



# JOURNAL OF HORTICULTURAL SCIENCES

Volume 16

December 2021

Issue 2





Society for Promotion of Horticulture ICAR - Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bengaluru - 560 089



### JOURNAL OF HORTICULTURAL SCIENCES

Volume 16	Issue 2	June	2021
	C O N T E N T S		
In this Issue			i-ii
Review			
<b>Phytoremediation of indoor</b> <b>plants beyond aesthetics</b> Shalini Jhanji and U.K.Dhatt	r air pollutants: Harnessing the potential of	131	-143
<b>Research</b> Articles			
<b>Response of fruit yield and</b> <b>lemon [<i>Citrus limon</i> (L.) B Sheikh K.H.A., Singh B., Ha</b>	quality to foliar application of micro-nutrients in ourm.] cv. Assam lemon okip S.W., Shankar K., Debbarma R.	144	-151
Studies on high density pla	nting and nutrient requirement of banana in	152	-163
Debnath Sanjit Bauri F.K., Sy Bhalerao V.P., Baruah K., Ma	wain S., Patel A.N., Patel A.R., Shaikh N.B., anju P.R., Suma A., Menon R., Gutam S. and P. Patil		
<b>Mineral nutrient compositi</b> mango genotypes grown un Nimbolkar P.K., Kurian R.M. D. Kalaivanan	on in leaf and root tissues of fifteen polyembryonic nder varying levels of salinity ., Varalakshmi L.R., Upreti K.K., Laxman R.H. and	164	-176
<b>Optimization of GA3 conce</b> <b>grape cv. Crimson Seedless</b> Satisha J., Kumar Sampath P	entration for improved bunch and berry quality in s ( <i>Vitis vinifera</i> L) 2. and Upreti K.K.	177	-184
RGAP molecular marker f ridge gourd [ <i>Luffa acutang</i> Kaur M., Varalakshmi B., Ku Mahesha B. and Pitchaimuthu	For resistance against yellow mosaic disease in gula (L.) Roxb.] Imar M., Lakshmana Reddy D.C., I M.	185	-192
Genetic divergence study in Nithinkumar K.R., Kumar J.S Ramachandra R.K., Prashan	<b>n bitter gourd (<i>Momordica charantia</i> L.)</b> S.A., Varalakshmi B, Mushrif S.K., th S.J.	193	-198
Combining ability studies t	to develop superior hybrids in bell pepper	199	-205
Varsha V., Smaranika Mishra Kattegoudar J. and Madhavi	, Lingaiah H.B., Venugopalan R., Rao K.V. Reddy K.		
<b>SSR marker development i</b> <b>using transcriptome seque</b> Gayathri M., Pitchaimuthu M	n <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> (L.) <i>Moench</i> ncing and genetic diversity studies I. and K.V. Ravishankar	206	-214



Generation mean analysis of important yield traits in Bitter gourd ( <i>Momordica charantia</i> )	215-221
Swamini Bhoi, Varalakshmi B., Rao E.S., Pitchaimuthu M. and Hima Bindu K.	
<b>Influence of phenophase based irrigation and fertigation schedule on vegetative performance of chrysanthemum (</b> <i>Dendranthema grandiflora</i> <b>Tzelev.) var. Marigold</b> Vijayakumar S., Sujatha A. Nair, Nair A.K., Laxman R.H. and Kalaivanan D.	222-233
<b>Performance evaluation of double type tuberose IIHR-4 (IC-0633777) for flower yield, quality and biotic stress response</b> Bharathi T.U., Meenakshi Srinivas, Umamaheswari R. and Sonavane, P.	234-240
Anti-fungal activity of <i>Trichoderma atroviride</i> against <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>Lycopersici</i> causing wilt disease of tomato Yogalakshmi S., Thiruvudainambi S., Kalpana K., Thamizh Vendan R. and Oviya R.	241-250
Seed transmission of bean common mosaic virus-blackeye cowpea mosaic strain (BCMV-BlCM) threaten cowpea seed health in the Ashanti and Brong-Ahafo regions of Ghana	251-260
Adams F.K., Kumar P.L., Kwoseh C., Ogunsanya P., Akromah R. and Tetteh R.	
Effect of container size and types on the root phenotypic characters of <i>Capsicum</i> Raviteja M.S.V., Laxman R.H., Rashmi K., Kannan S., Namratha M.R. and Madhavi Reddy K.	261-270
<b>Physio-morphological and mechanical properties of chillies for</b> <b>mechanical harvesting</b> Yella Swami C., Senthil Kumaran G., Naik R.K., Reddy B.S. and Rathina Kumari A.C.	271-279
Assessment of soil and water quality status of rose growing areas of Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh in India Varalakshmi LR., Tejaswini P., Rajendiran S. and K.K. Upreti	280-286
Qualitative and organoleptic evaluation of immature cashew kernels under storage Sharon Jacob and Sobhana A.	287-291
Physical quality of coffee bean ( <i>Coffea arabica</i> L.) as affected by harvesting and drying methods Chala T. Lamessa K. and Jalata Z.	292-300
<b>Vegetative vigour, yield and field tolerance to leaf rust in four F1 hybrids of coffee (Coffea arabica L.) in India</b> Divya K. Das, Shivanna M.B. and Prakash N.S.	301-308
Limonene extraction from the zest of <i>Citrus sinensis</i> , <i>Citrus limon</i> , <i>Vitis vinifera</i> and evaluation of its antimicrobial activity Wani A.K., Singh R., Mir T.G. and Akhtar N.	309-314
Event Report National Horticultural Fair 2021 - A Success Story Dhananjaya M.V., Upreti K.K. and Dinesh M.R.	315-318
Subject index	319-321
Author index	322-323

**Original Research Paper** 



## RGAP molecular marker for resistance against yellow mosaic disease in ridge gourd [*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.]

