International Journal of Analysis and Applications

Quasi-Ideals and Bi-Ideals of Near Left Almost Rings

Thiti Gaketem, Tanaphong Prommai*

Fuzzy Algebras and Decision-Making Problems Research Unit, Department of Mathematics School of Science, University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand

* Corresponding author: tanaphong.pr@up.ac.th

Abstract. In this paper, we define quasi-ideal, bi-ideal, and weak bi-ideal of nLA-ring, and investigate it properties.

1. Introduction

M.A. Kazim and MD. Naseeruddin defined LA-semigroup as the following; a groupoid S is called a left almost semigroup, abbreviated as LA-semigroup if

$$(ab)c = (cb)a, \quad \forall a, b, c \in S$$

M.A. Kazim and MD. Naseeruddin [2] asserted that, in every LA-semigroups G a medial law hold

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d) = (a \cdot c) \cdot (b \cdot d), \quad \forall a, b, c, d \in G.$$

Q. Mushtaq and M. Khan [4] asserted that, in every LA-semigroups G with left identity

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d) = (d \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot a), \quad \forall a, b, c, d \in G.$$

Further M. Khan, Faisal, and V. Amjid [3], asserted that, if an LA-semigroup G with left identity the following law holds

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = b \cdot (a \cdot c), \quad \forall a, b, c \in G.$$

M. Sarwar (Kamran) [6] defined LA-group as the following; a groupoid G is called a left almost group, abbreviated as LA-group, if (i) there exists $e \in G$ such that ea = a for all $a \in G$, (ii) for every $a \in G$ there exists $a' \in G$ such that, a'a = e, (iii) (ab)c = (cb)a for every $a, b, c \in G$.

Received: Jan. 30, 2023.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 16Y30.

Key words and phrases. nLA-ring; quasi-ideal bi-ideal; weak bi-ideal.

A non-empty subset A of an LA-group G is called an LA-subgroup of G if A is itself an LA-group under the same operation as defined in G.

S.M. Yusuf in [8] introduced the concept of a left almost ring (LA-ring). That is, a non-empty set R with two binary operations "+" and "·" is called a left almost ring, if $\langle R, + \rangle$ is an LA-group, $\langle R, \cdot \rangle$ is an LA-semigroup and distributive laws of "·" over "+" holds. T. Shah and I. Rehman [8] asserted that a commutative ring $\langle R, +, \cdot \rangle$, we can always obtain an LA-ring $\langle R, \oplus, \cdot \rangle$ by defining, for $a, b, c \in R$, $a \oplus b = b - a$ and $a \cdot b$ is same as in the ring. We can not assume the addition to be commutative in an LA-ring. An LA-ring $\langle R, +, \cdot \rangle$ is said to be an LA-integral domain if for all $a, b \in R$, with $a \cdot b = 0$, then a = 0 or b = 0. Let $\langle R, +, \cdot \rangle$ be an LA-ring and S be a non-empty subset of R and S is itself and LA-ring under the binary operation induced by R, then S is called an LA-subring of $\langle R, +, \cdot \rangle$. If S is an LA-subring of an LA-ring $\langle R, +, \cdot \rangle$, then S is called a left ideal of R if $RS \subseteq S$. Right and two-sided ideals are defined in the usual manner.

By [5] a near-ring is a non-empty set N together with two binary operations "+" and " \cdot " such that $\langle N, + \rangle$ is a group (not necessarily abelian), $\langle N, \cdot \rangle$ is a semigroup and one sided distributive (left or right) of " \cdot " over "+" holds.

By [1] If a subgroup Q of $\langle N, + \rangle$ has the property $QN \cap NQ \subseteq Q$, then it is called a quasi-ideal of N.

By [9] If a subgroup B of $\langle N, + \rangle$ is said to be a bi-ideal of N if $BNB \cap (BN) * B \subseteq B$. If N has a zero symmetric near-ring a subgroup B of $\langle N, + \rangle$ is a bi-ideal if and only if $BNB \subseteq B$.

