International Journal of Analysis and Applications

# Some Properties of Generalized $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -Closed Sets

## Chawalit Boonpok, Montri Thongmoon\*

Mathematics and Applied Mathematics Research Unit, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mahasarakham University, Maha Sarakham, 44150, Thailand

\* Corresponding author: montri.t@msu.ac.th

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to introduce the concept of generalized  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed sets. Moreover, we investigate some characterizations of  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ - $\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces,  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -normal spaces and  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -regular spaces by utilizing generalized  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed sets.

# 1. Introduction

The concept of generalized closed sets was first introduced by Levine [7]. Moreover, Levine defined a separation axiom called  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  between  $T_0$  and  $T_1$ . Dontchev and Ganster [3] introduced the notion of  $T_{\frac{3}{4}}$ -spaces which are situated between  $T_1$  and  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  and showed that the digital line or the Khalimsky line [5] ( $\mathbb{Z}, \kappa$ ) lies between  $T_1$  and  $T_{\frac{3}{4}}$ . As a modification of generalized closed sets, Palaniappan and Rao [10] introduced and studied the notion of regular generalized closed sets. As the further modification of regular generalized closed sets, Noiri and Popa [9] introduced and investigated the concept of regular generalized  $\alpha$ -closed sets. Park et al. [11] obtained some characterizations of  $T_{\frac{3}{4}}$ spaces. Dungthaisong et al. [4] characterized  $\mu_{(m,n)}$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  spaces by utilizing the concept of  $\mu_{(m,n)}$ closed sets. Torton et al. [12] introduced and studied the notions of  $\mu_{(m,n)}$ -regular spaces and  $\mu_{(m,n)}$ normal spaces. Buadong et al. [1] introduced and investigated the notions of  $T_1$ -GTMS spaces and  $T_2$ -GTMS spaces. Caldas et al. [2] by considering the concepts of  $\alpha$ -open sets and  $\alpha$ -closed sets, introduced and investigated  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ -sets, ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed sets, ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open sets. In the present paper, we introduce the concept of generalized ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed sets. Furthermore, some properties of

Received: Jun. 13, 2023.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A05, 54D10.

*Key words and phrases.* generalized  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set;  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ - $\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space;  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -normal space;  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -regular space.

generalized  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed sets are discussed. In particular, several characterizations of  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ - $\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces,  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -normal spaces and  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -regular spaces are established.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The closure of A and the interior of A are denoted by Cl(A) and Int(A), respectively. A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -open [8] if  $A \subseteq Int(Cl(Int(A)))$ . The complement of an  $\alpha$ -open set is called  $\alpha$ -closed. The family of all  $\alpha$ -open sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\alpha(X, \tau)$ . A subset  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(A)$  [2] is defined as follows:

$$\Lambda_{\alpha}(A) = \cap \{ O \in \alpha(X, \tau) | A \subseteq O \}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** [2] For subsets A, B and  $A_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) of a topological space (X,  $\tau$ ), the following properties hold:

- (1)  $A \subseteq \Lambda_{\alpha}(A)$ .
- (2) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(A) \subseteq \Lambda_{\alpha}(B)$ .
- (3)  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(\Lambda_{\alpha}(A)) = \Lambda_{\alpha}(A).$
- (4)  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(\cap \{A_i | i \in I\}) \subseteq \cap \{\Lambda_{\alpha}(A_i) | i \in I\}.$
- (5)  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(\cup \{A_i | i \in I\}) = \cup \{\Lambda_{\alpha}(A_i) | i \in I\}.$

Recall that a subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be a  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ -set [2] if  $A = \Lambda_{\alpha}(A)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** [2] For subsets A and  $A_i(i \in I)$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties hold:

- (1)  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(A)$  is a  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ -set.
- (2) If A is  $\alpha$ -open, then A is a  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ -set.
- (3) If  $A_i$  is a  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ -set for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\cap_{i \in I} A_i$  is a  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ -set.
- (4) If  $A_i$  is a  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ -set for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\cup_{i \in I} A_i$  is a  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ -set.

