International Journal of Analysis and Applications ISSN 2291-8639 Volume 4, Number 1 (2014), 1-10 http://www.etamaths.com

DONOHO-STARK UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE ASSOCIATED WITH A SINGULAR SECOND-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

FETHI SOLTANI

ABSTRACT. For a class of singular second-order differential operators Δ , we prove a continuous-time principles for L^1 theory and L^2 theory, respectively. Another version of continuous-time principle using $L^1 \cap L^2$ theory is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical uncertainty principle says that if a function f(t) is essentially zero outside an interval of length δt and its Fourier transform $\hat{f}(w)$ is essentially zero outside an interval of length δw , then

 $\delta t. \delta w \ge 1;$

a function and its Fourier transform cannot both be highly concentrated. The uncertainty principle is widely known for its "philosophical" applications: in quantum mechanics, of course, it shows that a particle's position and momentum cannot be determined simultaneously [10]; in signal processing it establishes limits on the extent to which the "instantaneous frequency" of a signal can be measured [9]. However, it also has technical applications, for example in the theory of partial differential equations [8].

Here we consider the second-order differential operator defined on $]0,\infty[$ by

$$\Delta u = u'' + \frac{A'}{A}u' + \rho^2 u,$$

where A is a nonnegative function satisfying certain conditions and ρ is a nonnegative real number. This operator plays an important role in analysis. For example, many special functions (orthogonal polynomials) are eigenfunctions of an operator of Δ type. The radial part of the Beltrami-Laplacian in a symmetric space is also of Δ type. Many aspects of such operators have been studied; we mention, in chronological order, in 1979 Chébli [2]; in 1981 Trimèche [15]; in 1989 Zeuner [18]; in 1994 Xu [17]; in 1997 Trimèche [16]; in 1998 Nessibi et al. [13]. In particular, the first two of these references investigate standard constructions of harmonic analysis, such as translation operators, convolution product, and Fourier transform, in connection with Δ .

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B10; 42B30; 33C45.

Key words and phrases. generalized Fourier transform; L^1 uncertainty principle; L^2 uncertainty principles.

 $[\]odot$ 2014 Authors retain the copyrights of their papers, and all open access articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

Many uncertainty principles have already been proved for the Sturm-Liouville operator Δ , namely by Rösler and Voit [14] who established an uncertainty principle for Hankel transforms. Bouattour and Trimèche [1] proved a Beurling's theorem for the Sturm-Liouville transform. Daher et al. [3, 4, 5, 6] give some related versions of the uncertainty principle for the Sturm-Liouville transform (Titchmarsh's theorem, Hardy's theorem and Miyachi's theorem). Ma [11, 12] proved a Heisenberg uncertainty principle for the Sturm-Liouville transform.

Building on the ideas of Donoho and Stark [7] we show a continuous-time principle for the L^1 theory. The analogous of this uncertainty principle in the L^2 theory is also given. We prove another versions of continuous-time principle for the L^2 theory and for the $L^1 \cap L^2$ theory.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic properties of the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} associated to Δ (Plancherel theorem, inversion formula,...). In Section 3 we prove a continuous-time principle for L^1 theory. The last section of this paper is devoted to show another versions of continuous-time principles using L^2 theory and $L^1 \cap L^2$ theory.

2. The operator Δ

We consider the second-order differential operator Δ defined on $]0, \infty[$ by

$$\Delta u = u'' + \frac{A'}{A}u' + \rho^2 u,$$

where ρ is a nonnegative real number and

$$A(x) = x^{2\alpha+1}B(x), \quad \alpha > -1/2,$$

for B a positive, even, infinitely differentiable function on \mathbb{R} such that B(0) = 1. Moreover we assume that A and B satisfy the following conditions:

(i) A is increasing and $\lim_{x \to \infty} A(x) = \infty$.

(ii)
$$\frac{A'}{A}$$
 is decreasing and $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} = 2\rho$.