By [10] a subgroup B of $\langle N, + \rangle$ is said to be a weak bi-ideal of N if $B^3 \subseteq B$. In this paper we will define bi-ideal of near-ring has a zero symmetric.

2. Near Left Almost Rings

T. Shah, F. Rehman and M. Raees [7] introduces the concept of a near left almost ring (nLA-ring).

Definition 2.1. [7]. A non-empty set N with two binary operation "+" and " \cdot " is called a near left almost ring (or simply an nLA-ring) if and only if

- (1) $\langle N, + \rangle$ is an LA-group.
- (2) $\langle N, \cdot \rangle$ is an LA-semigroup.
- (3) Left distributive property of \cdot over + holds, that is $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ for all $a, b, c \in N$.

Definition 2.2. [7]. An nLA-ring $\langle N, + \rangle$ with left identity 1, such that $1 \cdot a = a$ for all $a \in N$, is called an nLA-ring with left identity.

Definition 2.3. [7]. A non-empty subset *S* of an nLA-ring *N* is said to be an nLA-subring if and only if *S* is itself an nLA-ring under the same binary operations as in *N*.

Definition 2.4. [7]. An nLA-subring I of an nLA-ring N is called a left ideal of N if $NI \subseteq I$, and I is called a right ideal if for all $n, m \in N$ and $i \in I$ such that $(i + n)m - nm \in I$, and is called two sided ideal or simply ideal if it is both left and right ideal.

Definition 2.5. [7]. Let $\langle N, +, \cdot \rangle$ be an nLA-ring. A non-zero element *a* of *N* is called a left zero divisor if there exists $0 \neq b \in N$ such that $a \cdot b = 0$. Similarly *a* is a right zero divisor if $b \cdot a = 0$. If *a* is both a left and a right zero divisor, then *a* is called a zero divisor.

Definition 2.6. [7]. An nLA-ring $\langle D, +, \cdot \rangle$ with left identity 1, is called an nLA-ring integral domain if it has no left zero divisor.

Definition 2.7. [7]. An nLA-ring $\langle F, +, \cdot \rangle$ with left identity 1, is called a near almost field (n-almost field) if and only if each non-zero element of F has inverse under "·"

3. Quasi-ideals of Near Left Almost rings

Definition 3.1. If an LA-subgroup Q of $\langle N, + \rangle$ has the property $QN \cap NQ \subseteq Q$, then it is called a quasi-ideal of N.

Lemma 3.1. Let N be a nLA-ring and Q_1, Q_2 are quasi-ideals of N. Then $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is a quasi-ideal of N.

Proof. Since Q_1, Q_2 are LA-subgroups of $\langle N, + \rangle$ we have $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is a LA-subgroup of $\langle N, + \rangle$. We must show that $(Q_1 \cap Q_2)N \cap N(Q_1 \cap Q_2) \subseteq Q_1 \cap Q_2$. Then

$$(Q_1 \cap Q_2)N \cap N(Q_1 \cap Q_2) \subseteq Q_1N \cap Q_2N \cap NQ_1 \cap NQ_2$$
$$= (Q_1N \cap NQ_1) \cap (Q_2N \cap NQ_2)$$
$$\subseteq Q_1 \cap Q_2.$$

Thus $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is a quasi-ideal of N.

Theorem 3.1. Each quasi-ideal of an nLA-ring N is an nLA-subring.

Proof. Let Q be a quasi-ideal an nLA-ring N. Then Q is a nLA-subring of $\langle N, + \rangle$. Let $a, b \in Q \subseteq N$. Then $ab \in NQ \subseteq NQ$ and $ab \in QN \subseteq QN$. Thus $ab \in NQ \cap QN \subset Q$, since Q is a quasi-ideal of N. Hence $ab \in Q$. Therefore Q is a nLA-subring of N.

Theorem 3.2. The set of all quasi-ideal of nLA-ring.

Proof. Let $\{Q_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of quasi-ideal in N and $Q = \bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i$. Then

$$QN \cap NQ \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} QiN \cap N \bigcap_{i \in I} Qi \subseteq Qi$$

for every $i \in I$. Thus Q is a quasi-ideal of N.

