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#### Abstract

In the geometric theory of space curves, a magnetic field generates magnetic flow. The trajectories of magnetic flow are called magnetic curves. In the present paper, we obtain magnetic curves corresponding to killing magnetic fields in Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$. The magnetic curves of the spherical indicatrices of the tangent, principal normal and binormal for a regular space curve are said to be meant curves. Also, we investigate the magnetic curves of the tangent indicatrix and obtain the trajectories of the magnetic fields called $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}$-magnetic, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}$-magnetic and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}$-magnetic curves. Finally, some computational examples in support of our main results are given and plotted.


## 1. Introduction

The magnetic curves on three dimensional Riemannian manifold $\left(M^{3}, g\right)$ are trajectories of charged particles moving on $M^{3}$ under the action of a magnetic field $F$. Each trajectory $\gamma$ may be found by solving the Lorentz equation $\nabla_{\gamma^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime}=\phi\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$, where $\phi$ is the Lorentz force corresponding to $F$ and $\nabla$ is the Levi Civita connection of $g$. In particular, the trajectories of (charged) particles moving without the action of a magnetic field are geodesics, which satisfy $\nabla_{\gamma^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime}=0$ (see for more details [1,2]). In a three-dimensional space, when a charged particle moves along a regular curve, the tangent, normal and binormal vectors describe the kinematic and geometric properties of this particle. These vectors and the time dimension affect the trajectory of the charged particle during the motion in a magnetic field $[3,4]$. Moreover, the study of magnetic curves was extended to other ambient spaces, such as complex space forms [5, 6], Sasakian 3-manifold [7,8]. Recently, results of classification for the Killing

[^0]Key words and phrases. magnetic curves; killing vector field; Lorentz force; spherical indicatrices.
magnetic trajectories on two special 3-dimensional manifolds, namely $E^{3}$ and $S^{2} \times R$, were obtained in [9] and [10], respectively. Barros and Romero proved that if $\left(M^{3}, g\right)$ has constant curvature, then the magnetic curves corresponding to a Killing magnetic field are center lines of Kirchhoff elastic rods [11]. The curves and their frames play an important role in differential geometry and in many branches of science such as mechanics and physics. So, we are interested here in studying some of these curves called magnetic curves, which have many applications in modern physics. In this work, we investigate the trajectories of the magnetic fields called as $T_{\mathrm{T}}$-magnetic, $N_{\mathrm{T}}$-magnetic and $B_{\mathrm{T}}-$ magnetic curves and obtain some solutions of the Lorentz force equation. We are looking forward to see that our results will be helpful to researchers who are specialized in mathematical modeling, mechanics and modern physics.

## 2. Basic concepts

In this section, we list some notions, formulae and conclusions for curves in three-dimensional Euclidean space which can be found in the text books on differential geometry (see for instance $[1,12,13])$. Let $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ denotes the real vector space with its usual vector structure. We denote by $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ the coordinates of a vector with respect to the canonical basis of $\mathbb{E}^{3}$. For any two vectors $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$, the metric $g$ on $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ is defined by

$$
g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}+x_{3} y_{3} .
$$

The norm of $\mathbf{x}$ is given by

$$
\|\mathbf{x}\|=\sqrt{g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})},
$$

and the vector product is denoted by

$$
\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}=\left(\left(x_{2} y_{3}-x_{3} y_{2}\right),\left(x_{3} y_{1}-x_{1} y_{3}\right),\left(x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}\right)\right) .
$$

The sphere of radius $r>0$ with center at the origin is given by

$$
S^{2}=\left\{\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{E}^{3}: g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})=r^{2}\right\} .
$$

Let $\gamma=\gamma(s): I \subset R \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{3}$ be an arbitrary curve in $\mathbb{E}^{3}, s$ be the arclength parameter of $\gamma$. It is well known that each unit speed curve with at least four continuous derivatives, one can associate three mutually orthogonal unit vector fields $T, N$ and $B$ called the tangent, the principal normal and the binormal vector fields, respectively [14].
Let $\{T(s), N(s), B(s)\}$ be the moving frame along $\gamma$, where these vectors are mutually orthogonal vectors satisfying

$$
\langle T(s), T(s)\rangle=\langle N(s), N(s)\rangle=\langle B(s), B(s)\rangle=1 .
$$

