RESEARCH REPORT

Nosema ceranae changes semen characteristics and damages sperm DNA in honeybee drones

G Borsuk^{1*}, M Kozłowska², M Anusiewicz², J Paleolog³

¹Institute of Biological Basis of Animal Production; Faculty of Biology, Animal Sciences and Bioeconomy; University of Life Sciences, Akademicka 13, 20-950 Lublin, Poland

²Department of Botany and Mycology; Institute of Biology and Biochemistry; Faculty of Biology and Biotechnology; Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, Akademicka 19, 20-033 Lublin, Poland

³Department of Zoology, Animal Ecology & Wildlife Management; Faculty of Biology, Animal Sciences and Bioeconomy; University of Life Sciences, Akademicka 13, 20-950 Lublin, Poland

Accepted June 07, 2018

Abstract

In this study, we aimed to determine how infection with Nosema ceranae spores affected semen volume, sperm concentration, and fragmentation of sperm DNA in honeybees. A total of 120 one-dayold drones were marked with queen bee marking numbers, and equally divided into two groups. Drones in the infected group were individually fed with honey syrup containing 200 000 N. ceranae spores per 1 µL, while those in the uninfected (control) group were fed honey syrup without spores. The groups were then placed in two separate colonies. Fourteen days later, ejaculate was collected from the drones and was analyzed for semen volume, sperm concentration per 1 µL semen, and sperm DNA fragmentation. Compared to uninfected controls, the N. ceranae spore-infected drones showed significantly decreased semen volume and sperm concentration, as well as a higher percentage of sperm DNA fragmentation.

Key Words: Nosema ceranae; Apis mellifera; sperm; drone; DNA fragmentation; chromatin dispersion

Introduction

One cause of Apis mellifera colony loss or depopulation is the intestinal parasite Nosema ceranae, which infects honeybees with the same intensity year-round (Martin-Hernandez et al., 2007; Giersch et al., 2009; Tapaszti et al., 2009). The parasite causes immune system suppression in honeybees (Antúnez et al., 2009). Nosema spp. destroys intestinal epithelial cells, thereby limiting nutrient absorption and increasing energy requirements (Fries et al., 1996; Mayack and Naug, 2009). Microsporidia lack functional mitochondria, and are thus fully dependent on the energy produced by the host (Agnew and Koella, 1997). Infection with Nosema spp. spores leads to declining protein levels in infected workers, resulting in hypopharyngeal gland atrophy and changes in the fatty acid composition of the hemolymph (Roberts, 1968; Wang and Moeller, 1970; Malone et

Institute of Biological Basis of Animal Production Faculty of Biology, Animal Sciences and Bioeconomy University of Life Sciences Akademicka 13, 20-950 Lublin, Poland

E-mail: grzegorz.borsuk@up.lublin.pl

al., 1995, 1998). This limits the development of young bees and leads to honeybee colony weakening, depopulation, and collapse (Higes et al., 2008, 2011, 2013; Botías et al., 2012).

Honeybee colonies in Poland commonly exhibit infection with N. ceranae spores (Michalczyk et al., 2013). N. ceranae spores infect bees and queens as well as juvenile and adult drones, which transmit the infection (Traver and Fell, 2011). Nosema apis infection does not impact the acceleration of maturation or the undertaking of earlier mating flights by infected individuals (Tofilski and Kopel, 1996). Older drones infected with N. apis exhibit spores in their reproductive tissues and ejaculate, which may cause vertical/sexual transmission of the and deterioration of infection reproduction performance and reflects sperm damage caused by Nosema spp. (Peng et al., 2015). N. apis and N. ceranae represent microsporidia from the kingdom of fungi (Adl et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2013). Both Nosema species are obligatory parasites of adult bees causing similar severe bee infections worldwide (Klee et al., 2007). Therefore, results obtained for N. apis can be extrapolated to those for N. cerana, but N. ceranae is more virulent towards Apis mellifera honey bees (Huang, 2011).

Corresponding author:

Grzegorz Borsuk

In the present study, we investigated the hypothesis that *N. ceranae* damages sperm DNA in honeybees. Drones were individually infected with *N. ceranae* spores. We then examined the effects of infection on semen volume, sperm concentration per 1 μ L semen, and sperm DNA fragmentation relative to uninfected drones.

