

## Fermented nondairy functional foods based on probiotics

Pınar Şanlıbaba

Department of Food Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey

\***Corresponding Author:** Pınar Şanlıbaba, Department of Food Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey. Email: sanlibab@ankara.edu.tr

Received: 9 September 2022; Accepted: 20 February 2023; Published: 10 March 2023 © 2023 Codon Publications

PAPER

### Abstract

Functional foods containing probiotic bacteria are consumed worldwide. According to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), probiotics are living microorganisms that contribute to the host's overall health when provided in adequate amounts. Fermented dairy products, especially yogurt and other dairy-based products, are good substrates for probiotic delivery. However, recently, consumers have begun to seek alternatives due to lactose intolerance and high fat and cholesterol contents. Moreover, the growing vegetarianism has increased the demand for nondairy probiotic foods. Thus worldwide, researchers are studying probiotic bacteria feasibility in nondairy products, including fruits, vegetables, and cereals. This study aims to give an overview of various nondairy based products that contain probiotic bacterial strains available worldwide based on fruits, vegetables, cereals, chocolate-based products, and meat products. Moreover, the latest globally available commercial products are also summarized.

Keywords: functional foods, nondairy-based products, probiotic

### Introduction

Functional food was introduced in the mid 1980s by the Japanese government (Min et al., 2019; Zamfir et al., 2022). In 1991, the Japanese Ministry of Health, Welfare, and Labor established a systematic regulatory system for functional foods, and "Food for Specified Health Uses" (FOSHU) became the legal term (Iwatani and Yamamoto, 2019; Gomes et al., 2021). However, the interest in functional foods in Europe started in the 1990s. Later, the European Commission established a commission called Functional Food Science in Europe (FuFoSE) to explore functional foods (Perricone et al., 2015). Functional foods are used to maintain or regulate specific health conditions, such as decreased cancer risk, improve heart health, enhancement of the immune system, to reduce menopause symptoms, improvement of gastrointestinal health, preservation of urinary tract health, anti-inflammatory influences, decrease in blood pressure and cholesterol levels, reduced osteoporosis, and anti-obesity influences (Al-Sheraji *et al.*, 2013; Aspri *et al.*, 2020). Functional food is classified into four different categories by The American Dietetic Association (ADA): (1) conventional foods, (2) modified foods, (3) medical foods, and (4) foods for special dietary use. Of these functional foods, probiotic foods have currently received maximum attention as health promoters, accounting for approximately 60–70% of the total functional food market (Aspri *et al.*, 2020; Misra *et al.*, 2019; Küçükgöz and Trzaskowska, 2022). Generally, three main ingredients designed for gut health are added to functional foods. They are living microorganisms (probiotics), nondigestible carbohydrates (prebiotics), and secondary plant metabolites (polyphenol compounds) (Panghal *et al.*, 2018).

Elie Metchnikoff, a Russian-born Nobel Prize winner and professor at the Pasteur Institute in Paris, first expressed the probiotic concept. He suggested that some selected bacteria may have positive effects on the human gastrointestinal tract. He observed that many Bulgarian peasants had long and healthy lives as they consumed large quantities of fermented dairy products, including beneficial organisms. Therefore, he suggested the possibility to direct the microflora in human bodies to replace harmful microorganisms with beneficial ones (Shorkryazdan *et al.*, 2017). Research on this concept has been developed further to date. This study summarizes some characteristics of probiotics as a functional ingredient in food and nondairy-based probiotic foods.

# Probiotics, Prebiotics, and Synbiotics

Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics have attracted attention for developing balance in gastrointestinal microflora (Küçükgöz and Trzaskowska, 2022). The term "probiotic" comes from the Greek meaning "for life". In 1954, Ferdinand Vergin first used this term in his article entitled "Anti-und Probiotika", comparing the beneficial effects of antibiotics and other antibacterial agents of some useful bacteria. Later, Lilly and Stillwell, in 1965, determined that probiotics were substances produced by microorganisms that support the growth of other organisms. Subsequently, the definition of probiotics was modified several times and changed by many scientists till today. In 1989, Fuller emphasized that these organisms must be viable and have some beneficial health effects on humans. The current definition of 2002, by FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) and WHO (World Health Organization), stated that "probiotics are living strains of strictly selected microorganisms that, when administered in sufficient quantities, provide a health benefit to the host" (Markowiak and Slizewska, 2017). The last updated definition was made in 2013 by a panel of expert teams from the International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics, and FAO/ WHO's definition was reinforced with some minor grammatical corrections. The final definition is "live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host." Three main key aspects, such as viable, microbial, and beneficial to health, were included in this definition (Kumar et al., 2022; Shorkryazdan et al., 2017). Generally, the level of viable probiotic organisms should be at least a minimum of 10<sup>6</sup> CFU (Colony Forming Units)/g of viable cells during the food shelf life to show the minimum therapeutic effect on the human body. It was also suggested that a daily intake of 108-109 CFU/g probiotic microorganisms could pass the upper ingestion to show their beneficial physiological effects on the human body. A daily intake of approximately 100 g of probiotic food products should be consumed to reach these counts of viable probiotic cells (Küçükgöz and Trzaskowska, 2022; Terpou et al., 2019).

The most important probiotic microorganisms are lactic acid bacteria (LAB) that include *Lactobacillus* spp. and

Bifidobacterium spp. In addition, Bacillus, Pediococcus, Clostridium, and some yeasts such as Saccharomyces (e.g., Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Saccharomyces boulardii) have also been used as probiotic candidates (Kumar et al., 2022; Soccol et al., 2010). Most probiotic cultures are gram-positive, usually catalase-negative, non-motile, non-spore-forming, and non-flagellated. Most probiotics grow optimum at 37°C and pH of 6.5-7.5, but some prefer 30°C, for example, Lactobacillus casei (Song et al., 2012). WHO, FAO, and EFSA (The European Food Safety Authority) suggested that before using them as a probiotic in food application, safety, technological, and functional characteristics of probiotic organisms must be considered (Markowiak and Slizewska, 2017). Hence, some criteria need to be fulfilled. Some of the safety properties of probiotics are summarized as; (1) must be isolated from healthy human or animal gastrointestinal tract, (2) have a history of safe use, (3) must have diagnostic identification traits (phenotype and genotype traits), (4) no adverse effects, (5) absence of genes responsible for antibiotic resistance, (6) nonpathogenic and nontoxic, ability to interact or send signals to immune modulator activity, and (7) survive in the presence of administered drugs and other antimicrobial compounds. Functional criteria are defined as (1) resistance to low pH for surviving and processing in the gut condition, (2) the ability to resist gastric juices, enzymes and the exposure to bile acid, which is crucial for oral administration, (3) ability to pass through gastrointestinal tract at low pH and in contact with bile salts, (4) antimicrobial activity against pathogenic bacteria such as Salmonella spp., Listeria monocytogenes, *Clostridium* spp., (5) ability to influence local metabolic activity, (6) health effects on human bodies such as anti-carcinogenicity and anti-mutagenic activity, and cholesterol-lowering effect, (7) to create a beneficial effect on the host by increasing disease resistance, and (8) to have the power of restore and replace the intestinal microflora (Kumar et al., 2022; Pimentel et al., 2021). Finally, technological aspects are detailed: (1) to have excessive cell viability, (2) to have genetic stability, (3) must be stable, safe, effective, and equipped for staying viable under storage conditions, (4) ability to be viable and stable during the food processes and (5) ability to have large scale production (Abatenh et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2022; Markowiak and Slizewska, 2017). Table 1 shows the frequently used probiotic cultures applied in food systems, some of which are produced commercially. As an example, Lactobacillus acidophilus LA-1, Lactobacillus acidophilus LA-5, Lactobacillus paracasei CRL 431, Bifidobacterium animalis Bb-12, Bifidobacterium bifidum Bb-11, Lactobacillus paracasei CRL 431, and Bifidobacterium lactis Bb-12 from Chr. Hansen (Horsholm, Denmark); Lactobacillus casei Shirota and Bifidobacterium breve strain Yakult from Yakult (Tokyo, Japan); Lactobacillus acidophilus R0011

Table 1. Commonly used probiotic organisms in food application, both dairy and non-dairy foods. (\*)

Lactobacillus spp.	Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus amylovorus, Lactobacillus brevis, Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Lactobacillus crispatus, Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus, Lactobacillus fermentum, Lactobacillus gasseri, Lactobacillus helveticus, Lactobacillus johnsonii, Lactobacillus lactis, Lactobacillus paracasei, Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus reuteri, Lactobacillus salivarius, Lactobacillus gallinarum, Lactobacillus cellobiosus, Lactobacillus curvatus, Lactobacillus gasseri
Bifidobacterium spp.	Bifidobacterium adolescentis, Bifidobacterium animalis, Bifidobacterium breve, Bifidobacterium bifidum, Bifidobacterium infantis, Bifidobacterium lactis, Bifidobacterium longum, Bifidobacterium thermophilum
Bacillus spp.	Bacillus coagulans, Bacillus cereus, Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus lentus, Bacillus licheniformis, Bacillus cereus var. toyoi, Bacillus clausii, Bacillus laterosporus, Bacillus pumilus, Bacillus racemilacticus
Pediococcus spp.	Pediococcus acidilactici, Pediococcus cerevisiae, Pediococcus pentosaceus
Streptococcus spp.	Streptococcus salivarius subsp. thermophilus, Streptococcus intermedius
Bacteriodes spp.	Bacteriodes capillus, Bacteriodes suis, Bacteriodes ruminicola, Bacteriodes amylophilus
Propionibacterium spp.	Propionibacterium freudenreichii, Propionibacterium shermanii
Leuconostoc spp.	Leuconostoc mesenteroides subsp. dextranicum
Lactococcus spp.	Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris, Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis
Enterococcus spp.	Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium, Enterococcus durans
Other bacteria	Clostridium butyricum, Escherichia coli Nissle 1917
Yeast and mold	Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus oryzae, Saccharomyces boulardii, Candida torulopsis, Candida pintolopesii

