The impact of histopathological celiac disease activity on dental enamel defects and dental caries

Zainab Qasim M. Al-Obaidi ⁽¹⁾, Nada Jafer M.H. Radhi ⁽²⁾

ABSTRACT

Background: Celiac disease is an autoimmune chronic disease that affects the human's intestine and subsequently reflects its effect on the entire body health by retardation the absorption and immune mediated complications cause the involvement of oral health. The present study intended to evaluate the impact of the histopathological disease activity upon dental enamel defects and dental caries.

Subjects and methods: Forty celiac-diseased patients aged 7-11 years were collected from 3 different teaching hospitals in Baghdad classified by means of the histopathological activity of the intestinal disease according to modified Marsh-Rostami classification. Dental enamel defects were measured by Aine's classification, while dental caries experience and severity were measured using d_{1-4} mfs/t and D_{1-4} MFS/T.

Results: The majority of the sample came with partial villous atrophy of the small intestine (Marsh III-a) and almost half of the sample were with no celiac disease specific dental enamel defects (Aine's 0), while Aine's I was the most predominant than Aine's II. Most missed surfaces due to dental caries in permanent teeth came with Marsh II.

Conclusion: The more the severity of celiac disease histopathological activity the more the severity of celiac specific dental enamel defects and the less experienced dental caries.

Key words: Celiac disease, histopathological activity, dental enamel defects, dental caries. (Received: 10/10/2019; Accepted: 13/11/2019)

INTRODUCTION

Celiac disease (CD) is a chronic hereditary autoimmune inflammatory disease leading to intestinal damage and malabsorption associated with serious health consequences.⁽¹⁾ CD can introduce itself by multiple signs and symptoms starting from diarrhea, weight loss and abdominal pain to extra-intestinal manifestations including dental enamel defects DED.^(2,3) The only available and acceptable treatment is lifelong strict gluten free diet GFD.⁽¹⁾

Endoscopy for CD diagnosis doesn't provide definitive image for intestinal pathology ⁽⁴⁾ during which multiple biopsies are taken from different sites of the small intestine usually duodenum to submit them for histopathological assay.⁽⁵⁾ Small intestine has a major role in digestion, secretion and absorption; numerous mucosal folds containing villi increase the surface area of absorption and digestive enzymes production.⁽⁶⁾ Histological features of the duodenal disease are villous atrophy, crypt hyperplasia, increase a number of intraepithelial lymphocytes and decrease in height of enterocytes.⁽⁷⁾ Intestinal epithelial damage is caused by both innate and adaptive immune responses and that intraepithelial lymphocytes, those are elicited by the inflammation caused by gluten and mediated and production genetically of variant immunoglobulin such as tissue transglutaminase, anti-endomesial and anti-gliadin.⁽⁸⁾Modified Marsh-Rostami index had been developed to describe intestinal mucosal damage.⁽⁹⁾ Orally, dental enamel defects DED can be identified in some CD patients and this plays a vital role for dentists to play pointing to the disease for diagnosis.⁽¹⁰⁾ When any impaired function of the ameloblasts occurred due to environmental or nutritional deficiency factors, enamel formation would get impaired too.⁽¹¹⁾

A study by Abdul-Wahid *et al* ⁽¹²⁾ revealed an increase in the presence of unspecific dental enamel defects by the application of the Modified Developmental Defects of Enamel Index for 102 CD patients aged 2-35 years compared to the control group in primary and permanent dentitions while dental caries was experienced at higher extent in the primary teeth only.

Higher DED in CD children was observed as compared to children devoid from the disease ^(10,13,14) in contrast to dental caries that was experienced at lesser extent in CD children.⁽¹³⁾ Another study showed no significant difference in prevalence of DED between CD children and others without the disease.^(15,16) Another study reported no significant difference in caries experience in CD children.⁽¹⁶⁾

Master Student, Department of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, College of Dentistry University of Baghdad.

