# Relationship between Neonatal septicemia and birth weight

Yasmeen J. Al-Bayaa*	<b>BSc Biology, MSc Bacteriolog</b>		
Nedhal S.Ayoub *	<b>BSc Biology, MSc Bacteriology</b>		
SevanNajemAlwan*	<b>BSc Biology, MSc Bacteriology</b>		

#### Summary:

**Background:** Neonatal Septicemia (NNS) is generalized microbial symptomatic infection during the first 28 days of life.It>s the most serious complication in Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICU) that demand urgent diagnosis and accurate treatment.

**Objective:** To reveal the relationship of neonatal septicemia with birth weight (one of the neonatal risk factors).

**Patients and Methods:** Blood sample was obtained from 76 neonates aged 1 hour-28 days who were diagnosed clinically (poor feeding, respiratory distress, fever, hypothermia, gastrointestinal and/or central nervous system symptoms)and bacteriologically to have neonatal septicemia.

**Results:**One of the most important neonatal factor predisposing to infection is low birth weight, significant positive culture results were found among the group with birth weight 0.9–2 Kg.Gram negative bacteria constituted 71% of the total isolates.

**Conclusion:** The low birth weight infants were at high risk to neonatal Septicemia. **Keywords:** Neonatal septicemia (NNS), birth weight.

#### Introduction:

Fac Med Baghdad

2012; Vol.54, No.2

Received May 2011

Accepted Feb. 2012

Neonatal septicemia (NNS) is the term used to describe any systemic bacterial infection documented by a positive blood culture in the first month of life (1). Neonatal septicemia remains one of the important causes of mortality and morbidity despite considerable progress in hygiene, introduction of new and potent antimicrobial agents and advanced measures for diagnosis and treatment. Up to 10% of infants have infections in the firstmonth of life which are responsible for 30-50% of total neonatal deaths in developingcountries. (2). Etiological agents of neonatal septicemia are bacteria, fungi (mainly candida), viruses and rarely protozoa. In numerous studies, certain predisposing factors related to pregnancy, ,delivery, as well as neonatal diseases has been identified as important causes of sepsis in the newly born infants such as prematurity, prolonged rupture of amniotic membrane, maternal fever and low birth weight neonates. For many years attention has also been drawn to the risk of cross infection in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (nosocomial infection) (3, 4). However, the clinical diagnosis of neonatal septicemia is difficult in many situations as it present with non-specific symptoms and signs (4). Thus microbiologic blood culture results continue to be considered the gold standard for diagnosis of bacterial sepsis (5,6).Neonatal sepsis can be classified into two relatively distinct illnesses based on thepostnatal age at onset. Early-onset sepsisoccurs in the first 7 days of life, is usually afulminant and multisystemic infection; andhas

\* Dept. of microbiology, college of medicine, Baghdad University.

a higher case fatality rate than late-onsetsepsis (7-28 days of life). Late-onset sepsis is usually moreinsidious but may have an acute onset(1).

Bacterial pathogens of neonatal septicemiamay vary from one country to another and within a country from one hospital or regionto another. These organisms may even varyat different times within the same place(7). Indeveloped countries Group B streptococcus(GBS), E. coli and Listeria monocytogenesarethe most common causes of neonatal sepsis, however, in developing countries, these bacteria are replaced by gram-negative bacilli, Coagulase negative staphylococcus (CONS) andothers. Over the last two decades most of theorganisms have developed increased drugresistance and management of the patients isbecoming a major problem. Identification of the etiology is important since it can induce achange in management policy. For effectivemanagement of neonatal septicemia with appropriate antibiotics that would minimize the risk of severe morbidity and mortalitybesides reducing the emergence of multidrugresistant organisms by rational antibiotic use, study of bacteriological profile and theirantibiotic sensitivity pattern plays asignificant role (1, 7, and 8).

#### **Patients and Methods:**

The study was conducted in the Neonatal Intensive Care Units of Children Welfare Teaching Hospital. Seventy- six neonates were selected to be included in the study, Fifty eight(76.3%) neonates with septicemia weighed equal or less than 2500 gm, while eighteen (23.7%) neonates weighed more than 2500 gm. All the selected neonates had one or more signs of sepsis such as lethargy, poor feeding, abdominal distension, respiratory distress, instability in temperature, pathological jaundice, convulsions, chills, vomitting, fever, malaise, hypothermia, toxicity and eventually the extreme form being-shock (9). Approximately One to 5ml blood (according to the condition of the neonate) were aspirated from each neonate, and divided into two parts; the first part for aerobic blood culture bottle (brain heart infusion), and they remain blood was inoculated in anaerobic blood culture bottle (thioglycolate). Few drops of primary culture were subcultured into secondary culture media blood agar, chocolate agar and MacConkeys agar, then the media were inspected for the presence of bacterial growth. The isolated bacteria were defined by colonial morphology, Gram stain reaction, biochemical reactions and confirmed by API-20E biotyping (API 20E system)(10, 11).

