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Abstract. Let $G$ be a locally compact hypergroup and let $K$ be a compact sub-hypergroup of $G$. ( $G, K$ ) is a Gelfand pair if $M_{c}(G / / K)$, the algebra of measures with compact support on the double coset $G / / K$, is commutative for the convolution. In this paper, assuming that $(G, K)$ is a Gelfand pair, we define and study a Fourier transform on $G$ and then establish a Plancherel theorem for the pair ( $G, K$ ).

## 1. Introduction

Hypergroups generalize locally compact groups. They appear when the Banach space of all bounded Radon measures on a locally compact space carries a convolution having all properties of a group convolution apart from the fact that the convolution of two point measures is a probability measure with compact support and not necessarily a point measure. The intention was to unify harmonic analysis on duals of compact groups, double coset spaces $G / / H$ (H a compact subgroup of a locally compact group $G$ ), and commutative convolution algebras associated with product linearization formulas of special functions. The notion of hypergroup has been sufficiently studied (see for example $[2,4,6,7]$ ). Harmonic analysis and probability theory on commutative hypergroups are well developed meanwhile where many results from group theory remain valid (see [1]). When $G$ is a commutative hypergroup, the convolution algebra $M_{c}(G)$ consisting of measures with compact support on $G$ is commutative. The typical example of commutative hypergroup is the double coset $G / / K$ when $G$ is a locally compact group, $K$ is a compact subgroup of $G$ such that $(G, K)$ is a Gelfand pair. In [4], R. I. Jewett has shown the existence of a positive measure called Plancherel measure on the dual space $\widehat{G}$ of a commutative hypergroup $G$. When the hypergroup $G$ is not commutative, it is possible to involve a
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compact sub-hypergroup $K$ of $G$ leading to a commutative subalgebra of $M_{c}(G)$. In fact, if $K$ is a compact sub-hypergroup of a hypergroup $G$, the pair $(G, K)$ is said to be a Gelfand pair if $M_{c}(G / / K)$ the convolution algebra of measures with compact support on $G / / K$ is commutative. The notion of Gelfand pairs for hypergroups is well-known (see $[3,8,9]$ ). The goal of this paper is to extend Jewett work's by obtaining a Plancherel theorem over Gelfand pair associated with non-commutative hypergroup. In the next section, we give notations and setup useful for the remainder of this paper. In section 3, we introduce first the notion of K-multiplicative functions and obtain some of their characterizations. Thanks to these results, we establish a one to one correspondence between the space of $K$-multiplicative functions and the dual space of $G$. Then, we define a Fourier tranform on $M_{b}(G)$, the algebra of bounded measures on $G$ and on $\mathcal{K}(G)$, the algebra of continuous functions on $G$ with compact support. Finally, using the fact that $G / / K$ is a commutative hypergroup, we prove that there exists a nonnegative measure (the Plancherel measure) on the dual space of $G$.

## 2. Notations and preliminaries

We use the notations and setup of this section in the rest of the paper without mentioning. Let $G$ be a locally compact space. We denote by:

- $C(G)$ (resp. $M(G)$ ) the space of continuous complex valued functions (resp. the space of Radon measures) on $G$,
- $C_{b}(G)$ (resp. $\left.M_{b}(G)\right)$ the space of bounded continuous functions (resp. the space of bounded Radon measures) on $G$,
- $\mathcal{K}(G)$ (resp. $\left.M_{c}(G)\right)$ the space of continuous functions (resp. the space of Radon measures) with compact support on $G$,
- $C_{0}(G)$ the space of elements in $C(G)$ which are zero at infinity,
- $\mathfrak{C}(G)$ the space of compact sub-space of $G$,
- $\delta_{x}$ the point measure at $x \in G$,
$-\operatorname{spt}(f)$ the support of the function $f$.
Let us notice that the topology on $M(G)$ is the cône topology [4] and the topology on $\mathfrak{C}(G)$ is the topology of Michael [5].