⁽²⁾ Assist. Prof. Department of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, College of Dentistry University of Baghdad.

The aim of the current study was the estimation of the essential role that the oral cavity plays as a gate of the gastrointestinal tract at which the health of each may logically affects the other's, thus CD was studied in children with mixed dentition to evaluate CD histopathological activity impact on DED and dental caries.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ethical approval was gained from the Ethical Committee of College of Dentistry/University of Baghdad and consents forms were gained from the patients' parents to be included. The sample of the present study consisted of 40 celiac-diseased (CD) children aged 7-11 years according to the last birthday and date of examination, half of them had been just diagnosed and the rest was committed to gluten free diet. Patients should have been devoid from any other diseases. The study was carried out from November 2018 to March 2019. Patients had been collected from pediatric consultation sections of the gastroenterology of three different teaching hospitals in Baghdad.

The disease had been diagnosed according to the European society of pediatric gastroenterology, hepatology and nutrition guidelines (17)histopathological findings were collected from the patients' medical reports by means of grading according to Modified Marsh index for histological CD activity.⁽⁹⁾ All histopathological examinations of all patients included were accomplished in the histology laboratory of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Teaching Hospital/Baghdad. Oral examination had been carried out following the basic principles of WHO (1997).⁽¹⁸⁾ Dental enamel defects (DED) had been evaluated descriptively by Aine's classification for CD specific dental enamel defects criteria⁽¹⁰⁾ as shown in table (1); those should have been distributed symmetrically and chronologically in all dental arches when all teeth were examined in all dental quadrants. Dental caries dmfs/t and DMFS/T and severity D₁₋₄/d₁₋₄ were examined and recorded according to Muhlemann.⁽¹⁹⁾ Descriptive statistics was applied, level of significance was set at 0.05 by SPSS program version 21.

Grade	Description
0	No defects meet the criteria
Ι	Defect in color of enamel. Single or
	multiple creamy, yellow or brown
	opacities with clearly defined or diffuse
	margins; in addition a part or the entire
	surface of enamel is without glaze.
II	Slight structural defects, Enamel
	surface rough, filled with horizontal
	grooves or shallow pits; light opacities
	and discoloration may be found; in
	addition a part or the entire surface of
	enamel is without glaze.
III	Evident structural defects, A part or the
	entire surface of enamel rough and filled
	with deep horizontal grooves which vary
	in width or have large vertical pits; large
	opacities of different colors or strong
	discoloration may be in combination.
IV	Severe structural defects, the shape of
	the tooth changed: the tips of cusps
	are sharp-pointed and/or the incisal
	edges are unevenly thinned and
	rough; the thinning of the enamel
	material is easily detectable and the
	margins of the lesions are well
	defined; the lesion may be strongly
1	discolored.

Table 1: Aine's criteria for celiac disease specific dental enamel defects.

RESULTS

The sample contained 22 boys and 18 girls, there was no significant difference concerning gender among the groups (Chi- square = 3.671; p = 0.160) and the sample had been considered as one age group.

Grading of CD histopathological activity of the current sample revealed that it was devoid from Marsh I and Marsh III-c while Marsh II, III-a and III-b were presented as 3 (7.50%), 25 (62.50%) and 12 (30.00%) respectively

Aine's classification of dental enamel defects (DED) specific for CD that was used in the present sample revealed that 19 (47.5%) of children were with no defects (grade 0) followed by 17 (42.5%) children with grade I and 4 (10%) children with grade II. Neither Aine's III nor Ain's IV had been found in the present sample Marsh grading of histopathological disease activity in relation to Aine's grading of CD specific dental enamel defects revealed a significant difference among the present grades where there were no enamel defects in Marsh II. On the other hand, the least children with Aine's 0 grades were observed in Marsh III b in contrast to Aine's II enamel defects which were the most frequent in Marsh III-b category (Table 2).