Kaur M.<sup>1</sup>\*, Varalakshmi B.<sup>1</sup>, Kumar M.<sup>1</sup>, Lakshmana Reddy D.C.<sup>2</sup>, Mahesha B.<sup>3</sup> and Pitchaimuthu M.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Vegetable Crops, <sup>2</sup>Division of Basic Sciences, <sup>3</sup>Division of Plant Protection ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Hesaraghatta Lake Post, Bengaluru - 560089 \*Corresponding author Email : mkaur89096@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

Yellow mosaic disease caused by *Tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus* (ToLCNDV) causes 100 per cent losses in ridge gourd under epidemic conditions, particularly in the tropics and sub-tropics of India. Plant breeding approaches led by virus resistance marker-assisted selection have gained increased momentum in breeding for hasten the development of resistant varieties. In the present study, an effort had been made to identify molecular markers linked to yellow mosaic disease resistance loci in an  $F_2$  population derived from a cross between susceptible 'Arka Prasan' and resistant 'IIHR-Sel-1' of ridge gourd. All the molecular markers were amplified in parents, and one polymorphic marker clearly distinguished the contrasting parents. The primers LaRGAP 63 produced a polymorphic DNA fragment that co-segregated with yellow mosaic disease reaction phenotypically in the  $F_2$  population. The identified marker will be helpful to the breeders for introgression of resistance loci into the elite background.

Keywords: molecular breeding, resistance, Ridge gourd, ToLCNDV and yellow mosaic

#### INTRODUCTION

Yellow mosaic disease caused by the tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus (ToLCNDV) is a major production constraint in ridge gourd [Luffa acutangula (L.) Roxb.] cultivation. It results in heavy crop losses, especially in the tropical and sub-tropical regions as high disease dissemination through whitefly (Bemisia tabaci). It was first observed in India, causing viral disease symptoms on tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) (Padidam et al., 1995), and recently it has invaded many new countries with extended host range (Zaidi et al., 2016). Considering the potential of pathogen and health and environmental risk associated with pesticide use, identification, and introduction of genetic resistance in ridge gourd cultivars is a priority for breeders. Source of genetic resistance have been confirmed in gourds and other cucurbits (Islam *et al.*, 2010; Lopez et al., 2015; Prasanna et al., 2015a; Prasanna et al., 2015b; and Saez et al., 2016). Romay et al. (2019) reported a single recessive gene (bgm-1) with two independent minor genes (Bgm-2 and *ToLCDV*) inheritance in melon accession IC-274014. Rai et al. (2014) reported monogenic recessive control for leaf curl virus in an inter specific cross (PBC 535 × Bhut Jolokia) of chili. In tomato, one major recessive gene (ty-5) with one additional gene was reported in resistant source 'Fla 8753' (Hutton *et al.*, 2012). Islam *et al.* (2010) observed single dominant gene action for yellow mosaic virus resistance in sponge gourd, DSG-6 and DSG-7 (*L. acutangula* L).

Incorporation of resistance alleles in the cultivated varieties require some biotechnological intervention as it recover resistant material fast with high precision. Molecular marker linked to various traits has been identified in gourd (Islam et al., 2011; Karthik et al., 2016). Genetic markers have been identified and leaf curl resistance genes were mapped on genetic map of tomato (Zamir et al., 1994; Chague et al., 1997; Ji et al., 2007b; and Ji et al., 2009). De Castro et al. (2007b) identified co-dominant cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) marker linked to tomato leaf curl virus resistance genes, Ty-1 in tomato. The resistant genes were introgessed from S. habrochaites, S. lycopersicon, S. pimpinellifoium. Garcia et al. (2007) reported two sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) markers (TG0302 and TY-2R1) linked to Tomato leaf curl virus resistance gene (Ty-2) in tomato. Ji et al. (2007a) detected co-dominant SCAR primer FLUW25 linked to leaf curl virus resistance genes (Ty-3/Ty-3a) in the LA2779 lines derived from tomato wild species S.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



*chilense.* Identification and mapping of the mungbean yellow mosaic virus resistance gene have been reported by several researchers (Souframanien and Gopalakrishna, 2006; Gupta *et al.*, 2013; Alam*et al.*, 2014; Singh *et al.*, 2020). With this background, here we report a RGAP marker linked to yellow mosaic disease resistance in *L. acutangula* (L.) Roxb.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Plant materials**

This experiment was conducted in the  $F_2$  population developed from a cross between Arka Prasan and IIHR-Sel-1. Arka Prasan is a commercial high yielding ridge gourd variety with long and tender fruits, however susceptible to yellow mosaic disease. *L. acutangula* genotype IIHR-Sel-1 is a yellow mosaic disease resistant advanced breeding line maintained as inbred after at least six generations of self-pollination at the Division of Vegetable Crops, ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bengaluru (Kaur *et al.*, 2021b). Both the genotypes were true-to-type with highly uniform plant types due to continuous selfpollination.