4. Bi-ideals and Weak Bi-ideals of Near Left Almost Rings

Next we defined of a bi-ideal and weak bi-ideal in nLA-ring is defines the same as a bi-ideal and weak bi-ideal in near-ring in [9] and [10].

Definition 4.1. Let N be an nLA-ring. An LA-subgroup B of (N, +) is a bi-ideal if $(BN)B \subseteq B$.

Theorem 4.1. If B be a bi-ideal of a nLA-ring N and S is an nLA-subring of N. Then $B \cap S$ is a bi-ideal of S.

Proof. Since *B* is a bi-ideal of *N* we have $(BN)B \subseteq B$. Assume that $C := B \cap S$. Then $(CS)C \subseteq (SS)S \subseteq S$, since *S* is a nLA-subring of *N* and $C \subseteq S$.

On the other hand $(CS)C \subseteq (BS)B \subseteq (BN)B \subseteq B$. Hence $(CS)C \subseteq B \cap S = C$. Therefore C is a bi-ideal of S.

Theorem 4.2. Let N be an nLA-ring and A, B be bi-ideals of an nLA-ring N. Then $A \cap B$ is a bi-ideal of N.

Proof. Since *A*, *B* is bi-ideals of an nLA-ring *N*, we have $A \cap B$ is an LA-subgroup of $\langle N, + \rangle$. Thus $[(A \cap B)N](A \cap B) \subseteq (AN)(A \cap B) = [(A \cap B)N]A \subseteq (AN)A \subseteq A$ and $[(A \cap B)N](A \cap B) \subseteq (BN)(A \cap B) = [(A \cap B)N]B \subseteq (BN)B \subseteq B$. It following that $A \cap B$ is a bi-ideal of *N*.

Theorem 4.3. The set of all bi-ideal of nLA-ring.

Proof. Let $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of bi-ideal in N and $B := \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$. Then $(BN)B \subseteq (\bigcap_{i \in I} B_iN) \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i \subseteq B_i$ for every $i \in I$. Thus B is a bi-ideal of N.

Definition 4.2. Let N be an nLA-ring. An element d of N is called distributive if (n + n')d = nd + n'd for all $n, n' \in N$.

Theorem 4.4. Let N be an nLA-ring. If B is a bi-ideal of N then Bn and n'B are bi-ideals of N where $n, n' \in N$ and n' is a distributive element in N.

Proof. Since *B* is a bi-ideal we have *Bn* and *n'B* are LA-subgroup $\langle N, + \rangle$. Thus

 $((Bn)N)(Bn) \subseteq (BN)(Bn) = ((BN)B)n \subseteq Bn.$

Hence Bn is a bi-ideal of N.

Again

 $((n'B)N)(n'B) \subseteq ((n'B)N)B = (n'BN)B \subseteq n'B.$

Thus n'B are bi-ideal of N.

Corollary 4.1. Let *B* be a bi-ideal of nLA-ring. For $b, c \in B$, if *b* is a distributive element in *N*, then *bBc* is a bi-ideal of *N*.

Proof. Let *B* be a bi-ideal of nLA-ring and *b* is a distributive element in *N*. Then (n+n')b = nb+n'b for all $n, n' \in N$. Since *B* is a bi-ideal we have bBc is an LA-subgroup $\langle N, + \rangle$ then $((bBc)N)(bBc) \subseteq (BN)B \subseteq B$.

Definition 4.3. An nLA-ring N is said to be B-simple if it has no proper bi-ideals.

Theorem 4.5. Let N be an nLA-ring with more than one element. If N is a near almost field. Then N is a B-simple.

Proof. Let N be a near almost field then $\{0\}$ and N are the only bi-ideals of N. For if $0 \neq B$ is a bi-ideal of N, then for $0 \neq b \in B$ we get N = Nb and N = bN.