Definition 2.1. $G$ is said to be a hypergroup if the following assumptions are satisfied.
(H1) There is a binary operator $*$ named convolution on $M_{b}(G)$ under which $M_{b}(G)$ is an associative algebra such that:
i) the mapping $(\mu, \nu) \longmapsto \mu * \nu$ is continuous from $M_{b}(G) \times M_{b}(G)$ in $M_{b}(G)$.
ii) $\forall x, y \in G, \delta_{x} * \delta_{y}$ is a measure of probability with compact support.
iii) the mapping: $(x, y) \longmapsto \operatorname{supp}\left(\delta_{x} * \delta_{y}\right)$ is continuous from $G \times G$ in $\mathfrak{C}(G)$.
$(\mathrm{H} 2)$ There is a unique element $e$ (called neutral element) in $G$ such that $\delta_{x} * \delta_{e}=\delta_{e} * \delta_{x}=$ $\delta_{x}, \forall x \in G$.
(H3) There is an involutive homeomorphism: $x \longmapsto \bar{x}$ from $G$ in $G$, named involution, such that:
i) $\left(\delta_{x} * \delta_{y}\right)^{-}=\delta_{\bar{y}} * \delta_{\bar{x}}, \forall x, y \in G$ with $\mu^{-}(f)=\mu\left(f^{-}\right)$where $f^{-}(x)=f(\bar{x}), \forall f \in C(G)$ and $\mu \in M(G)$.
ii) $\forall x, y, z \in G, z \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\delta_{x} * \delta_{y}\right)$ if and only if $x \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\delta_{z} * \delta_{\bar{y}}\right)$.

The hypergroup $G$ is commutative if $\delta_{x} * \delta_{y}=\delta_{y} * \delta_{x}, \forall x, y \in G$. For $x, y \in G, x * y$ is the support of $\delta_{x} * \delta_{y}$ and for $f \in C(G)$,

$$
f(x * y) \equiv\left(\delta_{x} * \delta_{y}\right)(f)=\int_{G} f(z) d\left(\delta_{x} * \delta_{y}\right)(z)
$$

The convolution of two measures $\mu, \nu$ in $M_{b}(G)$ is defined by: $\forall f \in C(G)$

$$
(\mu * \nu)(f)=\int_{G} \int_{G}\left(\delta_{x} * \delta_{y}\right)(f) d \mu(x) d \nu(y)=\int_{G} \int_{G} f(x * y) d \mu(x) d \nu(y)
$$

For $\mu$ in $M_{b}(G), \mu^{*}=(\bar{\mu})^{-}$. So $M_{b}(G)$ is a ${ }^{*}$-Banach algebra.
Definition 2.2. $H \subset G$ is a sub-hypergroup of $G$ if the following conditions are satisfied.
(1) $H$ is non empty and closed in $G$,
(2) $\forall x \in H, \bar{x} \in H$,
(3) $\forall x, y \in H, \operatorname{supp}\left(\delta_{x} * \delta_{y}\right) \subset H$.