Adapted from Abatenh et al., 2018; Žuntar et al., 2020.

and Lactobacillus rhamnosus R0052 from Institut Rosell (Montreal, Canada); Lactobacillus johnsonii La1 (same as Lj1) from Nestle' (Lausanne, Switzerland); Lactobacillus plantarum 299V, Lactobacillus plantarum Lp01 and Lactobacillus rhamnosus 271 from Probi AB (Lund, Sweden); Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG from Valio Dairy (Helsinki, Finland); Saccharomyces boulardii from Biocodex Inc. (Seattle, WA); Bifidobacterium longum BB536 from Morinaga Milk Industry Co., Ltd (Zama-City, Japan); Lactobacillus casei DN014001 and Bifidobacterium essencis from Danone Le Plessis Robinson (Paris, France); Lactobacillus salivarius from UCC118 University College (Cork, Ireland); Bacillus lactis DR10 from Danisco (Copenhagen, Denmark) (Nagpal et al., 2012; Pimentel et al., 2021; Soccol et al., 2010).

The health benefits of probiotics depend on the specific strain and dosage. One strain cannot show all positive health effects. Foods containing probiotic strains from different species rather than a single strain show better success in providing human health benefits and broader efficacy (Žuntar *et al.*, 2020). Probiotic cultures differ in health effects on humans. The mechanism of probiotics on human health is still unclear (Küçükgöz and Trzaskowska, 2022). However, some of the potential effects of probiotic microorganisms are summarized in Table 2.

Nowadays, three different types of food products supplemented with probiotic cells are available for direct or indirect human consumption. These are (1) fermented or nonfermented form, (2) dried or deep–frozen for industrial or home uses, and (3) drugs in powder, capsule, or tablet meaning pharmaceutical form (Misra *et al.*, 2019). In pharmaceutical and food systems, the selection of probiotic cells and their differentiation are crucial. Foodbased probiotic products are classified into two distinct groups: dairy products and nondairy products (Dahiya and Singh-Nee Nigam, 2022; Terpou *et al.*, 2019). The purpose of this study was to provide information about nondairy probiotic foods.

Two terms entitled "prebiotics" and "synbiotics" are also significant. Prebiotics are short-chain carbohydrates (SCCs), mostly nondigestible food ingredients, which positively affect the host's health by selectively stimulating the growth and/or activity of specific microorganisms in the colon such as Lactobacillus spp. and Bifidobacterium spp. (Aladeboyeje and Sanli 2021; Al-Sheraji et al., 2013). When prebiotics passes from the small intestine to the lower gut, health-promoting bacteria such as probiotics selectively ferment and use these fibers as an energy source by breaking them down. In addition, they also boost the human immune response by increasing the gut microbial activity and the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), particularly acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid (Priya, 2020). Among the beneficial effects of prebiotics, SCFA production stimulation is essential (Nagpal et al., 2012). These products have some positive impact on the human body. They participate in different host signaling mechanisms, affect T-helper 2 cells in the airways and macrophages, decrease the colon pH, stimulate gut hormone release, shape the gut environment, and influence the colon physiology. They are also used as energy sources by colonic epithelial cells and the intestinal microflora (Lockver and Stanner, 2019). The term "prebiotic" was first defined by Glenn

Table 2.	Potential health effect of probiotics and prebiotics.(*)
----------	--

Pro	biotics	Pre	biotics
1.	Reduction of food allergy symptoms such as atopic dermatitis	1.	Helping increase the count of useful organisms in intestines, hence possibly help in preventing gastroenteritis
2.	Lowering cholesterol level	2.	Decreasing inflammatory bowel disease related to the intestinal microbiota pathogenesis
3.	Elimination of pathogens or decrease in pathogenic adherence by lactic acid and bacteriocin	3.	Reduction of colorectal cancer risk (decreasing the activity of genotoxic enzyme via the administration of prebiotics)
4.	Pediatric gastroprotection	4.	Prevention and treatment of allergy
5.	Increasing the body's immunity (Immune stimulation and immunomodulatory activity)	5.	Effective in bone mineralization (prebiotics play a crucial role in adult bone mass depending on the bioavailability of calcium)
6.	Elimination of <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> , inflammatory bowel disease (Crohn's disease, pouchitis, and ulcerative colitis)	6.	Plays an active role in preventing atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease
7.	Reduction of irritable bowel syndrome	7.	Selectively stimulating the growth and/or activity of certain types organisms in the colon
8.	Treatment of diseases such as obesity, insulin-resistant syndrome, and type-2 diabetes	8.	Improving immune response by increasing the gut microbial activity
9.	Having anti-carcinogenic effects such as colorectal cancer and anti-mutagenic activities	9.	Treatment of hepatic encephalopathy
10.	Decreasing incidence and duration of antibiotic-induced diarrhea	10.	Lowering serum lipid concentration
1.	Decreasing blood pressure	11.	Insulin sensitivity improvement
2.	Improving child growth	12.	Laxative agent
3.	Formation of useful compounds, such as vitamins, short-chain fatty acids, and conjugated linoleic acid	13.	Lowering the glycemic index and body weight
4.	Showing antioxidant activity		
5.	Reducing symptoms related to lactose intolerance		
16.	Reduction of toxin-binding and detoxification activity		
7.	Effective in nutrient absorption		
8.	Pathogen interference, exclusion, and antagonism		
9.	Having neuropsychiatric disorders via the enteric and central nervous system		
20.	Maintenance of mucosal integrity		

Adapted from Markowiak and Slizewska, 2017; Priya, 2020; Shorkryazdan et al., 2017; Younis et al., 2015.

Gibson and Marcel Roberfroin in 1995. A compound should have several requirements to be prebiotic. These are summarized as: (1) not be hydrolyzed by mammalian enzyme, (2) not be absorbed in the upper part of the gastrointestinal tract, (3) fermented selectively by intestinal flora, (4) promote the growth of selected beneficial bacteria, (5) resistant to gastric acidity, and (6) not confer negative consequences to the host, such as excess gas production or the growth of pathogenic organisms (Lockyer and Stanner, 2019; Younis et al., 2015). The ways of isolating the most commonly known prebiotics and its candidates are summarized as follows: (1) extraction from plants (such as chicory root, onions, whole grains, bananas, and garlic), (2) enzymatic hydrolysis (like oligofructose from inulin), (3) synthesis from mono- or disaccharides (such as fructo-oligosaccharides [FOS] from sucrose, or galactooligosaccharides [GOS] and transgalactosylated oligosaccharides from lactose), or (4) microbiological production. Among prebiotics, inulin and

oligosaccharides are most recognized as dietary fibers in the world. In addition, FOS, GOS, inulin, isomaltooligosaccharide (IMO), and beta-glucan are also commonly used as prebiotics in food applications (Singla and Chakkaravarthi, 2017; Younis et al., 2015). Some health effects of prebiotics are summarized in Table 2. Enhancing organoleptic characteristics and improving both taste and mouthfeel are among the positive effects of prebiotics in food applications. Prebiotics must also be chemically stable in food processing, such as high temperature, low pH, and Maillard reaction conditions. When prebiotics are broken down into their components, such as mono- and disaccharides, or chemically altered, they no longer provide selective stimulation of beneficial microorganisms called as probiotics (Gomes et al., 2021; Younis et al., 2015). In 2015, The United Kingdom Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition's (SACN) recommended an average intake of approximately 30 g/day for adults, 15 g/day for children aged 2–5 years, 20 g/day for children aged 5–11 years, 25 g/day for children aged 11–16 years, and 30 g/day for adolescents aged 16–18 years of fiber from natural dietary sources (Lockyer and Stanner, 2019).

Formulating a probiotic product with prebiotics gives synbiotics properties to the food item. In 1995, Gibson and Roberfroid introduced this term to describe a combination of probiotics and prebiotics. Synbiotics means synergistically acting probiotics and prebiotics. For example, Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Bifidobacterium spp., Lactobacillus acidophilus, and Lactobacillus casei, when combined with prebiotics such as Raftiloses P95, improve their viabilities at 4°C on 4 weeks of storage. Pear and apple fibers combined with raffinose also increased the activity and viability of probiotic bacteria. It is recommended that 10 g/day of GOS is sufficient to improve fecal bifidobacteria levels. Moreover, xylo-oligosaccharides 2 g/day are necessary for the bifidogenic effect. Similarly, 2-10 g/day FOS intake is essential as a bifidogenic stimulus (Al-Sheraji et al., 2013). European milk and yogurt producers such as Aktifit (Emmi, Switzerland), Proghurt (Ja Naturlich Naturprodukte, Austria), Vifit (Belgium, UK), and Fysiq (Netherlands) are the widely used synbiotics concept to produce probiotic foods (Dahiya and Singh-Nee Nigam, 2022; Nagpal et al., 2012).

