Available Online at https://www.nepjol.info/index.php/IJOSH International Journal of Occupational Safety and Health, Vol. 9 No. 1 (2019), 8-12

# Prevalence of Bullying among Nurses in a Tertiary Hospital, Bangalore

## Cency Baburajan<sup>1</sup>, Sakthi Arasu<sup>2</sup>, Ramesh Naveen<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Cency Baburajan, MBBS, Post Graduate, MD (Community Medicine) <sup>2</sup>Dr. Sakthi Arasu, MBBS, Post Graduate, MD (Community Medicine) <sup>3</sup>Dr. Ramesh Naveen, MBBS, MD (Community Medicine)

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-5269-7186

**Institutional affiliation:** Division of Occupational Health Services, Department of Community Health, St. John's Medical College, Bangalore 560034. India.

## **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** In the last decade, research conducted in different countries has shown that bullying in the health care sector especially among nurses is a widespread and serious problem. Bullying of nurses at workplace affects their quality of life, hinders delivery of quality healthcare and contributes to increase inworkplace stress. So, this study was conducted to assess the prevalence of work place bullying among nurses working in a tertiary care hospital in Bangalore.

**Methodology:** This study was conducted among 300 staff nurses providing in-patient services in a tertiary health care hospital located in Bangalore. The required sample was selectedutilizing the documents maintained in the office of Chief of Nursing Services and using computer generated random numbers. The data was collected using self-administered questionnaire which comprised of socio-demographic factors and Negative Acts Questionnaire-Revised

**Results:** Among the 297 respondents, 26.9% were victims of bullying in the past six months according to Negative Acts Questionnaire-Revised(NAQ-R). Among the participants who were bullied, those exposed to work-related bullying, person-related bullying and physical intimidation were 80%, 60% and 21.3% respectively.

**Conclusion:** This study suggests existence of work place bullying among nurses which can adversely affect their performance as health care professionals and their quality of personal life.

Key words: bullying, distress, harassment, health care, nursing staff, violence

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/ijosh.v9i1.25161

## Introduction

Nurses are paramount in the provision of health care. They have a significant impact on the health of individual and therefore the society. There is an increasing demand for nurses due to multiple factors viz; an aging population, greater diversification in society, multiculturalism, marginalized populations and increasing technologies. According to a study, "Bullying is defined as a situation in which someone is

## **Corresponding Author**

Dr. Naveen Ramesh Division of Occupational Health Services, Department of Community Health, St. John's Medical College, Bangalore 560034. India.

Phone: +91 9448927400 E-mail: drnaveenr@gmail.com exposed to hostile behavior on the part of one or more persons in the work environment which aim continually and repeatedly to offend, oppress, maltreat, or to exclude or isolate over a long period of time".<sup>2</sup> Verbal abuse, threats, humiliation, intimidation, and behaviors that interfere with job performance are all considered workplace bullying. Workplace bullying may also include accusations of incompetence in the area of practice, gossiping about co-workers, withholding information pertinent to patient care, constant feelings of stress and fear of additional bullying events.<sup>3</sup>Workplace bullying has negative implications on the victim's health and work performance.<sup>4</sup>There may be an increase in incidence and severity of harassment in the health



This journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License. sector due to factors likeinadequate staffing, quality of servicesnot meeting the expectation of patients and demand of the patients family members can increase stress on health workers. Such situations contribute to co-worker harassment and reduced staff morale. There are also instances where there is observed gender based harassment against nurses.<sup>5</sup>

Intense stress and anxiety of bullying can cause a variety of physiological, psychological and social problems.<sup>2</sup> Individuals experiencing bullying at work have poor job satisfaction, work performance, motivation and efficiency, while their social relations suffer both at work and home.<sup>2</sup> According to WHO, bullying reported often from workplace has adverse impact on mental health like depression and anxiety.<sup>6</sup>The National Health Policy 2017 by Government of India has identified reduced stress and improved safety in workplace as one of the priority areas to improve the environment for health.<sup>7</sup>

Bullying in the nursing workplace has been identified as a factor that hinders the delivery of quality healthcare causing poor patient outcome. Moreover, due to the occupational stress some choose to leave the profession.8Recognizing the occurrence of bullying in workplace and early intervention to prevent it are important to avoid the various detrimental effects it has on nurses. Anti-bullying policies are adopted by governments in many countries, the implementation of these policies isstill regardedineffective.9Accurately describing the phenomenon of bullying will be the first step towards strengthening nursing as a considerate and sympathetic profession. Policies that will help in reducing and ultimately eradicating the occurrence of bullying in the workplace should be implemented to protect the nurses.10

## **Objectives**

To assess the prevalence of work place bullying among nurses working in a tertiary health care hospital in Bangalore.