(iii) There exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} = 2\rho + D(x)\exp(-\delta x) \quad \text{if } \rho > 0,$$
$$\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} = \frac{2\alpha + 1}{x} + D(x)\exp(-\delta x) \quad \text{if } \rho = 0$$

where D is an infinitely differentiable function on $]0, \infty[$, bounded and with bounded derivatives on all intervals $[x_0, \infty[$, for $x_0 > 0$. This operator was studied in [2, 13, 15], and the following results have been established:

(I) For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = -\lambda^2 u\\ u(0) = 1, \ u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution, denoted by φ_{λ} , with the following properties: φ_{λ} satisfies the product formula

$$\varphi_{\lambda}(x)\varphi_{\lambda}(y) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi_{\lambda}(z)w(x,y,z)A(z)dz \quad \text{for } x,y \ge 0;$$

where w(x, y, .) is a measurable positive function on $[0, \infty[$, with support in [|x - y|, x + y], satisfying

$$\int_0^\infty w(x, y, z) A(z) dz = 1,$$

$$w(x, y, z) = w(y, x, z) \quad \text{for } z \ge 0,$$

$$w(x, y, z) = w(x, z, y) \quad \text{for } z > 0;$$

for $x \ge 0$, the function $\lambda \to \varphi_{\lambda}(x)$ is analytic on \mathbb{C} ; for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the function $x \to \varphi_{\lambda}(x)$ is even and infinitely differentiable on \mathbb{R} ; for all $\lambda, x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\varphi_{\lambda}(x)| \le 1; \tag{2.1}$$

for all $\lambda, x > 0$,

$$\varphi_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B(x)}} j_{\alpha}(\lambda x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{A(x)}} \theta_{\lambda}(x),$$

where j_{α} is defined by $j_{\alpha}(0) = 1$ and $j_{\alpha}(s) = 2^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha + 1)s^{-\alpha}J_{\alpha}(s)$ if $s \neq 0$ (with J_{α} the Bessel function of first kind), and the function θ_{λ} satisfies

$$|\theta_{\lambda}(x)| \leq \frac{c_1}{\lambda^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\int_0^x |Q(s)| \mathrm{d}s \right) \exp\left(\frac{c_2}{\lambda} \int_0^x |Q(s)| \mathrm{d}s \right)$$

with c_1, c_2 positive constants and Q the function defined on $]0, \infty[$ by

$$Q(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{4} - \alpha^2}{x^2} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)}\right)' - \rho^2.$$

(II) For nonzero $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the equation $\Delta u = -\lambda^2 u$ has a solution Φ_{λ} satisfying

$$\Phi_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A(x)}} \exp(i\lambda x) V(x,\lambda),$$

with $\lim_{x\to\infty} V(x,\lambda) = 1$. Consequently there exists a function (spectral function)

$$\lambda \mapsto c(\lambda),$$

such that

 $\varphi_{\lambda} = c(\lambda)\Phi_{\lambda} + c(-\lambda)\Phi_{-\lambda}$ for nonzero $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Moreover there exist positive constants k_1, k_2, k_3 such that

$$k_1 |\lambda|^{\alpha + 1/2} \le |c(\lambda)|^{-1} \le k_2 |\lambda|^{\alpha + 1/2}$$

for all λ such that $\text{Im}\lambda \leq 0$ and $|\lambda| \geq k_3$. Notation 2.1. We denote by

 μ the measure defined on $[0, \infty[$ by $d\mu(x) := A(x)dx$; and by $L^p(\mu), 1 \le p \le \infty$, the space of measurable functions f on $[0, \infty[$, such that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} := \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{p} \mathrm{d}\mu(x)\right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 1 \le p < \infty, \\ \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mu)} := \operatorname{ess} \sup_{x \in [0,\infty[} |f(x)| < \infty;$$

 ν the measure defined on $[0, \infty[$ by $d\nu(\lambda) := \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi |c(\lambda)|^2}$; and by $L^p(\nu), 1 \le p \le \infty$, the space of measurable functions f on $[0, \infty[$, such that $||f||_{L^p(\nu)} < \infty$.