A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed [2] if  $A = T \cap C$ , where T is a  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ -set and C is an  $\alpha$ -closed set. The complement of a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set is called  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open. The collection of all  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open (resp.  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed) sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$ (resp.  $\Lambda_{\alpha}C(X, \tau)$ ). Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -cluster point of A [2] if for every  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set U of X containing x we have  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . The set of all  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -cluster points of A is called the  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closure of A and is denoted by  $A^{(\Lambda, \alpha)}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** [2] Let A and B be subsets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . For the  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closure, the following properties hold:

- (1)  $A \subseteq A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  and  $[A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}]^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ .
- (2)  $A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = \cap \{F | A \subseteq F \text{ and } F \text{ is } (\Lambda, \alpha) \text{-closed} \}.$
- (3) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq B^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ .

- (4) A is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed if and only if  $A = A^{(\Lambda, \alpha)}$ .
- (5)  $A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.

**Definition 2.1.** [6] Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The union of all  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open sets of X contained in A is called the  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -interior of A and is denoted by  $A_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** [6] Let A and B be subsets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . For the  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -interior, the following properties hold:

- (1)  $A_{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq A$  and  $[A_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}]_{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = A_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ .
- (2) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A_{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq B_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ .
- (3) A is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open if and only if  $A_{(\Lambda, \alpha)} = A$ .
- (4)  $A_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open.
- (5)  $[X A]^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = X A_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$
- (6)  $[X A]_{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = X A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ .

#### 3. Generalized $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed sets

In this section, we introduce the notion of generalized ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed sets. Moreover, some properties of generalized ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed sets are discussed.

**Definition 3.1.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be generalized  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed (briefly g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed) if  $A^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \subseteq U$  and U is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . The complement of a generalized  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set is said to be generalized  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open (briefly g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open).

**Definition 3.2.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ -symmetric if for x and y in X,  $x \in \{y\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ implies  $y \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ -symmetric if and only if  $\{x\}$  is g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed for each  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $x \in \{y\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  but  $y \notin \{x\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . This implies that the complement of  $\{x\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  contains y. Therefore, the set  $\{y\}$  is a subset of the complement of  $\{x\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . This implies that  $\{y\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  is a subset of the complement of  $\{x\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . Now the complement of  $\{x\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  contains x which is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that  $\{x\} \subseteq V \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$ , but  $\{x\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  is not a subset of V. This means that  $\{x\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  and the complement of V are not disjoint. Let y belongs to their intersection. Now, we have  $x \in \{y\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  which is a subset of the complement of V and  $x \notin V$ . This is a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed if and only if  $A^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} - A$  contains no nonempty  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set.

*Proof.* Let *F* be a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed subset of  $A^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} - A$ . Now,  $A \subseteq X - F$  and since *A* is g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed, we have  $A^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \subseteq X - F$  or  $F \subseteq X - A^{(\Lambda, \alpha)}$ . Thus,  $F \subseteq A^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \cap [X - A^{(\Lambda, \alpha)}] = \emptyset$  and hence *F* is empty.

Conversely, suppose that  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open. If  $A^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \nsubseteq U$ , then  $A^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \cap (X - U)$  is a nonempty  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed subset of  $A^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} - A$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -frontier of A,  $\Lambda_{\alpha}Fr(A)$ , is defined as follows:  $\Lambda_{\alpha}Fr(A) = A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \cap [X - A]^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . If A is  $g_{-}(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed and

$$A \subseteq V \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau),$$

then  $\Lambda_{\alpha} Fr(V) \subseteq [X - A]_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}$ .