The Frenet equations for $\gamma$ are given by [15]

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
T^{\prime}(s)  \tag{2.1}\\
N^{\prime}(s) \\
B^{\prime}(s)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \kappa(s) & 0 \\
-\kappa(s) & 0 & \tau(s) \\
0 & -\tau(s) & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T(s) \\
N(s) \\
B(s)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\kappa(s)$ and $\tau(s)$ are called the curvatures of $\gamma$.
For spherical images of a regular curve in Euclidean 3-space, we present the following definition:
Definition 2.1. [16, 17] Let $\gamma$ be a curve in Euclidean 3-space with Frenet vectors $T, N$ and $B$. The unit tangent vectors along the curve $\gamma(s)$ generate a curve $\gamma_{T}=T$ on the sphere of radius 1 about the origin. The curve $\gamma_{T}$ is called the spherical indicatrix of $T$ or more commonly, $\gamma_{T}$ is called tangent indicatrix of the curve $\gamma$. If $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ is a natural representations of the curve $\gamma$, then $\gamma_{T}=T(s)$ will be a representation of $\gamma_{T}$. Similarly, one can consider the principal normal indicatrix $\gamma_{N}=N(s)$ and binormal indicatrix $\gamma_{B}=B(s)$.

Let $\gamma$ be a curve in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ and consider $\gamma_{T}=T(s)$ as the tangent indicatrix of $\gamma$ with $\left\{T_{T}, N_{T}, B_{T}\right\}$ as its Frenet vectors. Then we have the Frenet formula as follows:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
T_{T}^{\prime}\left(s_{T}\right) \\
N_{T}^{\prime}\left(s_{T}\right) \\
B_{T}^{\prime}\left(s_{T}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \kappa_{T} & 0 \\
-\kappa_{T} & 0 & \tau_{T} \\
0 & -\tau_{T} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T_{T}\left(s_{T}\right) \\
N_{T}\left(s_{T}\right) \\
B_{T}\left(s_{T}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
T_{T}=N \\
N_{T}=\frac{-1}{\sqrt{1+f^{2}}} T+\frac{f}{\sqrt{1+f^{2}}} B \\
B_{T}=\frac{f}{\sqrt{1+f^{2}}} T+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^{2}}} B
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{T}=\int \kappa(s) d s, \quad \kappa_{T}=\sqrt{1+f^{2}}, \quad \tau_{T}=\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}, \quad f=\frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

taking into consideration that

$$
\sigma=\frac{f^{\prime}(s)}{\kappa(s)\left(1+f^{2}(s)\right)^{3 / 2}}
$$

is the geodesic curvature of the principal image of the principal normal indicatrix of the curve $\gamma, s_{T}$ is a natural representation parameter of the tangent indicatrix of $\gamma$ and also it is the total curvature of the curve $\gamma$ and $\kappa_{T}, \tau_{T}$ are the curvature and torsion of $\gamma_{T}$. Therefore, we can see that $\frac{\tau_{T}}{\kappa_{T}}=\sigma$. Let us introduce the following notions $[6,11,18]$.

Definition 2.2. A magnetic field on a three-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold $\left(M^{3}, g\right)$ is defined as a closed 2-form $F$ on $M^{3}$, related to a skew-symmetric $(1,1)$-tensor field $\phi$ called the Lorentz force of $F$, and we have

$$
g(\phi(X), Y)=F(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \chi(M)
$$

The magnetic trajectories of $F$ are curves $\gamma$ on $M^{3}$ which satisfy the Lorentz equation:

$$
\nabla_{\gamma^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime}=\phi\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)
$$

Let $V$ be a Killing vector field on $M^{3}$, then the Lorentz force can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(X)=V \times X \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in this case, the Lorentz force equation is given by

$$
\nabla_{\gamma^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime}=V \times \gamma^{\prime} .
$$

