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#### Abstract

Although it was first developed and studied in the late 1970s and early 1977s, the Data Encryption Standard (DES) algorithm has grown in popularity. There are two causes for this occurrence. First, the DES algorithm's complex mathematical structure allows it to serve as the theoretical foundation for a wide variety of applications. Second, the encryption technique works quite well in practice for a variety of applications when implemented correctly. In this paper, we undertake a thorough and practical review of the theoretical aspects of this sort of encryption algorithm and demonstrate how they have been implemented by executing multiple encryption configurations.
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## 1 Introduction

The Data Encryption Standard (DES) is a standard technique for securing computer and telecommunication data. The National Bureau of Standards (now the National Institute of Standards and Technology) first adopted this standard in 1977 as FIPS Pub 46 [1, 2]. DES is a block cipher of the Feistel type that operates on 64-bit data blocks with a 56-bit key [3, 4].Feistel ciphers use numerous rounds to decipher a block of bits by independently processing its left and right halves. To be invertible, a Feistel cipher just requires that the function (f) used to operate on the half-blocks of data bits be invertible, which is an intriguing property in and of itself. Because it executes both substitutions and permutations, the function f in the Data Encryption Algorithm is a product cipher.The Data Encryption Standard (DES) is an example of a symmetric block cipher [5, 6]. Each 64-bit block of plaintext is converted into ciphertext using a 56-bit key.The 56-bit key used to encipher the text is the same key used to decrypt it. The only variation between encryption and decryption is in the formation of sub-keys, therefore the key and algorithm are the same in both cases. Permutations, initial and inverse initial, and 16 comparable rounds of permutations, summing, and bit-wise manipulations are used in DES's processing of input blocks. The initial permutation merely passes input bits to different processing locations. The
second bit, for example, is routed to location 33, and bit 55 is routed to place two. This rerouting is clearly explained in FIPS Pub-46. The inverse initial permutation is simply the opposite of the initial permutation. The block is processed in 16 iterations between the initial and inverse initial permutations. The DES algorithm's block diagram is presented in Figure 1 [5, 7-9].

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we review the theory of DES algorithm with simple example. In section 3 illustrates the evaluation of the DES algorithm. Section 4 concludes the conclusion.


Fig. 1. Block diagram of DES algorithm

## 2 DES Processes - Example

The DES algorithm encrypts messages in blocks of 64 bits, which is equivalent to 16 hexadecimal digits. The "keys" that DES employs to encrypt data are reportedly 16 hexadecimal digits long, or 64 bits. The DES algorithm uses a 56-bit key, but discards every eighth bit as noise. In any event, 64-bits (i.e., 16 Hexadecimal digits) is the round number based on which DES is structured. DES algorithm is based on the fundamental parts: Subkeys generation and encryption process[10, 11].These parts are explained in the following subsections bellow.

### 2.1 Sub keys generator

This phase make a 16 sub-keys, each with a length of 48 -bits. The Sub-key generation process based on sequential steps:

Let K be the Hexadecimal key $\mathrm{K}=133457799 \mathrm{BBCDFF} 1$.

1. Convert the $K$ to the binary key based on Figure 2 as illustrated in Table 1 and Figure 3 .

Table 1. Key representation - Binary

| Range | Group | HEX | Binary |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[1-2]$ | 1 | 13 | 00010011 |
| $[3-4]$ | 2 | 34 | 00110100 |
| $[5-6]$ | 3 | 57 | 01010111 |
| $[7-8]$ | 4 | 79 | 01111001 |
| $[9-10]$ | 5 | $9 B$ | 10011011 |
| $[11-12]$ | 6 | BC | 10111100 |
| $[13-14]$ | 7 | DF | 11011111 |
| $[15-16]$ | 8 | F1 | 11110001 |


| 1 | my_hexdata $=$ "133457799BBCDFF1" |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 |  |
| 3 | scale $=16$ \#\# equals to hexadecimal |
| 4 |  |
| 5 | num_of_bits $=8$ |
| 6 |  |
| 7 | bin(int(my_hexdata, scale)) [2:].zfill(num_of_bits) |

Fig. 2. Convert key to binary sequence
Key $=00010011001101000101011101111001100110111011110011011111111110001$

Fig. 3. 64-Bits key length
2. Key permutation to 56 -Bits length

The 64 -bit key is permuted according to the Table 2 to generate a 56 -Bit. As the first number in the table, " 57 " indicates that the 57th bit of the original key is the new starting point for the permuted key. The second bit of the permuted key is the original key's 49th bit. Bit 4 of the original key is now the final bit of the permuted key. Remember that the permuted key only contains 56-bits of the original key as shown in Figure 4.