## Why Nondairy Probiotics?

Traditionally for about a century, probiotic foods have been commonly recognized as dairy-based derived products due to more demand and easy availability. Besides, yogurt has always been the top probiotic food preference. Some other examples of dairy-based products are fermented milk, milk powder, sour cream, cheese, fermented dairy beverage, buttermilk, dairy desserts, flavored liquid milk, baby foods, and ice cream (Misra *et al.*, 2019).

Consumer vegetarianism, dyslipidemia, lactose intolerance, milk protein allergies (casein, cholesterol phobia), the requirement of cold storage, and economic reasons associated with dairy-based probiotic products are the main causes restricting their consumption (Chaudhary, 2019; Zamfir et al., 2022). Lactose intolerance is the most important health problem, which accounts for 75% of the world's population, according to the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK). The absence of lactase enzyme activity, which hydrolyses lactose into glucose and galactose, is the leading cause of this disease. Lactose, known as milk sugar, is a crucial carbohydrate for the health of a newborn. During the postnatal period, this intestinal enzyme is at the highest in infants. However, two distinct groups, entitled lactose nonpersistence, and lactose persistence occurred among 2-12-year-old children. Bloating gastric pain and cramps,

gas production in the gastrointestinal tract, and diarrhea are common symptoms of lactose intolerance (Gomes et al., 2021; Panghal et al., 2018). Moreover, vegetarianism is increasing in both developed and developing countries, thus demanding plant-based probiotic products. High cholesterol level in human is another important health problem. Milk contains 4-5% fat. Consuming high-fat dairy products causes an increase in total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol contents in the blood, thereby leading to coronary heart disease. This can be eliminated by lowering the consumption of high LDL-cholesterol foods (Hassan et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2022). These reasons make investigating the potential of nondairy foods in supporting probiotic cultures of immense importance. Therefore, in recent years, probiotic cultures have been successfully applied to other types of food matrices, including meats, beverages, cereals, vegetables, and fruit (Aspri et al., 2020). The first nondairy probiotic food, named ProViva, was formulated and manufactured by a Swedish company, Skane Dairy, in 1994. The main substrate in this product is oatmeal gruel fermented by Lactobacillus plantarum 299v. Then, malted barley was added to improve the liquefaction of this product. Finally, fermented food was mixed at a concentration of 5% with different fruit juices such as strawberry, blueberry, rosehip, or any tropical fruit. ProViva contains approximately  $5 \times 10^{10}$  CFU/L of viable L.actobacillus plantarum 299v. Like ProViva, GoodBelly prepared from oatmeal and fermented with Lactobacillus plantarum 299v was the first nondairy probiotic drink in the US market in 2006. Today, many nondairybased probiotic products are commercially available (Table 3).

# Cereal-based probiotic products

Recently interest in cereal-based probiotic foods has been increasing. Cereals are the most important sources of nutrients and bioactive compounds in people's diets. Moreover, they are an excellent resource for nondigestible carbohydrates such as fiber and oligosaccharides, which stimulate probiotic culture growth (Kockova and Valik, 2014; Küçükgöz and Trzaskowska, 2022). Dietary fibers are divided into two groups according to their solubility in water, namely insoluble and soluble fibers. Cereals usually have insoluble fiber, including cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin (Fernandes et al., 2018). However, some cereals also contain water-soluble fiber, such as  $\beta$ -glucan and arabinoxylan (Vasudha and Mishra, 2013). Consumption of whole grains also has some positive effects on human health. These effects are reducing the risk of type-2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, obesity, and certain types of cancer (Lamsal and Faubion, 2009). Rice, millets, rye, barley, sorghum, maize, and wheat are the most consumed cereals, while buckwheat,

I able 3. Collilier clairy available ilolidairy propriotic roous.	nonuany propriotic roous.		
Product Name	Manufacturer	Probiotic strains	Major characteristics
Fruit-based			
Biola R	TINE BA, Norway	Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG	Mixture of apple-pear and orange-mango juice, 95% fruit, no sugar.
Bravo Friscus	Probi AB, Sweden	Lactobacillus plantarum HEAL+ and Lb. paracasei 8700:2	Orange apple and tropical fruit juices
KEVITA	KEVITA, USA	Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus paracasei, Bacillus coagulans GBI-30 6086	Various fruit mixture (Strawberry, and coconut, lime, mint and coconut, pineapple and coconut)
Malee Probiotics	Malee Enterprise Company, Thailand	Lactobacillus paracasei	Prune, grape and orange juice
Probiotic Machine Tropical Mango	Pepsi Company (PepsiCo)	Bifidobacterium spp.	Mixture of apple, orange and pineapple juice, mango puree, banana puree, FOS and natural flavors
Tropicana Probiotics	Tropicana, USA	Bifidobacterium lactis	Fruit juice mixture such as strawberry, banana, pineapple, mango and peach passion fruit
ProViva	EMEA Probi AB, Sweden	Lactobacillus plantarum 299v	Fruit juice (orange, strawberry, or blackcurrant)
PERKii Probiotic Water	PERKii, Australia	Lactobacillus casei Lc431	Fruit juice mixture (such as mango, strawberry, lime, coconut, watermelon, raspberry, pomegranate, passion fruit)
Bio-Live Gold	Bio-Live/Micobz Ltd., UK	Mixture of 13 strains, including Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus bulgaricus, Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus fermentum, Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactococcus lactis, Bacillus subtilis, Bifidobacterium bifidum, Bifidobacterium infantis, Bifidobacterium longum, Streptococcus thermophilus, Saccharomyces cerevisiae	Mixture of fruit juices such as acai, berry, cherry, goji, noni, lemon, and various herbs.
Malee Probiotics	Malee Enterprise Company Ltd., Thailand	Lactobacillus paracasei	Fruit juices such as prune, grape, and orange
Cereal-based			
Bushera	Basillia Foods, centurion, Gauteng, South Africa	Lactobacillus brevis, Lactobacillus delbrueckii, Lactobacillus paracasei, Lactobacillus plantarum	Sorghum, millet flour
Mahewu	Basillia Foods, centurion, Gauteng, South Africa	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactic	Maize, sorghum, millet malt, wheat
Avenly velle	Avenly Oy Ltd., Finland	Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium	Oat based drink
Grainfields wholegrain liquid	AGM Foods Pvt. Ltd., Australia	Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillusdelbrueckii, Saccharomyces boulardii, Saccharomyces cerevisiae	Grains, beans and seeds

Table 3. Commercially available nondairy probiotic foods.\*

Kefir Soy	Life way, Greek	Kluyveromyces marxianus, Lactobacillus kefir, Kluyveromyces lactis, Lactobacillus brevis, Leuconostoc mesenteroides, Lactobacillus helveticus	Soya beans
Ogi	West Africa	Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus agilis, Lactobacillus cellobiosus, Lactobacillus confusus, Lactobacillus murinus, Lactobacillus plantarum	Gruei
Mucilon	Nestle	Bifidus BL	Oat and Rice
Boza	Vefa, Turkey	Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Leuconostoc raffinolactis, Lactobacillus brevis, Lactobacillus fermentum, Leuconostoc mesenteroides	Rye, millet, wheat, cereal, corn
Vegetable-based			
Vegetable juices and pickle	Healthy life Probiotics, Golden Circle, Australia; Bioprofit, Gefilus, Valio Ltd, Finland	Lactobacillus paracasei 8700:2. Lactobacillus plantarum Heal9. Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG, Propionibacterium freudenreichii ssp. shermanii JS, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus casei, Bifidobacterium longum, Lactobacillus delbrueckii	Carrots, beets, ginger, tomatoes, cabbage, onions, peanuts
Kimchi	Korea Ginseng Corporation, Korea	Streptococcus, Pediococcus, Leuconostoc spp., Enterococcus, Lactococcus	Cabbage, garlic, red pepper, onion, ginger, radish
KeVita	H-E-B, USA	Bacillus coagulans GBI-306086, Lactobacillus paracasei 8700:2, Lactobacillus plantarum HEAL 9	Sparkling lemon and ginger drink
*Adapted from Aspri <i>et al.</i> , 2020;	*Adapted from Aspri et al., 2020; Chaudhary, 2019; Ranadheera et al., 2017.		

quinoa, and amaranth are pseudo-cereals connected to the diet (Fernandes *et al.*, 2018).

Nowadays, several nondairy cereal-based probiotic products exist. These products have the potential to harbor probiotic cultures, such as boza, bushera, mahewu, pozol, kvass, ben-saalga, degue, kenkey, koko, kanun-zaki, mawe, munkoyo, thobwa, ting, uji, and togwa (Dahiya and Singh-Nee Nigam, 2022; Misra *et al.*, 2019). However, this chapter is restricted to cereal-based probiotic products sold in different parts of the world.