Note that, for a trivial magnetic field; $F=0$, the Lorentz equation becomes $\nabla_{\gamma^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime}=0$ and then the solutions are geodesics.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\gamma: I \subset R \rightarrow M^{3}$ be a curve in the three-dimensional oriented Riemannian Manifold $\left(M^{3}, g\right)$ and $V$ be a vector field along the curve $\gamma$. Then, one can take a variation of $\gamma$ in the direction of $V$, say, a map $\Pi: I \times(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow M^{3}$ which satisfies

$$
\Pi(s, 0)=\gamma(s),\left(\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial s}(s, t)\right)=V(s)
$$

In this setting, we have the following functions:

1. the speed function $v(s, t)=\left\|\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial s}(s, t)\right\| ; t$ is the time dimension,
2. the curvature $\kappa(s, t)$ and the torsion $\tau(s, t)$ are functions of $\gamma(s)$. The variations of these functions at $t=0$ are given as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V(V)=\left.\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(s, t)\right)\right|_{t=0}=g\left(\nabla_{T} V, T\right), \\
V(\kappa)=\left.\left(\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial t}(s, t)\right)\right|_{t=0}=g\left(\nabla_{T}^{2} V, N\right)-2 \kappa g\left(\nabla_{T} V, T\right)+g(R(V, T) T, N), \\
V(\tau)=\left.\left(\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t}(s, t)\right)\right|_{t=0}=\left[\frac{1}{\kappa} g\left(\nabla_{T}^{2} V+R(V, T) T, B\right)\right]^{\prime}+g(R(V, T) N, B)+\tau g\left(\nabla_{T} V, T\right)+2 \kappa g\left(\nabla_{T} V, B\right),
\end{gathered}
$$ where $R$ is the curvature tensor of $M^{3}$.

Corollary 2.1. Let $V(s)$ be a restriction to $\gamma(s)$ of a Killing vector field $V$ of $M^{3}$, then

$$
V(v)=V(\kappa)=V(\tau)=0
$$

3. Magnetic curves of the tangent indicatrix

Definition 3.1. [11, 18] Let $\gamma_{T}: I \rightarrow S^{2} \subset \mathbb{E}^{3}$ be a tangent indicatrix of a regular curve $\gamma$ in three-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, and $F$ be a magnetic field on $M^{3}$, then the curve $\gamma_{T}$ is
(i) $T_{T}$-magnetic curve if $T_{T}$ satisfies the Lorentz force equation, $\nabla_{T_{T}} T_{T}=\phi\left(T_{T}\right)=V \times T_{T}$,
(ii) $N_{T}$-magnetic curve if $N_{T}$ satisfies the Lorentz force equation, $\nabla_{T_{T}} N_{T}=\phi\left(N_{T}\right)=V \times N_{T}$,
(iii) $B_{T}$-magnetic curve if $B_{T}$ satisfies the Lorentz force equation, $\nabla_{T_{T}} B_{T}=\phi\left(B_{T}\right)=V \times B_{T}$.

In the light of this definition, we can investigate the following.

## 3.1. $T_{T \text {-magnetic }}$ curve.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\gamma_{T}$ be a $T_{T}$-magnetic curve in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, with the Frenet apparatus $\left\{T_{T}, N_{T}, B_{T}, \kappa_{T}, \tau_{T}\right\}$. Then, we have the Frenet formula:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
T_{T}^{\prime}\left(s_{T}\right) \\
N_{T}^{\prime}\left(s_{T}\right) \\
B_{T}^{\prime}\left(s_{T}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \sqrt{1+f^{2}} & 0 \\
-\sqrt{1+f^{2}} & 0 & \sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}} \\
0 & -\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T_{T}\left(s_{T}\right) \\
N_{T}\left(s_{T}\right) \\
B_{T}\left(s_{T}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the Lorentz force in the Frenet frame can be written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\phi\left(T_{T}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
\phi\left(N_{T}\right) \\
\phi\left(B_{T}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \sqrt{1+f^{2}} & 0 \\
-\sqrt{1+f^{2}} & 0 & \Psi_{1} \\
0 & -\psi_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T_{T} \\
N_{T} \\
B_{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\Psi_{1}$ is a certain function defined by $\Psi_{1}=g\left(\phi\left(N_{T}\right), B_{T}\right)$.

