International Journal of Analysis and Applications

# Bi-ideals and Weak Bi-ideals of Near Left Almost Rings

### Thiti Gaketem\*

Fuzzy Algebras and Decision-Making Problems Research Unit, Department of Mathematics School of Science, University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand

\* Corresponding author: thiti.up.ac.th

Abstract. In this paper, we define bi-ideals and weak bi-ideals of nLA-ring. We investigate the properties of bi-ideals and weak bi-ideals of nLA-ring.

## 1. Introduction

M.A. Kazim and MD. Naseeruddin defined LA-semigroup as the following; a groupoid S is called a left almost semigroup, abbreviated as LA-semigroup if

 $(ab)c = (cb)a, \quad \forall a, b, c \in S$ 

M.A. Kazim and MD. Naseeruddin [1, Proposition 2.1] asserted that, in every LA-semigroups G a *medial law* hold

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d) = (a \cdot c) \cdot (b \cdot d), \quad \forall a, b, c, d \in G.$$

Q. Mushtaq and M. Khan [3, p.322] asserted that, in every LA-semigroups G with left identity

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d) = (d \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot a), \quad \forall a, b, c, d \in G.$$

Further M. Khan, Faisal, and V. Amjid [2], asserted that, if a LA-semigroup G with left identity the following law holds

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = b \cdot (a \cdot c), \quad \forall a, b, c \in G.$$

M. Sarwar (Kamran) [5] defined LA-group as the following; a groupoid G is called a left almost group, abbreviated as LA-group, if (i) there exists  $e \in G$  such that ea = a for all  $a \in G$ , (ii) for every  $a \in G$  there exists  $a' \in G$  such that, a'a = e, (iii) (ab)c = (cb)a for every  $a, b, c \in G$ .

Received: Mar. 2, 2023.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 16Y30.

Key words and phrases. nLA-ring; bi-ideal; weak bi-ideal.

Let  $\langle G, \cdot \rangle$  be an LA-group and S be a non-empty subset of G and S is itself and LA-group under the binary operation induced by G, the S is called an LA-subgroup of G, then S is called an LA-subgroup of  $\langle G, \cdot \rangle$ .

S.M. Yusuf in [7, p.211] introduces the concept of a left almost ring (LA-ring). That is, a nonempty set R with two binary operations "+" and " $\cdot$ " is called a left almost ring, if  $\langle R, + \rangle$  is an LA-group,  $\langle R, \cdot \rangle$  is an LA-semigroup and distributive laws of " $\cdot$ " over "+" holds. T. Shah and I. Rehman [7, p.211] asserted that a commutative ring  $\langle R, +, \cdot \rangle$ , we can always obtain an LA-ring  $\langle R, \oplus, \cdot \rangle$  by defining, for  $a, b, c \in R, a \oplus b = b - a$  and  $a \cdot b$  is same as in the ring. We can not assume the addition to be commutative in an LA-ring. An LA-ring  $\langle R, +, \cdot \rangle$  is said to be LA-integral domain if  $a \cdot b = 0$ ,  $a, b \in R$ , then a = 0 or b = 0. Let  $\langle R, +, \cdot \rangle$  be an LA-ring and S be a non-empty subset of R and S is itself and LA-ring under the binary operation induced by R, the S is called an LA-subring of R, then S is called an LA-subring of  $\langle R, +, \cdot \rangle$ . If S is an LA-subring of an LA-ring  $\langle R, +, \cdot \rangle$ , then S is called a left ideal of R if  $RS \subseteq S$ . Right and two-sided ideals are defined in the usual manner.

By [4] a near-ring is a non-empty set N together with two binary operations "+" and " $\cdot$ " such that  $\langle N, + \rangle$  is a group (not necessarily abelian),  $\langle N, \cdot \rangle$  is a semigroup and one sided distributive (left or right) of " $\cdot$ " over "+" holds.

By [8] If a subgroup B of  $\langle N, + \rangle$  is said to be a bi-ideal of N if  $BNB \cap (BN) * B \subseteq B$ . If N has a zero symmetric near-ring a subgroup B of  $\langle N, + \rangle$  is a bi-ideal if and only if  $BNB \subseteq B$ .

By [9] A subgroup B of  $\langle N, + \rangle$  is said to be a weak bi-ideal of N if  $B^3 \subseteq B$ . In this paper we will define bi-ideal of near-ring has a zero symmetric.

#### 2. Near Left Almost Rings

T. Shah, F. Rehman and M. Raees [6, pp.1103-1111] introduces the concept of a near left almost ring (nLA-ring).

**Definition 2.1.** [6]. A non-empty set N with two binary operation "+" and " $\cdot$ " is called a near left almost ring (or simply an nLA-ring) if and only if

- (1)  $\langle N, + \rangle$  is an LA-group.
- (2)  $\langle N, \cdot \rangle$  is an LA-semigroup.
- (3) Left distributive property of  $\cdot$  over + holds, that is  $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$  for all  $a, b, c \in N$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [6]. An nLA-ring  $\langle N, + \rangle$  with left identity 1, such that  $1 \cdot a = a$  for all  $a \in N$ , is called an nLA-ring with left identity.