Material and Methods

Nosema ceranae spore collection

We obtained 30 dead bees from each of the 50 winter-killed colonies that originated from the apiary of the University of Life Sciences in Lublin. These bee samples were homogenized in 30 mL of distilled water, and the homogenates were applied to a Bürker hemocytometer. We counted the spores within each square under a light microscope at 400x magnification. Infection intensity was assessed as the number of spores per honeybee. Winter deaths characterized by the marked presence of *Nosema* spp. spores were subsequently subjected to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis using specific primers for *N. ceranae* 218MITOC and *N. apis* 321APIS.

We mixed 300 µL honeybee homogenate with 180 µL lysis buffer and 20 µL proteinase K, and then isolated total DNA using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) in accordance with the instructions. To identify manufacturer's the Nosema species, total DNA was analyzed using the primers 218MITOC and 321APIS, as described by Martin-Hernandez et al. (Martin-Hernandez et al., 2007). PCR was performed using the Taq PCR Core Kit (Qiagen). In a total volume of 30 µL, the reaction mixture included 6 µL of the DNA sample, 9.85 μL H₂O, 3.3 μL 10x PCR buffer, 6.6 μL Q solution, 2.95 μL Mg⁺², 0.66 μL dNTP mix, 0.125 μ L forward primer, 0.125 μ L reverse primer, and 0.39 µL Tag. The PCR conditions were as follows: 10 min at 95 °C; followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 55.8 °C, and 45 s at 72 °C; and then a final extension of 7 min at 72 °C. The PCR product was analyzed in 5% agarose gel under UV light.

We selected the winter-killed colonies that were infected solely with N. ceranae spores. Homogenates including only N. ceranae spores were used to prepare honey-water syrup (1:1 ratio) containing 2 × 10⁶ spores/µL. This syrup was administered to 120 one-day-old honeybee workers for 5 days, and was then replaced with spore-free syrup. For the next 14 days, the workers were kept in wooden cages of 12.5 × 12.5 × 4 cm, maintained under laboratory conditions (25 °C; H= 65%). After this period, they were euthanized with CO₂ for 10 min. Once again, the hemocytometric method was applied to determine the number of spores. These spores were used to prepare a honey-water syrup (1:1) containing 200 000 N. ceranae spores per 1 µL to be administered to one-day-old drones. This procedure ensured good viability of the N. ceranae spores, such that they would have high ability to infect immediately and effectively the honeybee drones in the further experiments.

Drone breeding and infection

The investigations were conducted in June 2017, using drones originating from one *Apis mellifera carnica* queen. To obtain drones of the same age, the queen was caged for two days on a single drone comb using a queen excluder. Then the queen was released, and the comb remained caged to protect it from further oviposition. On post-oviposition day 23, the comb was transferred to a 34 °C incubator to induce drone emergence. The emerging drones were individually marked using queen bee marking numbers.

A total of 120 drones were evenly divided into two groups: uninfected and infected with N. ceranae. Using а micropipette, the control/uninfected drones were individually fed 2 µL of honey:water (1:1) solution, whereas drones in the infected group were fed 2 µL of honey:water (1:1) solution containing 200 000 N. ceranae spores per 1 uL. Then, the drones were placed in separate colonies, with 60 uninfected drones in three colonies and 60 N. ceranae spore-infected drones in another three colon (20 drones per colony were free living). All colonies were Nosema spp. free, as confirmed by PCR tests. The exit were barred by queen excluders to prevent the drones from leaving the colonies.

On day 14 after emergence, the drones were captured and their semen was collected using a 1 μ L calibrated micropipette. The semen volume was measured using an electronic caliper following the method of Czekońska *et al.* (2013b). Then the semen collected from each drone was divided into two samples of equal volume. One sample was used to determine the sperm concentration, and the other sample was used for DNA fragmentation analysis.

Sperm concentration determination with a Muse flow cytometer

Sperm concentration was determined using a flow cytometer and a Muse Count and Viability Kit from Merck. The sperm was diluted 20x in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and then mixed with 380 µL of Muse Count and Viability reagent. The mixture was vortexed for approximately 30 s, incubated at room temperature for 5 min, and then vortexed again for 10 s. Samples prepared in this manner were then measured three times using the Muse flow cytometer.