Caries severity and experience were found in D₄, DS and DMFS components among Marsh II as compared to Marsh III-a and III-b but no

significant difference was observed; the same is applied to ds component concerning primary teeth (Table 3).

Multiple comparisons by Games-Howell as ANOVA post hoc test were applied to MS according to the available three Marsh grades showing no significant difference between Marsh III-a and Marsh III-b mean differences (P>0.05) while high significant differences concerning Marsh II mean difference with both Marsh III-a and III-b (P<0.01) was noticeable (Table 4). Dental caries experience and severity showed no significant difference in relation to CD dental enamel defects but the mean values of d₄, ds, dmfs and dmft for deciduous teeth showed lower results in Aine's II than both Aine's I and Aine's 0. The same was applied to D4 and DS for permanent teeth as shown in table (5) but no statistical differences were found.

 Table 2: Celiac disease dental enamel defects according to Aine's classification in relation to the histopathological disease activity measured by modified Marsh-Rostami classification.

			Ain	e's classifica	tion	FEPT*	р	Total
			0	Ι	II			
Marsh	II	N.	3.00	0.00	0.00	9.452	0.025**	3.00
		%	15.79	0.00	0.00			7.50
	III a	N.	14.00	10.00	1.00			25.00
		%	73.68	58.82	25.00			62.50
	III b	N.	2.00	7.00	3.00			12.00
		%	10.53	41.18	75.00			30.00
Total N		19.00	17.00	4.00			40.00	
		%	47.50	42.50	10.00			100.00

*Fissure Exact Probability Test

^{**}Significant<0.05

Table 3: Dental caries experience	and severity for primary	and permanent teeth acc	ording to Marsh grading
-----------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

	Marsh grading								
Dental caries	п		III-a		III-b		F	р	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE			
d1	0.333	0.333	0.080	0.080	0.000	0.000	1.102	0.343	
d2	1.333	1.333	0.240	0.166	0.917	0.434	2.069	0.141	
d3	1.333	1.333	1.480	0.361	1.500	0.469	0.011	0.989	
d4	6.333	6.333	6.040	1.498	5.667	1.676	0.015	0.985	
ds	9.333	9.333	7.840	1.520	7.750	1.670	0.053	0.949	
ms	0.000	0.000	1.400	0.614	2.083	1.145	0.514	0.602	
fs	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.167	0.167	1.177	0.319	
dmfs	9.333	9.333	9.160	1.785	10.000	2.514	0.033	0.968	
dmft	5.333	5.333	5.080	0.848	5.667	0.956	0.072	0.931	
D1	0.667	0.667	1.520	0.289	1.167	0.241	0.772	0.470	
D2	0.000	0.000	0.880	0.211	1.250	0.446	1.359	0.269	
D3	2.000	0.000	1.200	0.294	0.750	0.179	1.357	0.270	
D4	4.333	2.603	1.600	0.408	1.417	0.645	2.073	0.140	
DS	7.000	2.082	4.680	0.577	4.583	0.783	0.931	0.403	
MS	3.333	3.333	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	8.556	0.001	
FS	0.000	0.000	0.040	0.040	0.833	0.833	1.068	0.354	
DMFS	10.333	5.364	5.000	0.648	5.417	1.171	2.334	0.111	
DMFT	4.667	0.667	4.440	0.597	4.417	0.733	0.010	0.990	

1	able 4: Multiple comparisons of missing component (MS) of DMFS among the three available Marsh grades.

Games-flowen test for TVIS among Marsh grades								
(I) Marsh	(J) Marsh	(J) Marsh Mean Difference (I-J)						
Ша	III-b	0.00000	1.000					
111-a	II	-3.33333	0.002**					
III-b	II	-3.33333	0.003**					

** Highly significant < 0.01

 Table 5: Dental caries experience and severity in primary and permanent teeth in relation to Aine's grades of celiac disease enamel defects.