Boza is popular in Turkey, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Albania, Bulgaria, Macedonia, Montenegro, Bosnia and Herzegovina, and parts of Romania and Serbia. Boza, the Turkish name, comes from the Persian word, buze, which means millet. Boza is made from various cereals such as wheat, rye, millet, maize, but the variety with the best quality and taste is made of millet flour. Boza production steps are as follows: (1) preparation of the raw materials, (2) boiling, (3) cooling, (4) sugar addition, and (5) fermentation (Aladeboyeje and Sanli, 2021). Boza is a viscous, low-alcohol beverage that ranges from creamy white and beige to light brownish colors, with a pleasant sweet taste and slightly acidic taste. Two types of fermentation co-occur during Boza fermentation. Alcohol fermentation produces carbon dioxide bubbles and increases the volume, while lactic acid fermentation produces lactic acid and provides its acidic character. The microbiota responsible for its fermentation shows a diversity of both homo- or hetero-fermentative LAB and veasts. LAB strains are Lactobacillus, Lactococcus, Leuconostoc, Pediococcus, Enterococcus, Oenococcus, and Weissella. These include Lactobacillus paracasei subsp. paracasei, Lactobacillus pentosus, Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus brevis, Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Lactobacillus fermentum, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus coprophilus, Lactobacillus coryniformis, Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis, Leuconostoc lactis, Leuconostoc mesenteroides, Leuconostoc mesenteroides subsp. dextranicum, Leuconostoc raffinolactis, Weissella kimchi, and Weissella paramesenteroides. However, LAB cocci, including Enterococcus faecium, Oenococcus oeni, Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis and Pediococcus pentosaceus are rare. Yeast isolates in Boza are Candida diversa, Candida inconspicua, Candida pararugosa, Issatchenkia orientalis, Pichia fermentans, Pichia guilliermondii, Pichia norvegensis, Rhodotorula mucilaginosa, and Torulaspora delbrueckii (Petrova and Petrov, 2017).

Bushera is an other traditional beverage most commonly consumed by children and adults in Uganda's urban and rural areas. It is produced by mixing sorghum or millet flour with boiling water and then fermenting at room temperature. The dominant flora consists of five LAB genera (*Lactobacillus* spp., mainly *Lactobacillus brevis*, *Lactococcus* spp., *Leuconostoc* spp., *Enterococcus* spp., and *Streptococcus* spp.). Although studies on the probiotic potential of Bushera are still limited, there is evidence of its antidiarrheal effects (Panghal *et al.*, 2018).

A fermented corn product, ogi is a popular breakfast cereal in sub-Saharan African communities, primarily Nigeria. Traditional production begins with the fermentation of corn grains by soaking them in the water for 2-4 days at room temperature, then resting the milled and sieved slurry for 2-3 days at room temperature (Enujiugha and Badejo, 2017). The main microorganisms isolated were LAB, mainly Lactobacillus (Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus brevis, and Lactobacillus fermentum) and yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Rhodotorula graminis, Candida krusei, Candida tropicalis, Geotrichum candidum, and Geotrichum fermentum) (Omemu, 2011). In many parts of Nigeria, it is a tradition for mothers to give their babies ogi liqueur (water prepared with fermented grain paste) to treat diseases such as diarrhea and abdominal pain (Adebolu et al., 2007). Ogi is commonly consumed as semisolid oatmeal called "akamu" or steamed pudding called "agidi." Therefore, heat treatment applied in both forms of ogi eliminates the existing LAB and, thereby, the ogi probiotic effect (Enujiugha and Badejo, 2017).

Mahewu is also a nondairy cereal-based probiotic product preferred by consumers of all age groups in South Africa. Mahewu is an alcohol-free beverage made by a multigrain mix, including maize, sorghum, millet, malt, and wheat flour. In the traditional production process, a multigrain mix is kept at room temperature for approximately 24 h for spontaneous fermentation. After fermentation, various fruit flavors may be added to the mixture to enhance the flavor. The major microorganisms responsible for Mahewu fermentation is *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* (Bansal *et al.*, 2016; Enujiugha and Badejo, 2017).

Moreover, togwa, a traditional fermented beverage in Tanzania, is produced by fermenting multigrains such as maize, sorghum, finger millet, rice, cassava, and cornflour. Due to the unhygienic preparation and variable end product characteristics, togwa is consumed by lowincome people (Panghal *et al.*, 2018). Many *Lactobacillus* species, predominantly *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Weissella confuse*, and *Pediococcus pentosaceus* and yeast (*Issatchenkia orientalis, Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Candida tropicalis*, and *Candida pelliculosa*), are the main microflora of Togwa (Aspri *et al.*, 2020; Mugula *et al.*, 2003).

In addition, Pozol, a nonalcoholic beverage, is mostly consumed in Southeast Mexico. Pozol is produced by cooking corn in about 1% (w/v) lime solution, washing with water, grinding to make the dough known as nixtamal. They form into balls, wrapped in banana leaves, and fermented for half a day to 4 days at ambient temperature. Prior to consumption, the fermented dough is suspended in water. Pozol dominant microflora is amylolytic LAB strains, *Clodosporium guilliermondii* var. *guillier–mondii*, *Cladosporium cladosporioides*, and *Geotrichum can-didum* (Bansal *et al.*, 2016; Panghal *et al.*, 2018).

Finally, soybean is the most important cereal because of its high nutritional value (Soccol et al., 2010). Functional soybean products are fermented commonly by the LAB such as Lactobacillus paracasei, Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus mali, and Bifidobacterium breve. Traditionally in the past, soy products were made from China. Today, they are known in other parts of Asia and Europe. Soy products can be classified into two forms, including fermented soy products such as tempeh, natto, shoyu, miso, and sufu, and unfermented soy products include soy milk and tofu. Soy cheese is often called Tofu, a high-protein unfermented product (Zielińska et al., 2015). Zielińska et al. (2015) aimed to produce tofu with probiotic bacteria such as Bifidobacterium animalis ssp. lactis BB-12 and Lactobacillus casei ŁOCK 0900. It was concluded that the viable count of Lactobacillus casei ŁOCK 0900 was 109-1010 CFU/g, but Bifidobacterium animalis ssp. lactis BB-12 did not exceed 103 CFU/g after 15 days of storage of tofu at 4°C. Tempeh is a fermented soybean product that originated from Indonesia. Tempeh is rich in soy protein and genistein, with beneficial effects on high blood sugar regulation and prevents diabetes. Tempeh is fermented with Rhizopus microsporus var. oligosporus. Moreover, Acetobacter indonesiensis, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Bacillus subtilis, Flavobacterium spp., Brevundimonas spp., Pseudomonas putida also play an important role in producing Indonesian tempeh. Lactobacillus families, such as Lactobacillus agilis, Lactobacillus fermentum, and Enterobacteria cecorum are commonly isolated from tempeh (Subandi et al., 2019). Miso is a traditional Japanese fermented soy paste. There are three main types, including bean miso, rice miso, and barley miso, based on the molt material. Miso is commonly produced by fermentation of soybeans with Aspergillus oryzae together with some strains of LAB, including Tetragenococcus halophilus and yeast such as Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Kumazawa et al., 2018). Natto is a Japanese cheese-like product made from soybeans by fermentation with Bacillus subtilis var. natto (former name, Bacillus natto). Bacillus natto, as a probiotic strain, could be used as a functional ingredient in natto production (Fujiwara et al., 2008). Soymilk is a lactose-free product and contains GOS considered prebiotics as a source of energy due to the  $\beta$ -galactosidases in soybean. Soymilk can be obtained from the water extract of soybean and supports probiotic bacteria growth in probiotic foods. Soymilk with probiotic cultures has some advantages, for example, decreasing the problems of beany flavor and flatulence connected to the oligosaccharide constituents (Hassanzadeh-Rostami *et al.*, 2015). Božanić *et al.* (2011) studied soy milk fermentation by the probiotic culture ABT5 (a mixture of *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Bifidobacterium* spp., and *Streptococcus thermophilus*) at two different temperatures (37°C and 42°C). Soymilk fermentation time was 7 h at 42°C and 8 h at 37°C. However, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* grew poorly in both fermentation procedures. The viable cell count of *Bifidobacteria* spp. was approximately 10<sup>7</sup> CFU/mL during 28 days of cold storage, better than *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. The authors emphasized that soymilk is a good substrate for *Bifidobacterium* spp.

#### Fruit- and vegetable-based probiotic products

Fruits and vegetables are rich in several nutrients such as phytochemicals, minerals, vitamins, soluble dietary fibers, and antioxidants. Moreover, they do not contain allergens and cholesterol. Thus, several food industries have produced healthy fruit- and vegetable-based probiotic products. Vegetable-based drinks, fermented or nonfermented fruit-based drinks, fermented banana pulp, beets-based and tomato-based drinks, peanut milk, dried fruits, green coconut water, and ginger juice are examples of some fruit- and vegetable-based probiotic products (Kumar et al., 2022; Misra et al., 2019; Song et al., 2012). These fruit and vegetable juices are a novel and an appropriate probiotic vehicle that are investigated lately (Coşkun, 2017a, 2017b; Fazali et al., 2007; Fu et al., 2014; Garcia et al. 2020; Khatoon and Gupta, 2015; Lu et al., 2018; Nguyen et al., 2019; Pakbin et al., 2014; Panghal et al., 2018; Pereira et al., 2013; Swain et al., 2014; Thakur and Joshi, 2017; Yoon et al., 2006).