Controlled crosses were made between the susceptible 'Arka Prasan' (used as a female parent;  $P_1$ ) and the resistant 'IIHR-Sel-1' (used as a male parent; P<sub>2</sub>) ridge gourd genotypes to develop  $F_1$  generations. The  $F_1$ population was self-pollinated to produce the subsequent F, population in the spring-summer season. In the field, 30 plants of each  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $F_1$  (Arka Prasan  $\times$  IIHR-Sel-1), whereas 252 plants of F<sub>2</sub> population were evaluated under high disease pressure conditions with high epidemics of whitefly, the insect vector for disease transmission during the springsummer season under field conditions (Kaur et al., 2020). Randomized complete block design with three replications was followed for current experiment. Plants were evaluated on a standardized 6-point interaction phenotype scale (Sohrab, 2005) where 0=no symptoms, 1= mild mosaic pattern in young leaves covering >10% area, 2= mosaic pattern in young leaves covering >25% area, 3 = mosaic pattern inyoung leaves covering>50% area, blistering and puckering of leaves, 4= widespread mosaic pattern in young leaves covering >75% area, distortion of leaves and 5= widespread mosaic pattern in young leaves covering >75% area, distortion of leaves and stunting of the plants. Eight scorings were taken at the weekly interval after the first disease symptoms (18 days after transplanting) on susceptible lines and last week data were used to link with the marker.

#### DNA extraction and primer selection

DNA of parents (Arka Prasan and IIHR-Sel-1) F<sub>1</sub>'s and segregating population  $(F_2)$  was extracted from young and healthy leaves using the procedure of cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Doyle and Doyle 1990). The two-sequence related amplified polymorphism (SRAP) primers in four combinations previously reported (Islam et al. 2010) were used for molecular marker validation. Fourteen resistance gene analog polymorphism (RGAP) primers were designed using Primer 3.0 from nucleotide-binding sites leucin rich repeat (NBS-LRR) sequence analogs (Karthik, 2016) and screened in the current study. PCR reaction was performed in 15.0  $\mu$ l aliquots containing 10X assay buffer, 15.0 mM MgCl2, 1.0 mM dNTPs, 3U Taq DNA polymerase, five µm primers, 30 ng template DNA, and sterile double distilled water in an Eppendorf thermocycler. The PCR profile starts with an initial denaturation at 95°C for 4 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing as per the primers for 30 sec, extension at 72°C for 1 min followed by a final extension at 72°C for 5 min and storage at 4°C. The amplified products separated on 4 % agarose gel were visualized with ethidium bromide staining under the gel documentation system (UVITECH limited, Cleaver Scientific, United Kingdom).

#### Scoring of molecular profiles

The molecular markers with polymorphism between resistant and susceptible parents were selected. Primers showing polymorphism between the resistant and susceptible parents were used to screen the segregating  $F_2$  population. The goodness of fit of the observation from the  $F_2$  plants' genotyping with the expected Mendelian ratio was tested using chi-square analysis (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985).

#### Cloning and sequencing of PCR amplicons

Target amplified products of predicted size were gel excised and purified using MinElute Gel extraction kit (Cat# 28704, QIAGEN, GmbH and Hilden, Germany). The purified PCR products were cloned into the plasmid vector pTZ57R/T using InsT/A clone PCR product cloning kit (Cat# K 1214, MBI, Fermentas) following the manufacturer's instruction and transformed into competent cells of *E. coli* strain

J. Hortl. Sci. Vol. 16(2) : 185-192, 2021



DH5a using the standard heat-shock method. Recombinant clones were selected through blue/ white colony screening on LB plates supplemented with antibiotic ampicillin (1000 mg/ml), IPTG (0.1 M) and X-gal (20 mg/ml). The positive clones were confirmed for the presence of inserts by EcoRI digestion of the plasmids and electrophoresis on ethidium bromidestained 2.0% (w/v) agarose gel. The clones showing expected inserts were sequenced from the Medaxin DNA Sequencing facility, Bangalore, Karnataka India.

The sequences obtained were subjected to NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) BLAST N search, and the sequence showing the highest scores were retrieved. The sequence data were assembled and analyzed using the software BioEdit version 7.0.9.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Three SRAP and RGAP markers previously found to be co-segregating with yellow mosaic disease resistant loci were monomorphic between the contrasting genotypes. Since linked markers failed to differentiate resistant and susceptible genotypes, attempts were made to identify molecular markers linked to yellow mosaic resistance loci. All the markers amplified in the parental lines, of which most of the primers were found monomorphic. One primer, LaRGAP 63, showed polymorphism and distinguished the contrasting parents (Fig 1). The marker LaRGAP 63 showed amplified product size (460 bp) in susceptible plants, while a smaller product size (455 bp) was observed in the resistant plants. Similar to susceptible parent, 460bp sizes were detected in F<sub>1</sub> plants (Fig 1). Marker was of the dominant nature, hence failed to detect any heterozygous band in the hybrid. The marker LaRGAP 63 was used for individual plant genotyping of 252 plants in the F<sub>2</sub> population. PCR amplification of 252 DNA samples with LaRGAP 63 showed the segregation pattern of 3:1 (susceptible: resistant) with ( $\chi 2 = 0.09$ ; p = 0.77) indicating cosegregation of markers with phenotypic data where F<sub>2</sub> was fitted in 3:1 (susceptible: resistant) and single recessive gene action was observed for trait inheritance (Table 1). LaRGAP 63 marker amplified at 455bp in resistant genotype (IIHR-Sel-1) and 61 F, individuals whereas 460 bp band size was detected in susceptible genotypes, F<sub>1</sub> hybrid and 191 F<sub>2</sub> individuals (Fig. 1). Marker LaRGAP 63 was found to be co-segregated with phenotypic observations (80.95 %) for yellow mosaic resistance in the genetic background of IIHR-Sel-1 (Table 1). Upon cloning and sequencing of amplified products, LaRGAP 63 in resistant and susceptible parents, the five nucleotides difference was confirmed. In the resistant parent, the deletion of 5 nucleotides was observed compared to the susceptible parent (Fig 2). Further sequence analysis with BLASTN tool (www.ncbi.com) and BioEdit 7.0, LaRGAP 63 showed 85.4% homology with mosaic virus resistance gene in cucurbits (Fig 3).