## Methodology

This was a cross-sectional study conducted over a period of six months. Approval from the Institution Ethics Committee and the Chief of Nursing Services of the concerned hospital were obtained prior to the study. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants. The required sample size was 270 (prevalence of bullying was taken as 30% based on a previous study). After obtaining the list of staff

nurses, simple random sampling technique using computer generated random numberswas adopted to select the study participants. We distributed the questionnaire to 300 female nursing staff, providing in-patient services in medical and surgical wards in a tertiary hospital with a work experience of at least six months. Excluding the three participants who returned incomplete questionnaire, the final number was 297. We have adopted self-labeling method in this study which explicitly asks if the subjects are exposed to bullying. The definition of bullying was included in the questionnaire based on which the respondents were requested to answer.

#### Study tool

Study tool included demographic details and NAQ-R (Negative Acts Questionnaire Revised). 12 Prior permission was obtained from the author to use NAQ-R which has a Likert scale 1-5 (never, now and then, monthly, weekly, and daily). Three subclasses of bullying are work-related bullying, personal bullying and physical intimidation. In order to do the analysis we have followed the Leymann criteria according to which, bullying acts take place on regular basis (at least once a week) over a long period of time (at least once in six months). A person is called a bullying target if he/she has been subjected to two negative acts (Mikkelsen and Einarsen).8A pilot study was conducted among 50 nursing personnel to measure reliability and face validity of NAQ-R questionnaire. Reliability was measured using Cronbach's alpha, which was 0.8.the pilot study data was not included in this analysis.

## Data analysis

Data was entered in Microsoft excel and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16. Categorical variables were expressed in terms of absolute and relative frequencies. Quantitative variables were expressed in terms of mean, standard deviation and range. Chi-square test was used to detect potential association between two categorical variables. Chi-square value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

#### **Results**

The response rate in this study was 99%.

## Socio-demographic profile

Among the 297 participants, mean age was 21.6±5.526 years (Range:21-53). The participants were from 14 different states and majority, 158(53.2%) were from Kerala. Majority of them, 234(78.8%) were Christians

and 233(78.5%) were unmarried. Among those who were married, 26(40.6%) had no children, 21(32.8%) had one child, 15(23.4%) had two children and the rest had three children. Majority 28(95.6%), spoke the local language which was Kannada.

**Table 1:** Demographic distribution of the study participants.

participants.		
Age distribution of the study participants		
Age	Frequency	
21 - 25	202	68.0
26 – 30	58	19.5
31 – 35	16	5.4
36 – 40	07	2.4
41 – 45	08	2.7
> 45	06	2.0
Study population by religion		
Religion	Frequency	Percentages
Hindu	47	15.8
Christian	234	78.8
Muslims	01	0.3
Others	15	5.1
Marital Status of the study population		
<b>Marital Status</b>	Frequency	Percentages
Unmarried	233	78.5
Married	62	20.9
Divorced	01	0.3
Widow	01	0.3
Predominant language spoken by study		
participants		
<b>Mother Tongue</b>	Frequency	Percentages
Malayalam	173	58.2
Tamil	56	18.9
Kannada	30	10.1
Telugu	16	5.4
Hindi	03	1.0
Others	19	6.4
Socio-economic Classification (based on		
modified Kuppuswamy Classification).		
Socio-economic Class	Frequency	Percentages
Upper Class		
Upper Middle	169	56.9
Lower Middle	108	36.4
Upper Lower	18	6.1
Lower	2	0.7
Institution where studies (nursing course)		
Class Interval	Frequency	Percentages
Study Institution	74	24.9
Different Institution	223	75.1
D.I.Ioronic infolitation	220	7 0.1

## Work profile of the participants

Among the study subjects, 132(44.4%) had work experience between six months to one year, 127 (42.8%) had up to two years and the rest had three or more years of experience working in the present hospital. Among the participants, 121(40.7%) had experience of working in other private hospitals. Qualification wise, 133(44.7%) had completed General Nursing and Midwifery (GNM) course and 164(55.3%) had completed B.Sc. Nursing course.

At the time of the study, 170(57.2%) and 127(42.8%) of nurses were working in medical and surgical related specialty wards respectively. Out of the total participants, 282(95%) of them were staff nurses and the rest were head nurses. None of them ever got any warning or suspension for misconduct in the work place.

All the staff nurses were on six hour shifts and head nurses were on eight hour shifts. We explored the health profile of the participants in terms of any physical deformities, health problems and if they were on any medication for psychiatric illness. One participant had physical deformity and another reported being on psychiatric medication. Lower back pain was the most common complaint among 25(8.4%) of participants who reported to have a health problem.