Fig. 4. 56-Bits permuted key

Table 2. Index permutation

| 57 | 49 | 41 | 33 | 25 | 17 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 58 | 50 | 42 | 34 | 26 | 18 |
| 10 | 2 | 59 | 51 | 43 | 35 | 27 |
| 19 | 11 | 3 | 60 | 52 | 44 | 36 |
| 63 | 55 | 47 | 39 | 31 | 23 | 15 |
| 7 | 62 | 54 | 46 | 38 | 30 | 22 |
| 14 | 6 | 61 | 53 | 45 | 37 | 29 |
| 21 | 13 | 5 | 28 | 20 | 12 | 4 |

3. Split the permuted key into 2 blocks (i.e., $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ ), each block 28-Bits as shown in Figure 5.


Fig. 5. 28-Bits each block
4. Shift each block based on the shift left value to generate 16 -Subkeys as shown in Figure 6 and 7.


Fig. 6. Result of the shift left operation -Right block


Fig. 7. Result of the shift left operation - Left block
5. Recombine $C_{n}$ and $D_{n}$ to generate 16- Sub keys each sub key size is 56 -Bits as shown in Figure 8.


Fig. 8. Sub keys -56 -Bits length
6. Key permutation to 48-Bits length

The 56 -bit key is permuted according to the Table 3 to generate a 48-bit as shown in Figure 9

Table 3. Index permutation to 48 -bits length

| 14 | 17 | 11 | 24 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 28 | 15 | 6 | 21 | 10 |
| 23 | 19 | 12 | 4 | 26 | 8 |
| 16 | 7 | 27 | 20 | 13 | 2 |
| 41 | 52 | 31 | 37 | 47 | 55 |
| 30 | 40 | 51 | 45 | 33 | 48 |
| 44 | 49 | 39 | 56 | 34 | 53 |
| 46 | 42 | 50 | 36 | 29 | 32 |



Fig. 9. 16-Sub keys -48 -Bits key length for each sub key

As soon as this phase is completed, we have generated the sub keys $K_{n}$, for $1 \leq \mathrm{n} \leq 16$, by applying the permutation structure based on Table 3 to each of the joined $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}$ pairs. Now let's take a look at the actual message (i.e., Plaintext) by applying the second phase (i.e., Encryption process).

### 2.2 Encryption process

Now let's take a look at the actual message (i.e., plaintext) by applying the second phase (i.e., Encryption process). The encryption process based on sequential steps:

Let plaintext be the Hexadecimal $\mathrm{M}=0123456789 \mathrm{ABCDEF}$.

1. Convert the Plaintext to the binary key based on Figure 10 as illustrated in Table 4 and Figure 11.

Table 4. Key binary representation

| Range | Group | HEX | Binary |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[1-2]$ | 1 | 01 | 00000001 |
| $[3-4]$ | 3 | 23 | 00100011 |
| $[5-6]$ | 5 | 45 | 01000101 |
| $[7-8]$ | 7 | 67 | 01100111 |
| $[9-10]$ | 9 | 89 | 10001001 |
| $[11-12]$ | 11 | AB | 10101011 |
| $[13-14]$ | 13 | CD | 11001101 |
| $[15-16]$ | 15 | EF | 11101111 |



Fig. 10. Convert message to binary sequence

Message is 0000000100100011010001010110011110001001101010111100110111101111 | 35 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Plaintext-64-Bits

Fig. 11. Plaintext- 64-Bits length
2. Plain-text initial permutation

Initially, the 6 -bits that make up the message data $M$ are shuffled around. Each entry in Table 5 indicates how the bits have been rearranged from their original order. M's 58th bit is now the first bit. As a result, bit 50 of M is now bit 2. As for M , the seventh bit is the very last one as shown in Figure 12.