**Figure 1. Genotyping of F<sub>2</sub> population with LaRGAP 63** (P<sub>1</sub>- Arka Prasan, P<sub>2</sub>- IIHR-Sel-1, F<sub>1</sub>-Hybrid, S-Susceptible, R-Resistant and M-100 bp ladder)

<b>Fable 1. Segregation</b>	pattern	of LaRGAI	P 63 marl	ker locus	s using	252	F <sub>2</sub> plants	derived
	from the	e cross of A	rka Prasa	n × IIH	R-Sel-	1	-	

Marker	Observed F <sub>2</sub> plants (Genotypic)		Expected ratio	χ2 value	P value at 5%	Observed F <sub>2</sub> plants (Phenotypic)		Co-segregation with trait (%)
	Resistant (R)	Susceptible (S)	R:S			R	S	
LaRGAP 63	61	191	1:3	0.09	0.77	68	184	80.95

	R	
SOCIAL	-	
OR PRO	NOTION OF HORTIC	

C4H36 2R A11.ab1	1	AATTACTTGGAGGG <mark>ATGACATTCCTTGAAGGCATGCCAAC</mark> TAAAAAG <mark>TTCAAGGGCTTTGTTTTCATCCAATCCTTG</mark> AA
C4H37 2R B11.ab1	1	AA <mark>TTACTT</mark> GGAGGGGATGACATTCCTTGAAGGCATGCCAACTAAAAAGTTCAAGGGCTTTGTTTTCATCCAATCCTTGAA
C4H37 2R B11.ab1	1	AA <mark>TTACTT</mark> GGAGGGGATGACATTCCTTGAAGGCATGCCAACTAAAAAGTTCAAGGGCTTTGTTTTCATCCAATCCTTGAA
C4H36_2R_A11.ab1	1	AA <mark>TTACTT</mark> GGAGGG <mark>ATGACATTCCTTGAAGGCATGCCAAC</mark> TAAAAAG <mark>TTCAAGGGCTTTGTTTTCATCCAATCCTTG</mark> AA
C4H36_2R_A11.ab1	100	COTTGGCTGGAAAGTAAATGTTTATTCCTTGTTGTCACAATGATTGTACTTCCTCGACCGAACCAATCACACCCACC
C4H37_2R_B11.ab1	101	COTTGGCTGGAAAGTAAATGTTTATTCCTTGTTGTCACAATGATTGTACTTCCTCGACCGAACCAATCACACCCACC
C4H37 2R B11.ab1	101	CCTTGGCTGGAAAGTAAATGTTTATTCCTTGTTGTCACAATGATTGTACTTCCTCGACCGAACCAATCACACCCACC
C4H36_2R_A11.ab1	100	CCTTGGCTGGAAAG <mark>TAAAT</mark> GTTTATTCCTTGTTGTCACAATGATTGTACTTCCTCGACCGAACCAATCACACCCACC
C4H36_2R_A11.ab1	200	CCTTATCCACATCATCAAGAACTATAAGAACTTTCTTAGAACGCAGTCTATTACTCATGATGCTAATTCCTTTGTCCAGA
C4H37_2R_B11.ab1	201	CCTTATCCACATCATCAAGAACTATAAGAACTTTCTTAGAACGCAGTCTATTACTCATGATGCTAATTCCTTTGTCGAGA
C4H37_2R_B11.ab1	201	CCTTATCCACATCATCAAGAACTATAAGAACTTTCTTAGAACGCAGTCTATTACTCATGATGCTAATTCCTTTGTCGAGA
C4H36_2R_A11.ab1	200	GOTTATCCACATCATCAAGAACTATAAGAACTTTCTTAGAACGCAGTCTATTACTCATGATGCTAATTCCTTTGTCGAGA
C4H36_2R_A11.ab1	300	CTTCAAGATCTCATAGAGTAGATTTTCTTGTAGTTGAACTAGGCCATTGGATTGCTTTGAAGTTTCTCTAACATTTAATA
C4H37 2R B11.ab1	301	CTTCAAGATCTCATAGAGTAGATTTTCTTGTAGTTGAACTAGGCCATTGGATTGCTTTGAAGTTTCTCTAACATTTAATA
C4H37 2R B11.ab1	301	CTTCAAGATCTCATAGAGTAGATTTTCTTGTAGTTGAACTAGGCCATTGGATTGCTTTGAAGTTTCTCTAACATTTAATA
C4H36_2R_A11.ab1	300	CTTCAAGATCTCATAGAGTAGATTTTCTTGTAGTTGAACTAGGCCATTGGATTGCTTTGAAGTTTCTCTAACATTTAATA
C4H36 2R A11.ab1	400	TGATTAGCAATTTTGTTGAATAAAGCTTTGGCCAAAGTCGTCTTCCCAACACCGAA 455
C4H37 2R B11.ab1	401	TGATTAGCAATTTTGTTGAATAAAGCTTTGGCCAAAGTCGTCTTCCCAACACCGAGAAAT 450
C4H37 2R B11.ab1	401	TCATTACCAATTTTGTTGAATAAAGCTTTGGCCAAAGTCGTCTTCCCAACACCCAGAAAT 460
C4H36_2R_A11.ab1	400	TGATTAGCAA <mark>TTTTGTTGAAT</mark> AAAGC <mark>TTTGGCCAAAGTCGTCTTCCCAACACCGAA</mark> 455