Sperm DNA fragmentation (SDF)

To quantify the sperm DNA fragmentation, we used the Sperm DNA Fragmentation (SDF) test from Halosperm® (Halotech DNA SL, ISO 13485), in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. This assay is based on sperm chromatin dispersion.

The sperm samples were diluted with a 400x PBS solution. Agarose was dissolved at a temperature of 95 °C for 5 min, and then cooled to 37 °C. We then combined 50 μ L diluted sperm with 100 μ L of agarose. Next, 8 μ L of the mixture of sperm and agarose was mounted on horizontal microscopic slides provided in the kit, and covered with a cover glass. The preparations were transferred to a refrigerator and kept at 5 °C for 5 min

Table 1 Semen volume and sperm concentration of infected and uninfected drones (Mann-Whitney tests)

Trait	Number	Uninfected drones	Nosema ceranae- infected drones	Statistics	
		mean	mean	Z	р
Semen volume (µL)	60	1.18	0.37	9.48	0.00001
Sperm concentration (x 10 ⁶ /µL)	60	10.06	4.93	9.49	0.00001

until the agarose set. Then the cover glasses were removed, and the slides were submerged in "Solution 1" (Denaturant Agent) and incubated at room temperature for 7 min. Next, Solution 1 was removed, and the slides were dried, submerged in "Solution 2" (Lysis Solution), and incubated at room temperature for 20 min. The slides were then rinsed in distilled water, dried, placed in a horizontal position, and dehydrated by submersion in 70% ethanol for 2 min. After removal of the 70% ethanol, 100% ethanol was applied for 2 min. Next, the slides were dried and stained with "Solution 3" (Eosin Staining Solution) at room temperature for 7 min. Finally, the slides were dried, and then stained with "Solution 4" (Thiazine Staining Solution) at room temperature for 7 min.

In this manner, we prepared 20 samples total from the infected drones and 20 samples total from the uninfected drones (40 samples total). We then counted the sperm cells in five fields of vision from each sample using a Nikon Eclipse Ni bright-field microscope at 400x magnification. In each field of vision, we counted 10 sperm cells with fragmented and degraded DNA and normal spermatozoa. We calculated the percentage of sperm with fragmented DNA using the following formula:

SDF(%) = Total cell count x 100

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SAS software version 9.5 (Statistical Analysis System Institute, Cary, NC). Comparisons between the uninfected drones and *N. ceranae*-infected drones were performed using Mann-Whitney tests. Percentage data were arcsine-transformed (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981).

Results

A significantly higher volume of semen was collected from the uninfected drones than from the *N. ceranae*-infected drones ($p \le 0.05$; Table 1).

The concentration of sperm in semen was also significantly higher in the uninfected drones (10.06 × $10^{6}/\mu$ L) than in the *N. ceranae*-infected drones (4.93 × $10^{6}/\mu$ L) (Table 1).

In the present study, we analyzed 1000 spermatozoa from each uninfected and infected drone. Sperm cells with undamaged DNA were characterized by a round central core with a large circular aureole/halo along the perimeter of the sperm head (Fig. 1a). In spermatozoa with damaged DNA and nucleotides, we observed a central core with a small elongated aureole/halo that tightly adhered to the sperm head, which was formed by dispersed DNA fragments (Fig. 1b). Degraded sperm exhibited no aureole/halo (Fig. 1c).



Fig. 1 Representative photographs of sperm after the sperm DNA fragmentation (SDF) test. (a) Healthy sperm with no DNA fragmentation. (b) Sperm with dispersion of DNA fragments. (c) Degraded sperm



Fig. 2 Percentage of sperm DNA fragmentation. *p < 0.05 for the difference between sperm from uninfected drones and *N. ceranae*-infected drones (Mann-Whitney test; Z= 13.38 p= 0.00001). Error bars represent standard deviation of the data

We observed a markedly higher percentage of DNA fragmentation among sperm cells from *N. ceranae*-infected drones (48.82%) than from the uninfected drones (13.56%) (Fig. 2).

Discussion

The volume of semen collected from the uninfected drones in this study was similar to the collected volume of 0.9 to 1.1 μ L reported by Czekońska *et al.* (2013b, 2015). Strikingly, only 0.37 μ L of this volume was collected from the *N. ceranae*-infected drones in this study.