Table 5. Initial permutation

| 58 | 50 | 42 | 34 | 26 | 18 | 10 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 52 | 44 | 36 | 28 | 20 | 12 | 4 |
| 62 | 54 | 46 | 38 | 30 | 22 | 14 | 6 |
| 64 | 56 | 48 | 40 | 32 | 24 | 16 | 8 |
| 57 | 49 | 41 | 33 | 25 | 17 | 9 | 1 |
| 59 | 51 | 43 | 35 | 27 | 19 | 11 | 3 |
| 61 | 53 | 45 | 37 | 29 | 21 | 13 | 5 |
| 63 | 55 | 47 | 39 | 31 | 23 | 15 | 7 |


| 35 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | 49 | 57 | 8 | 16 | 24 | 32 | 40 | 48 | 56 | 64 | 6 | 14 | 22 | 30 | 38 | 46 | 54 | 62 | 4 | 12 | 20 | 28 | 36 | 44 | 53 | 60 | 2 | 10 | 18 | 26 | 34 | 42 | 50 | 58 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Permutated Plaintext-64-Bits |  |  |  |  |  | 64 | 63 | 62 | 61 | 60 | 59 | 58 | 57 | 56 | 55 | 54 | 53 | 52 | 51 | 50 | 49 | 48 | 47 | 46 | 45 | 44 | 43 | 42 | 41 | 40 | 39 | 38 | 37 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 15 | 23 | 31 | 39 | 47 | 55 | 63 | 5 | 13 | 21 | 29 | 37 | 45 | 53 | 61 | 3 | 11 | 19 | 27 | 35 | 43 | 51 | 59 | 1 | 9 | 17 | 25 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Fig. 12. Result of the initial permutation

## 3. $L_{n}$ and $R_{n}$

Here, DES split the permuted message (See Figure 12) into two halves, each of which consists of 32-bits (i.e., Left and Right) as shown in Figure 13.


Fig. 13. Left and right parts
Now, perform DES structure to generate $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ to $\mathrm{L}_{16}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ to $\mathrm{R}_{16}$ based on the following formula:
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \operatorname{XORF}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$

| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~L} 1=\mathrm{R}_{1-1}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{1-1} \operatorname{XOR~F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1-1}, \mathrm{~K}_{1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=\mathrm{L}_{0} \operatorname{XOR~F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{0}, \mathrm{~K}_{1}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{2-1}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2-1} \operatorname{XOR~F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2-1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{L}_{1} \operatorname{XOR~F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{3}=\mathrm{R}_{3-1}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{3}=\mathrm{R}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{L}_{3-1} \operatorname{XOR~F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{3-1}, \mathrm{~K}_{3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{L}_{2} \operatorname{XOR~F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{3}\right)$ |
| . | . | . |
| . | . | . |
| . | . | . |
| $\mathrm{L}_{15}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{15}=\mathrm{R}_{15-1}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{15}=\mathrm{R}_{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{15}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{15}=\mathrm{L}_{15-1} \operatorname{XOR~F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{15-1}, \mathrm{~K}_{15}\right)$ | $\mathrm{R}_{15}=\mathrm{L}_{15} \operatorname{XOR~F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{14}, \mathrm{~K}_{15}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{L}_{16}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{16}=\mathrm{R}_{16-1}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{16}=\mathrm{R}_{15}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{16}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{16}=\mathrm{L}_{16-1} \operatorname{XOR~F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{16-1}, \mathrm{~K}_{16}\right)$ | $\mathrm{R}_{16}=\mathrm{L}_{15} \operatorname{XOR~F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{15}, \mathrm{~K}_{16}\right)$ |

The Implementation process for 2-rounds to generate $L_{1}$ and $R_{1}$ as follow:

Figure 14 displayed $\mathrm{L}_{1}$. To find R1, we get $\mathrm{R} 0=32$-Bits and $\mathrm{K} 1=48$ - Bits. DES algorithm expands R0 to be 48 -Bits based on Table 6 (i.e., Bit-selection). Table 6, repeats some of the bits in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ to expand 32-bit to 48-bits as shown in Figure 15.