The Fourier transform associated with the operator Δ is defined on $L^1(\mu)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) := \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda(x) f(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \quad \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

Some of the properties of the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} are collected bellow (see [2, 13, 15, 16, 17]).

(a) $L^1 - L^{\infty}$ -boundedness. For all $f \in L^1(\mu)$, $\mathcal{F}(f) \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ and

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^{\infty}(\nu)} \le \|f\|_{L^{1}(\mu)}.$$
(2.2)

(b) Inversion theorem. Let $f \in L^1(\mu)$, such that $\mathcal{F}(f) \in L^1(\nu)$. Then

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda(x) \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\nu(\lambda), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in [0, \infty[. \tag{2.3})$$

(c) Plancherel theorem. The Dunkl transform \mathcal{F} extends uniquely to an isometric isomorphism of $L^2(\mu)$ onto $L^2(\nu)$. In particular,

$$||f||_{L^2(\mu)} = ||\mathcal{F}(f)||_{L^2(\nu)}.$$
(2.4)

Let T be measurable set of $[0, \infty[$. We introduce the time-limiting operator P_T by

$$P_T f(t) := \begin{cases} f(t), & t \in T \\ 0, & t \in [0, \infty[\backslash T. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.5)$$

This operator is bounded from $L^p(\mu)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$ into itself and

$$\|P_T f\|_{L^p(\mu)} \le \|f\|_{L^p(\mu)}, \quad f \in L^p(\mu).$$
(2.6)

Let W be measurable set of $[0, \infty[$. We introduce the partial sum operator S_W by

$$\mathcal{F}(S_W f) = \mathcal{F}(f) \mathbf{1}_W. \tag{2.7}$$

This operator is bounded from $L^2(\mu)$ into itself and

$$||S_W f||_{L^2(\mu)} \le ||f||_{L^2(\mu)}, \quad f \in L^2(\mu).$$
(2.8)

Theorem 2.2. If $\nu(W) < \infty$ and $f \in L^1(\mu)$ or $f \in L^2(\mu)$,

$$S_W f(x) = \int_W \varphi_\lambda(x) \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\nu(\lambda).$$
(2.9)

Proof. If $f \in L^1(\mu)$, then by (2.2),

$$|\mathcal{F}(f)1_W\|_{L^1(\nu)} = \int_W |\mathcal{F}(f)(w)| \mathrm{d}\nu(w) \le \nu(W) \|f\|_{L^1(\mu)},$$

and

$$|\mathcal{F}(f)1_W\|_{L^2(\nu)} = \left(\int_W |\mathcal{F}(f)(w)|^2 \mathrm{d}\nu(w)\right)^{1/2} \le \sqrt{\nu(W)} ||f||_{L^1(\mu)}$$

Thus $\mathcal{F}_k(f) \mathbb{1}_W \in L^1(\nu) \cap L^2(\nu)$ and by (2.7),

$$S_W f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Big(\mathcal{F}(f) \mathbf{1}_W \Big).$$

This combined with (2.3) gives the result.

If $f \in L^2(\mu)$, then by (2.4),

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)1_W\|_{L^1(\nu)} \le \sqrt{\nu(W)} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)},$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)1_W\|_{L^2(\nu)} \le \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{F}(f)1_W \in L^1(\nu) \cap L^2(\nu)$. This yields the desired result.

3. An L^1 uncertainty principle

Let T and W be measurable sets of $[0, \infty[$. We say that a function $f \in L^1(\mu)$ is ε -concentrated to T if there is a measurable function g(t) vanishing outside T such that $\|f - g\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^1(\mu)}$.