Proof. From Definition 3.1, one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(T_{T}\right)=\sqrt{1+f^{2}} N_{T} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi\left(N_{T}\right) \in \operatorname{span}\left\{T_{T}, N_{T}, B_{T}\right\}$, we have

$$
\phi\left(N_{T}\right)=\lambda_{1} T_{T}+\lambda_{2} N_{T}+\lambda_{3} B_{T}
$$

Use the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\phi\left(N_{T}\right), T_{T}\right)=-g\left(\phi\left(T_{T}\right), N_{T}\right)=-\sqrt{1+f^{2}} \\
& g\left(\phi\left(N_{T}\right), N_{T}\right)=0, \quad g\left(\phi\left(N_{T}\right), B_{T}\right)=\psi_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

to get

$$
\lambda_{1}=-\sqrt{1+f^{2}}, \quad \lambda_{2}=0, \quad \lambda_{3}=\Psi_{1}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(N_{T}\right)=-\sqrt{1+f^{2}} T_{T}+\Psi_{1} B_{T} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(B_{T}\right)=-\Psi_{1} N_{T} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), we get the required result.

Proposition 3.2. The curve $\gamma_{T}$ is a $T_{T}$-magnetic trajectory of a magnetic field $F$ if and only if the vector field $V$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\Psi_{1} T_{T}+\sqrt{1+f^{2}} B_{T} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\gamma_{T}$ be a $T_{T}$-magnetic trajectory of a magnetic field $F$. Then, by using Proposition 3.1 and Eq. (2.3), we can easily have

$$
V=\psi_{1} T_{T}+\sqrt{1+f^{2}} B_{T}
$$

Conversely, we assume that Eq. (3.5) holds, then we get $V \times T_{T}=\phi\left(T_{T}\right)$ and so the curve $\gamma_{T}$ is a $T_{T \text {-magnetic curve. }}$

Theorem 3.1. Let $\gamma_{T}$ be a $T_{T}$-magnetic curve and $V$ be a Killing vector field on a space form $\left(M^{3}(K), g\right)$. If $\gamma_{T}$ is one of the $T_{T}$-magnetic trajectories of $\left(M^{3}(K), g, V\right)$, then its curvatures satisfying the following relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{1}=\text { const., } \\
\left(1+f^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\Psi_{1}}{2}-\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)=A_{1} \\
\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\sigma\left(1+f^{2}\right) \Psi_{1}-\sigma^{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}+K \sqrt{1+f^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}=A_{2} \sqrt{1+f^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $K$ is the curvature of Riemannian space $M^{3}$ and $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are constants.
Proof. Let $V$ be a vector field in Riemannian manifold $M^{3}$, then $V$ satisfies Eq. (3.5). So, differentiating Eq. (3.5) with respect to $s$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{T} V=\psi_{1}^{\prime} T_{T}+\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\left(\Psi_{1}-\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right) N_{T}+\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime} B_{T} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $V$ is a Killing vector then from Corollary 2.1, $V(v)=0$ and $\nabla_{T} V$ has no tangential component, i.e., $\Psi_{1}=$ const. Also, the differentiation of Eq. (3.6) and using Eq. (2.3) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{T}^{2} V= & \left(1+f^{2}\right)\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}-\Psi_{1}\right) T_{T}+\left(\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\sigma\left(1+f^{2}\right) \Psi_{1}-\sigma^{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}\right) B_{T} \\
& +\left(\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{1}-2 \sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)-\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}\right) N_{T} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7) and Corollary 2.1, we have $\left(V\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)=0\right)$. So, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+f^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\Psi_{1}}{2}-\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)+A_{1}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, according to Proposition 2.2, when Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7) are considered with the condition $V\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)=0$, we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^{2}}}\left(\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\sigma\left(1+f^{2}\right) \Psi_{1}-\sigma^{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}+g\left(R\left(V, T_{T}\right) T_{T}, B_{T}\right)\right)\right]^{\prime}} \\
+\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

If $M^{3}$ has constant curvature $K$, then

$$
g\left(R\left(V, T_{T}\right) T_{T}, B_{T}\right)=K g\left(V, B_{T}\right)=K \sqrt{1+f^{2}}
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\sigma\left(1+f^{2}\right) \Psi_{1}-\sigma^{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}+K \sqrt{1+f^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}=A_{2} \sqrt{1+f^{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the proof is completed.