Let us now consider a hypergroup $G$ provided with a left Haar measure $\mu_{G}$ and $K$ a compact subhypergroup of $G$ with a normalized Haar measure $\omega_{K}$. Let us put $M_{\mu_{G}}(G)$ the space of measures in $M_{b}(G)$ which are absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{G} . M_{\mu_{G}}(G)$ is a closed self-adjoint ideal in $M_{b}(G)$. For $x \in G$, the double coset of $x$ with respect to $K$ is $K *\{x\} * K=\left\{k_{1} * x * k_{2} ; k_{1}, k_{2} \in K\right\}$. We write simply $K x K$ for a double coset and recall that $K x K=\bigcup_{k_{1}, k_{2} \in K} \operatorname{supp}\left(\delta_{k_{1}} * \delta_{x} * \delta_{k_{2}}\right)$. All double coset form a partition of $G$ and the quotient topology with respect to the corresponding equivalence relation equips the double cosets space $G / / K$ with a locally topology ( [1], page 53). The natural mapping $p_{K}: G \longrightarrow G / / K$ defined by: $p_{K}(x)=K x K, x \in G$ is an open surjective continuous mapping. A function $f \in C(G)$ is said to be invariant by $K$ or $K$ - invariant if $f\left(k_{1} * x * k_{2}\right)=f(x)$ for all $x \in G$ and for all $k_{1}, k_{2} \in K$. We denote by $C^{\natural}(G)$, (resp. $\left.\mathcal{K}^{\natural}(G)\right)$ the space of continuous functions (resp. continuous functions with compact support) which are $K$-invariant. For $f \in C^{\natural}(G)$, one defines the function $\tilde{f}$ on $G / / K$ by $\tilde{f}(K x K)=f(x) \forall x \in G . \tilde{f}$ is well defined and it is continuous on $G / / K$. Conversely, for all continuous function $\varphi$ on $G / / K$, the function $f=\varphi \circ p_{K} \in C^{\natural}(G)$. One has the obvious consequence that the mapping $f \longmapsto \widetilde{f}$ sets up a topological isomorphism between the topological vector spaces $C^{\natural}(G)$ and $C(G / / K)$ (see $[8,9]$ ). So, for any $f$ in $C^{\natural}(G), f=\widetilde{f} \circ p_{K}$. Otherwise, we consider the K-projection $f \longmapsto f^{\natural}$ (by identifying $f^{\natural}$ and $\widetilde{f} \natural$ ) from $C(G)$ into $C(G / / K)$ where for $x \in G, f^{\natural}(x)=\int_{K} \int_{K} f\left(k_{1} * x * k_{2}\right) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{1}\right) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{2}\right)$. If $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, then $f^{\natural} \in \mathcal{K}(G / / K)$. For a measure $\mu \in M(G)$, one defines $\mu^{\natural}$ by $\mu^{\natural}(f)=\mu\left(f^{\natural}\right)$ for $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. $\mu$ is said to be $K$-invariant if $\mu^{\natural}=\mu$ and we denote by $M^{\natural}(G)$ the set of all those measures. Considering these properties, one
defines a hypergroup operation on $G / / K$ by: $\delta_{K x K} * \delta_{K y K}(\widetilde{f})=\int_{K} f(x * k * y) d \omega_{K}(k)$ (see [2, p. 12] ). This defines uniquely the convolution $(K x K) *(K y K)$ on $G / / K$. The involution is defined by: $\overline{K x K}=K \bar{x} K$ and the neutral element is $K$. Let us put $m=\int_{G} \delta_{K x K} d \mu_{G}(x), m$ is a left Haar measure on $G / / K$. We say that $(G, K)$ is a Gelfand pair if the convolution algebra $M_{c}(G / / K)$ is commutative. $M_{c}(G / / K)$ is topologically isomorphic to $M_{c}^{\natural}(G)$. Considering the convolution product on $\mathcal{K}(G), \mathcal{K}(G)$ is a convolution algebra and $\mathcal{K}^{\natural}(G)$ is a subalgebra. Thus $(G, K)$ is a Gelfand pair if and only if $\mathcal{K}^{\natural}(G)$ is commutative ( [3], theorem 3.2.2).

## 3. Plancherel theorem

Let $G$ be a locally compact hypergroup and let $K$ be a compact sub-hypergroup of $G$. In this section, we assume that $(G, K)$ is a Gelfand pair.

### 3.1. K-multiplicative functions.

Let us put $G_{b}^{\natural}$ the space of continuous, bounded function $\phi$ on $G$ such that:
(i) $\phi$ is $K$-invariant,
(ii) $\phi(e)=1$,
(ii) $\int_{K} \phi(x * k * y) d w_{K}(k)=\phi(x) \phi(y) \forall x, y \in G$.

Let $\widehat{G}$ be the sub-space of $G_{b}^{\natural}$ containing the elements $\phi$ in $G_{b}^{\natural}$ such that

$$
\phi(\bar{x})=\overline{\phi(x)} \forall x \in G .
$$

$\widehat{G}$ is called the dual space of the hypergroup $G$.
Remark 3.1. (1) If $\phi \in \widehat{G}$, then $\phi^{-} \in \widehat{G}$.
(2) Equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta, $\widehat{G}$ is a locally compact Hausdorff space.
(3) In general, $\widehat{G}$ is not a hypergroup.

