. Northwest Tree Fruit Short Course. pp. 155-168.
- Salunkhe, D. K. and Kadam, S. S. 1995. *Handbook of Fruit Science and Technology* pp 387. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.
- Sandbhor, D. R. and Desai, U. T. 1991. Influence of post harvest treatment on the shelf life of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Umran. *Mah. J. Hort.*, **5**: 24-28.
- Stahl, A. C. and Camp, A. F. 1971. Citrus fruits. In The Biochemistry of Fruits and their Products (Ed., Hulme A C). 2: 107-169.
- Tarkase, E. G. and Desai, U. T. 1989. Effects of packaging and chemicals on storage of orange cv. Mosambi, J. Mah. Agri. Univ., 14: 10-13.
- Wills, R. B. H. Babmbridge, P. A. and Scott, K. J. 1980. Use of flesh firmness and their objectives tests to determine the consumer acceptability of Delicious apple. *Aust. J. Agri. Anim. Husb.*, **20**: 252-256.

(MS Received 3 September 2007, Revised 19 April 2008)