*Proof.* Let *A* be g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed and  $A \subseteq V \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$ . Then,  $A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq V$ . Suppose that  $x \in \Lambda_{\alpha}Fr(V)$ . Since  $V \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$ ,  $\Lambda_{\alpha}Fr(V) = V^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} - V$ . Therefore,  $x \notin V$  and  $x \notin A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . Thus,  $x \in [X - A]_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  and hence  $\Lambda_{\alpha}Fr(V) \subseteq [X - A]_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. For each  $x \in X$ , either  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed or g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is not  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed. Then,  $X - \{x\}$  is not  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open and the only  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set containing  $X - \{x\}$  is X itself. Thus,  $[X - \{x\}]^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \subseteq X$  and hence  $X - \{x\}$  is  $g - (\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed. Therefore,  $\{x\}$  is  $g - (\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then, A is g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open if and only if  $F \subseteq A_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  whenever  $F \subseteq A$  and F is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.

*Proof.* Suppose that A is g-( $\Lambda$ ,  $\alpha$ )-open. Let  $F \subseteq A$  and F be ( $\Lambda$ ,  $\alpha$ )-closed. Then, we have

$$X - A \subseteq X - F \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$$

and X - A is g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed. Thus,  $X - A_{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = [X - A]^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq X - F$  and hence  $F \subseteq A_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ .

Conversely, let  $X - A \subseteq U$  and  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$ . Then,  $X - U \subseteq A$  and X - U is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed. By the hypothesis,  $X - U \subseteq A_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  and hence  $[X - A]^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = X - A_{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq U$ . This shows that X - A is g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed. Thus, A is g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open.

**Theorem 3.6.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g_{-}(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed if and only if  $A \cap \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x \in A^{(\Lambda, \alpha)}$ .

*Proof.* Let *A* be a g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed set and suppose that there exists  $x \in A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  such that  $A \cap \{x\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $A \subseteq X - \{x\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  and so  $A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq X - \{x\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . Hence  $x \notin A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ , which is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that the condition of the theorem holds and let U be any  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set containing A. Let  $x \in A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . Then, by the hypothesis  $A \cap A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \neq \emptyset$ , so there exists  $y \in A \cap \{x\}^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  and so  $y \in A \subseteq U$ . Thus,  $\{x\} \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $x \in U$ , which implies that  $A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq U$ . This shows that A is g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.

**Definition 3.4.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be locally  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed if  $A = U \cap F$ , where  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  and F is a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set.

**Theorem 3.7.** For a subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) A is locally  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed;
- (2)  $A = U \cap A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  for some  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X,\tau)$ ;
- (3)  $A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} A$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed;
- (4)  $A \cup [X A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}] \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X,\tau);$
- (5)  $A \subseteq [A \cup [X A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}]]_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose that  $A = U \cap F$ , where  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  and F is a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set. Since  $A \subseteq F$ , we have  $A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq F^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = F$ . Since  $A \subseteq U$ ,  $A \subseteq U \cap A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq U \cap F = A$ . Thus,  $A = U \cap A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  for some  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Suppose that  $A = U \cap A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  for some  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X,\tau)$ . Then, we have

$$A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} - A = [X - U \cap A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}] \cap A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = (X - U) \cap A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$$

Since  $(X - U) \cap A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed,  $A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} - A$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.

- (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Since  $X [A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} A] = [X A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}] \cup A$  and by (3),  $A \cup [X A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}] \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X,\tau)$ .
- (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): By (4), we obtain  $A \subseteq A \cup [X A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}] = [A \cup [X A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}]]_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): We put  $U = [A \cup [X - A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}]]_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . Then,  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X,\tau)$  and

$$A = A \cap U \subseteq U \cap A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq [A \cup [X - A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}]]_{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \cap A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = A \cap A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = A.$$

Thus,  $A = U \cap A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ , where  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  and  $A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  is a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set. This shows that A is locally  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.8.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed if and only if A is locally  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed and g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.

*Proof.* Let A be  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed. Then, A is g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed. Since  $X \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  and  $A = X \cap A$ , A is locally  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.

