# Angiopoietin-2 Immunohistochemical Expression in Oral Squamous cell Carcinoma

Intisar Abdel-Jabbar Al-Sarraf, B.D.S.<sup>(1)</sup> Ban F. Al-Drobie B.D.S., M.Sc., Ph.D.<sup>(2)</sup>

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** There are various secreted proteins affecting the prognosis of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) and one of them is Angiopoietin-2(Ang-2) which is thought to have an essential role in the development and progression of the tumor.

Aim of the study: This study was conducted to determine the expression of (Ang-2) in (OSCC) to assess its correlations with clinicopathological parameters of the tumor.

**Material and Methods:** 36 formalin- fixed, paraffin- embedded tissue blocks histologically diagnosed as OSCC were examined for Ang-2 immunohistochemical expression semi quantitively.

**Results:** The expression of Ang-2 was significantly associated with histopathological grade (P value=0.023), while there is no significant association with the clinical parameters analyzed in OSCC patients.

**Conclusion:** A significant association between Ang-2 expression and histopathological grade of OSCC may predict its biological behavior.

Key words: OSCC, angiogenesis, Ang-2. (Received: 15/1/2018; Accepted: 19/2/2018)

# **INTRODUCTION**

Oral Squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC): is a malignant epithelial tumor of oral cavity that derived from the lining stratified squamous epithelium<sup>(1)</sup>. Both genetic and environmental factors in addition to the viral infections are incorporated in the pathogenesis of OSCC<sup>(2)</sup> An important number of patients developed OSCC at early stages, and with small sized tumor, they may develop poor prognosis ,so the level of histopathological differentiation can predict the biological specific and aggressive clinical behavior of the tumor.<sup>(3;4)</sup> so when the tumor histopathologically appeared mature and look like the epithelial tissue which is originated from (quite resemblance to squamous cells, keratin pearls, less cells or nuclear pleomorphism) tend to grow slowly and not metastasized unless in latent stage which is called well differentiated, low grade, or grade I OSCC<sup>(5)</sup> In contrast, a tumor with marked pleomorphism and little or no keratin production may be so immature so it becomes difficult to identify the tissue of origin. graded as III and called poorly differentiated or high grade OSCC. The tumor appeared in between, graded II or so called moderately differentiated (6)

Angiopoietin-2 (Ang-2), a member of the angiopoietin family proteins functioned as ligands for the endothelial-specific tyrosine kinase receptor "Tie2" <sup>(7)</sup>.In neoplasms of different histological origin (e.g., gastric, colon, prostate, breast, and brain carcinomas),the expression of Ang-2 is elevated and linked with poor prognosis<sup>(8)</sup>.

Studies showed that Ang-2 may overexpressed in OSCC and it always associated with aggressive tumor behavior and poor prognosis <sup>(9,7)</sup>

The aim of present study is to evaluate the expression of Ang-2 in number of patients diagnosed as OSCC and its association with clinicopathological parameter of the disease.

## MATERIAL AND METHOD

#### **Tissue Sample**

Thirty six retrospective formalin- fixed, paraffinembedded blocks tissue diagnosed histopathologically as OSCC were enrolled in this study. The blocks were obtained from the archives of the Oral Maxillofacial Pathology Department / Dentistry Collage of Baghdad University. Demographic and clinical data : patients name, age, gender, clinical presentation, , and tumor site were obtained from the archive. Normal placental tissue of human for Ang-2 antibody immunohistochemical detection obtained from Al-Shaheed Ghazi Hospital, Teaching Laboratory Department/ Baghdad Medical City

<sup>1.</sup> Master's Student, Department of Oral Diagnosis, College of Dentistry, University of Baghdad.

Assistant Professor, Department of Oral Diagnosis, College of Dentistry, University of Baghdad.



Figure 1: Immunohistochemical expression of Ang-2 in normal human placental tissues(X100).