Several factors limit the viability and survival of probiotic cultures in fruit and vegetable juices. The major parameters are (1) food matrix such as titratable acidity, water activity, pH, molecular oxygen, presence of sugar, artificial flavoring and coloring agents, (2) processing parameters such as heat treatment, cooling rate, packaging materials, and storage techniques, and (3) microbiological factors including probiotic strains, inoculum proportion, and rate of culture growth (Kumar et al., 2022; Patel, 2017). There are two applications in obtaining probiotic fruits and vegetables: fermented and nonfermented probiotic products (Chaudhary, 2019). Many strains of Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus bifidus, and Lactobacillus casei can grow on fruit and vegetable matrices due to their tolerance to acidic environments (Perricone et al., 2015). Lactic fermentation occurs in fruits and vegetables in three ways: (1) spontaneous fermentation by natural microflora,

(2) fermentation by starter cultures added to raw material, and (3) fermentation by heat treatment materials by starter cultures (Chaudhary, 2019). The stability and viability of probiotic culture can be improved in juices by adding some prebiotics (dietary fiber, cellulose) or some ingredients that protect their effect (Perricone *et al.*, 2015). Microencapsulation techniques have also been investigated to preserve probiotic viability in these juices (White and Hekmat, 2018).

Probiotic fruit juices such as pineapple, orange, apple, mango, grapes, sweet lime, watermelon juice, cashew apple juice, blackcurrant juice, and cranberry are the most popular products in this category (Panghal et al., 2018). Watermelon juice was produced using four Lactobacillus strains (Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus. acidophilus, Lactobacillus fermentum, and Lactobacillus plantarum) by Fazali et al. (2007). Watermelon juice was first pasteurized at 63°C for 30 min and then inoculated with a 24 h-old culture of individual probiotic cells. After incubation at 37°C, the Lactobacillus strains grew in watermelon juice and reached a viable cell count of 108 CFU/mL after 48 h. The antibacterial activity of probiotic watermelon juice against Salmonella typhimurium was also studied. All the Lactobacillus strains could completely inhibit the growth of Salmonella typhimurium after 2-6 h. Moreover, Pereira et al. (2013) optimized cashew apple juice fermentation by Lactobacillus casei NRRL B-442. The viable probiotic cell counts were observed to be higher than 8.0 log CFU/mL at 4°C for 42 days. Pakbin et al. (2014) also reported that Lactobacillus delbrueckii grew well in peach juice, and viable cell count reached nearly 10 × 109 CFU/mL after 48 h of fermentation at 30°C. After 4 weeks of cold storage at 4°C, the viable cell count of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* was  $1.72 \times 10^7$ CFU/mL. Thus, this juice can be consumed as a healthy probiotic beverage by vegetarians and lactose-allergic consumers. Khatoon and Gupta (2015) found that the viable cell count of Lactobacillus acidophilus cultures in sugarcane juice and sweet lime juices reached 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ mL after 24 h of fermentation at 37°C. After three weeks of storage at 4°C, the viable cell count of Lactobacillus acidophilus in the sugarcane juice was  $4.0 \times 10^8$  CFU/mL, while culture viability was lost in sweet lime juices after the second week of storage due to higher acidic conditions. The authors implied that fermented sweet lime and sugarcane juice could be further developed as a functional probiotic beverage. Grape juice, also called hardaliye, is a traditional fermented nonalcoholic beverage produced in Thrace, the European part of Turkey. Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis, Lactobacillus acetotolerans, Lactobacillus pontis, Lactobacillus paracasei ssp. paracasei, Lactobacillus brevis, and Lactobacillus vaccinostercus are used as the probiotic bacteria in the fermentation of hardaliye. The health benefits of hardaliye are: (1) high antioxidant effect, (2) prevents oxidative stress to inhibit cancer cells formation, and (3) decreases plasma lipid peroxidation parameters and serum homocysteine concentration (Coşkun, 2017a). Apple juice was fermented for 72 h by Lactobacillus plantarum by Thakur and Joshi (2017). After storage for four weeks at 4°C, the initial probiotic cell count decreased from 107 CFU/mL to  $4.76-6.00 \times 10^6$  CFU/mL. The authors concluded that Lactobacillus plantarum is suitable for apple juices as a probiotic culture. Tempovak produced from durian fruit widely grown in Southeast Asian countries such as Malaysia, Thailand, and the Philippines is a traditional fermented beverage. Durian pulp is fermented by spontaneous lactic acid fermentation. Lactobacillus species like Lactobacillus mali, Lactobacillus brevis, Lactobacillus durianis, Lactobacillus fermentum, and Leuconostoc mesenteroides are used to ferment tempoyak (Lu et al., 2018; Swain et al., 2014). In a similar study by Nguyen et al. (2019), pineapple juice fermented with Bifidobacterium lactis Bb-12, Lactobacillus plantarum 299V, and Lactobacillus acidophilus La5 was investigated. The viable cell counts of Lactobacillus strains were approximately 109 and 1010 CFU/mL, while the Bifidobacterium strain was in the range of 108 and 109 CFU/mL in the first month of storage at 4°C. The authors suggested that probiotic Lactobacillus. plantarum 299V was more suitable for developing probiotic pineapple juice drinks.

Cabbage, sauerkraut, fermented cucumbers, carrot root, potato, tomato, beet, onion, ginger, peanuts, and kimchi are the most studied vegetable-based probiotic products (Garcia et al., 2020). Some examples of these products are summarized below. Firstly, shalgam is a red and sour soft traditional Turkish beverage made from black or purple carrot or their mixture. Shalgam, also called turnip juice, shalgam juice, or shalgam water, is produced by two methods with different formulations. Its microbiota mainly include yeasts such as Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Lactobacillus spp. (Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus arabinosus, Lactobacillus fermentum, Lactobacillus buchneri, Lactobacillus pentosus, Lactobacillus brevis, and Lactobacillus paracasei subsp. paracasei) (89.63%), Leuconostoc spp. (Leuconostoc mesenteroides subsp. mesenteroides) (9.63%) and Pediococcus spp. (Pediococcus pentosaceaceus) (0.74%). Generally, shalgam fermentation occurs in about 7 days at 25°C with a 3-4 months shelf life stored at 4 °C in a closed container. Its shelf life increases to about 6 months at 4-20°C if sterile filtration is used (Coşkun, 2017b; Garcia et al., 2020). The other product is cabbage juice. Yoon et al. (2006) determined cabbage availability for probiotic cabbage juice production using Lactobacillus L. plantarum C3, Lactobacillus casei A4, and Lactobacillus delbrueckii D7 as probiotic cultures. During the fermentation at 30°C, Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus delbrueckii, and Lactobacillus plantarum reached nearly  $10 \times 10^8$  CFU/mL. After 4 weeks at 4°C, the viable cell counts of Lactobacillus plantarum and Lactobacillus delbrueckii was  $4.1 \times 10^7$  CFU/mL and  $4.5 \times$ 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL, respectively, but Lactobacillus casei did not survive after 2 weeks because of low acidity. The authors concluded that Lactobacillus plantarum and Lactobacillus delbrueckii could be used as probiotic cultures to produce cabbage beverage. Do and Fan (2019) studied the suitability of a mixture of juices from jicama, winter melon, and carrot to produce a probiotic juice using Lactobacillus plantarum CICC22696 and Lactobacillus acidophilus CICC20710 strains. Both strains grew well in the vegetable juice mixtures after 24 h of fermentation at 37°C and reached nearly 9 and 8 log CFU/mL when inoculated with Lactobacillus plantarum and Lactobacillus acidophilus, respectively. At the end of the storage, the viability of Lactobacillus plantarum was approximately 8 log CFU/mL, whereas Lactobacillus acidophilus was 4.57 log CFU/mL. Kombucha is another vegetable-based probiotic drink consumed worldwide as a medicinal healthpromoting drink (Kozyrovska et al., 2012). Kombucha beverage is produced by fermenting sugared tea with a symbiosis of yeast spp., fungi, and acetic acid bacteria at ambient temperature for about 7-14 days. Besides, this beverage is composed of some probiotic lactic acid bacteria. Acetic acid bacteria species such as Acetobacter xylinoides, Acetobacter pasteurianus, Acetobacter xylinum, Acetobacter aceti, and Bacterium gluconicum are the main microflora in kombucha. Moreover, yeasts species, including Brettanomyces bruxellensis, Brettanomyces lambicus, Brettanomyces custersii, Kloeckera imchite, Saccharomycodes ludwigii, Schizosaccharomyces pombe, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Zygosaccharomyces bailii, Candida, and Pichia were also isolated from kombucha (Fu et al., 2014). Tomato juice is also another product based on vegetable probiotic juice. Yoon et al. (2004) determined the suitability of tomato juice as a raw material for probiotic juice production using Lactobacillus acidophilus LA39, Lactobacillus plantarum C3, Lactobacillus casei A4, and Lactobacillus delbrueckii D7. Tomato juice was inoculated with 24 h-old probiotic cultures and incubated at 30°C. The viable cell count reached nearly from 1.0 to 9.0  $\times$  10<sup>9</sup> CFU/mL after 72 h. Moreover, the four LAB strains' viable cell count ranged from 106 to 108 CFU/ mL after 4 weeks at 4°C.