## 

Fig. 14. L $L_{1}$ 32-bits length
Table 6. Bit-selection

| 32 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 1 |



Fig. 15. Expanded $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ to 48 -bits length
In Figure 16, the expanded R0 is XORed with the Sub key No. 1 (See Figure 9)


Fig. 16. Result of XOR operation
As can be seen in Figure 17, the XORed data has been partitioned into 8 groups, each of which consists of 6-bits.


Fig. 17. Group selection

DES uses six-bit groups as addresses in "S-boxes" as shown in Figure 18. Each six-bit group gives an S-box address. A 4-bit number is at that address. This 4-bit number replaces 6 bits. The eight groups of 6-bits are turned into eight groups of 4bits (S-box outputs) for 32 bits. S-box value is determined as shown in Table 7.

| No. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 14 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 7 |
| 1 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| 2 | 4 | 1 | 14 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 13 |
| S1-Box-Group No. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| No. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 15 | 1 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 10 |
| 1 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 5 |
| 2 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 15 |
| 3 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 9 |


| No. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 3 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 15 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S3-Box-Group No. 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| No. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 7 | 13 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 12 | 4 | 15 |
| 1 | 13 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 14 | 9 |
| 2 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 13 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 4 |
| 3 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 13 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 14 |


| No. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 9 |
| 1 | 14 | 11 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 6 |
| 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 7 | 8 | 15 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 14 |
| 3 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 3 |


| No. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 7 | 5 | 11 |
| 1 | 10 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 13 | 141 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| 2 | 9 | 14 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 13 | 11 | 6 |
| 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 15 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 13 |
| S6-Box-Group No. 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| No. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 14 | 15 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 1 |
| 1 | 13 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 14 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 15 | 8 | 6 |
| 2 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 10 | 15 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 2 |
| 3 | 6 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 12 |
| S7-Box- Group No. 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| No. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 13 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 15 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 141 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 7 |
| 1 | 1 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 2 |
| 2 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 11 |
| S8-Box-Group No. 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fig. 18. S-Box for each group
Table 7. S-box utilization

| Group No. | Binary Representation | Binary | Decimal | S-Box <br> Intersection | S-Box |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group No. 1 | 011000 |  |  | 5 | (See Figure 18) <br> S1 - Box-Group <br> No. 1 |
| Row | First \& Last-Bit (2-Bits) | 00 | 0 |  |  |
| Column | In Between (4-Bits) | 1100 | 12 |  |  |
| Group No. 2 | 010001 |  |  | 12 | (See Figure 18) <br> S2 - Box-Group <br> No. 2 |
| Row | First \& Last-Bit (2-Bits) | 01 | 1 |  |  |
| Column | In Between (4-Bits) | 1000 | 8 |  |  |
| Group No. 3 | 011110 |  |  | 8 | (See Figure 16)S3 <br> - Box-Group No. 3 |
| Row | First \& Last-Bit (2-Bits) | 00 | 0 |  |  |
| Column | In Between (4-Bits) | 1111 | 15 |  |  |
| Group No. 4 | 111010 |  |  | 2 | (See Figure 18) S4 - Box-Group No. 4 |
| Row | First \& Last-Bit (2-Bits) | 10 | 2 |  |  |
| Column | In Between (4-Bits) | 1101 | 13 |  |  |
| Group No. 5 | 100001 |  |  | 11 | (See Figure 18) <br> S5 - Box-Group <br> No. 5 |
| Row | First \& Last-Bit (2-Bits) | 11 | 3 |  |  |
| Column | In Between (4-Bits) | 0000 | 0 |  |  |
| Group No. 6 | 100110 |  |  | 5 | (See Figure 18) S6 - Box-Group No. 6 |
| Row | First \& Last-Bit (2-Bits) | 10 | 2 |  |  |
| Column | In Between (4-Bits) | 0011 | 3 |  |  |
| Group No. 7 | 010100 |  |  | 9 | (See Figure 18) <br> S7- Box-Group No. 7 |
| Row | First \& Last-Bit (2-Bits) | 00 | 0 |  |  |
| Column | In Between (4-Bits) | 1010 | 10 |  |  |
| Group No. 8 | 100111 |  |  | 7 | (See Figure 18) <br> S8 - Box-Group <br> No. 8 |
| Row | First \& Last-Bit (2-Bits) | 11 | 3 |  |  |
| Column | In Between (4-Bits) | 0011 | 3 |  |  |