In fact, the sperm concentration in the infected drones was the lowest ever reported from *A. m. carnica* drones. The concentration of sperm per 1 μ L of semen generally ranges from 6.76 to 11.95 × 10⁶/ μ L, depending on the size of the drones and study (Woyke, 1960; Moritz, 1981, 1984; Rinderer *et al.*, 1985, 1999; Berg and Koeniger, 1990; Duay *et al.*, 2002; Czekońska *et al.*, 2015).

We assessed sperm chromatin dispersion using a sperm DNA fragmentation (SDF) test, which is widely used for examinations of *Daphnia*, bull, wild boar, and even human sperm (Fernández *et al.*, 2003, 2005; Enciso *et al.*, 2006; Gómez *et al.*, 2016). The SDF test is a simple, accurate, and reproducible method for analyzing sperm DNA fragmentation (Breznik *et al.*, 2016), which is routinely used for sperm analysis in medical laboratories and is an important tool for diagnosing male infertility (Jay, 1963).

Spermatozoa in drones are produced during the preimaginal period (Bishop, 1920; Fukuda and Ohtani, 1977; Czekońska *et al.*, 2013a), beginning at the larval stage and ending at the pupal stage (Snodgrass, 1956). During the first week of adult life, spermatozoa are transferred from the testes to seminal vesicles, where they are stored until copulation (Snodgrass, 1956; Woyke, 1958; De Graaf and Jacobs, 1991). The reduced sperm volume and concentration observed in the *N. ceranae*-infected drones (Table 1) may have been caused by undernourishment of the infected drones during the transfer of sperm from the testes to seminal vesicles, which lasts approximately one week.

Prior studies demonstrate that N. ceranae spreads into many tissues and affects the sexual function of infected drones (Roberts and Hughes, 2015; Ciereszko et al., 2017). N. ceranae-infected hosts also suffer intestinal epithelial cell damage, limited nutrient uptake, and increased energy requirements (Fries et al., 1996; Mayack and Naug, 2009). Nosema infection reduces protein levels in infected honeybees, leading to hypopharyngeal gland atrophy (Wang and Moeller, 1970; Malone et al., 1995), and changing the fatty acid composition of hemolymph (Roberts, 1968). This condition of energy deficiency, limited protein availability, and disturbed fatty acid metabolism leads to undernourishment, which likely resulted in the production of sperm with DNA fragmentation in the N. ceranae-infected drones in our present study (Fig. 2).

Ciereszko et al. (2017) demonstrated that honeybee spermatozoa are susceptible to the toxic effects of imidacloprid, which aggravates sperm parameters. Moreover, Peng et al. (2015) reported that Nosema apis infection reduced drone fertility and lifespan, and detected spores in the ejaculates of infected drones. These authors questioned whether infected drones actually leave their colonies to undertake mating flights. If so, they could additionally pose a threat to the mating success of females, since drones can transmit the infection vertically. Our findings suggest that if infected drones undertake the mating flight, their reproductive success will only be achieved by approximately 51% of spermatozoa. as approximately 49% will be unable to fertilize eggs due to the phenomenon of sperm chromatin dispersion and semen volume.

Our present results confirmed the hypothesis that *N. ceranae* infection causes damage to sperm DNA and decreases both the semen volume and sperm concentration in honeybee drones. This is the first demonstration that these phenomena may cause the poorer reproduction performance of *N. ceranae*-infected drones. Sperm with damaged DNA are incapable of fertilizing eggs, which may decrease queen fecundity and contribute to honeybee colony depopulation.