#### Statistical analysis:

Statistical tables including observed frequencies with their percentages. The summary statistic of the readings distribution (mean, median).

## **Results:**

Eleven different organisms were responsible for septicemia in neonates during the present study period. There were 76 isolates recovered from neonates who were less than 30 days of age. Gram-negative bacilli constituted the largest number (71%) of the total isolates.Table (1) shows that 58(76.3%) neonates with septicemia weighed equal or less than 2500 gm, while 18(23.7%) neonates weighed more than 2500 gm. The median was (2100 gm) and mean was (2087 gm). Table (2) shows that (26.7%) of neonates weighed less than 2000 gm were infected with K. pneumoniae, (25%) of neonates weighed 2000-2500 gm were infected with E. coli, while (33.3%) of neonates weighed more than 2500 gm were infected with each of K. pneumoniae and S. aureus.

Table (1): Distribution of neonates' birth weight (ingrams) in 76 neonatal septicemia cases:

Birth weight (gm)	No.(%)
< 2000	30(39.5)
2000 - 2500	28(36.8)
> 2500	18(23.7)
Total	76(100)
Median = 2100gm	
Mean = 2087gm	

 Table (2): Distribution of blood culture microorganisms

 causing neonatal septicemia in relation to birth weight

	Neona	Total		
Microorganisms	<2000 2000_2500 >2500			
	No.(%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	
Klebsiella pneumonia	8(26.7)	4(14.3)	6(33.3)	18
Staphylococcus aureus	4(13.3)	6(21.4)	6(33.3)	16
Escherichia coli	3(10)	7(25)	0(0)	10
Enterobacter cloacae	3(10)	3(10.7)	2(11.1)	8
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	2(6.7)	4(14.3)	1(5.6)	7
Staphylococcus epidermidis	2(6.7)	1(3.6)	1(5.6)	4
Serratia marcescens	2(6.7)	2(7.1)	0(0)	4
Enterobacter aerogenes	1(3.3)	1(3.6)	1(5.6)	3
Group- B-beta hemolytic streptococcus (streptococcus agalactiae)	2(6.7)	0(0)	0(0)	2
Acinetobacter baumannii	1(3.3)	0(0)	1(5.6)	2
Citrobacter koserae	2(6.7)	0(0)	0(0)	2
Total	30(100)	28(100)	18(100)	76

#### **Discussion:**

Gram negative bacilli were the most common organisms responsible for neonatal septicemia (71%), this result compatible with Ahmed et. al., (2002)(2) who reported that increased colonization with gram-negative organisms, probably due to long stay in NICU (nosocomial infection).In our study table(1) shows that with decreasing weight; there are more numbers of neonates with septicemia. This result is compatible with other reports from intensive care units by Tallur et. al.,(2000) and Makhoul et. al.,(2000) (12,13) who were indicated that the most important neonatal factor predisposing to infection is low birth weight; the lower the birth weight, the greater the susceptibility of the newborn to septicemia by 3-10 fold, as such patients were expected to be more debilitated and their immunity could be immature. The mean of the present study is 2087 gm, this result nearly coincide with Abida Malik et. al., (2001) (14) who reported that the mean of birth weight among neonates infected with septicemia was 2060 gm . It was observed from table (2) that K.pneumoniae was the most frequent microorganism infecting neonates of <2000 gm weight [8(26.7%)] as well as  $\geq 2500 \text{ gm} [6(33.3\%)]$ . S. aureus had the same frequency of K.pneumoniae in infecting normal birth weights [6(33.3%)]. However a similar number of neonates of 2000-2500 gm were infected with S. aureus too [6(21.4%)], also it infected low birth weight neonates <2000 gm weight [4(13.3%)]. Shitayeet. al.,(2010) (15) reported that The most common isolated organisms from low birth weight neonates were K.pneumoniae (39.2%) and S. aureus (22.2%). E. coli was frequently isolated from <2000 gm weight [3(10%)] as well as 2000-2500 gm [7(25%)], whereas P. aeruginosa isolates were [2(6,7%)], [4(14.3%)] respectively. S. epidermidis infections in <2000 gm births was in a ratio 2:1 to other weights. Talluret. al., (2000), Movahedianet. al., (2006) and Al-Shamahyet. al.,(2012) (12,16,11) reported that E. coli, S. epidermidis and P. aeruginosa infected low weight births more frequently, that related to their low virulency and invasiveness.