Conversely, suppose that A is locally  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed and g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed. Since A is locally  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ closed, by Theorem 3.7,  $A \subseteq [A \cup [X - A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}]]_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . Since  $[A \cup [X - A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}]]_{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  and A is g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed,  $A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq [A \cup [X - A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}]]_{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq A \cup [X - A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}]$  and hence  $A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = A$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.3, A is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.

#### 4. Applications of generalized $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed sets

We begin this section by introducing the concept of  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ - $\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces.

**Definition 4.1.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ - $\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space if every g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set of X is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. For each  $x \in X$ , the singleton  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed or  $X - \{x\}$  is g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and the singleton  $\{x\}$  be not  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed. Then,  $X - \{x\}$  is not  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open and X is the only  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set which contains  $X - \{x\}$  and  $X - \{x\}$  is g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.

Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . A subset  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}(A)$  [6] is defined as follows:

$$\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(A) = \cap \{U \mid A \subseteq U, U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X,\tau)\}.$$

**Lemma 4.2.** [6] For subsets A, B of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties hold:

- (1)  $A \subseteq \Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(A)$ .
- (2) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(A) \subseteq \Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(B)$ .
- (3)  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}[\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(A)] = \Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(A).$
- (4) If A is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open,  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}(A) = A$ .

A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -set if  $A = \Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(A)$ . The family of all  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -sets of  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(X, \tau)$  (or simply  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)})$ .

**Definition 4.2.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a generalized  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -set (briefly  $g-\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -set) if  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(A) \subseteq F$  whenever  $A \subseteq F$  and F is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. For each  $x \in X$ , the singleton  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open or  $X - \{x\}$  is  $g - \Lambda_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}$ -set.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and the singleton  $\{x\}$  be not  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open. Then,  $X - \{x\}$  is not  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed and X is the only  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set which contains  $X - \{x\}$  and  $X - \{x\}$  is  $g - \Lambda_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}$ -set.

**Theorem 4.1.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.
- (2) For each  $x \in X$ , the singleton  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open or  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.
- (3) Every  $g \Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -set is a  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -set.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): By Lemma 4.1, for each  $x \in X$ , the singleton  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed or  $X - \{x\}$  is  $g_{-}(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\Lambda_{\alpha} - \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space, we have  $X - \{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed and hence  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open in the latter case. Thus, the singleton  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open or  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Suppose that there exists a g- $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -set A which is not a  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -set. Then, there exists  $x \in \Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(A)$  such that  $x \notin A$ . In case the singleton  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open,  $A \subseteq X - \{x\}$  and  $X - \{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed. Since A is a g- $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -set,  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(A) \subseteq X - \{x\}$ . This is a contradiction. In case the singleton  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed,  $A \subseteq X - \{x\}$  and  $X - \{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed. By Lemma 4.2,

$$\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(A) \subseteq \Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(X - \{x\}) = X - \{x\}.$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, every  $g - \Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -set is a  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -set.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is not a  $\Lambda_{\alpha} - T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space. There exists a g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set A which is not  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed. Since A is not  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed, there exists a point  $x \in A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  such that  $x \notin A$ . By Lemma 4.3, the singleton  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open or  $X - \{x\}$  is a g- $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -set. (a) In case  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open, since  $x \in A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ ,  $\{x\} \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $x \in A$ . This is a contradiction. (b) In case  $X - \{x\}$  is a  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -set, if  $\{x\}$  is not  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed,  $X - \{x\}$  is not  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open and  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}(X - \{x\}) = X$ . Thus,  $X - \{x\}$  is not a  $\Lambda_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ -set. This contradicts (3). If  $\{x\}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed,  $A \subseteq X - \{x\} \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$ and A is g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed. Thus,  $A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq X - \{x\}$ . This contradicts that  $x \in A^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . Therefore,  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ - $T_{\frac{1}{5}}$ -space.