Definition 3.2. A complex-valued function $\chi$ on $G$ will be called a multiplicative (resp. Kmultiplicative) function if $\chi$ is continuous and not identically zero, and has the property that:

$$
\chi(x * y)=\chi(x) \chi(y)\left(\text { resp. } \int_{K} \chi(x * k * y) d w_{K}(k)=\chi(x) \chi(y)\right) \forall x, y \in G
$$

A multiplicative (resp. $K$-multiplicative) function on $M_{b}(G)$ is a continuous complex-valued function $F$ not identically zero on $M_{b}^{\natural}(G)$, and has the property that:

$$
F(\mu * \nu)=F(\mu) F(\nu)\left(\text { resp. } F\left(\mu * w_{K} * \nu\right)=F(\mu) F(\nu)\right) \forall \mu, \nu \in M_{b}(G) .
$$

For $\chi \in C_{b}(G)$, not identically zero, let put $F_{\chi}(\mu)=\int_{G} \bar{\chi} d \mu$ for $\mu \in M_{b}(G)$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $F$ be a $K$-multiplicative function on $M_{b}(G)$, then:
i) $F$ is multiplicative on $M_{b}^{\natural}(G)$.
ii) $F\left(w_{K}\right)=F\left(\delta_{e}\right)=1$.
iii) $\forall \mu \in M_{b}(G), F\left(\mu^{\text {घ }}\right)=F(\mu)$
iv) $\forall k \in K, F\left(\delta_{k}\right)=1$.

Proof. i) Just remember that $\mu * w_{K}=\mu, \forall \mu \in M_{b}^{\natural}(G)$.
ii) Let $\nu \in M_{b}^{\natural}(G)$ such that $F(\nu) \neq 0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(\nu)=F\left(\nu * w_{K}\right)=F(\nu) F\left(w_{K}\right) \Longrightarrow F\left(w_{K}\right)=1 . \\
& F(\nu)=F\left(\nu * w_{K} * \delta_{e}\right)=F(\nu) F\left(\delta_{e}\right) \Longrightarrow F\left(\delta_{e}\right)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

iii) Let $\mu \in M_{b}(G)$. Since $\mu^{\natural}=w_{K} * \mu * w_{K}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\mu^{\natural}\right) & =F\left(w_{K} * \mu * w_{K}\right) \\
& =F\left(w_{K} * \mu * w_{K} * w_{K}\right) \\
& =F\left(w_{K} * \mu\right) \\
& =F\left(\delta_{e} * w_{K} * \mu\right) \\
& =F(\mu) .
\end{aligned}
$$

iv) If $k \in K, \delta_{K}^{\natural}=w_{K}$. Using (ii) and (iii), we have $F\left(\delta_{k}\right)=1$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\phi \in G_{b}^{\square}$.
i) $F_{\phi}$ is a bounded linear $K$-multiplicative function on $M_{b}(G)$.
ii) $F_{\phi}$ is not identically zero on $M_{\mu_{G}}^{\natural}(G)$.

Proof. i) That is clear that $F_{\phi}$ is linear and bounded. Let $\mu, \nu \in M_{b}(G)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\phi}\left(\mu * w_{K} * \nu\right) & =\int_{G} \int_{K} \int_{G} \bar{\phi}(x * k * y) d \mu(x) d w_{K}(k) d \nu(y) \\
& =\int_{G} \bar{\phi}(x) d \mu(x) \int_{G} \bar{\phi}(x) d \nu(y) \\
& =F_{\phi}(\mu) F_{\phi}(\nu)
\end{aligned}
$$

Morever, $F_{\phi}\left(w_{K}\right)=\int_{K} \bar{\phi}(k) d w_{K}(k)=1 \neq 0$.
ii) If $\mu \in M_{\mu_{G}}(G)$, then $\mu^{\natural}=w_{K} * \mu * w_{K} \in M_{\mu_{G}}^{\natural}(G)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ with $\operatorname{spt}(f) \subset K$ such that $\int_{G} f(x) d u_{G}(x)=1 . f^{\natural} \mu_{G} \in M_{\mu_{G}}^{\natural}(G)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\phi}\left(f^{\natural} \mu_{G}\right) & =F_{\phi}\left(f \mu_{G}\right) \\
& =\int_{G} \bar{\phi}(x) f(x) d u_{G}(x) \\
& =\int_{K} f(x) d u_{G}(x) \\
& =1 \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.5. 1) Let $E$ be a multiplicative linear function on $M_{\mu_{G}}^{\natural}(G)$ not identically zero. There exists a unique $K$-multiplicative linear function $F$ on $M_{b}(G)$ such that $F=E$ on $M_{\mu_{G}}^{\natural}(G)$.
2) Let $F$ be a bounded linear K-multiplicative function on $M_{b}(G)$ not identically zero on $M_{\mu_{G}}^{\natural}(G)$. There exists a unique function $\phi$ in $G_{b}^{\natural}$ such that $F=F_{\phi}$.