#### Conventional Immunohistochemistry (IHC).

After deparaffinization and rehydration were done to the prepared histological sections, blocking of endogenous peroxidase was performed by incubation them with 3 % hydrogen peroxide for 10 min. then blocking for nonspecific antibodies binding by incubation them for 1 hour with normal goat serum. Sections were then incubated with primary antibody, an anti Ang-2 monoclonal antibody (1/100) dilution; (abcam company [MM0020-1F29] ab56301) overnight at 4° C. then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH = 7.0). By using the detection kit, the slides were incubated with secondary antibody (rabbit anti mouse antibody unconjugated then Gout anti -rabbit HRP conjugated) 10min for each followed by incubation with 3'-3'diaminobenzidine chromogen (DAB)for 1min at 37°C.

All sections then stained with Haematoxylin as a counter stain, dehydrated through graded alcohols, and at last, mounted. For each IHC run a positive control tissue sample (normal placenta) and a negative control sample (consisted of sample in which the primary antibody were replaced by PBS) was included.

# Immunohistochemical evaluation of Ang-2 antibody:

To assess the immunohistochemical expression of Ang-2, two pathologist who blinded to the any information presented in the case sheets of the patients two pathologists, who were blinded to the patients' information. Immuno-re activity of Ang-2 was primarily detected in the cytoplasm of tumor cells.

The expression was performed using a semi quantitative staining intensity score: no staining

was scored as 0, faint staining as 1, moderate as 2, and high intensity staining as  $3^{.(10)}$ 

#### Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were represented by number(n) and percentage (%) and the different percentages were tested using Chi-square test( $x^2$ ). Statistical significance was considered whenever the P value was less than 0.05.

## RESULT

This study included thirty of six histopathologically confirmed OSCC cases, males were 20 (55.6%) while female were 16 (44.4%). Patients age ranged between 22-83 years, and the mean age was 52.4 years. The most predominant age group was (70-79) years which account 10 cases (27.78%). Clinically the study sample was presented most predominantly as an Ulcer (21 cases of 58.33%), while mass compromised 15 cases(41.67%). The most predominant affected site with tumor was tongue of 17 cases (47%) followed by floor of mouth account 7 cases (19%). Most predominant histopathological grade was moderately differentiated as 14 cases (38.89%), followed by well differentiated as 13 cases (36.11%), lasted with poorly differentiated 9 cases (25%).

Table (1) showed the association between gender and site, the association was found to be statistically non-significance (P value>0.05).

| SITE               | Gender |                 |    |                 |      |                |  |       |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--------|-----------------|----|-----------------|------|----------------|--|-------|--|--|--|
| SILE               | I      | Male            | F  | emale           | r    | Fotal          |  |       |  |  |  |
|                    | Ν      | %               | n  | %               | n    | %              |  |       |  |  |  |
| Tongue             | 9      | 45.00           | 8  | 50.00           | 17   | 47.22          |  |       |  |  |  |
| Floor of the mouth | 2      | 10.00           | 5  | 31.25           | 7    | 19.44          |  |       |  |  |  |
| Buccal<br>mucosa   | 2      | 10.00 2 12.50 4 |    | 10.00 2 12.50 4 |      | 0.00 2 12.50 4 |  | 11.11 |  |  |  |
| Mandible           | 3      | 15.00           | 0  | .00             | 3    | 8.33           |  |       |  |  |  |
| Soft<br>palate     | 2      | 10.00           | 0  | .00             | 2    | 5.56           |  |       |  |  |  |
| Hard<br>palate     | 1      | 5.00            | 1  | 6.25            | 2    | 5.56           |  |       |  |  |  |
| Alveolar<br>ridge  | 1      | 5.00            | 0  | .00             | 1    | 2.78           |  |       |  |  |  |
| Total              | 20     | 100.00          | 16 | 100.00          | 36   | 100.00         |  |       |  |  |  |
| $\chi^2 = 6.2$     | 986    | d f=            | =6 |                 | p= 0 | .322           |  |       |  |  |  |

Table1: Distribution of study sample according to site and gender.

Table (2) showed that moderately differentiatedOSCCwasthemostpredominanthistopathological grade account14 cases.

| Table 2. The distribu                       | non of study sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| according to the histopathological grade of |                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| the Tu                                      | mor.                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 0/                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. The distribution of study sample

| Grade                   | n  | %      |
|-------------------------|----|--------|
| well differentiated     | 13 | 36.11  |
| Moderate differentiated | 14 | 38.89  |
| Poor differentiated     | 9  | 25.00  |
| Total                   | 36 | 100.00 |

Table (3) showed the distribution of study sample according to Ang-2. Half of cases with moderate staining, those with faint and strong staining represented (8,9 cases respectively), and one case only with no staining.