## 3.2. $N_{T}$-magnetic curve.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\gamma_{T}$ be a $N_{T}$-magnetic curve in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ with Frenet apparatus $\left\{T_{T}, N_{T}, B_{T}, \kappa_{T}, \tau_{T}\right\}$.
Then, the Lorentz force in the Frenet frame can be written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\phi\left(T_{T}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
\phi\left(N_{T}\right) \\
\phi\left(B_{T}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \sqrt{1+f^{2}} & \psi_{2} \\
-\sqrt{1+f^{2}} & 0 & \sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}} \\
-\Psi_{2} & -\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T_{T} \\
N_{T} \\
B_{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\Psi_{2}$ is a function defined by $\Psi_{2}=g\left(\phi\left(T_{T}\right), B_{T}\right)$.
Proof. From Definition 3.1, one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(N_{T}\right)=-\sqrt{1+f^{2}} T_{T}+\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}} B_{T} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi\left(T_{T}\right) \in \operatorname{span}\left\{T_{T}, N_{T}, B_{T}\right\}$, then we have

$$
\phi\left(T_{T}\right)=\mu_{1} T_{T}+\mu_{2} N_{T}+\mu_{3} B_{T} .
$$

Using the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\phi\left(T_{T}\right), T_{T}\right)=0 \\
& g\left(\phi\left(T_{T}\right), B_{T}\right)=\Psi_{2} \\
& g\left(\phi\left(T_{T}\right), N_{T}\right)=-g\left(\phi\left(N_{T}\right), T_{T}\right)=\sqrt{1+f^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\mu_{1}=0, \mu_{2}=\sqrt{1+f^{2}}, \mu_{3}=\psi_{2}
$$

and therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(T_{T}\right)=\sqrt{1+f^{2}} N_{T}+\Psi_{2} B_{T} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we can easily obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(B_{T}\right)=-\Psi_{2} T_{T}-\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}} N_{T} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from Eqs. (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13), the proof is completed.
Corollary 3.1. Let $\gamma_{T}$ be a curve in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$. Then, the curve $\gamma_{T}$ is a $N_{T}$-magnetic trajectory of a magnetic field $F$ if and only if the vector field $V$ along $\gamma$ is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}} T_{T}-\Psi_{2} N_{T}+\sqrt{1+f^{2}} B_{T} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that we have considered in Proposition 3.2.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\gamma_{T}$ be a $N_{T}$-magnetic curve and $V$ be a Killing vector field on a space form $\left(M^{3}(K), g\right)$. If the curve $\gamma_{T}$ is one of the $N_{T}$-magnetic trajectories of $\left(M^{3}(K), g, V\right)$, then its curvatures satisfying the following relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{2}=\frac{\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+f^{2}}}, \\
\Psi_{2} \sigma^{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)-\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}-\Psi_{2}^{\prime \prime}=K \Psi_{2}, \\
\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime \prime}-2 \Psi_{2}^{\prime} \sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}-\Psi_{2}\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}+K \sqrt{1+f^{2}}+\frac{\left(1+f^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}(1+\sigma)}{2}=A_{3} \sqrt{1+f^{2}},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $A_{3}$ is a constant.
Proof. Differentiating Eq. (3.14) with respect to $s$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{T} V=\left(\Psi_{2} \sqrt{1+f^{2}}+\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}\right) T_{T}-\Psi_{2}^{\prime} N_{T}+\left(\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}-\Psi_{2} \sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right) B_{T} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $V$ is a Killing vector, then from Proposition $3.2(V(v)=0)$, we have

$$
\psi_{2}=\frac{\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+f^{2}}}
$$