Figure 19 displays the result derived from the preceding table (Table 7).

| Decimal |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 11 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Binary (4-bits) |  |  |  | 0101 |  |  | 1100 |  |  | 1000 |  |  |  | 0010 |  |  |  | 1011 |  |  | 0101 |  |  |  | 1001 |  |  | 0111 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | , | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Fig. 19. Binary representation of the obtained result
4. Function (f)

The final step in calculating f is to permute the S -box output using Table 8 to achieve the final value of $f$ as shown in Figure 20.

Table 8. Index permutation

| 16 | 7 | 20 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 12 | 28 | 17 |
| 1 | 15 | 23 | 26 |
| 5 | 18 | 31 | 10 |
| 2 | 8 | 24 | 14 |
| 32 | 27 | 3 | 9 |
| 19 | 13 | 30 | 6 |
| 22 | 11 | 4 | 25 |

## 

Fig. 20. $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{0}, \mathrm{~K}_{1}\right)$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{0} \operatorname{XOR} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{R}_{0}, \mathrm{~K}_{1}\right)$, we got the result in Figure 21 to represent $\mathrm{R}_{1}$.


Fig. 21. $\mathrm{R}_{1}$
Repeating the preceding steps yields $\mathrm{L}_{16}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{16}$ as indicated in Figure 22.


Fig. 22. $\mathrm{L}_{16}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{16}$
5. Re-combine $\mathrm{L}_{16}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{16}$

We have the blocks $\mathrm{L}_{16}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{16}$ at the end of the sixteenth round. The two blocks are then reversed into the 64 -bit block (i.e., $\mathrm{R}_{16} \mathrm{~L}_{16}$ ) as shown in Figure 23.


Fig. 23. $\mathrm{R}_{16} \mathrm{~L}_{16}$

Finally, utilize Table 9's for final Permutation as shown in Table 9 and Figure 24.
Table 9. Initial permutation - Inverse

| 40 | 8 | 48 | 16 | 56 | 24 | 64 | 32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 39 | 7 | 47 | 15 | 55 | 23 | 64 | 31 |
| 38 | 6 | 46 | 14 | 54 | 22 | 62 | 30 |
| 37 | 5 | 45 | 13 | 53 | 21 | 61 | 29 |
| 36 | 4 | 44 | 12 | 52 | 20 | 60 | 28 |
| 35 | 3 | 43 | 11 | 51 | 19 | 59 | 27 |
| 34 | 2 | 42 | 10 | 50 | 18 | 58 | 26 |
| 33 | 1 | 41 | 9 | 49 | 17 | 57 | 25 |


| 35 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | , | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | 4 | 36 | 29 | 61 | 21 | 53 | 13 | 45 | 5 | 37 | 30 | 62 | 22 | 54 | 14 | 46 | 6 | 38 | 31 | 63 | 23 | 55 | 15 | 47 | 7 | 39 | 32 | 64 | 24 | 56 | 16 | 48 | 8 | $\stackrel{40}{1}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Cipher text-64-Bits |  |  |  |  |  | 64 | 63 | 62 | 61 | 60 | 59 | 58 | 57 | 56 | 55 | 54 | 53 | 52 | 51 | 50 | 49 | 48 | 47 | 46 | 45 | 44 | 43 | 42 | 41 | 40 | 39 | 38 | 37 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 57 | 17 | 49 | 9 | 41 | 1 | 33 | 26 | 58 | 18 | 50 | 10 | 42 | 2 | 34 | 27 | 59 | 19 | 51 | 11 | 43 | 3 | 35 | 28 | 60 | 20 | 52 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |

Fig. 24.Binary representation of the Cipher text -64-Bits
If we express the result as a Hexadecimal, we get the value as shown in Table 10 for both plain and cipher texts.