Table 3: SIS of Ang-2 antibody immunohistochemical expression in the study sample

| Sumple  |       |    |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|-------|----|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|         | Score | n  | %     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 0     | 1  | 2.78  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SIS     | 1     | 8  | 22.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Ang-2) | 2     | 18 | 50    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _       | 3     | 9  | 25    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Total | 36 | 100   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Ang-2: Angiopoietin-2, SIS: staining intensity score. 0: No staining.1: faint staining.2: Moderate staining. 3: Strong staining.

Table(4,5, 6, 7) the association between Ang-2 expression with (age group, gender, clinical presentation, sites) were found to be statistically non significance (P value>0.05).

Table 4: Association between Ang-2 expression and Age group in the study sample

|                           |      | Ang-2 |   |          |    |      |             |       |    |      |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|------|-------|---|----------|----|------|-------------|-------|----|------|--|--|--|--|
| Age No<br>groups staining |      | Faint |   | Moderate |    | St   | rong        | Total |    |      |  |  |  |  |
|                           | Ν    | %     | Ν | %        | Ν  | %    | N           | %     | Ν  | %    |  |  |  |  |
| <0r=39                    | 0    | .0    | 1 | 12.5     | 6  | 33.3 | 0           | .00   | 7  | 19.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49                     | 0    | .0    | 1 | 12.5     | 3  | 16.7 | 1           | 11.12 | 5  | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 50-59                     | 1    | 100   | 2 | 25.      | 0  | .00  | 2           | 22.22 | 5  | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 60-69                     | 0    | .0    | 2 | 25.      | 4  | 22.2 | 3           | 33.33 | 9  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| >0r=70                    | 0    | .0    | 2 | 25.      | 5  | 27.8 | 3           | 33.33 | 10 | 27.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Total                     | 1    | 100   | 8 | 100      | 18 | 100  | 9           | 100   | 36 | 100  |  |  |  |  |
| x <sup>2=</sup>           | 14.1 | 84    | d | f =1     | 2  | ŀ    | <b>P=</b> 0 | .289  |    |      |  |  |  |  |

# Table 5: Association between Ang-2expression and Gender of the study group

|                |          |             |       |      | A  | Ang-2   |   |       |       |       |
|----------------|----------|-------------|-------|------|----|---------|---|-------|-------|-------|
| Gender         | ]<br>sta | No<br>ining | Faint |      | Мо | derate  | S | trong | Total |       |
|                | Ν        | %           | Ν     | %    | Ν  | %       | Ν | %     | Ν     | %     |
| Male           | 1        | 100.        | 5     | 62.5 | 11 | 61.11   | 3 | 33.33 | 20    | 55.56 |
| Female         | 0        | .00         | 3     | 37.5 | 7  | 38.89   | 6 | 66.67 | 16    | 44.44 |
| Total          | 1        | 100.        | 8     | 100. | 18 | 100.    | 9 | 100.  | 36    | 100.  |
| $x^{2=}$ 2.981 |          |             | df-3  |      |    | P=0.395 |   |       |       |       |

Table 6: The association between Ang-2expression and Clinical presentation of<br/>OSCC.

|                          |                |           | ~~    |       |          |      |        |      |       |      |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------|-------|----------|------|--------|------|-------|------|--|--|--|
|                          |                | Ang-2     |       |       |          |      |        |      |       |      |  |  |  |
| Clinical<br>Presentation | No<br>staining |           | Faint |       | Moderate |      | Strong |      | Total |      |  |  |  |
|                          | Ν              | %         | Ν     | %     | Ν        | %    | Ν      | %    | Ν     | %    |  |  |  |
| Ulcer                    | 1              | 100.      | 6     | 75.   | 8        | 44.4 | 6      | 66.7 | 21    | 58.3 |  |  |  |
| Mass                     | 0              | .00       | 2     | 25.   | 10       | 55.6 | 3      | 33.3 | 15    | 41.7 |  |  |  |
| Total                    | 1              | 100.      | 8     | 100.  | 18       | 100. | 9      | 100. | 36    | 100. |  |  |  |
|                          | x              | 2 = 3.314 | (     | 1 f=3 | P=0      | .346 |        |      |       |      |  |  |  |