Proof. 1) Let $\nu \in M_{\mu_{G}}^{\natural}(G)$ such that $E(\nu) \neq 0$ and put

$$
F(\mu)=\frac{E\left(\mu^{\natural} * \nu\right)}{E(\nu)}, \text { for } \mu \in M_{b}(G)
$$

$F$ is well defined since $M_{\mu_{G}}(G)$ is an ideal in $M_{b}(G)$. Let us first see that $F$ is multiplicative on $M_{b}^{\natural}(G)$. For $\mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ in $M_{b}^{\natural}(G)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\mu * \mu^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{E\left(\mu * \mu^{\prime} * \nu\right)}{E(\nu)} \\
& =\frac{E\left(\nu * \mu * \mu^{\prime} * \nu\right)}{E(\nu)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{E(\nu * \mu)}{E(\nu)} \frac{E\left(\mu^{\prime} * \nu\right)}{E(\nu)} \\
& =\frac{E(\nu * \mu * \nu)}{E(\nu)^{2}} F\left(\mu^{\prime}\right) \\
& =F(\mu) F\left(\mu^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover $F\left(w_{K}\right)=\frac{E\left(w_{K} * \nu\right)}{E(\nu)}=\frac{E(\nu)}{E(\nu)}=1$. So for $\mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ in $M_{b}(G)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\mu * w_{K} * \mu^{\prime}\right) & =F\left(w_{K} *\left(w_{K} * \mu * w_{K}\right) *\left(w_{K} * \mu^{\prime} * w_{K}\right) * w_{K}\right) \\
& =F\left(\left(w_{K} * \mu * w_{K}\right) *\left(w_{K} * \mu^{\prime} * w_{K}\right)\right) \\
& =F\left(\mu^{\natural} * \mu^{\prime \text { 冋 }}\right) \\
& =F(\mu) F\left(\mu^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The uniqueness stems from proposition 3.3.
2) Let $F$ be a bounded linear $K$-multiplicative function on $M_{b}(G)$. Let $\nu \in M_{\mu_{G}}^{\natural}(G)$ such that $F(\nu) \neq 0$. If $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \in M_{b}(G)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F\left(\mu_{1}\right)-F\left(\mu_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|F\left(\mu_{1}^{\natural}\right)-F\left(\mu_{2}^{\natural}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{\left|F\left(\mu_{1}^{\natural} * \nu\right)-F\left(\mu_{2}^{\natural} * \nu\right)\right|}{|F(\nu)|} \\
& =\frac{\left|F\left(\left(\mu_{1} * \nu-\mu_{2} * \nu\right)^{\natural}\right)\right|}{F(\nu)} \\
& \leq \frac{\|F\|}{F(\nu)}\left\|\mu_{1} * \nu-\mu_{2} * \nu\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $F$ is positive-continuous by ([4], Theorem 5.6B). By ([4], Theorem 2.2D) there exists a bounded continuous function $h$ on $G$ such that $F(\mu)=\int_{G} h(x) d \mu(x)$. So $\phi=\bar{h}$.
3.2. Fourier transform on $M_{b}(G)$.

Definition 3.6. Let $\mu \in M_{b}(G)$, the Fourier transform of $\mu$ is the map $\widehat{\mu}: \widehat{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by: $\widehat{\mu}(\phi)=\int_{G} \phi(\bar{x}) d \mu(x)$.

Proposition 3.7. i) For $\mu \in M_{b}(G), \widehat{\mu} \in C_{b}(\widehat{G})$.
ii) For $\mu \in M_{b}(G), \widehat{\mu}=\widehat{\mu^{\natural}}$.
iii) For $\mu \in M_{\mu_{G}}(G), \widehat{\mu} \in C_{0}(\widehat{G})$.
iv) If $\mu \in M_{b}^{\natural}(G)$ and $\nu \in M_{b}(G)$, then $\widehat{\mu * \nu}=\widehat{\mu \nu} \widehat{\text {. }}$