## Figure 2. Sequence analysis of LaRGAP 63 displaying nucleotide difference between the resistant and susceptible parents

(C4H36\_2R\_All.ab1 and C4H36\_2R\_All.ab1 corresponds to genomic sequence of the amplified segment of the resistant genotype, IIHR-SEL-1 and susceptible genotype, Arka Prasan respectively)

Phenotypic evaluation combined with marker aided selection is important in molecular breeding. Molecular mapping of the ToLCNDV resistance gene was carried out using F<sub>2</sub> segregating population. Based on phenotypic evaluation for disease reaction in the F<sub>2</sub> population, a segregation pattern was found the best fit for 3:1 (susceptible: resistant). Thus, indicating that inheritance of resistance was under the control of a recessive gene (Kaur et al., 2021a). Islam et al. (2011) reported two SRAP markers linked to ToLCNDV resistance gene in sponge gourd. Due to genome synteny between ridge gourd and sponge gourd (Wu et al., 2016), both the previously identified markers were employed for validation in resistant Luffa genotype IIHR-Sel-1. Both the markers were amplified in susceptible and resistant genotypes but did not show any polymorphism. One RGAP marker (LaRGAP 63) has shown polymorphism between resistant and susceptible genotypes. PCR amplification of derived

F<sub>2</sub> population with LaRGAP 63 showed the segregation pattern of 3:1 (susceptible: resistant) indicating the involvement of a recessive gene for marker inheritance (Table 1). Sequence analysis of LaRGAP63 showed 85.40 and 84.29 per cent homology with mosaic virus resistance protein and disease-resistant protein RPP-4, respectively. A number of RGAs were found to be linked with virus, bacteria and nematodes resistance in many crop species (Kanazin et al., 1996; Leister et al., 1996; Yu et al., 1996; Speulman et al., 1998; Spielmeyer et al., 2000). Saha et al. (2013) also identified one molecular marker (sgRGC 18) linked with ToLCNDV resistance in sponge gourd. Cultivated Luffa species are crosscompatible; however, fruit-related traits need to be studied. Pandey et al. (2018) found 56 polymorphic microsatellite markers for diversity analysis among Luffa species. Cucumber derived SSR markers were highly transferable to L. hermaphrodita (60.2%), L.