Also, differentiation of Eq. (3.15) together with Eq. (2.2), gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{T}^{2} V= & \Psi_{2}^{\prime} \sqrt{1+f^{2}} T_{T}+\left(\Psi_{2} \sigma^{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)-\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}-\Psi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) N_{T} \\
& +\left(\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime \prime}-2 \Psi_{2}^{\prime} \sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}-\Psi_{2}\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}\right) B_{T} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from Eqs. (3.15) and (3.16) together with Proposition $2.2\left(V\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)=0\right)$, we get

$$
\Psi_{2} \sigma^{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)-\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}-\Psi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+g\left(R\left(V, T_{T}\right) T_{T}, N_{T}\right)=0
$$

If $M^{3}$ has a constant curvature $K$, then

$$
g\left(R\left(V, T_{T}\right) T_{T}, N_{T}\right)=K g\left(V, N_{T}\right)=-K \Psi_{2}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{2} \sigma^{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)-\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}-\Psi_{2}^{\prime \prime}-K \Psi_{2}=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the condition $V\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)=0$ in Eqs. (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^{2}}}\left(\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime \prime}-2 \Psi_{2}^{\prime} \sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}-\Psi_{2}\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}+K \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)\right]^{\prime}} \\
\quad+\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}+\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Integrating Eq. (3.18) leads to
$\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime \prime}-2 \Psi_{2}^{\prime} \sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}-\Psi_{2}\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}+K \sqrt{1+f^{2}}+\frac{\left(1+f^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}(1+\sigma)}{2}=A_{3} \sqrt{1+f^{2}}$.

Thus, this completes the proof.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\gamma_{T}$ be a $N_{T}$-magnetic curve in Euclidean 3-space with $\Psi_{2}$ is zero, then $\gamma_{T}$ is a circular helix. Moreover, the axis of the circular helix is the vector field.

Proof. It is clear from Theorem 3.2.

## 3.3. $B_{T}$-magnetic curve.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\gamma_{T}$ be a $B_{T}$-magnetic curve in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ with Frenet apparatus $\left\{T_{T}, N_{T}, B_{T}, \kappa_{T}, \tau_{T}\right\}$. Then, the Lorentz force in the Frenet frame can be written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\phi\left(T_{T}\right)  \tag{3.20}\\
\phi\left(N_{T}\right) \\
\phi\left(B_{T}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \Psi_{3} & 0 \\
-\Psi_{3} & 0 & \sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}} \\
0 & -\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T_{T} \\
N_{T} \\
B_{T}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

where $\Psi_{3}$ is given by $\Psi_{3}=g\left(\phi\left(T_{T}\right), N_{T}\right)$.

Proof. As we mentioned the above, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(B_{T}\right) & =-\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}} N_{T}  \tag{3.21}\\
\phi\left(T_{T}\right) & =v_{1} T_{T}+v_{2} N_{T}+v_{3} B_{T}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\phi\left(T_{T}\right), T_{T}\right)=0 \\
& g\left(\phi\left(T_{T}\right), N_{T}\right)=\Psi_{3} \\
& g\left(\phi\left(T_{T}\right), B_{T}\right)=-g\left(\phi\left(B_{T}\right), T_{T}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