Aine's grade	0.00		1.00		2.00			
Dental caries	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	F	Р
d 1	0.053	0.053	0.118	0.118	0.000	0.000	0.247	0.782
d ₂	0.684	0.375	0.412	0.173	0.250	0.250	0.325	0.724
d3	1.211	0.347	1.647	0.428	2.000	1.414	0.472	0.627
d 4	5.895	1.629	6.882	1.857	2.250	1.931	0.681	0.512
ds	7.842	1.794	8.824	1.954	4.500	2.630	0.506	0.607
ms	1.053	0.614	2.059	0.964	1.250	1.250	0.432	0.652
fs	0.000	0.000	0.118	0.118	0.000	0.000	0.665	0.520
dmfs	8.789	1.907	11.00	2.565	5.750	3.376	0.606	0.551
dmft	5.053	0.966	5.824	1.102	4.000	2.309	0.326	0.724
D 1	1.421	0.299	1.353	0.331	1.000	0.408	0.173	0.841
D_2	0.789	0.237	1.059	0.337	1.000	0.707	0.223	0.801
D 3	1.263	0.285	1.118	0.331	0.500	0.289	0.610	0.549
D 4	2.263	0.675	1.353	0.383	1.000	1.000	0.885	0.421
DS	5.053	0.664	4.882	0.701	3.500	1.555	0.475	0.625
MS	0.526	0.526	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.540	0.588
FS	0.053	0.053	0.000	0.000	2.500	2.500	4.363	0.113
DMFS	6.000	1.084	4.882	0.701	6.000	3.342	0.337	0.716
DMFT	4.737	0.606	4.235	0.662	4.000	1.826	0.205	0.815

DISCUSION

Celiac disease (CD) had been proved to be accompanied by several systemic and dental defects. Dental enamel defects (DED) are permanent and won't be corrected after gluten free diet (GFD). Early diagnosis of CD patients by dentists can be performed by DED since most CD patients are atypical.^(14, 20) In the present study almost half of the sample had no CD specific enamel defects, however, most of the defects were enamel opacities (Aine's I). This comes in agreement with a study by Shahraki et al when studying wider range of sample age (3-16 years) and larger sample size (65) of CD patients.⁽¹⁴⁾ Structural abnormalities were also present at lesser extent as Aine's II was in 10% of the sample which contained no Ain's III or IV and this comes in an agreement with a Turkish study that reported no Aine's III or IV in 60 CD patients' ages 6-16 years.⁽²¹⁾ The present study revealed that the least intestinal damage (Marsh II) came with no CD specific DED while the more intestinal damage (Marsh III-a and III-b) came with more CD specific DED and this comes in

contrast with what Aine *et al* showed by studying adults enamel defects in relation to the degree of histological damage although children cannot be compared to adults.⁽²²⁾

Yet, no specific mechanism was established explaining DED in CD patients;⁽²³⁾ nutritional deficiency specially hypocalcemia and a normal serum concentration of calcium had been found during diagnosis of CD children,⁽¹³⁾ immune mediated as the primary causative factor (25,26) and DED as a hereditary condition and/or affected by environmental circumstances.⁽²⁴⁾ Dental caries is one of the most mentioned infectious diseases human beings suffer from.⁽²⁷⁾ An Iraqi study illustrated an increased salivary IgA in caries free children as compared to caries active in the same age group of the present study (28) while another Iraqi study illustrated an important protective roles of salivary immunoglobulins especially IgA and IgM against dental caries in normal kindergarten children,⁽²⁹⁾ since CD is an autoimmune disease that salivary immunoglobulins are logically suspected to be

elevated and dental caries was, in the present study, experienced at lesser extent in a more severe intestinal damage and saliva by itself can be used non-invasively to mirror blood.⁽³⁰⁾ It is wise to investigate salivary immunoglobulins seeking for dental caries protective factors in CD children since patients with increased DED had decreased dental caries.⁽²¹⁾

The present study has arrived at the conclusion that the more the severity of the intestinal mucosal damages in CD children the more the presence of specific dental enamel defects, although no severe enamel defects or intestinal damage was recorded and less extracted permanent teeth due to dental caries in the more intestinal villous atrophy cases as compared to the mild ones.

