

Iraqi Journal of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering Vol.16 No.4 (December 2015) 1- 9 ISSN: 1997-4884



## Comparative Study of New Re-Ni-Mo/Al<sub>2</sub>o<sub>3</sub> and Conventional Hydrodesulphurization Catalyst

**Abdul Halim A. Karim Mohammed, Hussein K. Hussein and Tariq M. Naife** *Chemical Engineering Department – College of Engineering – University of Baghdad* 

#### Abstract

New types of hydrodesulfurization (HDS) catalyst Re-Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was prepared and tested separately with two prepared conventional HDS catalysts (Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Co-Mo// $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) by using a pilot plant hydrotreatment unit. Activities of three prepared hydrodesulfurization catalysts were examined in hydrodesulfurization (HDS) of atmospheric gas oil at different temperatures 275 to 350 °C and LHSV 1 to 4 h<sup>-1</sup>, the reactions conducted under constant pressure 40 bar and H<sub>2</sub>/HC ratio 500 ml/ml .Moreover, the hydrogenation of aromatic (HAD) in gas oil has been studied. HDS was much improved by adding promoter Re to the Ni-Mo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. The results showed that Re-Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> have more activity in desulfurization than Ni-Mo// $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Co-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts. The efficiency of hydrodesulfurization was markedly reduced over the Co -Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.Also the result showed that Ni-Mo// $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> have a minimum aromatic content 15.44 %.

**Key Words**: Hydrodesulfurization, Hydrogenation of aromatic, HDS catalyst, Physical Adsorption

#### Introduction

Sulfur removal from petroleum important derivatives is a very industrial process. Diesel fuel and gasoline must have much lower heteroatom content, and this is done by Hydrotreating process. The main aim of hydrotreating is to diminish air pollution emissions, to avoid poisoning of noble metals and to improve the fuel quality. Catalysts are use in a variety of applications from the production of consumer goods to the protection of the environment [1]. The reduction of diesel engine emissions is a rather complex issue with a number of possible solutions. One of these solutions is the improvement of diesel fuel quality, especially by lowering the sulfur and aromatics content and increasing the cetane number. Lower levels of aromatics will help to maximize the regeneration cycle of the exhaust gas treatment devices in addition to the reduction of PM emissions. The two-stage hydrotreating has the flexibility to cope with the simultaneous reduction in sulfur and in aromatics [2]. Extreme reductions in the sulfur content will have an enormous impact on the costs and technology for diesel fuel production.

Optimum design and efficient utilization of catalysts require a thorough understanding of the surface structure and surface chemistry of the active material. Utilization of more active catalysts for hydrodesulfurization (HDS) of liquid fuel would be the less costly and the most effective way for the refineries, because it would allow for avoiding modifications of plant installations [3]. Usually the HDS catalysts contain molybdenum sulfide promoted with cobalt or nickel and supported on a high surface area alumina, but it is difficult to prepare a kind of catalysts with high active metals content because of the limitation of support's porous structure. Currently, new catalysts appear for HDS reactions, which contain active components.

HDS catalysts possess high catalytic activity. but they have the disadvantage of high cost. Many investigations of the hydrotreatment of petroleum based feedstocks show that the current commercial catalysts based on molybdenum sulfide doped with Ni or Co do not have sufficient activity to meet today's refinery requirements determined by the environmental standards [4]. To attain compliance in hydrotreatment the future, new necessary catalysts are for the hydrodesulfurization (HDS) of feedstocks.

To improve the performance of the HDS catalyst, all steps in the preparation procedure should be considered. The key parameters are the choice of a precursor of the active species, support, promoter, synthesis and post-treatment of the synthesized catalyst [5].

In the last two decades, other transition metal sulfides have been investigated. The application of noble metal catalysts for deep HDS is limited by their sulfur resistance.

Therefore, those catalysts are normally used when most of the sulfur compounds and H<sub>2</sub>S have been removed from the process stream. A new concept of bifunctional catalysts has been proposed to increase the sulfur resistance of noble metal hydrotreating catalysts. It combines catalysts supports with bimodal pore size distribution (e.g. zeolites) and two types of active sites. The first type of sites, placed in large pores, is accessible for organosulfur compounds and is sensitive to sulfur inhibition. The second type of active sites, placed in small pores, is not accessible for large S-containing molecules and is resistant to poisoning by H2S [6]. Since hydrogen can easily access the sites located in small pores, it can be adsorbed dissociatively and transported within the pore system to regenerate the poisoned metal sites in the large pores.