**Definition 2.3.** [6]. A non-empty subset S of an nLA-ring N is said to be an nLA-subring if and only if S is itself an nLA-ring under the same binary operations as in N.

**Definition 2.4.** [6]. An nLA-subring I of an nLA-ring N is called a left ideal of N if  $NI \subseteq I$ , and I is called a right ideal if for all  $n, m \in N$  and  $i \in I$  such that  $(i + n)m - nm \in I$ , and is called two sided ideal or simply ideal if it is both left and right ideal.

**Definition 2.5.** [6]. Let  $\langle N, +, \cdot \rangle$  be an nLA-ring. A non-zero element a of N is called a left zero divisor if there exists  $0 \neq b \in N$  such that  $a \cdot b = 0$ . Similarly a is a right zero divisor if  $b \cdot a = 0$ . If a is both a left and a right zero divisor, then a is called a zero divisor.

**Definition 2.6.** [6]. An nLA-ring  $\langle D, +, \cdot \rangle$  with left identity 1, is called an nLA-ring integral domain if it has no left zero divisor.

**Definition 2.7.** [6]. An nLA-ring  $\langle F, +, \cdot \rangle$  with left identity 1, is called a near almost field (*n*-almost field) if and only if each non-zero element of F has inverse under " $\cdot$ "

3. Bi-ideals and Weak Bi-ideals of Near Left Almost Rings

Next we defines of a bi-ideals and weak bi-ideals in nLA-ring is defines the same as a bi-ideal and weak bi-ideal in near-ring in [8] and [9].

**Definition 3.1.** If a LA-subgroup B of  $\langle N, + \rangle$  is said to be a bi-ideal of N if  $(BN)B \cap (BN) * B \subseteq B$ . If N has a zero symmetric nLA-ring a LA-subgroup B of  $\langle N, + \rangle$  is a bi-ideal if and only if  $(BN)B \subseteq B$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let N be a zero symmetric nLA-ring. An LA-subgroup B of N is a bi-ideal if and only if  $(BN)B \subseteq B$ .

*Proof.* For an LA-subgroup N of (N, +) if  $(BN)B \subseteq B$  then B is a bi-ideal of N.

Conversely if B is a bi-ideal, we have  $(BN)B\cap(BN)*B\subseteq B$ . Since N is a zero symmetric nLA-ring,  $NB\subseteq N*B$ , we get

$$(BN)B = (BN)B \cap (BN)B \subseteq (BN)B \cap (BN) * B \subseteq B.$$

Thus  $(BN)B \subseteq B$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let N be an nLA-ring. An LA-subgroup B of  $\langle N, + \rangle$  is a bi-ideal if  $(BN)B \subseteq B$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** If *B* be a bi-ideal of a nLA-ring *N* and *S* is an nLA-subring of *N*. Then  $B \cap S$  is a bi-ideal of *S*.

*Proof.* Since *B* is a bi-ideal of *N* we have  $(BN)B \subseteq B$ . Assume that  $C := B \cap S$ . Then  $(CS)C \subseteq (SS)S \subseteq S$ , since *S* is a nLA-subring of *N* and  $C \subseteq S$ .

On the other hand  $(CS)C \subseteq (BS)B \subseteq (BN)B \subseteq B$ . Hence  $(CS)C \subseteq B \cap S = C$ . Therefore C is a bi-ideal of S.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let N be an nLA-ring and A, B be bi-ideals of an nLA-ring N. Then  $A \cap B$  is a bi-ideal of N.

*Proof.* Since *A*, *B* is bi-ideals of an nLA-ring *N*, we have  $A \cap B$  is an LA-subgroup  $\langle N, + \rangle$ . Thus  $[(A \cap B)N](A \cap B) \subseteq (AN)(A \cap B) = [(A \cap B)N]A \subseteq (AN)A \subseteq A$  and  $[(A \cap B)N](A \cap B) \subseteq (BN)(A \cap B) = [(A \cap B)N]B \subseteq (BN)B \subseteq B$ . It following that  $A \cap B$  is a bi-ideal of *N*.

**Theorem 3.3.** The set of all bi-ideal of nLA-ring.

*Proof.* Let  $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a set of bi-ideal in N and  $B := \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$ . Then  $(BN)B \subseteq (\bigcap_{i \in I} B_iN) \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i \subseteq B_i$  for every  $i \in I$ . Thus B is a bi-ideal of N.

**Definition 3.3.** Let N be an nLA-ring. An element d of N is called distributive if (n+n')d = nd + n'd for all  $n, n' \in N$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let N be an nLA-ring. If B is a bi-ideal of N then Bn and n'B are bi-ideal of N where  $n, n' \in N$  and n' is a distributive element in N.