C4H36_2R_A11.ab1 C4H37_2R_B11.ab1 XM_017046103.1 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_017045981.1 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_008456949.2 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_031881034.1 PREDICTED: Cucu JN230664.1 Cucumis melo clone	1 1 1 1 1	AATTACTESCAGGG-ATGACATTCCTEGAAGGCATGCCAACTAAAAAGTTCAAGGGCTTTGTTTTC AATTACTESCAGGGGATGACATTCCTEGAAGGCATGCCAACTAAAAAGTTCAAGGGCTTTGTTTTC GGAGGTATTGGTAAGACAACTTGGCTAAAGCTTTATCAACAAAAAATTTGAAGGTTGTTGCTTTC GGAGGCATTGGTAAGACAACTTTGGCTAAAGCTTTATCAACAAAAATTTGAAGGTTGTTGTTTC GGAGGCATTGGTAAGACAACTTTGGCTAAAGCTTTGTCAATAAAAATTTGAAGGTTTGTGTTTC GGAGGCATTGGTAAGACAACTTTGGCTAAAGCTTTGTCAATAAAAAATTTGAAGGTTTGTGTTTC GGAGGCATTGGTAAGACTACTTTGGCTAAGCTTTGTCAATAAAAAATTTGAAGGTTTGTGTTTC GGAGGCATTGGTAAGACTACTTTGGCTAAGCTTTGTCAATAAAAAATTTGAAGGTTTGTGTTTC GGAGGCATTGGTAAGACTACTTTGGCTAAGCTTTGTCAATAAAAAATTTGAAGGTTTGTGTTTC GGGGGGTTTGGTAAGACTACTTTGGCTAAGCTTTGTCAATAAAAATTTGAAGGTTTGTGTTTC
C4H36_2R_A11.ab1 C4H37_2R_B11.ab1 XM_017046103.1 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_017045981.1 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_008456949.2 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_031881034.1 PREDICTED: Cucu JN230664.1 Cucumis melo clone	100 101 101 101 101 101	COTTGGCTGGAAAGTAAAGTTTATTCCTTGTTGTCACAATGATTGTACTTCCTCGACCGAACCAA GCTTGGCTGGAAAGTAAAGGTTATTCCTTGTTGTCACAATGATTGTAGTTGCTCGACCGAACCAA GCCTTGCTCAAACAAGAAAGGCTACTCTATGAGATCCTAATGGTTGATTTTGTCAACCGAATTAA GCCTTGCTCAAACAAGAAAGCTACTCTATGAGATCCTAATGGTTGATTTTGTCAACAGAATTAA GCCTGGTTCAAACAAGAAAAGCTACTCTATGAGATCTTAAAGGTTGATTTTTGTCAACAGAATTAA GCCTGGTTCAAACAAGAAAAACTACTCTATGAGATTTTAAAGGTTGATTTTTGCCAACAGAATTAA GCCTGGTTCAAACAAGAAAAACTACTCTATGAGATTTTAAAGGTTGATTTTTGCCAACGAATTAA GCCTGGTTCAAACAAGAAAAACTACTCTATGAGATTTTAAAGGTTGATTTTTGCCAACGAATTAA
C4H36_2R_A11.ab1 C4H37_2R_B11.ab1 XM_017046103.1 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_017045981.1 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_008456949.2 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_031881034.1 PREDICTED: Cucu JN230664.1 Cucumis melo clone	200 201 201 201 201 201 201	GETTATCCACAT CATCAACAACTATAACAACTTTTTTACAACCCAGTCTATTACTCATGATGCTAA GETTATCCACATCATCAACAACTATAAGAACTTTTTTTACAACCCAGTCTATTACTCATGATGCTAA GATGATCAACATAAGCTTCACCAATTAGAAGCATTTTGGGCTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGGAGGAGGAG GATGATGAGATAAGCTTCAACCATTTGGAAGCATTTTGGGCGGGGGGGG
C4H36_2R_A11.ab1 C4H37_2R_B11.ab1 XM_017046103.1 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_017045981.1 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_008456949.2 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_031881034.1 PREDICTED: Cucu JN230664.1 Cucumis melo clone	300 301 301 301 301 301 301	CTTCAACATCACATACAGAACATTTTCTHCTACTTCAACTAGGCCATTCGATTGCTTTGAAGTTTC CTTCAACATCACATACAGTACATTTTCTHCTHCTACTAGACCAGTGCCATTCGATTGCTTTGAAGTTTC AGCCAGGCTTTGATGAAATACACTATTCTAGGATTGAACGAGACAAACGTATTGCTTTTAGTTGG AGCCAGGCTTGATGAAAATACACTATTCTAGGATTGAACGAGACAAACGTATTGCTTTTTAGTTGG AGCCAGACTTGATGAAAAAAGTATTGTCGGGAATTGAATCAGGCCATCGTGTTGCTTTTTAGTTGG AGCCAGAATTTGATGAAAAAGTATTGTCGGGAATTGAGTCAGGCCATCGTGTTGCTTTTTAGTTGG AGCCAGAATTTGATGAAAAAGTATTGTCGGGAATTGAGTCAGGCCATCGTGTTGCTTTTTAGTTGG AGCCAGACTTGATGAAAAAGTATTGTCGGGAATTGAGTCAGGCCATCGTGTTGCTTTTTAGTTGG AGCCAGGCTTTGATGAAAAAGTATTGTCGGGAATTGAGTCAGGTCATGCTATTGCTTTTTAGTTGG AGCCAGGCTTTGATGAAAAAAGTATTGTCGGGAATTGAGTCAGGTCATGCTATTGCTTTTTAGTTGG
C4H36_2R_A11.ab1 C4H37_2R_B11.ab1 XM_017046103.1 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_017045981.1 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_008456949.2 PREDICTED: Cucu XM_031881034.1 PREDICTED: Cucu JN230664.1 Cucumis melo clone	400 401 401 401 401 401 401	GATTAGCAATTTGTTGAATAAGCTTFGCCAAAGTCGTGTCCCAAGACGAA 454 GATTAGCAATTTGTTGAATAAAGCTTFGCCAAAGTCGTCTTCCCAAGACGGAAAAT 459 ATTTAGACCTTTCAAAACGTGAAGTTATTGTAAAGGCCATCCTTGCGTGTGTTT 459 ATTTAGACCTTTCAAAACGTGAAGTTATTGTAAAGGCCATCCTTTGCGTGTGTGT

Figure 3. LaRGAP 63 nucleotides sequence similarity with known mosaic virus resistance protein available in public domain

(C4H36\_2R\_All.ab1 and C4H36\_2R\_All.ab1 corresponds to genomic sequence of the amplified segment of the resistant genotype, IIHR-SEL-1 and susceptible genotype, Arka Prasan respectively. Amplified genomic sequence was aligned with mosaic virus resistance genes available in public domain www.ncbi.com)

*acutangula* (68%) and *L. aegyptica* (61.16%) and therefore can be used for marker assisted selection in *Luffa* species.

Wu *et al.* (2016) constructed a genetic linkage map using an  $F_2$  population derived from an interspecific cross between S1174 (ridge gourd) and 93075 (sponge gourd) spans over 1436.12 cM and the average distance between the markers is 8.11cM. Total 177 EST-SSR markers were employed which were distributed over 14 linkage group with an average of 102.58 cM per linkage group. These studies are more relevant for interspecies horticultural traits incorporation.

Saez *et al.* (2020) reported that major QTLs linked to ToLCNDV resistance was located at chromosome 8. Souframanien and Gopalakrishna (2006) identified ISSR marker (ISSR8111357) linked to mungbean yellow mosaic virus resistance gene in black gram. ISSR8111357 was validated using diverse germplasm differing in the disease reactions. ISSR8111357

marker was converted to co-dominant SCAR marker. Upon screening, the SCAR marker clearly differentiated the resistant and susceptible plants. Hoque and Rabbani (2009) found four polymorphic RAPD markers distinguishing 28 accessions of ridge gourd. Wu et al. (2014) designed 641 expressed sequence tags- simple sequence repeats (EST-SSR) primer pairs with sponge gourd transcriptome analysis out of which 494 were amplified and two hundred and one (40.69%) revealed polymorphisms between the sponge gourd [L. cylindrica (L.) Roem.] and ridge gourd [L. acutangula (L.) Roxb.]. These polymorphic markers were found highly transferable in six other cucurbits viz. pumpkin, cucumber, wax gourd, bitter gourd and bottle gourd. Therefore, these can be employed inmarker-assisted selection for the improvement of Luffa species.