we can obtain

$$
\mu_{1}=0, \mu_{2}=\Psi_{3}, \mu_{3}=0
$$

From this, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(T_{T}\right)=\Psi_{3} N_{T} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(N_{T}\right)=-\Psi_{3} T_{T}+\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}} B_{T} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the proof is completed.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\gamma_{T}$ be a curve in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$. The curve $\gamma_{T}$ is a $B_{T}$-magnetic trajectory of a magnetic field $F$ if and only if the vector field $V$ along $\gamma$ is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}} T_{T}+\Psi_{3} B_{T} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $\gamma_{T}$ be a $B_{T}$-magnetic curve and $V$ be a Killing vector field on a space form $\left(M^{3}(K), g\right)$. If the curve $\gamma_{T}$ is one of the $B_{T}$-magnetic trajectories of $\left(M^{3}(K), g, V\right)$, then its curvatures satisfying the following relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}=\text { const., } \\
\psi_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime} \\
\psi_{3}^{\prime \prime}+\sigma^{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}-\Psi_{3}\right)+K \psi_{3}+\frac{\left(1+f^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}{4}=A_{4} \sqrt{1+f^{2}} ; A_{4} \text { is constant. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Since $V$ is a vector field, differentiating Eq. (3.24) with respect to $s$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{T} V=\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime} T_{T}+\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}-\psi_{3}\right) N_{T}+\psi_{3}^{\prime} B_{T} . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $V$ is a Killing vector, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}=\text { const. } \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, differentiating Eq. (3.25) and using Eq. (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{T}^{2} V= & -\sigma\left(1+f^{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}-\Psi_{3}\right) T_{T}+\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\left(\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime}-2 \Psi_{3}^{\prime}\right) N_{T} \\
& +\left(\Psi_{3}^{\prime \prime}+\sigma^{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}-\Psi_{3}\right)\right) B_{T} \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)^{\prime} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, using the condition $V\left(\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}\right)=0$ in Eqs. (3.25) and (3.27), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^{2}}}\left(\Psi_{3}^{\prime \prime}+\sigma^{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}-\Psi_{3}\right)+g\left(R\left(V, T_{T}\right) T_{T}, B_{T}\right)\right)\right]^{\prime}} \\
+\Psi_{3}^{\prime} \sqrt{1+f^{2}}=0 . \tag{3.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $K=$ const., then we have

$$
g\left(R\left(V, T_{T}\right) T_{T}, B_{T}\right)=K g\left(V, B_{T}\right)=K \Psi_{3}^{\prime}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{3}^{\prime \prime}+\sigma^{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{1+f^{2}}-\Psi_{3}\right)+K \Psi_{3}^{\prime}+\frac{\left(1+f^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}{4}=A_{4} \sqrt{1+f^{2}} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus, this completes the proof.
Corollary 3.4. Let $\gamma_{T}$ be a $B_{T}$-magnetic curve in Euclidean 3-space with $\psi_{3}$ constant, then $\gamma_{T}$ is a circular helix. Moreover, the axis of the circular helix is the vector field.

Proof. It is obvious from Eq. (3.26) and Eq. (3.28).

Using Eq. (3.30), we obtain the following second-order nonlinear ordinary differential equation $u^{\prime \prime}(s)+\sigma^{2}\left(1+f^{2}\right) u(s)+K u^{\prime}(s)+2 u^{3}(s)-2 A_{4} u(s)=0, \quad u(s)=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1+f^{2}} ; K$ and $\sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}=$ const.

Now, we can consider the above differential equation in Euclidean 3 - space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, in 3 - sphere $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ and in hyperbolic 3 - space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$, respectively.

Case 3.1. Euclidean 3- space $\mathbb{E}^{3}\left(K=0, \sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}=3\right)$ :

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(s)+2 u^{3}(s)+7 u(s)=0
$$

A sample of individual solutions for this equation is given in the following figures:


Figure 1

Sample solution family:


Figure 2. Trajectories of the curvature $\kappa_{T}$ of $B$-magnetic curve in Euclidean 3-space.

Case 3.2. 3-sphere $\mathbb{S}^{3}\left(K=1, \sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}=3\right)$ :

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(s)+u^{\prime}(s)+2 u^{3}(s)+7 u(s)=0,
$$

A sample of individual solutions for this equation is given in the following figures:


Figure 3.

Sample solution family:


Figure 4. Trajectories of the curvature $\kappa_{T}$ of $B$-magnetic curve in 3 -sphere.

Case 3.3. 3- hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{3}\left(K=-1, \sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}=3\right)$ :

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(s)-u^{\prime}(s)+2 u^{3}(s)+7 u(s)=0, \quad K=-1, \quad \sigma \sqrt{1+f^{2}}=3
$$

A sample of individual solutions for this equation is given in the following figures:


Figure 5.

Sample solution family:


Figure 6. Trajectories of the curvature $\kappa_{T}$ of $B$-magnetic curve in Hyperbolic 3-space.

Remark 3.1. According to the study that we have considered in the case of magnetic curves of the tangent indicatrix of $\gamma$, we can do similar study for the other spherical indicatrices, the principal normal indicatrix and the binormal indicatrix.