If f is ε_T -concentrated on T in $L^1(\mu)$ -norm (g being the vanishing function) then

$$||f - P_T f||_{L^1(\mu)} = \int_{[0,\infty[\backslash T]} |f(t)| \mathrm{d}\mu(t) \le ||f - g||_{L^1(\mu)} \le \varepsilon_T ||f||_{L^1(\mu)}$$

and therefore f is ε_T -concentrated to T in $L^1(\mu)$ -norm if and only if $||f - P_T f||_{L^1(\mu)} \le \varepsilon_T ||f||_{L^1(\mu)}$.

Let $B_1(W)$ denote the set of functions $g \in L^1(\mu)$ that are bandlimited to W (i.e. $g \in B_1(W)$ implies $S_W g = g$).

We say that f is ε -bandlimited to W in $L^1(\mu)$ -norm if there is a $g \in B_1(W)$ with $||f - g||_{L^1(\mu)} \leq \varepsilon ||f||_{L^1(\mu)}$.

The space $B_1(W)$ satisfies the following property.

Lemma 3.1. Let T and W be measurable sets of $[0, \infty[$. For $g \in B_1(W)$,

$$\frac{\|P_Tg\|_{L^1(\mu)}}{\|g\|_{L^1(\mu)}} \le \mu(T)\nu(W).$$

Proof. If $\mu(T) = \infty$ or $\nu(W) = \infty$, the inequality is clear. Assume that $\mu(T) < \infty$ and $\nu(W) < \infty$. For $g \in B_1(W)$, from Theorem 2.2,

$$g(t) = \int_{W} \varphi_w(t) \mathcal{F}(g)(w) \mathrm{d}\nu(w)$$

and by (2.1) and (2.2),

$$|g(t)| \le \nu(W) ||g||_{L^1(\mu)}.$$

Hence

$$\|P_T g\|_{L^1(\mu)} = \int_T |g(t)| \mathrm{d}\mu(t) \le \mu(T)\nu(W) \|g\|_{L^1(\mu)}.$$

Therefore, for $g \in B_1(W)$,

$$\frac{\|P_T g\|_{L^1(\mu)}}{\|g\|_{L^1(\mu)}} \le \mu(T)\nu(W),$$

which yields the result.

It is useful to have uncertainty principle for the $L^1(\mu)$ -norm. **Theorem 3.2.** Let T and W be measurable sets of $[0, \infty[$ and $f \in L^1(\mu)$. If f is ε_T -concentrated to T and ε_W -bandlimited to W in $L^1(\mu)$ -norm, then

$$\mu(T)\nu(W) \ge \frac{1 - \varepsilon_T - \varepsilon_W}{1 + \varepsilon_W}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^1(\mu)$. The triangle inequality gives

$$|P_T f||_{L^1(\mu)} \ge ||f||_{L^1(\mu)} - ||f - P_T f||_{L^1(\mu)}.$$

Since f is ε_T -concentrated to T in $L^1(\mu)$ -norm,

$$\|P_T f\|_{L^1(\mu)} \ge (1 - \varepsilon_T) \|f\|_{L^1(\mu)}.$$
(3.1)

On the other hand, f is ε_W -bandlimited to W in $L^1(\mu)$ -norm, by definition there is a g in $B_1(W)$ with $||f - g||_{L^1(\mu)} \le \varepsilon_W ||f||_{L^1(\mu)}$. For this g and by (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_T g\|_{L^1(\mu)} &\geq \|P_T f\|_{L^1(\mu)} - \|P_T (f-g)\|_{L^1(\mu)} \\ &\geq \|P_T f\|_{L^1(\mu)} - \varepsilon_W \|f\|_{L^1(\mu)} \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$|g||_{L^1(\mu)} \le (1 + \varepsilon_W) ||f||_{L^1(\mu)}$$

So that

$$\frac{\|P_Tg\|_{L^1(\mu)}}{\|g\|_{L^1(\mu)}} \ge \frac{\|P_Tf\|_{L^1(\mu)} - \varepsilon_W \|f\|_{L^1(\mu)}}{(1 + \varepsilon_W) \|f\|_{L^1(\mu)}}.$$

Thus, by (3.1) we deduce

$$\frac{\|P_Tg\|_{L^1(\mu)}}{\|g\|_{L^1(\mu)}} \ge \frac{1 - \varepsilon_T - \varepsilon_W}{1 + \varepsilon_W}$$

This combined with Lemma 3.1 proves Theorem 3.2.