However, the need of catalysts having better hydrogenation properties would increase in the future, due to the necessity for hydroprocessing of the heavy oil fractions of petroleum and even deeper HDS reactions [7]. Since sulfide Re has higher the hydrogenation activity than the Mo or W sulfides [8], this capability of the Re sulfide can greatly help in the development of a new generation of better hydrotreating catalysts, especially when the reaction mechanism requires or is predominantly through a prehydrogenation route, as it occurs in deep HDS and HDN reactions. The objective of this study is an attempt to provide a new HDS catalyst Re-Ni-Mo/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and compared the efficiency and activity with traditional catalysts.

## **Experimental Work**

### Feedstock

Iraqi atmospheric gas oil (supplied by Al-Dura Refinery -Baghdad) is used as a feedstock in the present investigation. Table 1 shows the specifications of Iraqi Gas Oil.

Table	1, Properties	of	atmospheric	gas
oil				

Specification	Value
Specific gravity at 15.6	0.8289
°C/15.6°C	
API gravity	37.6
Viscosity / 40 °C ,C.st	6.62
Sulfur content ,wt. %	1.402
Aromatic content ,wt. %	18.66
Flash point, °C	82
Pour point, °C	-9
Diesel index	63
Aniline point, °C	71.5
Cetane Number	57
Colour, ASTM	0.5

## Materials

#### **1-** Support

Alumina support ( $\gamma$ - Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) with spherical shape and an average diameter of 3mm was supplied by the FLUKA AG Company. The properties of  $\gamma$ - Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are tabulated in Table 2.

Table 2 Properties of  $/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Surface Area, m <sup>2</sup> /g	280
Pore Volume, cm <sup>3</sup> /g	0.365
Porosity	0.45
Bulk density, g/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.06
Crushing strength, Kg	18
Loss in attrition, wt. %	1.6

#### 2- Gases

#### Nitrogen

Nitrogen was supplied from Baghdad Company with purity 99.9 %.

## Hydrogen

Hydrogen was supplied from Baghdad Company with purity 99.9 %.

### **Catalyst Preparation**

## **1- Impregnation Apparatus**

The impregnation apparatus consists of a conical flask conducted with a separating funnel, vacuum pump, electric shaker and trip to absorb the moisture and gases for efficient deposition of metals oxides into the carrier, the flask fixed upon shaker to ensure good contacting between aqueous solution and alumina particles. The objective is impregnation of carrier with aqueous solution of metal salt which adjusted to concentration which gives desired metal loading.

## **2- Preparation of Co-Mo/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>** Catalyst

The Co-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalyst was prepared by evacuation 100 g of y- $Al_2O_3$  to remove the adsorbed gases and moisture, then this alumina calcined in oven at 538 °C for 16 hours. The catalyst was prepared by method impregnation [9]. An impregnation aqueous solution was prepared by dissolving 11 g of cobalt carbonate, 4 g of phosphoric acid [H<sub>3</sub>(PMo<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>).30H<sub>2</sub>O], and 38 g of phosphomolybdic acid in 75 cm<sup>3</sup> of water with shaking then this solution was poured in the conical flask of the impregnation apparatus containing 100 g of dried gamma alumina. The impregnation took place in an impregnation apparatus for 3 hours. The impregnated carrier was air dried at 120 °C for 16 hours and then calcined at 538 °C for 16 hours.

## **3- Preparation of Ni-Mo/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>** Catalyst

The Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was prepared at the same apparatus, conditions and quantities mentioned above except for cobalt carbonate which was subsisted by nickel carbonate.

## 4- Preparation of Re-Ni-Mo /γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalyst

Re-Ni-Mo  $/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was prepared by multistage technique. Thus, the first step, Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was prepared as mentioned above. In the second stage 22.78 g of Ni-Mo  $/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was impregnated with 25 cm<sup>3</sup> of an aqueous solution having 0.96 g NH<sub>4</sub>ReO<sub>4</sub> per liter solution. The impregnated material was air dried at120 °C for 16 hours and calcined at 538 °C for 16 hours [10].

## **Catalytic Activity Test**

Catalytic studies activity were carried out in a continuous hydrotreating pilot plant unit. The hydrotreating unit designed by (VINCI technologies). The unit (shown in fig. 1) consists mainly of vertical tubular stainless steel reactor (17.5 mm I.D., 25.5 mm O.D. and 300 mm length) electrically heated in a two block oven, temperature controller, dosing pump, gas meter and high pressure separator. Hydrogen gas was supplied to the unit from a hydrogen cylinder.