The identified yellow mosaic disease linked marker LaRGAP 63 sharing homology with the mosaic virus resistance protein in cucurbits is vital to facilitate early plant selection and further pyramiding for resistance



loci for different virus isolates in common ridge gourd background. As the identified marker is dominant, it needs to be converted into a co-dominant to increase its efficiency for the ridge gourd's molecular resistance breeding program.

#### Alam A.K.M.M., Somta P. and Srinives P. 2014. Identification and confirmation of quantitative trait loci controlling resistance to mungbean yellow mosaic disease in mungbean [*Vigna* radiata (L.) Wilczek]. Molecular Breeding, 34(3):1497-1506.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11032-014-0133-0.

- Chague V., Mercier J., Guenard M. 1997. Identification of RAPD markers linked to a locus involved in quantitative resistance to TYLCV in tomato by bulked segregant analysis. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, **95**(4): 671-677. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/ s001220050611
- De-Castro A.P., Blanca J.M., Diaz M.J. and Nuez F. 2007. Identification of a CAPS marker tightly linked to the Tomato yellow leaf curl disease resistance gene *Ty-1* in tomato. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, **117**(4):347-356DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-007-9103-2.
- Doyle J.J., Doyle J.L. 1990. Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. *Focus*. **12**(1):13-15.
- Garcia B.E., Graham E., JensenK.S., Hanson P., Mejia L.and Maxwell D.P. 2007. Co-dominant SCAR marker for detection of the begomovirusresistance *Ty-2* locus derived from *Solanum habrochaites* in tomato germplasm. *Tomato Genetics Cooperative*, 57:21-24.
- Gupta S., Gupta D.S., Anjum T.K., Pratap A. and Kumar J. 2013. Inheritance and molecular tagging of MYMIV resistance gene in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper). *Euphytica*, **193**(1):27-37.DOI:https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10681-013-0884-4.
- Hoque S., Rabbani M.G. 2009. Assessment of genetic relationship among landraces of Bangladeshi ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangular* Roxb.) using RAPD markers. *Journal of Scientific Research*, 1(3):615-623.DOI:10.3329/jsr.v1i3.1968

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors gratefully acknowledge Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bengaluru for resource facilitations.

#### REFERENCES

- Hutton S.F., Scott J.W., Schuster D.J. 2012. Recessive resistance to *Tomato yellow leaf curl virus* from the tomato cultivar Tyking is located in the same region as *Ty-5* on chromosome 4. *Hort Science*. **47**(3):324-327.
- Islam S., Munshi A.D., Mandal B., Kumar R. and Behera T.K. 2010. Genetics of resistance in Luffa cylindrica Roem. against Tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus. Euphytica. 174(1):83-89.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-010-0138-7.
- Islam S., Munshi A.D., Verma M., Arya L., Mandal B., Behera T.K., Kumar R. and Lal S.K. 2011. Screening of *Luffa cylindrica* Roem. for resistance against Tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus, inheritance of resistance, and identification of SRAP markers linked to the single dominant resistance gene. *J. Horti Sci and Biotech*, **86**(3):661-667. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/14620316.2011.11512819
- Ji Y., Schuster D.J., Scott J.W. 2007a. *Ty-3*, a begomovirus resistance locus near the Tomato yellow leaf curl virus resistance locus *Ty-1* on chromosome 6 of tomato. *Molecular Breeding*. 20(3):271-284.DOI:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-007-9089-7
- Ji Y., Scott J.W., Hanson P., Graham E.and Maxwell D.P. 2007b. Sources of resistance, inheritance, and location of genetic loci conferring resistance to members of the tomato-infecting begomoviruses. In: Czosnek H, (ed). Tomato yellow leaf curl virus disease. Springer, The Netherlands. 343-362.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-4769-5 20.
- Ji Y., Scott J.W., Schuster D.J.and Maxwell D.P. 2009. Molecular mapping of *Ty-4*, a new Tomato yellow leaf curl virus resistance locus on chromosome 3 of tomato. *J. Americ. Soc. Horti. Sci.* **134(2):**281-288. DOI:https:/ /doi.org/10.21273/JASHS.134.2.281



- Kanazin V., Marek L.F., Shoemaker R.C. 1996. Resistance gene analogs are conserved and clustered in soybean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 93(21):11746-11750. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.93.21.11746
- Karthik K. 2016. Genetic variability and isolation, characterization of resistant gene analogs in *tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus* (ToLCNDV) resistant advanced inbred lines of ridge gourd [*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.]. M.Sc. thesis. UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka.
- Kaur M., Varalakshmi B., Mahesha B. 2020. Mechanical sap transmission of *Tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus* infecting ridge gourd [*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.] in south India. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 8(3):1867-1870. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/ chemi.2020.v8.i3z.9477
- Kaur M., Varalakshmi B., Pitchaimuthu M. and Mahesha B. 2021a. Screening *Luffa* germplasm and advance breeding lines for resistance to *tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus. Journal of General Plant Pathology.* 87(5), 287-294 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10327-021-01010-z
- Kaur M., Varalakshmi B., Kumar M., Rao E.S., Pitchaimuthu M., Mahesha B., Venugopalan R.and Reddy D.C.L. 2021b. Genetic analysis of yellow mosaic disease resistance in loofah. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, **50** (4), 407-414 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s13313-021-00787-z
- Leister D., Ballvora A., Salamini F. and Gebhardt C. 1996. A PCR-based approach for isolating pathogen resistance genes from potato with potential for wide application in plants. *Nature Genetics*, **14**(4): 421-429.DOI: 10.1038/ ng1296-421.
- Lopez C., Ferriol M., Pico M. 2015. Mechanical transmission of tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus to cucurbit germplasm: Selection of tolerance sources in Cucumis melo. Euphytica, 204(3):679-691.https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-015-1371-x
- Padidam M., Beachy R.N., Fauquet C.M. 1995. Tomato leaf curl geminivirus from India has a bipartite genome and coat protein is not

essential for infectivity. J. Gen. Viro, 76(1):25-35.