## **Negative Acts Questionnaire Results**

Atotal of 80(26.9%) participants were targets of bullying, i.e., those who experienced two or more negative acts on a regular basis for the past six months. This was based on the response given by the subjects to the items in the questionnaire that were the examples of negative acts in the workplace.

Assessing the prevalence of types of bullying based on the sub classes of the 22 item questionnaire showed that among the 26.9% of participants who were victims of bullying, 64(80%) of the participants were subjected to work place bullying, 48(60%) reported personal bullying and 17(21.3%) had faced physical intimidation.

Concerning the 23<sup>rd</sup> item in the questionnaire stating "Have you been bullied at work?" with a definition of bullying given along with it, 241(81.1%) subjects stated that they were never bullied at work in the past six months, 37(12.5%) stated that they were bullied rarely, 14(4.7%) stated that they were bullied now and then, 5(1.7%) subjects stated that they were bullied several times in a week. Among the 56(18.9%) subjectswho were bullied based on the response to this question,

2(3.6%) of them reported that they were bullied by their immediate superiors and patients, 2(3.6%) subjects were bullied by doctors and colleagues, 1(1.8%) by other superiors and the rest of them did not disclose who bullied them. Based on gender, 7(12.5%) and 2(3.6%) of participants reported that they were bullied by female and male perpetrators respectively the rest reported that they were being bullied by both.

During the process of bivariate analysis, no significant association was found between bullying and socio-demographic factors like age, religion, state of origin, marital status, mother tongue, number of children if married and monthly family income. No statistically significant association was found between bullying and work related factors of the participants including course completed, years of experience working in current /previous organization, department where the participant is posted, designation duty timings. No statistically significant association was found between bullying and health related factors like presence of a health issue or physical deformity.

## **Discussion**

In this study among nurses working in a tertiary care hospital, prevalence of bullying using NAQ-R was 26.9% among the 297 respondents. Majority were found to be the victims of work related and personal bullying. Even though only a smaller percentage of nurses reported to be subjected to physical intimidation it is also an issue of significance. There are not many studies done in similar settings to compare the results that we have obtained, but studies done across the globe portrays the similar results. 13-15 Though the work conditions and exposure factors may vary, the presence of bullying in whatever form cannot be ignored. International Labor Organization reports that in the world of work there are several circumstances which increase the chance of harassment.14 These circumstances arise while working in contact with public, unsocial working hours like evening and night duties or working in areas providing public and emergency services. Nurses encounter such circumstances daily in their working environment.

In a study conducted in Washington, 27.3% were victims of bullying experiencing 2 or more negative acts daily or weekly. 15 Studies report varying prevalence of bullying like, study conducted in Northwest pacific reported 48% of bullying8, study conducted in public

hospitals in Greece reported a prevalence of 30.2%.11 Underreporting of actual incidence of harassment is an actual fact16 and which could be a reason for the difference in results between the present study and other studies. In our study, among those who were bullied, prevalence of workplace bullying is 80% and personal bullying is 60% which is similar to another study conducted in Turkey17 where most nurses faced hostility towards their status at work place and personality there was no statistically significant association with age group, position, education and years of study. The study done in Turkey<sup>17</sup> reports that depression symptoms of nurses were positively associated with experience of bullying.17Study conducted in United Kingdom on work place bullying reported that 29.6% of staff reported psychological distress.18 In the present study, the victims had faced bullying from their immediate senior, other seniors, colleagues and doctors. Being an educated community these individuals are expected to have high social intelligence and empathy. Work place bullying implies a lack of conscience and incapability to experience empathy which is dangerous for the nursing community who are supposed to be caring and show compassion to the community and their patients. 19 Bullying victims are four times more likely to experience depression and three times more likely to report problems in sleeping and twice likely to experience stress.20Our study has shown that bullying does exist, and the findings are not very different from other studies as mentioned above.

#### Limitation

In our study, we were only able to understand whether the participants perceived themselves to be bullied by administering the questionnaire. This is subjective since individuals have different threshold of dealing with circumstances.

#### Conclusion

Bullying among nurses is a problem that needs to be addressed with care and concern. Work place bullying was the most common, followed by personal and physical intimidation. The perpetrators were either their immediate supervisors, doctors, patient or their attenders and colleagues and belonged to same or opposite gender. Work place counseling, professional and/or peer support and healthy working environment are of paramount importance to tackle workplace bullying.