**Definition 4.3.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -normal if for any pair of disjoint  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ closed sets F and H, there exist disjoint  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open sets U and V such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $H \subseteq V$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. If U is a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set, then  $U^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \cap A \subseteq [U \cap A]^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  for every subset A of X.

**Theorem 4.2.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -normal.
- (2) For every pair of  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open sets U and V whose union is X, there exist  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed sets F and H such that  $F \subseteq U$ ,  $H \subseteq V$  and  $F \cup H = X$ .
- (3) For every (Λ, α)-closed set F and every (Λ, α)-open set G containing F, there exists a (Λ, α)-open set U such that F ⊆ U ⊆ U<sup>(Λ,α)</sup> ⊆ G.
- (4) For every pair of disjoint (Λ, α)-closed sets F and H, there exist disjoint (Λ, α)-open sets U and V such that F ⊆ U and H ⊆ V and U<sup>(Λ,α)</sup> ∩ V<sup>(Λ,α)</sup> = Ø.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let *U* and *V* be a pair of  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open sets such that  $X = U \cup V$ . Then, X - U and X - V are disjoint  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed sets. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -normal, there exist disjoint  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open sets *G* and *W* such that  $X - U \subseteq G$  and  $X - V \subseteq W$ . Put F = X - G and H = X - W. Then, *F* and *H* are  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed sets such that  $F \subseteq U$ ,  $H \subseteq V$  and  $F \cup H = X$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : Let *F* be a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set and *G* be a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set containing *F*. Then, X - Fand *G* are  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open sets whose union is *X*. Then by (2), there exist  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed sets *M* and *N* such that  $M \subseteq X - F$ ,  $N \subseteq G$  and  $M \cup N = X$ . Then,  $F \subseteq X - M$ ,  $X - G \subseteq X - N$  and  $(X - M) \cap (X - N) = \emptyset$ . Put U = X - M and V = X - N. Then *U* and *V* are disjoint  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open

sets such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq X - V \subseteq G$ . As X - V is a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set, we have  $U^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \subseteq X - V$  and hence  $F \subseteq U \subseteq U^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \subseteq G$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let *F* and *H* be two disjoint  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed sets of *X*. Then,  $F \subseteq X - H$  and X - H is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open and hence there exists a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set *U* of *X* such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq U^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \subseteq X - H$ . Put  $V = X - U^{(\Lambda, \alpha)}$ . Then, *U* and *V* are disjoint  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open sets of *X* such that  $F \subseteq U$ ,  $H \subseteq V$  and  $U^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \cap V^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} = \emptyset$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): The proof is obvious.

**Theorem 4.3.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -normal.
- (2) For every pair of disjoint (Λ, α)-closed sets F and H of X, there exist disjoint g-(Λ, α)-open sets U and V of X such that F ⊆ U and H ⊆ V.
- (3) For each (Λ, α)-closed set F and each (Λ, α)-open set G containing F, there exists a g-(Λ, α)open set U such that F ⊆ U ⊆ U<sup>(Λ,α)</sup> ⊆ G.
- (4) For each  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set F and each g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set G containing F, there exists a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ open set U such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq U^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq G_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ .
- (5) For each  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set F and each g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set G containing F, there exists a g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set U such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq U^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \subseteq G_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}$ .
- (6) For each g-(Λ, α)-closed set F and each (Λ, α)-open set G containing F, there exists a (Λ, α)-open set U such that F<sup>(Λ,α)</sup> ⊆ U ⊆ U<sup>(Λ,α)</sup> ⊆ G.
- (7) For each  $g_{-}(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set F and each  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set G containing F, there exists a  $g_{-}(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set U such that  $F^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \subseteq U \subseteq U^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \subseteq G$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): The proof is obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let *F* be a ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed set and *G* be a ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open set containing *F*. Then, we have *F* and *X* - *G* are two disjoint ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed sets. Hence by (2), there exist disjoint g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open sets *U* and *V* of *X* such that *F*  $\subseteq$  *U* and *X* - *G*  $\subseteq$  *V*. Since *V* is g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open and *X* - *G* is ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed, by Theorem 3.5, *X* - *G*  $\subseteq$  *V*( $\Lambda, \alpha$ ). Thus,  $[X - V]^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} = X - V_{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \subseteq G$  and hence *F*  $\subseteq$  *U*  $\subseteq$  *U*( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )  $\subseteq$  *G*.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let *F* and *H* be two disjoint  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed sets of *X*. Then, *F* is a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set and *X* - *H* is a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set containing *F*. Thus by (3), there exists a g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set *U* such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq U^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq X - H$ . By Theorem 3.5,  $F \subseteq U_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ ,  $H \subseteq X - U^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ , where  $U_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  and  $X - U^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  are two disjoint  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open sets.