REFERENCES

- 1. Parzanese I, Qehajaj D, Patrinicola F, Aralica M, Chiriva-Internati M, Stifter S, et al. Celiac disease: from pathophysiology to treatment. WJPG. 2017;8:27-38.
- Allue I. Celiac Disease in Children; Rodrigo, L. and Pena, A. (ed). Celiac Disease and Non-celiac Gluten Sensitivity. Omnia Publisher, 2014. SL:Spain.
- Jericho H, Guandalini S. Extra-Intestinal Manifestation of Celiac Disease in Children. Nutrients. 2018;10:755.
- Bai J, Zeballos, E, Fried, M, et al. Celiac disease, WGO practice guidelines. Arab J Gastroenterol. 2007;8:59-67.
- Mills J, Murray J. Contemporary celiac disease diagnosis: is a biopsy avoidable? Curr Opin Gastroenterol. 2016;32:80-85.
- Gelberg HB. Normal Digestive Tract Functional Anatomy and Physiology. Toxicol Pathol. 2014;42:54-66.
- Veress B, Franzén L, Bodin L, Borch K. Duodenal intraepithelial lymphocyte-count revisited. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2004;39:138-144.
- Tang F, Chen Z, Ciszewski C, et al. Cytosolic PLA2 is required for CTL-mediated immunopathology of celiac disease via NKG2D and IL-15. J Exp Med. 2009;206:707-19.
- Rostami K, Kerchaert J, von Blomberg B, et al. SAT and serology in adult coeliac, eronegative coeliac disease seems a reality. Neth J Med. 1998;53:15-19. Cited in: Hindruckx P, Levesque B, Holvoet, T. et al. Disease activity indices in coeliac disease: systematic review recommendations for clinical trials. Gut. 2018;67:61-69.
- Aine L. Dental enamel defects and dental maturity in children and adolescents with coeliac disease. Proc. Finn. Dent. Soc. 1986;82 (Suppl. 3). Cited in: Aine L, Collin P, Keyrinen O. Dental enamel defects in celiac disease. J Oral Pathol Med. 1990;19:241-245.
- Lunardelli E, Peres A. Prevalence and distribution of developmental enamel defects in the primary dentition of preschool children. Braz Oral Res. 2005;19:144-149.
- Abdul-Wahid S, Al-Azawi L, Ibrahim I. Enamel defects and malocclusion in patients with celiac disease. J Bagh Coll Dent. 2005;17:98-100.