 Table 7: Association of Ang-2 expression and
 Site of distribution of study sample.

|                   | Ang-2          |     |       |               |    |             |    |            |       |      |  |  |  |
|-------------------|----------------|-----|-------|---------------|----|-------------|----|------------|-------|------|--|--|--|
| SITE              | No<br>staining |     | Faint |               | Mo | oderat<br>e | St | rong       | Total |      |  |  |  |
|                   | Ν              | %   | Ν     | %             | Ν  | %           | Ν  | %          | Ν     | %    |  |  |  |
| Tongue            | 0              | .00 | 5     | 62.5          | 8  | 44.4        | 4  | 44.5       | 17    | 47.2 |  |  |  |
| Floor of mouth    | 0              | .00 | 0     | .00           | 6  | 33.2        | 1  | 11.1       | 7     | 19.4 |  |  |  |
| Buccal<br>mucosa  | 1              | 100 | 0     | .00           | 1  | 5.6         | 2  | 22.2       | 4     | 11.1 |  |  |  |
| mandible          | 0              | .00 | 2     | 25.           | 0  | .00         | 1  | 11.1       | 3     | 8.3  |  |  |  |
| Soft palate       | 0              | .00 | 1     | 12.5          | 1  | 5.6         | 0  | .00        | 2     | 5.6  |  |  |  |
| hard<br>palate    | 0              | .00 | 0     | .00           | 1  | 5.6         | 1  | 11.1       | 2     | 5.6  |  |  |  |
| Alveolar<br>ridge | 0              | .00 | 0     | .00           | 1  | 5.6         | 0  | .00        | 1     | 2.8  |  |  |  |
| Total             | 1              | 100 | 8     | 100           | 18 | 100         | 9  | 100.       | 36    | 100  |  |  |  |
| $x^2 = 2$         | 1.85           | 52  |       | <b>d f</b> =1 | 18 |             |    | <b>P=0</b> | .23   | 9    |  |  |  |

Table (8) showed the association between Ang-2 expression and histopathological grade of OSCC of the study sample, the association was found to be statistically significant(P value=0.023).

# Table 8: The association between Ang-2expression and the grade of the study<br/>sample.

|       |            | Ang-2 |       |      |          |      |   |       |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|------------|-------|-------|------|----------|------|---|-------|-------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade | e No Stain |       | Faint |      | Moderate |      | S | trong | Total |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Ν          | %     | Ν     | %    | Ν        | %    | Ν | %     | Ν     | %    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W.D   | 0          | .00   | 7     | 87.5 | 4        | 22.2 | 2 | 22.2  | 13    | 36.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.D   | 0          | .00   | 1     | 12.5 | 9        | 50.  | 4 | 44.4  | 14    | 38.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P.D   | 1          | 100   | 0     | .00  | 5        | 27.8 | 3 | 33.3  | 9     | 25.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1          | 100   | 8     | 100. | 18       | 100. | 9 | 100.  | 36    | 100. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

X<sup>2</sup>=14.674 d f=6 P=0.023\*

Ang-2: Angiopoietin-2. W.D: Well Differentiated. M.D: Moderately Differentiated. P.D: Poorly Differentiated. \*: P Value<0.05.



Figure 1: No staining (Score0 in SIS ) of Ang-2 expression of the studied samples. (X100).



Figure 2: Faint staining (score1 in SIS) of Ang-2 expression in the studied samples (X100).



Figure 3: Moderate staining (score 2 at SIS) of Ang-2 expression in studied samples (X100).



Figure4: Strong staining(Score3 in SIS) of Ang-2 expression of the studied samples (X100).

### DISCUSSION

There are numerous molecules overexpressed in OSCC, associated with aggressive behavior of the tumor and may affect its prognosis.