Proof. i) We can see that, $\widehat{\mu}(\phi)=\mu(\bar{\phi}) \forall \phi \in \widehat{G}$.
ii) For $\phi \in \widehat{G}$, we have $\widehat{\mu}(\phi)=F_{\phi^{-}}(\mu)$. So $\mu^{\natural}(\phi)=F_{\phi^{-}}\left(\mu^{\natural}\right)=F_{\phi^{-}}(\mu)=\widehat{\mu}(\phi)$.
iii) This comes from theorem 3.5 and ( [4], theorem 6.3G)
iv) Let $\phi$ belongs to $\widehat{G}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mu * \nu}(\phi) & =\int_{G} \phi^{-}(x) d \mu * \nu(x) \\
& =\int_{G} \int_{G} \phi^{-}(x * y) d \mu(x) d \nu(y) \\
& =\int_{G}\left[\int_{G}\left(\int_{K} \int_{K} \phi^{-}\left(k_{1} * x * k_{2} * y\right) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{1}\right) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{2}\right)\right) d \mu(x)\right] d \nu(y) \\
& =\int_{G}\left[\int_{G}\left(\int_{K}\left(\int_{K} \phi^{-}\left(\left(k_{1} * x\right) * k_{2} * y\right) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{2}\right)\right) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{1}\right)\right) d \mu(x)\right] d \nu(y) \\
& =\int_{G} \phi^{-}(y)\left[\int_{G}\left(\int_{K} \phi^{-}\left(k_{1} * x\right) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{1}\right)\right) d \mu(x)\right] d \nu(y) \\
& =\int_{G} \phi^{-}(y)\left[\int_{G}\left(\phi^{-}(x) d \mu(x)\right] d \nu(y)\right. \\
& =\int_{G} \phi^{-}(x) d \mu(x) \int_{G} \phi^{-}(y) d \nu(y) \\
& =\widehat{\mu}(\phi) \widehat{\nu}(\phi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.8. By the definition, the mapping $\mu \longmapsto \widehat{\mu}$ from $M_{b}(G)$ to $C_{b}(\widehat{G})$ is continuous.

### 3.3. Fourier transform on $G$.

Definition 3.9. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}^{\natural}(G)$, the Fourier transform of $f$ is the map $\widehat{f}: \widehat{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by: $\widehat{f}(\phi)=\int_{G} \phi(\bar{x}) f(x) d u_{G}(x)$

Proposition 3.10. i) For $f \in \mathcal{K}(G), \widehat{f^{\natural}}=\widehat{f \mu_{G}} \in C_{0}(\widehat{G})$.
ii) If $f \in \mathcal{K}^{\natural}(G)$ and $g \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, then $\widehat{f * g}=\widehat{f g^{\natural}}$.

Proof. i) For any $f$ in $\mathcal{K}(G)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{f \natural}(\phi) & =\int_{G} \phi^{-}(x)\left(\int_{K} \int_{K} f\left(k_{1} * x * k_{2}\right) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{1}\right) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{2}\right)\right) d u_{G}(x) \\
& =\int_{G} f(x)\left(\int_{K} \int_{K} \phi^{-}\left(k_{1} * x * k_{2}\right) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{1}\right) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{2}\right)\right) d u_{G}(x) \\
& =\int_{G} \phi(\bar{x}) f(x) d u_{G}(x)=\widehat{f \mu_{G}}(\phi) \forall \phi \in \widehat{G}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f \mu_{G} \in M_{\mu_{G}}(G)$, then $\widehat{f \mu_{G}} \in C_{0}(\widehat{G})$.
ii) Let $f \in \mathcal{K}^{\natural}(G)$ and $g \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. For $\phi \in \widehat{G}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{f * g}(\phi) & =\int_{G} \phi^{-}(x) f * g(x) d \mu_{G}(x) \\
& =\int_{G} \phi^{-}(x)\left(\int_{G} f(x * y) g(\bar{y}) d \mu_{G}(y)\right) d \mu_{G}(x) \\
& =\int_{G} g(\bar{y})\left(\int_{G} \phi^{-}(x * \bar{y}) f(x) d \mu_{G}(x)\right) d \mu_{G}(y) \\
& =\int_{G} g(\bar{y}) \int_{K} \int_{K} \int_{G} \phi^{-}\left(k_{1} * x * k_{2} * \bar{y}\right) f(x) d \mu_{G}(x) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{1}\right) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{2}\right) d \mu_{G}(y) \\
& =\int_{G} g(\bar{y}) \phi^{-}(\bar{y}) d \mu_{G}(y) \int_{G} f(x) \int_{K} \phi^{-}\left(k_{1} * x\right) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{1}\right) d \mu_{G}(x) \\
& =\int_{G} \phi^{-}(y) g(y) d \mu_{G}(y) \int_{G} \phi^{-}(x) f(x) d \omega_{K}\left(k_{1}\right) d \mu_{G}(x) \\
& =\widehat{f}(\phi) \widehat{g}(\phi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We therefore extend the spherical Fourier transform to all $\mathcal{K}(G)$ with $\widehat{f}=\widehat{f^{\natural}}$ for any $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and to $L^{1}\left(G, \mu_{G}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left(G, \mu_{G}\right)$. We have the following result.