*Proof.* Since B is a bi-ideal we have Bn and n'B are an LA-subgroup  $\langle N, + \rangle$ . Thus

 $((Bn)N)(Bn) \subseteq (BN)(Bn) = (BN)Bn \subseteq Bn.$ 

Hence Bn is a bi-ideal of N.

Again

$$((n'B)N)(n'B) \subseteq ((n'B)N)B = (n'BN)B \subseteq n'B$$

Thus n'B are bi-ideal of N.

**Corollary 3.1.** If *B* is a bi-ideal of nLA-ring. For  $b, c \in B$ , if *b* is a distributive element in *N*, then *bBc* is a bi-ideal of *N*.

*Proof.* Let *B* be a bi-ideal of nLA-ring and *b* is a distributive element in *N*. Then b(n+n') = bn + dn' for all  $n, n' \in N$ . Since *B* is a bi-ideal we have bBc is an LA-subgroup  $\langle N, + \rangle$  then  $((bBc)N)(bBc) \subseteq (BN)B \subseteq B$ .

**Definition 3.4.** An nLA-ring N is said to be B-simple if it has no proper bi-ideals.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let N be an nLA-ring with more than one element. If N is a near almost field. Then N is a B-simple.

*Proof.* Let N be a near almost field then  $\{0\}$  and N are the only bi-ideals of N. For if  $0 \neq B$  is a bi-ideal of N, then for  $0 \neq b \in B$  we get N = Nb and N = bN.

Now  $N = N^2 = (bN)(Nb) \subseteq bNb \subseteq B$ , since B is a bi-ideal of N. Then N = B. Thus N is a B-simple.

The following we defined weak bi-ideal and study properties it.

**Definition 3.5.** An LA-subgroup B of  $\langle N, + \rangle$  is said to be a weak bi-ideal of N if  $B^3 \subseteq B$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Every bi-ideal of an nLA-ring is a weak bi-ideal.

*Proof.* Since  $B^3 = (BB)B \subseteq (BN)B \subseteq B$  we have every bi-ideal is a weak bi-ideal.

**Theorem 3.7.** If *B* is a weak bi-ideal of a nLA-ring *N* and *S* is a nLA-subring of *N*. Then  $B \cap S$  is a weak bi-ideal of *N*.

*Proof.* Assume that  $C := B \cap S$ . Then

$$C^{3} = ((B \cap S)(B \cap S))(B \cap S)$$
  
=  $((B \cap S)(B \cap S))B \cap ((B \cap S)(B \cap S))S$   
 $\subseteq (BB)B \cap SSS$   
=  $B^{3} \cap SSS$   
 $\subseteq B^{3} \cap SS$   
 $\subseteq B^{3} \cap S$   
 $\subseteq B \cap S$   
=  $C$ .

Thus  $C^3 \subseteq C$ . Hence C is a weak bi-ideal of N.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let N be an nLA-ring. If B is a weak bi-ideal of N then Bn and n'B are bi-ideal of N where  $n, n' \in N$  and n' is a distributive element in N

*Proof.* Since B is a weak bi-ideal we have Bn and n'B are an LA-subgroup  $\langle N, + \rangle$ . Thus

$$(Bn)^3 = (BnBn)Bn \subseteq (BB)Bn \subseteq B^3n = Bn.$$

Hence Bn is a weak bi-ideal of N.

Again

$$(n'B)^3 = (n'Bn'B)n'B \subseteq (n'BB)B = n'B^3 \subseteq n'B.$$

Thus n'B is a weak bi-ideal of N.

**Corollary 3.2.** If *B* is a weak bi-ideal of nLA-ring. For  $b, c \in B$ , if *b* is a distributive element in *N*, then *bBc* is a weak bi-ideal of *N*.

**Acknowledgements:** This research project was supported by the thailand science research and innovation fund and the Department of Mathematics, School of Science, University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

5

### References

- M.A. Kazim, Md. Naseeruddin, On almost semigroup, Portugaliae Math. 36 (1977), 41-47. http://eudml.org/ doc/115301.
- [2] M. Khan, Faisal, V. Amjid, On some classes of Abel-Grassmann's groupoids, (2010). http://arxiv.org/abs/ 1010.5965.
- [3] Q. Mushtaq, M. Khan, M-system in LA-semigroups, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 33 (2009), 321-327.
- [4] G. Pilz, Near rings, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [5] M. Sarwar (Kamran), Conditions for LA-semigroup to resemble associative structures, PhD thesis, Quaid-i-Azam University, 1993.
- [6] T. Shah, F. ur Rehman, M. Raees, On near left almost rings, Int. Math. Forum, 6 (2011), 1103-1111.
- [7] T. Shah, I. Rehman, On LA-rings of finitely nonzero function, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci. 5 (2010), 209-222.
- [8] T.T. Chelvam, N. Ganesan, On bi-ideal of near-ring, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 18 (1987), 1002-1005.
- [9] Y.U. Cho, T.T. Chelvam, S. Jayalakshmi, Weak bi-ideal of near-ring, J. Korea Soc. Math. 14 (2007), 153-159.