Traditionally, vegetable-based fermented pickles have a long history in different cultures worldwide (Fan *et al.*, 2017). It can be produced by lactic fermentation (vegetables and fruits), alcoholic fermentation (cassava and rice), high salt fermentation (fish and soy sauce), and mold fermentation (peanut press cake and soybeans) (Behera *et al.*, 2020). There are several pickles produced under different names in the world. Kimchi is a traditional pickled vegetable from Korean culture. Cabbages, radish,

garlic, green onion, ginger, red pepper, mustard, parsley, jeotgal (fermented seafood), carrot, and salt are used for producing kimchi. The main microflora of kimchi were various LAB strains, with Lactobacillus kimchii being the typical fermenting species, and also fermented kimchi contained high levels of LAB (about 107-109 CFU/g). In addition, many Leuconostoc spp. and Lactobacillus spp., including Leuconostoc citreum, Leuconostoc gasicomitatum, Leuconostoc gelidum, Lactobacillus sakei, and Lactobacillus brevis are the predominant species. Many active compounds in kimchi showed anticancer, antiobesity, and antiatherosclerotic functions (Park et al., 2014). Gundruk is another pickled product from Nepal. Gundruk is a nonsalted, fermented, acidic vegetable product obtained by fermenting leafy vegetables (rayosag, mustard leaves, cauliflower leaves, and cabbages). Its fermentation is usually dominated by Pediococcus spp. (Pediococcus pentosaceus) and Lactobacillus spp., (Lactobacillus fermentum, Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus casei subsp. pseudoplantarum, Lactobacillus cellobiosus, and Lactobacillus plantarum) the initiators of fermentation. Fermentation time is reported to be about 15-22 days (Swain et al., 2014). Khalpi, also called cucumber, is a pickled cucumber from Nepal. Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus brevis, and Leuconostoc fallax are commonly involved in khalpi fermentation. Moreover, the cucumber can be fermented using a pure or mixed culture of Lactobacillus plantarum and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Khalpi fermentation occurs in 4-7 days at room temperature (Behera et al., 2020). Turșu, a traditional fermented Turkish pickle, is made of different vegetables such as cabbage, cucumber, carrot, beet, pepper, turnip, eggplant, and beans. Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus brevis, Leuconostoc mesenteroides, and Pediococcus pentosaceus are the dominant microorganisms during fermentation (Irkin and Songun, 2012). Al-Shawi et al. (2019) investigated the effect of adding probiotic bacteria (Lactobacillus acidophilus) and synbiotic (Lactobacillus acidophilus + inulin) in tursu. The cell count of Lactobacillus acidophilus was higher in the synbiotic (log 9.68 CFU/mL) than the probiotic (log 9.54 CFU/mL) and control (log 3.97 CFU/mL) at the end of the storage period. After 30 days, the total cell count was higher in the control sample (log 6.99 CFU/mL) than probiotic (log 6.90 CFU/mL) and synbiotic samples (log 6.52 CFU/mL), respectively. The authors implied that synbiotic pickle had more desirable characteristics compared with probiotic and control pickle. Sunki is a traditional Japanese nonsalted pickle made from the fermentation of the leaves of red turnips. Lactobacillus kisonensis, Lactobacillus otakiensis, Lactobacillus rapi, and Lactobacillus sunkii are the predominant species in sunki. However, Lactobacillus delbrueckii identified as the subdominant LAB strain (Kudo et al., 2012).

# Meat-based probiotic products

Meat and meat products are essential components of human nutrition. Raw-cured and ripened meat products are traditionally produced by fermentation worldwide. Moreover, drying is the oldest food preserving methods in the world. Meat-based probiotic products are relatively new and recently adopted by the meat industry (Kołozyn-Krajewska and Dolatowski, 2012; Kumar *et al.*, 2022).

LAB (Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus curvatus, Lactobacillus pentosus, Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus sakei, Pediococcus acidilactici, and Pediococcus pentosaceus), Staphylococci (Staphylococcus xylosus, Staphylococcus saprophyticus or Staphylococcus carnosus), and Micrococci (Micrococcus varians) are frequently used as a starter culture in the meat fermentation process (Song et al., 2012). In general, a single LAB species or together with other bacteria are used as a starter culture. Due to its high moisture content (70-80%), raw meat is easily contaminated with pathogenic microorganisms transmitted from animal skin to raw meat during slaughter (Holck et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2022). LAB strains used in meat fermentation strongly inhibit pathogens. Therefore, LAB is widely used to preserve meat products for consumer quality purposes (Fraqueza et al., 2020). Various factors influence the viability of probiotic cultures in fermented meat products. These are low pH, low water activity, acidity, organic acid concentration, microorganisms (native microflora of meat), processing and storage temperature, oxygen content, salt, low content of natural sugars, and additives (nitrite and nitrate) (Aspri et al., 2020).

Dry-fermented sausages are the only example of a probiotic application in fermented meats (Song et al., 2012). Dry-fermented meat products are popular in the world. Depending on the geographic region, there are many types of dry-fermented sausages. For example, in Portugal, chouriço, paio, catalão, salsichao, linguiça, palaio, salpicao, butelo, cacholeira, alheira, farinheira, and morcela are produced as a dry-fermented sausage (Rocha and Elias, 2016). Salami in Italy, sucuk in Turkey, and salchichon and chorizo-like Mediterranean-type sausages in Spain are the other examples of dry-fermented sausage types (Holck et al., 2017). However, producing these food products has a high contamination risk, especially during drying, slicing, and packaging steps (Song et al., 2012). Thermal processes during the production of dry-fermented meat are usually not used or only heated mildly. This temperature is not harmful to probiotic strains, so the transfer of probiotic microorganisms into the human gastrointestinal tract is provided easily (Rocha and Elias, 2016). Some probiotic microorganisms such as Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus paracasei, Lactobacillus rhamnosus,

Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis, Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus reuteri, Lactobacillus fermentum, Bifidobacterium animalis, Bifidobacterium lactis, and Pediococcus pentosaceus have also been used in fermentation and ripening of these meat products as bioprotectors against pathogenic strains (Cavalheiro *et al.*, 2015). Thus the selection of suitable probiotic starter culture is vital in the production of dry-fermented sausage products.

The most important probiotic selection criteria are that probiotic strains can compete with the bacteria in meat, survive the production processes such as fermentation and drying, refrigeration, and storage. Moreover, they should have sufficient numbers in the final products for expected health effects (Khan *et al.*, 2011; Kumar *et al.*, 2022). LAB plays the central role in sausage production by producing organic acids (mainly lactic acid) that inhibit undesirable microorganism growth. At the beginning of the sausage fermentation, the LAB count ranged between 3.2 and 5.3 log CFU/g. However, LAB count reached 7–9 log CFU/g in the first days and remained at this level during ripening (Maksimović *et al.*, 2015).

Jofré et al. (2015) tested the efficiency of Lactobacillus casei/paracasei CTC1677, Lactobacillus casei/paracasei CTC1678, Lactobacillus rhamnosus CTC1679, Lactobacillus gasseri CTC1700, Lactobacillus gasseri CTC1704, and Lactobacillus fermentum CTC1693 as potential probiotic strains in model sausage systems. Only CTC1677, CTC1678, and CTC1679 were able to grow and dominate at 15°C after 9 days (108 CFU/g) during ripening. Probiotic strain Lactobacillus casei CRL431 was added in traditional Turkish dry-fermented sausage, namely sucuk (Bağdatli and Kundakci, 2016). At the end of the fermentation and ripening period, the number of Lactobacillus casei CRL-431 reached a sufficient microbial count (approximately 106 CFU/g). Thus, the authors implied that Lactobacillus casei CRL 431 could be used as a probiotic strain in Turkish sausage production. Nedelcheva et al. (2014) prepared the rawdried meat sausages using a probiotic strain Lactobacillus plantarum NBIMCC 2415. The viable cell count of Lactobacillus plantarum NBIMCC 2415 was approximately 10<sup>12</sup> CFU/cm<sup>3</sup> on day 6 at 15–18°C. This probiotic strain completely inhibited the growth of pathogenic bacteria such as Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Proteus vulgaris G, Salmonella abony NTCC 6017, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25093, and Listeria monocytogenes I at 15–18°C during the meat production.

## Conclusion

Probiotic foods comprise 60–70% of the total functional food market. Dairy-based probiotic products are the primary vehicle of probiotic cultures to humans and

animals. However, lactose intolerance, nutrition habits, protein allergies, and vegetarianism restrict dairy-based probiotics consumption. Nondairy-based food products are utilized by probiotic cultures to overcome this situation. Hence, currently, the interest in the development of these probiotic products is increasing in the world. Consequently, depending on the increase in the research on nondairy-based probiotic foods, different products are expected to reach the market shelves.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The author declares no conflict of interest associated with this work.