- $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$  and  $(5) \Rightarrow (2)$ : The proofs are obvious.
- $(6) \Rightarrow (7)$  and  $(7) \Rightarrow (3)$ : The proofs are obvious.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): Let *F* be a ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed set and *G* be a g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open set containing *F*. Since *G* is g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open and *F* is ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed, by Theorem 3.5, *F*  $\subseteq$  *G*<sub>( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )</sub> and by (3), there exists a g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open set *U* such that *F*  $\subseteq$  *U*  $\subseteq$  *U*<sup>( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )</sup>  $\subseteq$  *G*<sub>( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )</sub>.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6): Let *F* be a g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed set and *G* be a ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open set containing *F*. Then,  $F^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq G$ . Since *G* is g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open, by (6), there exists a g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open set *U* such that  $F^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq U \subseteq U^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq G$ . Since *U* is g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open and  $F^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq U$ , by Theorem 3.5,  $F^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq U_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . Put  $V = U_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . Then, *V* is ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open and  $F^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq V \subseteq V^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = [U_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}]^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq U^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq G$ .

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let *F* be a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set and *G* be a g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set containing *F*. Then by Theorem 3.5,  $F^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = F \subseteq G_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . Since *F* is g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed and  $G_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open, by (6), there exists a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set *U* such that  $F^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = F \subseteq U \subseteq U^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq G_{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ .

**Definition 4.4.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -regular if for each  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set F of X not containing x, there exist disjoint  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -regular.
- (2) For each  $x \in X$  and each  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  with  $x \in U$ , there exists  $V \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in V \subset V^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subset U$ .
- (3) For each  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set F of X,  $\cap \{V^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \mid F \subseteq V \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)\} = F$ .
- (4) For each subset A of X and each  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  with  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $V \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$ such that  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and  $V^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \subseteq U$ .
- (5) For each nonempty subset A of X and each  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set F of X with  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ , there exist  $V, W \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$ ,  $F \subseteq W$  and  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ .
- (6) For each  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set F of X and  $x \notin F$ , there exist  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  and a g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set V such that  $x \in U$ ,  $F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .
- (7) For each subset A of X and each  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -closed set F with  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ , there exist  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$ and a g- $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set V such that  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ,  $F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $G \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  and  $x \notin X - G$ . Then, there exist disjoint  $U, V \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  such that  $X - G \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ . Thus,  $V \subseteq X - U$  and so  $x \in V \subseteq V^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq X - U \subseteq G$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $X - F \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  with  $x \in X - F$ . Then by (2), there exists  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$ such that  $x \in U \subseteq U^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq X - F$ . Thus,  $F \subseteq X - U^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = V \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  and hence  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Then, we have  $x \notin V^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . This shows that  $F \supseteq \cap \{V^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \mid F \subseteq V \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)\}$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let *A* be a subset of *X* and  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in A \cap U$ . Then,  $x \notin X - U$ . Hence by (3), there exists  $W \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  such that  $X - U \subseteq W$  and  $x \notin W^{(\Lambda,\alpha)}$ . Put  $V = X - W^{(\Lambda,b)}$  which is a  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -open set containing *x* and  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Now,  $V \subseteq X - W$  and so  $V^{(\Lambda,\alpha)} \subseteq X - W \subseteq U$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): Let *A* be a nonempty subset of *X* and *F* be a ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed set such that  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then,  $X - F \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  with  $A \cap (X - F) \neq \emptyset$  and hence by (4), there exists  $V \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and  $V^{(\Lambda, \alpha)} \subseteq X - F$ . If we put  $W = X - V^{(\Lambda, \alpha)}$ , then  $F \subseteq W$  and  $W \cap V = \emptyset$ . (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let *F* be a ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed set not containing *x*. Then,  $F \cap \{x\} = \emptyset$ . Thus by (5), there exist  $V, W \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in V, F \subseteq W$  and  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ .

 $(1) \Rightarrow (6)$ : The proof is obvious.

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7): Let *A* be a subset of *X* and *F* be a ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed set such that  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then, for  $x \in A, x \notin F$  and by (6), there exist  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  and a g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open set *V* such that  $x \in U$ ,  $F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ,  $F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let *F* be a ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-closed set such that  $x \notin F$ . Since  $\{x\} \cap F = \emptyset$ , by (7), there exist  $U \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  and a g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open set *W* such that  $x \in U$ ,  $F \subseteq W$  and  $U \cap W = \emptyset$ . Since *W* is g-( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-open, by Theorem 3.5, we have  $F \subseteq W_{(\Lambda,\alpha)} = V \in \Lambda_{\alpha}O(X, \tau)$  and hence  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau)$  is ( $\Lambda, \alpha$ )-regular.

**Acknowledgements:** This research project was financially supported by Mahasarakham University. **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### References

- S. Buadong, C. Viriyapong, C. Boonpok, On Generalized Topology and Minimal Structure Spaces, Int. J. Math. Anal. 5 (2011), 1507–1516.
- M. Caldas, D. N. Georgiou, S. Jafari, Study of (Λ, α)-Closed Sets and the Related Notions in Topological Spaces, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2), 30 (2007), 23–36.
- [3] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster, On  $\delta$ -Generalized Closed Sets and  $T_{\frac{3}{4}}$ -Spaces, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A Math. 17 (1996), 15–31.
- [4] W. Dungthaisong, C. Boonpok, C. Viriyapong, Generalized Closed Sets in Bigeneralized Topological Spaces, Int. J. Math. Anal. 5 (2011), 1175–1184.
- [5] E. Khalimsky, R. Kopperman, P. R. Meyer, Computer Graphics and Connected Topologies on Finite Ordered Sets, Topol. Appl. 36 (1990), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-8641(90)90031-v.
- [6] J. Khampakdee, C. Boonpok, Some Properties of  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ -Open Sets, WSEAS Trans. Math. 22 (2023), 13–31.
- [7] N. Levine, Generalized Closed Sets in Topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), 19 (1970), 89-96.
- [8] O. Njåstad, On Some Classes of Nearly Open Sets, Pac. J. Math. 15 (1965), 961–970. https://doi.org/10. 2140/pjm.1965.15.961.
- [9] T. Noiri, V. Popa, A Note on Modifications Of rg-Closed Sets in Topological Spaces, Cubo. 15 (2013), 65–70. https://doi.org/10.4067/s0719-06462013000200006.
- [10] N. Palaniappan, K. C. Rao, Regular Generalized Closed Sets, Kyungpook Math. J. 33 (1993), 211–219.
- J.H. Park, D.S. Song, R. Saadati, On Generalized δ-Semiclosed Sets in Topological Spaces, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 33 (2007), 1329–1338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2006.01.086.
- [12] P. Torton, C. Viriyapong, C. Boonpok, Some Separation Axioms in Bigeneralized Topological Spaces, Int. J. Math. Anal. 6 (2012), 2789–2796.