Fig 1 Schematic diagram of the hydrodesulfurization unit

50 g of catalyst (catalyst layer length 23 cm) was loaded into the mid-section of the reactor, while the upper and lower sections were packed with ceramic balls (ceramic layer length 3.5 cm). The unit was purged with nitrogen to ensure oxygen removal from the system, the pressure increased gradually up to 65 bars for 3h to check leaks, then pressure reduced to 10 bar and change nitrogen by hydrogen with 99.99% purity. The static hydrogen pressure increased up to 65 bars to check the leaks again. The hydrogen pressure reduced to 5 bars to prepare the unit for sulfiding process.

Pre-sulfiding was carried out at pressure 30 bars, temperature 310°C, and feed flow rate 1ml/min for 12h using gas oil with 1.402 wt. % sulfur content.

The hydrotreating of gas oil was carried out at 40 bar hydrogen pressure, 1 to 4  $h^{-1}$  LHSV, 275 to 350 °C reaction temperatures and hydrogen to hydrocarbon ratio 500 l/l. The liquid product was collected (after a stabilization period to attain a steady state, this time depends on operating conditions of sample) in a receiver from which samples were taken for analysis.

## **Results and Discussion**

## 1- Surface area and Pore volume

The specific surface area determination was carried out using the BET isotherm, nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms were measured on Thermo Finnegan type instrument. The specific surface area  $[S_A]$  which is the area in m<sup>2</sup> of one gram of solid is calculated as in equation.

$$S_A = \frac{Vm}{22400} \times a_m \times N \times 10^{-20} \dots$$
 (1)

Where Vm is the monolayer coverage,  $a_m$  is the area occupied by

one molecule of adsorbate in the monolayer which is  $0.162 \text{ nm}^2$  for nitrogen molecule, and N is Avogadro's constant,  $6.02 \times 10^{23}$  molecules / mol.

Results of surface area and pore volume are tabulated in Table 3.

Table 3, surface area and pore volume of prepared catalysts

1 1			
	Co-Mo/	Ni-Mo/	Re-Ni-Mo/
	$\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	$\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	$\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
surface area, m <sup>2</sup> /g	178.5	191.4	235.23
pore volume, cm <sup>3</sup> /g	0.34	0.38	0.38

The results of surface area and pore volume values for three prepared catalysts show that maximum surface area has for Re-Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, this is due to the high quantity of mesoporous prepared catalysts of [11]. Furthermore, a large specific surface area is preferable to providing large adsorption capacity, but the creation of a large internal surface area in a limited volume inevitably gives rise to large numbers of small sized pores between adsorption surfaces, high surface area usually has a high percentage of small pores, in general the use of Re leads to the development of a porous system. Co-Mo/y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst shows a minimum surface area and this may be due to blockage some pores in preparation steps [12], and this mean that a reduction in pore sites is occur and this lead to a reduction in the activity of catalyst. Pores that are larger than necessary, lend little to improving diffusion characteristics and as the pore diameters of the catalyst increase the surface area decreases (at constant pore volume). Activity, generally, decreases with decreasing of the surface area and loss in pore volume occurs in the smallest diameter pores first.

Highest activity retention is maintained if pore volume is concentrated in a very narrow range of pore diameters.

## 2- Pore Size Distribution

Figures 2, 3 and 4 show the pore size distribution for three prepared catalysts. The Co-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni-Mo  $/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts showed a number of pores toward macro size diameter, while Re-Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts exhibit only meso-pores after active metal impregnation. This is effect certainly on pore-size distribution change with a decrease in total pore volume.



Fig.2, Pore size distribution of prepared Co-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst



Fig.3, Pore size distribution of prepared Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst



Fig.4, Pore size distribution of prepared Re-Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst

## 3- Catalytic test

HDS activity of the three prepared catalysts was tested in hydrotreatment pilot plant.

# Effects of Temperature and LHSV on sulfur removal

Figures 5 to 7 show the effect of temperature and LHSV on sulfur removal on three prepared HDS catalysts. It can be observed from the figures that Re-Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> modified catalyst in general shows better sulfur removal than conventional catalysts (Co-Mo, Ni-Mo) at all the temperatures and LHSVs. This implies that the HDS activity of promoter's catalysts is improved the HDS activity, for example, at 325 °C and 2 h<sup>-1</sup>, the sulfur removal is 55.9 wt. % for Re promoted catalysts. while sulfur removal for (Co-Mo) and (Ni-Mo) are and 37.4 36.5 wt. % wt. % respectively, a decrease in LHSV from 2.5 to 1  $h^{-1}$  a better range for sulfur removal for all catalysts. Increasing the temperature generally leads to increase in hydrotreating conversion even at higher LHSV. This indicates that the rate of HDS is fast enough at higher temperature to mask the effect of increase in LHSV. The increasing of sulfur removal at high reaction temperature may be attributed to that the unreactive sulfur compounds which most properly belong to thiophene derivatives become activated enough to react with hydrogen .Thus, the upper temperature value is limited by the undesirable side reactions such as hydrocracking reactions which are expected to occur at high temperature. the LHSV increases As sulfur conversion slightly decreases which means that the film diffusion has no effect on the reaction kinetics. The decrease in LHSV means that lesser quantity of gas oil contacting the same quantity of catalyst per time, while increasing in LHSV provides for a greater quantity of gas oil through the reaction per unit of time [13].