#### Funding

This study did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### References

- Abatenh, E., Gizaw, B., Tsegay, Z., Tefera, G. and Aynalem, E., 2018. Health benefits of probiotics. Journal of Bacteriology and Infectious Diseases. 2(1): 8–27.
- Adebolu, T.T., Olodun, A.O. and Ihunweze, B.C., 2007. Evaluation of ogi liquor from different grains for antibacterial activities against some common diarrhoeal bacteria in South–West Nigerian. African Journal of Biotechnology. 6: 1140–1143.
- Aladeboyeje, O.T. and Sanli, N.O., 2021. Fermented traditional probiotic beverages of Turkish origin: a concise review. International Journal of Life Sciences and Biotechnology. 4(3): 546–564. https://doi.org/10.38001/ijlsb.936982
- Al-Shawi, S.G., Swadi, W.A. and Hussein, A.A., 2019. Production of probiotic (Turshi) pickled vegetables. Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology. 13(4): 2287–2293. https://doi.org/ 10.22207/JPAM.13.4.43
- Al-Sheraji, S.H., Ismail, A., Manap, M.Y., Mustafa, S., Yusof, R.M. and Hassan, F.A., 2013. Prebiotics as functional foods: a review. Journal of Functional Foods. 5: 1542–1553. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.iff.2013.08.009
- Aspri, M., Papademas, P. and Tsaltas, D., 2020. Review on non-dairy probiotics and their use in non-dairy based products. Fermentations. 6: 30. https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentations6010030
- Bağdatli, A. and Kundakci, A., 2016. Optimization of compositional and structural properties in probiotic sausage production. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 53(3): 1679–1689. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197–015–2098–3
- Bansal, S., Mangal, M., Sharma, S.K. and Gupta, R.K., 2016. Non-dairy-based probiotics: a healthy treat for intestine. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 56: 1856–1857. https:// doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2013.790780

- Behera, S.S., El Sheikha, A.F., Hammami, R. and Kumar, A., 2020. Traditionally fermented pickles: how the microbial diversity associated with their nutritional and health benefits?. Journal of Functional Foods. 70: 103971. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2020.103971
- Božanić, R., Lovković, S. and Jeličić, I., 2011. Optimising fermentation of soymilk with probiotic bacteria. Czech Journal of Food Sciences. 29(1): 51–56.
- Cavalheiro, C.P., Ruiz-Capillas, C., Herrero, A.M., Jimenez-Colmenero, F., de Menezes, C.R. and Fries, L.L.M., 2015. Application of probiotic delivery systems in meat products. Trends in Food Science and Technology. 46: 120–131. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2015.09.004
- Chaudhary, A., 2019. Probiotic fruit and vegetable juices: approach toward a healthy gut. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences.8(6): 1265–1279. https:// doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.806.154
- Coşkun, F., 2017a. A traditional Turkish fermented non-alcoholic grape-based beverage, "Hardaliye." Beverages. 3: 2. https://doi. org/10.3390/beverages3010002
- Coşkun, F., 2017b. A traditional Turkish fermented non-alcoholic beverage "Shalgam." Beverages. 4: 49. https://doi.org/10.3390/ beverages3040049
- Dahiya, D. and Singh-Nee Nigam, P., 2022. Nutrition and health through the use of probiotic strains in fermentation to produce non-dairy functional beverage products supporting gut microbiota. Foods. 11(18): 2760. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11182760
- Do, T.V.T. and Fan, L.P., 2019. Probiotic viability, qualitative characteristics, and sensory acceptability of vegetable juice mixture fermented with Lactobacillus strains. Food and Nutrition Sciences. 10: 412–427. https://doi.org/10.4236/fns.2019.104 31
- Enujiugha, V.N. and Badejo, A.A., 2017. Probiotic potentials of cereal-based beverages. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 57(4): 790–804. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.20 14.930018
- Fan, S., Breidt, F., Price, R. and P'erez-D'iaz, I., 2017. Survival and growth of probiotic lactic acid bacteria in refrigerated pickle products. Journal of Food Science. 82(1): 167–173. https://doi. org/10.1111/1750–3841.13579
- Fazeli, M.R., Amirmozafari, N., Golbooi nejad, N. and Jamalifar, H., 2007. Antagonistic action of watermelon juice probioticated using different strains of Lactobacilli against Salmonella typhimurium. Iranian Journal of Public Health. 36(4): 70–73.
- Fernandes, C.G., Sonawane, S.K. and Arya, S.S., 2018. Cereal based functional beverages: a review. Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences. 8(3): 914–919. https://doi. org/10.15414/ jmbfs.2018–19.8.3.914–919
- Fraqueza, M.J., Laranjo, M., Alves, S., Fernandes, M.H., Agulheiro–Santos, A.C., Fernandes, M.J., Potes, M.E. and Elias, M., 2020. Dry-cured meat products according to the smoking regime: process optimization to control polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Foods. 9: 91. https://doi.org/10.3390/ foods9010091
- Fu, C., Yan, F., Cao, Z., Xie, F. and Lin, J., 2014. Antioxidant activities of kombucha prepared from three different substrates and changes in content of probiotics during storage. Food Science and Technology (Campinas). 34(1): 123–126.

- Fujiwara, K., Miyaguchi, Y., Feng, X.H., Toyoda, A., Nakamura, Y., Yamazaki, M., Nakashima, K. and Abe, H., 2008. Effect of fermented soybean, "Natto" on the production and qualities of chicken meat. Asian–Australian Journal of Animal Sciences. 21(12): 1766–1772.
- Garcia, C., Guérin, M., Souidi, K. and Remize, F., 2020. Lactic fermented fruit or vegetable juices: past, present and future. Beverages. 6(1): 8. https://doi.org/10.3390/beverages6010008ff
- Gomes, I.A., Venâncio, A., Lima, J.P. and Freitas-Silva, O., 2021. Fruit-based non-dairy beverage: a new approach for probiotics. Advances in Biological Chemistry. 11: 302–330. https://doi. org/10.4236/abc.2021.116021
- Hassan, A.A., Ally, M.M.A. and El-Hadidie, S.T., 2010. Production of cereal-based probiotic beverages. World Applied Sciences Journal. 19(10): 1367–1380. https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wasj. 2012.19.10.2797
- Hassanzadeh-Rostami, Z., Mazloomi, S. M., Rahmdel, S. and Kazemi, A., 2015. Mixtures of soy-and cow's milk as potential probiotic food carriers. Journal of Biology and Today's World. 4 (1): 29–33.
- Holck, A., Axelsson, L., McLeod, A., Rode, T.M. and Heir, E., 2017.
  Health and safety considerations of fermented sausages.
  Hindawi Journal of Food Quality. 2017(9753894): 25. https://doi. org/10.1155/2017/9753894
- Irkın, R. and Emmun, G., 2012. Applications of probiotic bacteria to the vegetable pickle products. Scientific Reviews and Chemical Communications. 2(4): 562–567.
- Iwatani, S. and Yamamoto, N., 2019. Functional food products in Japan: a review. Food Science and Human Wellness. 8: 96–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2019.03.011
- Jofréa, A., Teresa, A. and Garrigaa, M., 2015. Probiotic fermented sausages: myth or reality? Procedia Food Science. 5: 133–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profoo.2015.09.038
- Khan, M.I., Arshad, M.S., Anjum, F.M., Sameen, A., Rehman, A. and Gill, W.T., 2011. Meat as a functional food with special reference to probiotic sausages. Food Research International. 44: 3125–3133.
- Khatoon, N. and Gupta, R.K., 2015. Probiotics beverages of sweet lime and sugarcane juices and its physiochemical, microbiological & shelflife studies. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 4(3): 25–34.
- Kocková , M. and Valik, L., 2014. Development of new cereal-, pseudocereal-, and cereal-leguminous-based probiotic foods. Czech Journal of Food Sciences. 32(4): 391–397. https://doi. org/10.17221/553/2013-CJFS
- Kołozyn–Krajewska, D. and Dolatowski, Z.J., 2012. Probiotic meat products and human nutrition. Process Biochemistry. 47(12): 1761–1772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2012.09.017
- Kozyrovska, N.O., Reva, O.M., Goginyan, V.B. and de Vera J.-P., 2012. Kombucha microbiome as a probiotic: a view from the perspective of post-genomics and synthetic ecology. Biopolymers and Cell. 28 (2): 103–113.
- Küçükgöz, K. and Trzaskowska, M., 2022. Nondairy probiotic products: functional foods that require more attention. Nutrients. 14: 753. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14040753