## 4. Applications

In what follows, we give two computational examples to illustrate our main results.
Example 4.1. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{3}$ be a regular curve in the three-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, can be written as

$$
\alpha=\left(\frac{s}{2} \cos \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right], \frac{s}{2} \sin \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right], \frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right)
$$

taking the first derivative of the curve $\alpha$ we get

$$
T(s)=\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\cos \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]-\sin \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\cos \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]+\sin \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]\right), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) .
$$

Also, we can get the principal normal and binormal vectors of $\alpha$ respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N(s)=\left(-\frac{\cos \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]+\sin \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\cos \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]-\sin \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right) \\
& B(s)=\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sin \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]-\cos \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(-\sin \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]-\cos \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]\right), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the curvatures of $\alpha$ are

$$
\kappa(s)=\tau(s)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} s} .
$$

It is clear that $\alpha$ is a general helix. The tangent indicatrix of $\alpha$ is obtained as follows

$$
\alpha_{T}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\cos \left[\ln \left[\frac{S}{2}\right]\right]-\sin \left[\ln \left[\frac{S}{2}\right]\right]\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\cos \left[\ln \left[\frac{S}{2}\right]\right]+\sin \left[\ln \left[\frac{S}{2}\right]\right]\right), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right),
$$

From direct calculations, we can get the Frenet vectors of $\alpha_{T}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{T}\left(s_{T}\right)=\left(-\frac{\cos \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]+\sin \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\cos \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]-\sin \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right), \\
& N_{T}\left(s_{T}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sin \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]-\cos \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]\right), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\sin \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]-\cos \left[\ln \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\right]\right), 0\right), \\
& B_{T}\left(s_{T}\right)=(0,0,1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The natural representation and the curvatures of $\alpha_{T}$ are respectively,

$$
s_{T}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ln [s], \quad f=1, \quad \sigma=0, \quad \kappa_{T}=\sqrt{2}, \quad \tau_{T}=0
$$

In addition, the certain function of $\alpha_{T}$ is $\psi_{1}=$ const., it means that $\alpha_{T}$ is a $T_{T}$-magnetic curve.

Example 4.2. We consider the circular helix $\gamma$ in Euclidean 3- space defined by

$$
\gamma(s)=\left(\cos \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \sin \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right) .
$$

Differentiating this equation, we get the tangent vector $T$ as follows:

$$
T(s)=\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) .
$$

It follows that, the principal normal and binormal vectors of $\gamma$ respectively, are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N(s)=\left(-\cos \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right],-\sin \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right], 0\right) \\
& B(s)=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so, the curvatures of $\gamma$ are obtained

$$
\kappa(s)=\tau(s)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

From the above calculations, the tangent indicatrix of $\gamma$ is given as follows

$$
\gamma_{T}\left(s_{T}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) .
$$

The Frenet vectors of $\gamma_{T}$ are given as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{T}\left(s_{T}\right) & =\left(-\cos \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right],-\sin \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right], 0\right), \\
N_{T}\left(s_{T}\right) & =\left(\sin \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right],-\cos \left[\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right], 0\right), \\
B_{T}\left(s_{T}\right) & =(0,0,1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the natural representation and the curvature of $\gamma_{T}$ are respectively,

$$
s_{T}=\frac{1}{2} s, \quad f=1, \quad \sigma=0, \quad \kappa_{T}=\sqrt{2},
$$

In addition, the torsion and the certain function of $\gamma_{T}$ are respectively, $\tau_{T}=0$ and $\Psi_{2}=0$, it means that $\gamma_{T}$ is $N_{T}$-magnetic as well as $B_{T}$-magnetic curve.

(a)

(b)

Figure 7. The circular helix $\gamma$ and its spherical image $\gamma_{T}$.

## 5. Conclusion

The value of this paper is due to the important and prominent role of the theory of curves in differential geometry as well as magnetic fields that generate magnetic flow whose trajectories give so-called magnetic curves. In this sense, the idea of this work is devoted to examine some conditions to construct special magnetic curves of spherical images for a regular curve $\gamma$ in Euclidean 3-space. Some characterizations of magnetic curves of the tangent indicatrix of $\gamma$ are obtained. An application to confirm our main results is given and plotted.
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