#### References

- Clarke C. The effects of bullying behaviors on student nurses in the clinical setting. 2009;148.
- Available from:http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/cgi/ viewcontent.cgi?article=1371&context=etd [Accessed 11<sup>th</sup> December 2017]
- Niedhammer I, David S, Degioanni S. Association between workplace bullying and depressive symptoms in the French working population. J Psychosom Res. 2006 Aug;61 (2):251-9. Available from:https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16880029 [Accessed 11<sup>th</sup> December 2017]
- Stelmaschuk S. Workplace Bullying and Emotional Exhaustion among Registered Nurses and Nonnursing, Unit-based Staff. 2010. Available from: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/d537/46e6036d5c3 da8f7c7ad4a0aa7d41396025d
- 5. [Accessed 10th December 2017]
- Williams E, Bissell L, Sullivan E. The effects of codependence on physicians and nurses. Br J Addict. 1991;86(4):37–42.
- 7. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2009395 [Accessed 10th December 2017]
- 8. Pillinger J. Violence and harassment against women and men in the world of work trade union perspectives and action. International Labour Office. Available from: http://www.med-media.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/ILO-Violence-and-harrassmentagainst-women-and-men-in-the-world-of-work.pdf [Accessed 9th December 2017]
- World Health Organization | Mental health in the workplace. World Health Organization; 2017.
- Available from: http://www.who.int/mental\_health/ in\_the\_workplace/en/ [Accessed 9th December 2017]
- Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government Of India. National Health Policy 2017. Available from: https://mohfw.gov.in/documents/policy [ Accessed 10<sup>th</sup> December 2017]
- 12. Etienne E. Exploring Workplace Bullying in Nursing. Workplace Health Safety. 2014;62(1):6–11.
- Available from: http://www.healio.com/ doiresolver?doi=10.3928/21650799-20131220-02[Accessed 9th December 2017]
- Mistry M, Latoo J. Review Article Bullying: a growing workplace menace. Br J Med Pract. 2009;2(1):23–6. Available from: http://www.bjmp.org/content/bullying-growing-workplace-menace [Accessed 10th December 2017]
- Clarke CM, Kane DJ, Rajacich DL, Lafreniere KD. Bullying in Undergraduate Clinical Nursing Education. J Nurs Educ. 2012;51(5):269–76.
  Available from:https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22495922 [Accessed 10th December 2017]
- Karatza C, Zyga S, Tziaferi S, Prezerakos P. Workplace bullying and general health status among the nursing staff of Greek public hospitals. Ann Gen Psychiatry. BioMed Central; 2016;1–7. Available

- from: "http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12991-016-0097 [Accessed 11th December 2017]
- 17. Einarsen S, Hoel H, Notelaers G. Measuring exposure to bullying and harassment at work: Validity, factor structure and psychometric properties of the Negative Acts Questionnaire-Revised. Work and Stress.2009;23(1):24-44. Available from:http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02678370902815673 [Accessed 9th December 2017]
- Mitchell A, Ahmed A, Szabo C. Workplace violence among nurses, why are we still discussing this? Literature review. Journal of nursing education and practice.2014;Vol.4 (4).Available from:http://www. sciedu.ca/journal/index.php/jnep/article/view/3541/0 [Accessed 9th December 2017]
- Ending violence and harassment against women and men in the world of work. 2018. Available from: http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/--ed\_norm/---relconf/documents/meetingdocument/ wcms 553577.pdf [Accessed 9th December 2017]
- Rea R, Johnson SL. Workplace Bullying: Concerns for nurse leaders.2016. Available from: https:// iths.pure.elsevier.com/en/publications/workplacebullying-concerns-for-nurse-leaders [Accessed 9th December 2017]
- Arnetz JE, Hamblin L, Ager J, Luborsky M, Upfal MJ, Russell J, et al. Underreporting of Workplace Violence. Workplace Health Safety 2015 May 22;63(5):200–10. Available from: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/2165079915574684) [Accessed 9th December 2017]
- Ekici D, BederA. The effects of workplace bullying on physicians and nurses. Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing 2016; Vol31(4):24–33. Available from: https:// www.researchgate.net/publication/285145226\_The\_ effects\_of\_workplace\_bullying\_on\_physicians\_and\_ nurses [Accessed 9th December 2017]
- 23. Carter M, Thompson N, Crampton P et al. Workplace bullying in the UK NHS: a questionnaire and interview study on prevalence, impact and barriers to reporting. BMJ open 2013;3:e002628.doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2013-002628. Available from: http://www. academia.edu/3823761/Workplace\_bullying\_in\_the\_ UK\_NHS\_a\_questionnaire\_and\_interview\_study\_ on\_prevalence\_impact\_and\_barriers\_to\_reporting [Accessed 10th December 2017]
- Popp J. Social Intelligence and the Explanation of Workplace Abuse. SAGE Open June 2017;7(2):2158-440.
- 25. Available from: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/2158244017715076
- 26. [Accessed 11th December 2017]
- 27. International Labour Organization. Ending violence and harassment against women and men in the world of work. Report V (1) 2017.
- Available from: http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/ groups/public/---ed\_norm/---relconf/documents/ meetingdocument/wcms\_553577.pdf [Accessed 10th December 2017].