Now $N = N^2 = (bN)(Nb) \subseteq bNb \subseteq B$, since B is a bi-ideal of N. Then N = B. Thus N is a B-simple.

The following we defined weak bi-ideal and study properties it.

Definition 4.4. An LA-subgroup B of $\langle N, + \rangle$ is said to be a weak bi-ideal of N if $B^3 \subseteq B$.

Theorem 4.6. Every bi-ideal of an nLA-ring is a weak bi-ideal.

Proof. Since $B^3 = (BB)B \subseteq (BN)B \subseteq B$ we have every bi-ideal is a weak bi-ideal.

Theorem 4.7. If *B* is a weak bi-ideal of a nLA-ring *N* and *S* is a nLA-subring of *N*. Then $B \cap S$ is a weak bi-ideal of *N*.

Proof. Assume that $C := B \cap S$. Then $C^{3} = ((B \cap S)(B \cap S))(B \cap S)$ $= ((B \cap S)(B \cap S))B \cap ((B \cap S)(B \cap S))S$ $\subseteq (BB)B \cap SSS$ $= B^{3} \cap SSS$ $\subseteq B^{3} \cap SS$ $\subseteq B^{3} \cap S$ $\subseteq B \cap S$ = C.

Thus $C^3 \subseteq C$. Hence C is a weak bi-ideal of N.

Theorem 4.8. Let N be an nLA-ring. If B is a weak bi-ideal of N then Bn and n'B are weak bi-ideal of N where n, $n' \in N$ and n' is a distributive element in N.

Proof. Since B is a weak bi-ideal we have Bn and n'B an LA-subgroup of $\langle N, + \rangle$. Thus

$$(Bn)^3 = (BnBn)Bn \subseteq (BB)Bn \subseteq B^3n \subseteq Bn.$$

Hence Bn is a weak bi-ideal of N.

Again

$$(n'B)^3 = (n'Bn'B)n'B \subseteq (n'BB)B = n'B^3 \subseteq n'B.$$

Thus n'B is a weak bi-ideal of N.

Corollary 4.2. Let *B* be a weak bi-ideal of nLA-ring. For $b, c \in B$, if *b* is a distributive element in *N*, then *bBc* is a weak bi-ideal of *N*.

5. Conclusion

In this article, we give the concept of a quasi-ideals and bi- ideals in nLA-rings. We study properties of quasi-ideals and bi- ideals. In the future we study primary and quasi-primary in nLA-ring.

Acknowledgements: This research project was supported by the thailand science research and innovation fund and the Department of Mathematics, School of Science, University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] P. Dheena, S. Manivasan, Quasiideals of a P-Regular Near-Rings, Int. J. Algebra, 5 (2011), 1005-1010.
- [2] M.A. Kazim, Md. Naseeruddin, On Almost Semigroup, Portugaliae Math. 36 (1977), 41-47. https://eudml.org/ doc/115301.
- [3] M. Khan, Faisal, V. Amjid, On Some Classes of Abel-Grassmann's Groupoids, (2010). http://arxiv.org/abs/ 1010.5965.
- [4] Q. Mushtaq, M. Khan, M-System in LA-Semigroups, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 33 (2009), 321-327.
- [5] G. Pilz, Near-Rings, North Holland, Amesterdam-New York, 1997.
- [6] M. Sarwar (Kamran), Conditions for LA-Semigroup to Resemble Associative Structures, PhD Thesis, Quaid-i-Azam University, 1993.
- [7] T. Shah, F. Rehman, M. Raees, On Near Left Alomst Rings, Int. Math. Forum, 6 (2011), 1103-1111.
- [8] T. Shah, I. Rehman, On LA-Rings of Finitely Nonzero Function, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci. 5 (2010), 209-222.
- [9] T.T. Chelvam, N. Ganesan, On Bi-Ideal of Near-Ring, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 18 (1987), 1002-1005.
- [10] Y.U. Cho, T.T. Chelvam, S. Jayalakshmi, Weak Bi-Ideal of Near-Ring, J. Korea Soc. Math. 14 (2007), 153-159.