References

- Adl SM, Simpson AGB, Lane CE, Lukeš J, Bass D, Bowser SS, *et al.* The new higher level classification of eukaryotes with emphasis on the taxonomy of protists. J Eukaryot Microbiol. 52: 399-451, 2005.
- Agnew P, Koella JC. Virulence, parasite mode of transmission, and host fluctuating asymmetry. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 264: 9-15, 1997.
- Antúnez K, Martin-Hernandez R, Prieto L, Meana A, Zunino P, Higes M. Immune-suppression in the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) following infection by *Nosema ceranae* (Microsporidia). Environ Microbiol. 11: 2284-2290, 2009.
- Berg S, Koeniger N. Larger drones (*Apis mellifera*) have more offspring. Proc. German Zoological Society, 83rd Meeting, Frankfurt am Main, Gustav Fischer Verlag, 1990.
- Bishop GH. Fertilization in the honey-bee. I. The male sexual organs: Their histological structure and physiological functioning. J Exp Zool. 31: 224-265, 1920.
- Botías C, Martin-Hernandez R, Días J, García-Palencia P, Matabuena M, Juarranz A. The effect of induced queen replacement on *Nosema* spp. infection in honey bee (*Apis mellifera iberiensis*) colonies. Environ Microbiol. 14: 845-859, 2012.
- Breznik PB, Kovačič B, Vlaisavljević V. Are sperm DNA fragmentation, hyperactivation, and hyaluronan-bindin gability predictive for fertilization and embryo development in in vitro fertilization and intracytoplasmic sperm injection? Fertil Steril. 99: 1233-1241, 2013.
- Ciereszko A, Wilde J, Dietrich GJ, Siuda M, Bąk B, Judycka S, *et al.* Sperm parameters of honeybee drones exposed to imidacloprid. Apidologie. 48: 211-222, 2017.
- Czekońska K, Chuda-Mickiewicz B, Chorbiński P. The effect of brood incubation temperature on the reproductive value of honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) drones. J. Apic. Res. 52(2): 96-105, 2013a.
- Czekońska K, Chuda-Mickiewicz B, Chorbiński P. The influence of honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) drone age on volume of semen and viability of spermatozoa. J. Apic. Science. 57: 61-66, 2013b.
- Czekońska K, Chuda-Mickiewicz B, Samborski J. Quality of honeybee drones reared in colonies with limited and unlimited access to pollen. Apidologie. 46: 1-9, 2015.
- De Graaf DC, Jacobs FJ. Tissue specificity of *Nosema apis*. J Invertebr Pathol. 58: 277-278, 1991.
- Duay P, De Jong D, Engels W. Decreased flight performance and sperm production in drones of the honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) slightly infested by *Varroa destructor* mites during pupal development. Genet Mol Res. 1: 227-232, 2002.
- Enciso M, Lopez-Fernandez C, Fernandez JL, Garcia P, Gosalbez A, Gosalvez J. A new method to analyze boar sperm DNA fragmentation under bright-field or fluorescence microscopy. Theriogenology. 65: 308-316, 2006.

- Fernández JL, Muriel L, Goyanes V, Segrelles E, Gosálvez J, Enciso M. Simple determination of human sperm DNA fragmentation with an improved sperm chromatin dispersion (SCD) test. Fertil Steril. 84: 833-842, 2005.
- Fernández JL, Muriel L, Rivero MT, Goyanes V, Vazquez R, Alvarez JG. The Sperm Chromatin Dispersion Test: A simple method for the determination of sperm DNA fragmentation. J Androl. 24: 59-66, 2003.
- Fries I, Feng F, da Silva A, Slemenda SB, Pieniazek NJ. Nosema ceranae n. sp. (Microspora, Nosematidae), morphological and molecular characterization of a microsporidian parasite of the Asian honey bee Apis cerana (Hymenoptera, Apidae). Eur J Protistol. 32: 356-365, 1996.
- Fukuda H, Ohtani T. Survival and life span of drone honeybees. Res Popul Ecol 19: 51-68, 1977.
- Giersch T, Berg T, Galea F, Hornitzky M. *Nosema ceranae* infects honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) and contaminates honey in Australia. Apidologie. 40: 117-123, 2009.
- Gómez R, Van Damme K, Gosálvez J, Morán ES, Colbourne JK. Male meiosis in Crustacea: synapsis, recombination, epigenetics and fertility in *Daphnia magna*. Chromosoma. 125: 769-787, 2016.
- Higes M, Martín-Hernández R, Botías C, Garrido Bailón E, González-Port AV, Barrios L, *et al.* How natural infection by *Nosema ceranae* causes honeybee colony collapse. Environ Microbiol. 10: 2659-2669, 2008.
- Higes M, Meana A, Bartolomé C, Botías C, Martín-Hernánde R. *Nosema ceranae* (Microsporidia), a controversial 21st century honey bee pathogen. Environ Microbiol Rep. 5: 17-29, 2013.
- Higes M, Nozal MJ, Álvaro A, Barrios L, Meana A, Martín-Hernández R, *et al.* The stability and effectiveness of fumagillin in controlling *Nosema ceranae* (Microsporidia) infection in honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) under laboratory and field conditions. Apidologie. 42: 364-377, 2011.
- Huang WF, Solter LF, Yau PM, Imai BS. *Nosema ceranae* escapes fumagillin control in honey bees. PLoS Pathol. 9: e1003185, 2013.
- Huang Z. Effects of *Nosema* spp. on honey bee behavior and physiology. Am Bee J. 151: 871-874, 2011.
- Jay SC. The development of honeybees in their cells. J. Apic. Res. 2: 117-134, 1963.
- Klee J, Besana AM, Genersch E, Gisder S, Nanetti A, Tam DQ, *et al.* Widespread dispersal of the microsporidian *Nosema ceranae*, an emergent pathogen of the western honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. J Invertebr Pathol. 96: 1-10, 2007.
- Malone LA, Burgess EPJ, Christeller JT, Gatehouse HS. In vivo responses of honey bee midgut proteases to tow protease inhibitors from potato. J Insect Physiol. 44:141-147, 1998.
- Malone LA, Giacon HA, Burgess EPJ, Maxwell JZ, Christeller JT, Laing WA. Toxicity of trypsin endopeptidase inhibitors to honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae). J Econ Entomol. 88: 46-50, 1995.