Theorem 3.11. There exists a unique nonnegative measure $\pi$ on $\widehat{G}$ such that

$$
\int_{G}|f(x)|^{2} d \mu_{G}(x)=\int_{\widehat{G}}|\widehat{f}(\phi)|^{2} d \pi(\phi) \text { for all } f \text { in } L^{1}\left(G, \mu_{G}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(G, \mu_{G}\right) .
$$

The space $\{\widehat{f}: f \in \mathcal{K}(G)\}$ is dense in $L^{2}(\widehat{G}, \pi)$.
Proof. Considering the space $\widehat{G / / K}$ defined by [4], $\widetilde{\phi} \in \widehat{G / / K}$ if and only if $\phi=\widetilde{\phi} \circ p_{K} \in \widehat{G}$. Let $\widetilde{\varphi}$ belongs to $C_{b}(\widehat{G / / K})$. Let us consider $\varphi: \widehat{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by:

$$
\varphi(\phi)=\widetilde{\varphi}(\widetilde{\phi})
$$

$\varphi \in C_{b}(\widehat{G})$ and the mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{b}(\widehat{G / / K}) & \longrightarrow C_{b}(\widehat{G}) \\
\widetilde{\varphi} & \longmapsto \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

is a linear bijection, specificaly $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\widehat{G}) \Longleftrightarrow \widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{K}(\widehat{G / / K}) . \quad$ By ( [4], theorem. 7.31), there exist a unique nonnegative measure $\tilde{\pi}$ on $\widehat{G / / K}$ such that $\int_{G / / K}|\widetilde{f}(K \times K)|^{2} d m(K \times K)=$ $\int_{\widetilde{G / / K}}|\widehat{\widetilde{f}}(\widetilde{\phi})|^{2} d \widetilde{\pi}(\widetilde{\phi})$ for $\widetilde{f} \in L^{1}(G / / K, m) \cap L^{2}(G / / K, m)$. Let us consider the mapping $\pi$ defined by $\pi(\varphi)=\widetilde{\pi}(\widetilde{\varphi})$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\widehat{G})$. $\pi$ is a measure on $\widehat{G}$. Since $\widetilde{\pi}$ is nonnegative, then $\pi$ is nonnegative. Otherwise, note that $\widetilde{\hat{f}}=\widehat{\tilde{f}}$ for $f \in \mathcal{K}^{\natural}(G)$. Indeed since $f \in \mathcal{K}^{\natural}(G)$ then $\widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{K}(G / / K)$ and $\widehat{f} \in C_{b}(\widehat{G})$. So $\widehat{\widetilde{f}}$ and $\widetilde{\tilde{f}}$ belong to $C_{b}(\widehat{G / / K})$. For $\widetilde{\phi} \in \widehat{G / / K}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\tilde{f}}(\widetilde{\phi}) & =\int_{G / / K} \widetilde{\phi}(K \bar{x} K) \widetilde{f}(K x K) d m(K x K) \\
& =\int_{G / / K} \widetilde{\phi^{-}}(K x K) \widetilde{f}(K x K) d m(K x K) \\
& =\int_{G} \phi^{-}(x) f(x) d u_{G}(x) \\
& =\widehat{f}(\phi)=\widetilde{\tilde{f}}(\widetilde{\phi})
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{K}^{\natural}(G)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\widehat{G}}|\widehat{f}(\phi)|^{2} d \pi(\phi) & =\int_{\widehat{G / / K}}|\widetilde{\widehat{f}}(\widetilde{\phi})|^{2} d \widetilde{\pi}(\widetilde{\phi}) \\
& =\int_{\widehat{G / / K}}|\widetilde{\widetilde{f}}(\widetilde{\phi})|^{2} d \widetilde{\pi}(\widetilde{\phi}) \\
& =\int_{G / / K}|\widetilde{f}(K x K)|^{2} d m(K x K) \\