According to the current study; Ang-2 was expressed in 75% of the cases (ranged between moderately stained as 50%, to strong stained as 25% of the cases). Although the association between the Ang-2 expression and clinicopathological parameters of the studied tumor was found to be statically non significance( P value>0.05).

There was significance association between Ang-2 expression and the histological grade of the tumor (P value=0.023) which agreed with previous studies <sup>(11,7)</sup> but disagreed with <sup>(9,12,13)</sup> While tumor progressed, there is a great need for oxygen and the microenvironment suffered from hypoxic condition that s stimulate ECs, tumors cells to secret different cytokines which enhanced angiogenesis, and one of them is Ang-2<sup>(14,15)</sup> Overexpression of Ang-2 could lead to decrease cell apoptosis so promotes tumorgenesis, on the other hand, Ang-2 thought to have an essential role in the angiogenesis process by enhanced epithelial-mesenchymal transition "(16, 17, 18) Previous studies showed that Ang-2 induces transformation of noncancerous liver to hepatocellular carcinoma<sup>(19)</sup>. In addition, that Ang-2 mostly associated with disease progression, metastasis, and poor prognosis<sup>(20,8)</sup> Recent studies on Ang-2-VEGF-A CrossMab showed The efficient and less harm effects on animals proposed that it characterized a new and active therapeutic chance for patients with malignancy with the probability to replace Bevacizumab as a

### **CONCLUSION**

Ang-2 was overexpressed in OSCC and significantly associated with histopathological grade of the tumor.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Rivera C and Venegas B. Histological and molecular aspects of oral squamous cell carcinoma (Review). Oncol Lett. 2014; 8(1): 7-11.
- Xu S, Ma D, Zhuang R, Sun W, Liu Y, et al. DJ-1 Is Upregulated in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Promotes Oral Cancer Cell Proliferation and Invasion. J Cancer 2016; 7(8):1020-8.
- World Health Organization Classification of Tumors: Pathology and Genetics: Head and Neck Tumors, Barnes L, Everson JW, Reichart P, Sidransky D (Eds), WHO Press, Switzerland 2005
- 4. Muñoz-Guerra MF. Early stage oral cancer: prognosis with regard to histological grading, intratumoral lymphangiogenesis, and the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor-C (VEGF-C).Rev Esp Cirug Oral y Maxilofac 2006;28(1):25-40.
- Brandwein-Gensler M, Teixeira MS, Lewis CM, Lee B, Rolnitzky L,et al. Oral squamous cell carcinoma: histologic risk assessment, but not margin status, is strongly predictive of local disease-free and overall survival Am J Surg Pathol. 2005;29(2):167-78.
- Neville, Brad W & Damm, Douglas D., & Allen, Carl M., & Chi, Angela C., 2016, Oral and maxillofacial pathology, Fourth edition, St. Louis, Missouri Elsevier.
- Jung, A.C., Ray, A.M., Ramolu, L., Macabre, C., Simon, F., Noulet, F. and Kessler, H. Caveolin-1negative head and neck squamous cell carcinoma primary tumors display increased epithelial to mesenchymal transition and prometastatic properties. Oncotarget. 2015; 6(39): 41884-41901.
- Hu B, Cheng S-Y. Angiopoietin-2: Development of Inhibitors for Cancer Therapy. Current oncology reports. 2009;11(2):111-116.
- Li, C., Sun, C., Fan, J., Geng, N., Li, C., et al. Angiopoietin-2 expression is correlated with angiogenesis and overall survival in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Med Oncol .2013:30:571.
- Boer, J 2015, 'Targeting FPR1 and CXCR4 in cancer and the contribution of the tumor microenvironment',

Doctor of Philosophy, University of Groningen, [Groningen], Nether land.