- Avşar A, Kalayc A. The presence and distribution of dental enamel defects and caries in children with celiac disease. Turk J Ped. 2008;50:45-50.
- 14. Shahraki T, Omrani-Mehr S, Hill I Shahraki MA. Comparison of the prevalence of dental enamel defects and other oral findings in children with and without celiac disease. Iran J Pediatr. 2019;29:e64353.
- 15. Procaccini M, Campisi G, Bufo P, Compilato D, Massaccesi C, Catassi C, Muzio L. Lack of association between celiac disease and dental enamel hypoplasia in a case-control study from an Italian central region. Head Face Med. 2007;3:2.
- 16. Shteyer E, Berson T, Lachmanovitz O, Hidas A, Wilschanski M, Menachem, et al. Oral Health Status and Salivary Properties in Relation to Gluten-free Diet in Children with Celiac Disease. JPGN. 2013;57:49-52.
- Husby S, Koletzko S, Korponay-Szabó IR, Mearin ML, Phillips A, Shamir R, Troncone R. et al. European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition guidelines for the diagnosis of coeliac disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2012;54:136-160.
- 18. WHO. Basic survey methods. 5th Ed., 1997.
- 19. Muhlemann, H. Introduction to oral preventive medicine. Quintessenze. 1976;73-100.
- 20. Freeman HJ. Role of biopsy in diagnosis and treatment of adult celiac disease. Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench. 2018;11:191-196.
- Bıçak DA, Urgancı N, Akyüz S, Usta M, Kızılkan NU, Alev B, Yarat A. Clinical evaluation of dental enamel defects and oral findings in coeliac children. Eur Oral Res. 2018; 52:150-156.
- 22. Aine L, Mäki M Reunala T. Coeliac-type dental enamel defects in patients with dermatitis herpetiformis. Acta Derm Venereol. 1992;72:25-27.
- 23. Cervino G, Fiorillo L, Laino L, Herford A, Lauritano F, Giudice G. et al. Oral Health Impact Profile in Celiac Patients: Analysis of Recent Findings in a Literature Review. Gastroenterol Res Pract. 2018, Article ID 7848735.
- 24. Fraser D Nikiforuk G. The etiology of enamel hypoplasia in children a unifying concept. J Int Assoc Dent Child. 1982;13:1-11.
- Pastore L, Campisi G, Compilato D. and Lo Muzio L. Orally based diagnosis of celiac disease: current perspectives. J Dent Res. 2008;87:1100-1107.
- 26. Sóñora C, Arbildi P, Rodríguez-Camejo C, Beovide V, Marco A, Hernández A. Enamel organ proteins as targets for antibodies in celiac disease: implications for oral health. Eur J Oral Sci. 2016;124:11-6.
- 27. Fejerskove O, Thylstrup A. Different concepts of dental caries and their implications. In: Textbook of clinical cariology edt. By Thylstrup A, Fejerskov O. 2nd Ed. Munksgarrd, Copenhagen, 1994:209-217.
- Yassin HN. Comparison of immunoglobulin IgA level in the stimulated saliva of caries-free and caries-active children aged 7-10 years. J Bagh Coll Dent. 2016;38:155-158.
- Radhi NJ, Alfayad DW. Salivary Immunoglobulin (A, G and M) in Relation to Dental Caries among Group of Kindergartens Children. Int J Sci Technol. 2012;143:1-4.
- Taaheri J, Bakhashi M, Aryanki A, Noormohammadi R. Use of Saliva for Diagnosis of Diseases. JIDAI. 2014;26:55-66.

الخلاصة

الخلفية: إن مرض مناعي ذاتي كداء الحنطة يؤثر على صحة الأمعاء لدى الإنسان و ينعكس على صحة جسمه بشكل كامل عن طريق سوء الإمتصاص و مضاعفات ذات أسباب مناعية تؤثر على صحة الفم كإختلال تكوين ميناء الأسنان و تسوس الأسنان.

الأشخاص والطرق: ثم جمع 40 مريض مصاب بداء الحنطة بأعمار (7-11) سنة من ثلاث مستشفيات مختلفة في بغداد و بإعتماد التقسيم حسب شدة المرض بالنسبة لفعاليته من الناحية النسيجية - المرضية لغشاء الأمعاء حسب تقسيم Marsh- Rostami المعدل و خلل ميناء الأسنان عن طريق تقسيم Aine الخاص بداء الحنطة كذلك تجربة تسوس الأسنان وشدتها.

النتائج: أن غالبية العينة كانت تعاني من Marsh III-b. غالبية العينة كان لديها Aine I.Aine's 0 كان الأغلب في العينة . Aine II كان الأغلب عند المصابين ب Marsh II-b. أغلب الأسنان الدائمية المفقودة بسبب التسوس كانت مصاحبة Aine II.

الإستنتاج: كلما زادت شدة المرض نسيجياً كلما زادت شدة الإختلال في تكوين ميناء الأسنان و قل تسوس الأسنان.الكلمات المفتاحية: داء الحنطة, الفعالية النسيجية – المرضية, مارش, إختلال ميناء الأسنان, آين, تسوس الأسنان.