## **Conclusions:**

Gram negative bacteria were the most common organisms isolated from septicemic neonates in our study mainly K. pneumoniae,Enterobacter species, E. coli ,P. aeruginosa, they are a significant cause of mortality and morbidity in the newborn, particularly in very low birth weight infants . Moreover there were high percentages of S. aureus isolates. Infants with low birth weight were at high risk to neonatal septicemia than normal weighted infants.

## References:

1. Edwards M.S. (2006)Postnatal infections. In:Neonatal-Perinatal Medicine. Edited by

*Fanaroff, Martins, 8th ed. Philadelphia,Mosby Elsevier;Pp:* 791-804.

2. Ahmed AS, Chowdhury MA, Hoque M,Darmstadt GL. (2002) Clinical and bacteriological profile of neonatal septicemia in a tertiary level pediatric

hospital in Bangladesh. Indian Pediatr.; 39(11):1034-9.

3. Berqovist G., Eriksson M., and Zetterstorm R. (1999). Neonatal septicemia and perinatal risk factors.Acta.Pediatr. Scand. 68:337-339.

4. Rodrigo I. (2002). Changing patterns of neonatal sepsis, Srilanka. J. Child. Health. 31: 3-8.

5. Weinschenk N.P., Farina A., and Bianchi D.W. (2000). Premature infants respond to early onset and late onset sepsis with leukocyte activation. J. Pediatr. 137: 345-350.

6. Mahapatra A., Ghosh S.K., Mishra S., Pattnaik D., and Mohanty S.K. (2002). Enterctacter cloacae: A predominant pathogen in neonatal septicemia. Indian. J of Med. Microbiologists, 20(2): 255-857.

7. Desinor OY, Silva JL, Menos MJ.Neonatal sepsis and meningitis in Haiti.J TropPediatr. 2004;50(1):48-50.

8. Gheibi S.H., Karamyyar M.,(2008).Coagulase Negative Staphylococcus; the Most CommonCause of Neonatal Septicemia in Urmia, Iran.Iran J.Pediatr.18(3):237-243.

9. Road G., Guildford and Surrey (2010). Neonatal Sepsis in the Very Low Birth Weight Preterm Infants. J. of Med. Sciences; 3(1): 11-27

10. Anghvi K.P. and Tudehope D.I. (1996). Neonatal bacterial sepsis in a neonatal intensive care unit: A 5 year analysis. J. Pediatr. Child.Health. 32:333-338.

11. Al-Shamahy H.A., Sabrah A.A., Al-Robasi A.B., and NaserS.M.(2012). Types of Bacteria associated with Neonatal Sepsis in Al-Thawra University Hospital, Sana'a, Yemen, and their Antimicrobial Profile. Sultan QaboosUniv Med. J.; 12(1): 48–54.

12. Tallur S.S., Kasturi A.V., Nadgir S.D., and Krishna B.S. (2000). Clinico-bacteriological study of neonatal septicemia in Hubli. . Indian.J. of Pediatr. 67(3): 169-174.

13.Makhoul I.R., Sujov P., Smolkin T., Lusky A., and Reichman B. (2001). Epidemiological, clinical, and microbiological characteristics of late-onset sepsis among very low birth weight infants in Israel: a national survey. Pediatrics.

14. Abida Malik, Hasani S.E., Khan H.M., and Ahmed A.J. (2001).Nosocomial infections in newborns. Indian Pediatrics 38: 68-7.

15.ShitayeD., AsratD., WoldeamanuelY., and WorkuB.(2010). Risk factors and etiology of neonatal sepsis in TikurAnbessa University Hospital, Ethiopia.EthiopMedJ.;48(1):11-21.

16. Movahedian A.H. ,MoniriR ., MosayebiZ. (2006). Bacterial Culture of Neonatal Sepsis.Iranian J. Publ Health, 35(4): pp.84-89.