Table 10. HEX data representation for Plain and Cipher texts

| DATA | HEXADECIMAL Number | SIZE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plaintext | 0123456789 ABCDEF | $16 * 4=64-\mathrm{Bits}$ |
| Cipher text | 85 E 813540 F 0 AB 405 | $16 * 4=64$ - Bits |

To make it clear, we have outlined how DES algorithm operates to convert plain text to cipher text in Figures 25, 26, and 27.


Fig. 25. Steps of DES algorithm


Fig. 26. DES-For each round


Fig. 27. Function $F\left(R_{n-1}, K_{n}\right)$

## 3 Evaluation

Data Encryption Standard (i.e., DES) is an obsolete symmetric key encryption technique[12]. It was implemented by government entities in 1977 to safeguard sensitive information and was officially decommissioned in 2005 [13, 14].The initial specification was developed by IBM's researchers in the early 1970s. Afterwards, in 1977, it became an official Federal Information Processing Standard (i.e., FIPS) for the encryption of commercial and sensitive but unclassified government computer data by the U.S. National Bureau of Standards, now known as the National Institute of Standards and Technology, or NIST[6, 15, 16].The United States government first allowed the public release of DES, an encryption algorithm. In doing so, it secured its rapid adoption by sectors like the financial sector, which required robust encryption. Due to
its ease of use, DES was also implemented in many embedded devices, such as smart cards, SIM cards, modems, and routers [17-19].

Brute-force attacks, in which each possible key is tried in turn until the correct one is discovered, are the most fundamental way to break any cipher[20]. The number of potential keys, and hence the viability of this form of attack, is directly proportional to the key length as shown in Table 11 [7, 16, 21].A maximum of 256 or nearly 72 quadrillion, attempts would be needed to find the correct key, given that the effective DES key length is 56 bits. This is insufficient to prevent modern computers from breaking through DES-protected data using brute force[22]. Through the early to middle 1990s, DES was still the de facto standard for secure data transmission. While this may seem like a long time, in 1998, a computer created by the Electronic Frontier Foundation (i.e., EFF) deciphered a DES-encoded communication in just 56 hours. The next year, EFF reduced the decryption time to 22 hours by harnessing the power of thousands of networked computers. The extended version of DES, known as Triple-DES (i.e., TDES or 3-DES), will be used in government and finance for many years to come. The differences and similarities between DES and Triple-DES are shown in Table 12 [14, 23-29].

Table 11. Total Key-Search Time: an Average Estimate

| Key size - Bits | Quantity of alternate keys | Decryption time $-\mathbf{1} / \boldsymbol{\mu s}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 168 | $2^{168}=3.7 \times 10^{50}$ | $2^{167} \mu \mathrm{~s}=5.9 \times 10^{36}$ years |
| 128 | $2^{128}=3.4 \times 10^{38}$ | $2^{127} \mu \mathrm{~s}=5.4 \times 10^{24}$ years |
| 56 | $2^{56}=7.2 \times 10^{16}$ | $2^{55} \mu \mathrm{~s}=1142$ years |
| 32 | $2^{32}=4.3 \times 10^{9}$ | $2^{31} \mu \mathrm{~s}=35.8$ minutes |

Table 12. DES and Triple-DES - Comparison

| Feature | DES | Triple-DES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Created by / Year | IBM - 1975 | IBM - 1978 |
| Key size | $56-$ Bits | $(168$ or 112 - Bits |
| Number of rounds | 16 | 48 |
| Number of Sub keys | 16 | 48 |
| Key generations | Permute Shift | Permute Shift |
| Block size | $64-$ Bits | $64-$ Bits |
| Mathematical operations | S-Boxes- Fixed, XOR | S-Boxes- Fixed, XOR |
| Cipher type | Block cipher - Symmetric | Block cipher - Symmetric |
| Attack | Broken in 1998 - Brute-force | No known Attack |
| Security level | Not Secure Enough | Adequate Security |
| Speed | Slow | Very Slow |

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper we have attempted to present the theory of Data Encryption Standard (i.e., DES) algorithm from the simplest to the most sophisticated concept. It has been
our purposes to focus on the practical explanation of the basic mathematics and how DES algorithm it could be implemented in practice in real world system because a correct comprehension of the encryption algorithm leads to an understanding of the pros and cons, which allows for modernization and the development of a new encryption algorithm that operates more efficiently.
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