- Roxana MI. Angiogenesis Role in Lips Squamous Cell Carcinoma Progression - Clinical, Histopathological and immunohistochemical study. DoctoralThesis, Univercity OF Medicine and pharmacy, Craiova, Romania, 2014
- 12. LIU Y-F, ZHANG J-G, NI H-S, et al. Expression and significance of angiopoietin-2 and cyclin D1 in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma and the correlation with prognosis. Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine. 2013;6(5):1137-1144.
- 13. Hong S, Jung HI, Ahn TS, Kim HJ, Lee KT, Baek MJ, Bae SB. Expressions and clinical significances of angiopoietin-1, angiopoietin-2, and Tie-2 receptor in patients with colorectal cancer. Ann Coloproctol. 2017;33:9–15.
- Nishida N, Yano H, Nishida T, Kamura T, Kojiro M. Angiogenesis in cancer. Vasc Health Risk Manag 2006; 2 (3): 213–219.
- 15. Thomas M, Kienast Y, Scheuer W, Ba'hner M, Kaluza K, et al. A Novel Angiopoietin-2 Selective Fully Human Antibody with Potent Anti-Tumoral and Anti-Angiogenic Efficacy and Superior Side Effect Profile Compared to Pan-Angiopoietin-1/-2 Inhibitors. PLoS ONE 2013; 8(2): e54923.
- 16. Tse V, Xu L, Yung YC, Santarelli JG, Juan D, Fabel K, Silverberg G, Harsh G: The temporal-spatial expression of VEGF, angiopoietin-1 and 2, and Tie-2 during tumor angiogenesis and their functional correlation with tumor neovascular architecture. Neurol Res. 2003, 25: 729-738.
- 17. Fagiani E, Christofori G.Cancer Lett. 2013 Jan 1; 328(1):18-26.
- Li C, Li Q, Cai Y, He Y, Lan X et al Overexpression of ANG2 promotes the formation of OSCC. Cancer Gene Therapy .2016; 23(9), 295–302.
- Zhang Z-L, Liu Z-S, Sun Q. Expression of angiopoietins, Tie2 and vascular endothelial growth factor in angiogenesis and progression of hepatocellular carcinoma. World Journal of Gastroenterology : WJG. 2006;12(26):4241-4245
- Ochiumi T, Tanaka S, Oka S, Hiyama T, Ito M, Kitadai Y, et al. Clinical significance of angiopoietin-2 expression at the deepest invasive tumor site of advanced colorectal carcinoma. Int J Oncol. 2004; 24: 539–47.
- 21. Kienast Y, Klein C, Scheuer W, Raemsch R, Lorenzon E. Ang-2-VEGF-A CrossMab, a Novel Bi specific Human IgG1 Antibody Blocking VEGF-A and Ang-2 Functions Simultaneously, Mediates Potent Antitumor, Antiangiogenic, and Anti metastatic Efficacy Clin Cancer Res.2013; 19(24):6730-40.

#### الخلاصة

الظهور الكىمىائي النسبجي المناعي لمعلم Angiopoietin-2 و تقسم علاقته بالنواحي السريرية والمرضية لسرطان الفم الحرشفي

الخلُفيَّة: هناك الكَثَر من البروينات التي تُفرز والتي لها تاثير في توقع نتائج مرض سرطان الفم الحرشفي واحد هؤلاء هو المعلم(Angiopoietin-2(Ang-2 ويعتقد ان له دور اساسي في نشوء وتفاقم هز آ النوع من السرطان.

اهداف الدراسة: أن هذه الدراسة تُشير الى تُحديد الظهور المناعي لمعام2-Ang في سرطان الفم الحرشفي وتقييم ارتباطه بالنواحي السريرية والمرضية والتمايز

النسيجي لهدا النوع من الورم. الطرق و الادوات:تضمنت الدراسة ست وثلاثون عينة مسترجعة استخرجت من المقاطع النسيجية المطمورة بشمع البرافين ثم اجريت عليها الفحوصات النسيجية والمناعية النسيجية الكيميائية لمعلم2-Ang وقرات النتائج باستخدام طريقة حساب شدة التصبيغ شبه الكمية.

النتائج: بينت النتائج ظهور المعلم المناعي2-Angوارتباطه مع الدرجة النسيجية المرضية والعلاقة ذو دلالة معنوية (P value=0.023)

كما اوضحت هذه الدراسة عدم وجود دلالة معنوية مع النواحي السريرية والمرضية لسرطان الفم الحرشفي. الاستنتاجات: كثيفت هذه الدراسة مستويات مرتفعة من التعبير المناعي لمعلم2-Ang وهذا يشير لاهميته في تقييم السلوك البيولوجي وتخميين نتائج المرض.