& =\int_{G}|f(x)|^{2} d \mu_{G}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\widehat{f}=\widehat{f \natural} \forall f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $G$ unimodular, we deduce that $\int_{\widehat{G}}|\widehat{f}(\phi)|^{2} d \pi(\phi)=\int_{G}|f(x)|^{2} d \mu_{G}(x) \forall f \in$ $\mathcal{K}(G)$. By the continuity of the Fourier transform and by application of the dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that $\int_{G}|f(x)|^{2} d \mu_{G}(x)=\int_{\widehat{G}}|\widehat{f}(\phi)|^{2} d \pi(\phi)$ for any $f$ belongs to $L^{1}\left(G, \mu_{G}\right) \cap$ $L^{2}\left(G, \mu_{G}\right)$. Let $\pi^{\prime}$ a nonnegative measure on $\widehat{G}$ such that $\int_{G}|f(x)|^{2} d \mu_{G}(x)=\int_{\widehat{G}}|\widehat{f}(\phi)|^{2} d \pi^{\prime}(\phi)$ for all $f$ in $L^{1}\left(G, \mu_{G}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(G, \mu_{G}\right)$. As above but in reverse order $\pi^{\prime}$ defines a nonnegative measure $\widetilde{\pi^{\prime}}$ on $\widehat{G / / K}$ such that $\int_{G / / K}|\widetilde{f}(K x K)|^{2} d m(K \times K)=\int_{\widehat{G / / K}}|\widetilde{\widetilde{f}}(\widetilde{\phi})|^{2} d \widetilde{\pi}(\widetilde{\phi})$ for $\widetilde{f} \in L^{1}(G / / K, m) \cap$ $L^{2}(G / / K, m)$. That is $\tilde{\pi^{\prime}}=\widetilde{\pi}$ seen the uniqueness of $\tilde{\pi}$, so $\pi=\pi^{\prime}$. Let us put $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}(G))=$
$\left\{\widehat{f} ; f \in \mathcal{K}(G\}\right.$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\widehat{G})$ such that $\langle\widehat{f}, \varphi\rangle=\int_{\widehat{G}} \overline{\widehat{f}}(\phi) \varphi(\phi) d \pi(\phi)=0 \forall f \in \mathcal{K}^{\natural}(G)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\widehat{f}, \varphi\rangle=0 \forall f \in \mathcal{K}^{\natural}(G) & \Longrightarrow \int_{\widehat{G}} \overline{\widehat{f}}(\phi) \varphi(\phi) d \pi(\phi)=0 \forall f \in \mathcal{K}^{\natural}(G) \\
& \Longrightarrow \int_{\widehat{G}} \widetilde{\widehat{f}}(\widetilde{\phi}) \widetilde{\varphi}(\widetilde{\phi}) d \widetilde{\pi}(\widetilde{\phi})=0 \forall f \in \mathcal{K}^{\natural}(G) \\
& \Longrightarrow\langle\widetilde{\widehat{f}}, \widetilde{\varphi}\rangle=0 \forall f \in \mathcal{K}(G) \\
& \Longrightarrow\langle\widehat{\widetilde{f}}, \widetilde{\varphi}\rangle=0 \forall \widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{K}(G / / K) \\
& \Longrightarrow \widetilde{\varphi}=0 \text { since } \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}(G / / K)) \text { is dense in } L^{2}(\widehat{G / / K}, \widetilde{\pi}) \\
& \Longrightarrow \varphi=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}(G)))^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}(\widehat{G})=\{0\}$. Since $\mathcal{K}(\widehat{G})$ is dense in $L^{2}(\widehat{G}, \pi)$, then $(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}(G)))^{\perp}=\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}(G))$ is dense in $L^{2}(\widehat{G}, \pi)$.
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