- Martin-Hernandez R, Meana A, Prieto L, Salvador AM, Garrido-Bailon E, Higes M. Outcome of colonization of *Apis mellifera* by *Nosema ceranae*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 73: 6331-6338, 2007.
- Mayack C, Naug D. Energetic stress in the honeybee *Apis mellifera* from *Nosema ceranae* infection. J Invertebr Pathol. 100: 185-188, 2009.
- Michalczyk M, Sokół R, Szczerba-Turek A. Phylogenetic analysis of *Nosema apis* and *Nosema ceranae* small subunit 16S rRNA in honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) from north-eastern Poland. Med Weter. 69: 733-735, 2013.
- Moritz RFA. Der Einfluss der Inzucht auf die Fitness der Drohnen von *Apis mellifera carnica*. Apidologie. 12: 41-55, 1981.
- Moritz RFA. The effect of different diluents on insemination success in the honeybee using mixed semen. J Apic. Res. 23: 164-167, 1984.
- Peng Y, Baer-Imhoof B, Millar AH, Baer B. Consequences of *Nosema apis* infection for male honey bees and their fertility. Sci Rep. 5: 10565, 2015.
- Rinderer TE, Collins AM, Pesante D. A comparison of Africanized and European drones: weights, mucus gland and seminal vesicle weights, and counts of spermatozoa. Apidologie. 16: 407-412, 1985.
- Rinderer TE, de Guzman LI, Lancaster VA, Delatte GT, Stelzer JA. *Varroa* in the mating yard: The effect of *Varroa jacobsoni* and Apistan on drone honey bees. Am Bee J. 139: 134-139, 1999.

- Roberts KE, Hughes WOH. Horizontal transmission of a parasite is influenced by infected host phenotype and density. Parasitology. 142: 395-405, 2015.
- Roberts MD. Fatty acids in honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) infected with the protozoan *Nosema apis*. J Invertebr Pathol. 11: 234-236, 1968.
- Snodgrass RE. Anatomy of the honey bee. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, 1956.
- Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ. Biometry. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1981.
- Tapaszti Z, Forgách P, Kövágó C, Békési L, Bakonyi T, Rusvai M. First detection and dominance of *Nosema ceranae* in Hungarian honeybee colonies. Acta Vet Hung. 57: 383-388, 2009.
- Tofilski A, Kopel J. The influence of *Nosema apis* on maturation and flight activity of honey bee drones. Pszcz Zesz Nauk. 40: 55-60, 1996.
- Traver BE, Fell RD. *Nosema ceranae* in drone honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). J Invertebr Pathol. 107: 234-236, 2011.
- Wang DI, Moeller FE. The division of labor and queen attendance behaviour of *Nosema* infected worker honeybees. J Econ Entomol. 63: 1539-1541, 1970.
- Woyke J. Histological structure of drone reproductive organs. Poznańskie Towarzystwo Przyjaciół Nauk, Wydział Matematyczno-Przyrodniczy Prace Komisji Biologicznej 19: 1-51, 1958.
- Woyke J. Natural and instrumental insemination of queen bees. Pszcz Zesz Nauk. 4: 183-275, 1960.