- Panse V.G., Sukhatme P.V. 1985. Statistical methods for Agricultural workers. 4th eds. ICAR New Delhi pp. 347.
- Prasanna H.C., Kashyap S.P., Krishna R., Sinha D.P., Reddy S. and Malathi V.G. 2015a. Marker assisted selection of *TY-2* and *Ty-3* carrying tomato lines and their implications in breeding tomato leaf curl disease resistant hybrids. *Euphytica*, **204**(2):407-418.DOI:10.1007/ s10681-015-1357-8
- Prasanna H.C., Sinha D., Rai G., Krishna R., Kashyap S.P., Singh N., Singh N.K., Singh M. and Malathi V.G. 2015b. Pyramiding Ty-2 and Ty-3 genes for resistance to monopartite and bipartite Tomato leaf curl viruses of India. *Plant Pathology*, 64(2):256-264.DOI:10.1111/ ppa.12267
- Rai V.P., Kumar R., Singh S.P., Kumar S., Singh M.and Rai M. 2014. Monogenic recessive resistance to pepper leaf curl virus in an interspecific cross of capsicum. *Scientia Horticulturae*, **172**:34-38. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scienta.2014.03.039
- Romay G., Pitrat M., Lecoq H., Wipf-Scheibel C., Millot P., Girardot G.and Desbiez C. 2019. Resistance against melon chlorotic mosaic virus and Tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus in melon. *Plant Disease*. **103**(11):2913-2919. DOI: https:/ /doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-02-19-0298-RE
- Saez C., Martinez C., Ferriol M., Manzano S., Velasco L., Jamilena M., Lopez C.and Pico B. 2016. Resistance to Tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus in *Cucurbita* spp. *Annals of Applied Biology*. **169**(1):91-105.DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1111/aab.12283
- Saez C., Martinez C., Montero-Pau J., Esteras C., Sifres A., Blanca J., Ferriol M., Lopez C.and Pico B. 2020. A major QTL located in chromosome 8 of *Cucurbita moschata* is responsible for resistance to *Tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus. Frontier in Plant Sciences*, 11:207-225.DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00207
- Saha D., Rana R.S., Sureja A.K, Verma M., Arya L.and Munshi, A.D. 2013. Cloning and



characterization of NBS-LRR encoding resistance gene candidates from *Tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus* resistant genotype of *Luffa cylindrica* Roem. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, **81**(1):107-117. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.pmpp.2012.11.007

- Singh C.M., Pratap A., Gupta S., Biradar R.S. and Singh N.P. 2020. Association mapping for mungbean yellow mosaic India virus resistance in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek).
  3Biotech, 10:33.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13205-019-2035-7
- Sohrab S.S. 2005. Variability in the geminiviruses infecting cucurbits. Ph.D. thesis. Jamia Milia Islamia, New Delhi.
- Souframanien J., Gopalakrishna T. 2006. ISSR and SCAR markers linked to the mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) resistance gene in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. *Plant Breeding*, **125**(6):619-622. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1439-0523.2006.01260.x
- Speulman E., Bouchez D., Holub E.B. and Beynon J.L. 1998. Disease resistance gene homologs correlate with disease resistance loci of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal*. 14(4):467-474.DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00138.x
- Spielmeyer W., Huang L., Bariana H., Laroche A., Gill B.S. and Lagudah E.S. 2000. NBS-LRR sequence family is associated with leaf and stripe rust resistance on the end of homoeologous chromosome group 1S of wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. **101**(7):1139-1144.DOI:https://doi.org/10.1007/ s001220051590

- Wu H.B., Gong H., Liu P., He X.L., Luo S.B., Zheng X.M., Zhang C.Y., He X.M. and Luo J.N. 2014. Large scale development of EST-SSR markers in sponge gourd via transcriptome sequencing. *Molecular Breeding*. 34:1903-1915.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-014-0148-6
- Wu H., He X., Gong H., Luo S., Li M., Chen J., Zhang C., Yu T., Huang W. and Luo J. 2016. Genetic linkage map construction and QTL analysis of two interspecific reproductive isolation traits in sponge gourd. *Frontier in Plant Sciences*.7:980-991.DOI: 10.3389/ fpls.2016.00980
- Yu Y.G, Buss G.R., Maroof M.A. 1996. Isolation of a superfamily of candidate disease-resistance genes in soybean based on a conserved nucleotide-binding site. *Proc. Natt. Acad. Sci.* (USA). 93(21):11751-11756.DOI: 10.1073/ pnas.93.21.11751
- Zaidi S.S., Martin D.P., Amin I., Farooq M. and Mansoor S. 2016. Tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus: a widespread bipartite begomovirus in the territory of monopartite begomoviruses. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 18(7):901-911.DOI: 10.1111/mpp.12481
- Zamir, D., Ekstein-Michelson, I., Zakay, Y., Navot, N., Zeidan, M., Sarfatti, M., Eshed, Y., Harel, E., Pleban, T., Van-Oss, H., Kedar, N., Rabinowitch, H. D. and Czosnek, H. 1994. Mapping and introgression of a tomato yellow leaf curl virus tolerance gene, Ty-1. *Theoretical* and Applied Genetics, 88(1): 141-146.DOI https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00225889

(Received on 14.09.2021, Revised on 24.12.2021 and Accepted on 31.12.2021)