- Kudo, Y., Oki, K. and Watanabe, K., 2012. Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. sunkii subsp. nov., isolated from sunki, a traditional Japanese pickle. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary. Microbiology. 62: 2643–2649. https://doi. org/10.1099/ijs.0.037051–0
- Kumar, S., Rattu, G., Mitharwal, S., Chandra, A., Kumar, S., Kaushik, A., Mishra, V. and Nema, P.K., 2022. Trends in non-dairy-based probiotic food products: advances and challenges. Journal of Food Processing and Preservation. 46: e16578. https://doi. org/10.1111/jfpp.16578
- Kumazawa, T., Nishimura, A., Asai, N. and Adachi, T., 2018. Isolation of immune-regulatory Tetragenococcus halophilus from miso. PLoS One. 13(12): e0208821. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal. Pone.0208821
- Lamsal, B.P. and Faubion, J.M., 2009. The beneficial use of cereal and cereal components in probiotic foods. Food Reviews International. 25: 103–114. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 87559120802682573
- Lockyer, S. and Stanner, S., 2019. Prebiotics—an added benefits of some fibre types. Nutrition Bulletin. 44: 74–91. https://doi. org/10.1111/nbu.12366
- Lu, Y., Putra, S.D. and Liu, S.Q., 2018. A novel non-dairy beverage from durian pulp fermented with selected probiotics and yeast. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 265: 1–8. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.10.030
- Maksimović, Z., Hulak, N., Vuko, M., Kovačević, V., Kos, I. and Mrkonjić Fuka, M., 2015. Lactic acid bacteria in traditional dry sausage production. Godina XVII. 6: 575–580.
- Markowiak, P. and Slizewski, K., 2017. Effects of probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics on human health. Nutrients. 9: 1021. https:// doi.org/10.3390/nu9091021
- Min, M., Bunt, C.R., Mason, S.L. and Hussain, M.A., 2019. Nondairy probiotic food products: an emerging group of functional foods. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 59(16): 2626–2641. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2018.1462760
- Misra, S., Mohanty, D. and Mohapatra, S., 2019. Applications of probiotics as a functional ingredient in food and gut health. Journal of Food and Nutrition Research. 7(3): 213–223. https:// doi.org/10.12691/jfnr-7–3–6
- Mugula, J.K., Nnko, S.A., Narvhus, J.A. and Sorhaug, T., 2003. Microbiological and fermentation characteristics of togwa, a Tanzanian fermented food. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 80(3): 187–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1605(02)00141-1
- Nagpal, R., Kumar, A., Kumar, M., Behare, P.V., Jain, S. and Yadav, H., 2012. Probiotics, their health benefits and applications for developing healthier foods: a review. FEMS Microbiology Letters. 334(1): 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1574–6968.2012.02593.x
- Nedelcheva, P., Denkova, Z., Denev, P., Slavchev, A. and Krastanov, A., 2010. Probiotic strain Lactobacillus plantarum NBIMCC 2415 with antioxidant activity as a starter culture in the production of dried fermented meat products. Biotechnology and Biotechnological Equipment. 24(1): 1624–1630. https://doi. org/10.2478/V10133–010–0016–4

- Nguyen, B.T., Bujna, E., Fekete, N., Tran, A.T.M., Rezessy-Szabo, J.M., Prasad, R. and Nguyen, Q.D., 2019. Probiotic beverages from pineapple juice fermented with Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium strains. Frontiers in Nutrition. 6: 54. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2019.00054
- Omemu, A.M., 2011. Fermentation dynamics during production of ogi, a Nigerian fermented cereal porridge. Report and Opinion. 3(4): 8–17.
- Pakbin, B., Razavi, S.H., Mahmoudi, R. and Gajarbeygi, P., 2014. Producing probiotic peach juice. Biotechnology and Health Sciences. 1(3): e24683.
- Panghal, A., Janghub, S., Virkara, K., Gata, Y., Kumara, V. and Chhikara, N., 2018. Potential non-dairy probiotic products a healthy approach. Food Bioscience. 21: 80–89.
- Park, K.Y., Jeong, J.K., Lee, Y.E. and Daily, J.W., 2014. Health benefits of kimchi (Korean fermented vegetables) as a probiotic food. Journal of Medicinal Food. 17(1): 6–20. https://doi.org/10.1089/ jmf. 2013.3083
- Patel, A.R., 2017. Probiotic fruit and vegetable juices-recent advances and future perspective. International Food Research Journal. 24(5): 1850–1857.
- Pereira, A.F.L., Almeida, F.D.L., Tibério de Jesus, A.L., Correia da Costa, J.M. and Rodrigues, S., 2013. Storage stability and acceptance of probiotic beverage from cashew apple juice. Food and Bioprocess Technology. 6: 3155–3165. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11947–012–1032–1
- Perricone, M., Bevilacqua, A., Altieri, C., Sinigaglia, M. and Corbo, M.R., 2015. Challenges for the production of probiotic fruit juices. Beverages. 1: 95–103. https://doi.org/10.3390/ beverages1020095
- Petrova, P. and Petrov, K.K., 2017. Traditional cereal beverage boza: fermentation technology, microbial content and healthy effects. In: Ray R.C. and Montet D, editors. Fermented food—Part II: Technological interventions. Chapter 13, Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press; pp. 284–305.
- Pimentel, T. C., da Costa, W. K. A., Barão, C. E., Rosset, M. and Magnani, M., 2021. Vegan probiotic products: a modern tendency or the newest challenge in functional foods. Food Research International. 140: 110033. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foodres.2020.110033
- Priya, B.T.N., 2020. A role of prebiotics in food and health: a review. Journal of Critical Reviews. 7(7): 782–785. https://doi. org/10.31838/jcr.07.07.142
- Ranadheera, C.S., Vidanarachchi, J.K., Rocha, R.S., Cruz, A.G. and Ajlouni, S., 2017. Probiotic delivery through fermentation: dairy vs. non-dairy beverages. Fermentation. 3: 67. https://doi. org/10.3390/fermentation3040067
- Rocha, J.M. and Elias, M.N., 2016. Quality improvement of traditional dry fermented sausages based on innovative technological strategies. BAOJ Nutrition. 2(3): 016.
- Shorkryazdan, P., Jahromi, M.S., Liang, J.B. and Ho, Y.W., 2017. Probiotics: from isolation to application. Journal of the American College of Nutrition. 36(8): 666–676. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/07315724.2017.1337529

- Singla, V. and Chakkaravarthi, S., 2017. Applications of prebiotics in food Industry: a review. Food Science and Technology International. 23(8): 649–667. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1082013217721769
- Song, D., Ibrahim, S. and Hayek, S., 2012. Recent application of probiotics in food and agricultural science. In: Everlon Rigobelo, editor. Probiotics. Chapter 1, Intech Open Publisher.
- Subandi, S.T., Kartawidjajaputra, F., Silo, W., Yogiara, Y. and Suwanto, A., 2019. Tempeh consumption enhanced beneficial bacteria in the human gut. Food Research. 3(1): 57–63. https:// doi.org/10.26656/fr.2017.3(1).220
- Swain, M.R., Anandharaj, M., Ray, R.C. and Rani, R.P., 2014. Fermented fruits and vegetables of Asia: a potential source of probiotics. Hindawii Biotechnology Research International. 2014(250424): 19. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/250424
- Terpou, A., Papadaki, A., Lappa, I.K., Kachrimanidou, V., Bosnea, L.A. and Kopsahelis, N., 2019. Probiotics in food systems: significance and emerging strategies toward improved viability and delivery of enhanced beneficial value Nutrients. 11: 1591; https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11071591
- Thakur, A. and Joshi, V.K., 2017. Preparation of probiotic apple juice by lactic acid fermentation. International Journal of Food and Fermentation Technology. 7(1): 67–85. https://doi.org/10.5958/ 2277–9396.2017.00007.1
- Vasudha, S. and Mishra, H.N., 2013. Non-dairy probiotic beverages. International Food Research Journal. 20(1): 7–13.
- White, F.J. and Hekmat, S., 2018. Development of probiotic fruit juices using Lactobacillus rhamnosus GR-1 fortified with short chain and long chain inulin. Fermentation. 4(2): 27. https://doi. org/10.3390/fermenteation4020027
- Yoon, K.Y., Woodams, E.E. and Hang, Y.D., 2004. Probiotication of tomato juice by lactic acid bacteria. Journal of Microbiology. 42(4): 315–318.
- Yoon, K.Y., Woodams, E.E. and Hang, Y.D., 2006. Production of probiotic cabbage juice by lactic acid bacteria. Bioresource Technology. 97(12): 1427–1430. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2005. 06.018
- Younis, K., Ahmad, S. and Jahan, K., 2015. Health benefits and application of prebiotics in foods. Journal of Food Processing and Technology. 6(4): 433. https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7110. 1000433
- Zamfir, M., Angelescu, I.-R., Voaides, C., Cornea, C.-P., Boiu-Sicuia, O. and Grosu-Tudor, S.-S., 2022. Non-dairy fermented beverages produced with functional lactic acid bacteria. Microorganisms. 10: 2314. https://doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms10122314
- Zielińska, D., Kamińska, A. and Kołożyn–Krajewska, D., 2015. Development of Tofu production method with probiotic bacteria. Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology, and Food Science. 4(6): 485–490. https://doi.org/10.15414/jmbfs.2015.4.6. 485–490
- Žuntar, I., Petric, Z., Kova`cevi´c, D.B. and Putnik, P., 2020. Safety of probiotics: functional fruit beverages and nutraceuticals. Foods. 9(7): 947. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9070947