Fig. 5, Effect of LHSV on the sulfur removal of hydrotreated product for Co-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al2O3



Fig. 6, Effect of LHSV on the sulfur removal of hydrotreated product for Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al2O3



Fig. 7, Effect of LHSV on the sulfur removal of hydrotreated product for Re-Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al2O3

## Effects of Temperature and LHSV on Aromatic Content

Hydrogenation of aromatics may occur simultaneously in HDS process. Figures 8 to 10 show the aromatic content of the hydrotreated product.

These figures indicate that the aromatic content decrease with increasing reaction temperature and decreasing of LHSV. The increase of reaction temperature leads to increase rate of hydrogenation of aromatic and decrease the equilibrium constant, as mentioned by Girgis [14], while the LHSV decreasing leads to increasing the contact time. Also, as temperature increases, the aromatic compounds are decomposed into smaller one which can more easily diffuse into the catalyst macro and mesopores and reach the inner active sites where the desulfurization reaction mainly occurs.

Figure 9 shows that Nickelmolybdenum catalysts have a higher hydrogenation activity than cobaltmolybdenum (figure 8), at the same operating conditions, or greater saturation of aromatic rings. This means that increasing in residence time, which leads to offer a plenty of contact time of feedstock, with catalyst inside the reactor and lead to give a higher reduction in aromatic content.



Fig. 8, Effect of temperature on aromatic content of atmospheric gas oil for Co Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst



Fig. 9, Effect of temperature on aromatic content of atmospheric gas oil for Ni Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst



Fig. 10, Effect of temperature on aromatic content of atmospheric gas oil for Re Ni Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst

Maximum aromatic reduction is achieved between (300–350 °C) because of the interrelation between thermodynamic equilibrium and reaction rates. For a given pressure, the optimum temperature is a function of the types of aromatic compounds in the feed and space velocity.

#### Conclusions

Hydrodesulfurization was improved when Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> promoted with Re metals and consequent increase in activity, also Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst is better for hydrogenation reactions than Co-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Re-Ni-Mo/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

#### References

- B.S. Clausen, H. Topsøe, F.E. Massoth, Hydrotreating catalysis, in: J.R. Anderson, I.M. Boudart (Eds.), Catalysis Science and Technology, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- 2- B.H.Cooper, B.B.L. Donnis, Appl. Catal. A:General 137 (1996) 203.
- 3- H. Topsøe, B.S. Clausen, F.E. Massoth, in, Catalysis: Science and Technology, 1996.
- 4- S. Eijsbouts, Mayo S W, Fujita K. Unsupported transition metal sulfide catalysts: From fundamentals to industrial application.Applied Catalysis: A, 2007, 322: 58-66.
- 5- H. Qabazard, F. Abuseedo, A. Stanislaus, M. Andari, M. Absihalabi, Fuel Sci. Technol.Int. 13 (1995) 1135.
- 6- J.G. Weissman, E.I. Ko, S. Kaytal, Appl. Catal. A 94 (1993) 45.
- 7- K.G. Knudsen, B.H. Cooper, H. Topsøe, Appl. Catal. A 189 (1999) 205.
- 8- J. Quartararo, S. Mignard, S. Kasztelan, J. Catal. 192 (2000) 307.
- 9- Chiyoda et al , "United States Patent", No.6063265, (2000).
- 10- N. Escalona et al, Applied Catalysis, Characterization and reactivity of  $Re(x)/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts

in hydrodesulfurization and hydrodenitrogenation of gas oil, effect of Re loading , 234 (2002) 45–54.

- 11- P.Steiner, Blekkan E.A., "Catalytic hydrodesulphurization of light gas oil a over Ni-Mo catalyst", Fuel Processing Technology,1-12, 79, 2002.
- 12- K..S.W.,Sing,S.J.,Gregg, Adsorption Surface Area and Porosity, Acadmic Press,Inc.,1982.
- 13- I. A. Van Parijs and Fromen G. F., Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev., Vol. 25, No. 3, (1986).
- 14- M.J. Girgis, , and Gates, B.C., Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 30, 2021 (1991).