4. An L^2 uncertainty principles

Let T and W be measurable sets of $[0, \infty[$. We say that a function $f \in L^2(\mu)$ is ε -concentrated to T if there is a measurable function g(t) vanishing outside T such that $||f - g||_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \varepsilon ||f||_{L^2(\mu)}$. Similarly, we say that $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is ε -concentrated to W if there is a function h(w) vanishing outside W with $||\mathcal{F}(f) - h||_{L^2(\nu)} \leq \varepsilon ||f||_{L^2(\mu)}$.

If f is ε_T -concentrated to T in $L^2(\mu)$ -norm (g being the vanishing function) then

$$\|f - P_T f\|_{L^2(\mu)} = \left(\int_{[0,\infty[\setminus T]} |f(t)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu(t)\right)^{1/2} \le \|f - g\|_{L^2(\mu)} \le \varepsilon_T \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}$$

and therefore f is ε_T -concentrated to T in $L^2(\mu)$ -norm if and only if $||f - P_T f||_{L^2(\mu)} \le \varepsilon_T ||f||_{L^2(\mu)}$.

From (2.7) it follows as for P_T that $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is ε_W -concentrated to W in $L^2(\nu)$ -norm if and only if

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f) - \mathcal{F}(S_W f)\|_{L^2(\nu)} = \|f - S_W f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \le \varepsilon_W \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

Let $B_2(W)$ denote the set of functions $g \in L^2(\mu)$ that are bandlimited to W (i.e. $g \in B_2(W)$ implies $S_W g = g$).

We say that f is ε -bandlimited to W in $L^2(\mu)$ -norm if there is a $g \in B_2(W)$ with $||f - g||_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \varepsilon ||f||_{L^2(\mu)}$.

The space $B_2(W)$ satisfies the following property.

Lemma 4.1. Let T and W be measurable sets of $[0, \infty[$. For $g \in B_2(W)$,

$$\frac{\|P_Tg\|_{L^2(\mu)}}{\|g\|_{L^2(\mu)}} \le \sqrt{\mu(T)\nu(W)}.$$

Proof. Assume that $\mu(T) < \infty$ and $\nu(W) < \infty$. For $g \in B_2(W)$, from (2.9),

$$g(t) = \int_{W} \varphi_w(t) \mathcal{F}(g)(w) \mathrm{d}\nu(w)$$

and by (2.1) and Hölder's inequality,

$$|g(t)| \le \sqrt{\nu(W)} ||g||_{L^2(\mu)}$$

6

Hence

$$\|P_T g\|_{L^2(\mu)} = \left(\int_T |g(t)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu(t)\right)^{1/2} \le \sqrt{\mu(T)\nu(W)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mu)}$$

Therefore, for $g \in B_2(W)$,

$$\frac{\|P_T g\|_{L^2(\mu)}}{\|g\|_{L^2(\mu)}} \le \sqrt{\mu(T)\nu(W)} \,,$$

which yields the result.

It is useful to have uncertainty principle for the $L^2(\mu)$ -norm. **Theorem 4.2.** Let T and W be measurable sets of $[0, \infty[$ and $f \in L^2(\mu)$. If f is ε_T -concentrated to T and ε_W -bandlimited to W in $L^2(\mu)$ -norm, then

$$\sqrt{\mu(T)\nu(W)} \ge \frac{1-\varepsilon_T-\varepsilon_W}{1+\varepsilon_W}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(\mu)$. The triangle inequality gives

$$||P_T f||_{L^2(\mu)} \ge ||f||_{L^2(\mu)} - ||f - P_T f||_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

Since f is ε_T -concentrated to T in $L^2(\mu)$ -norm,

$$\|P_T f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \ge (1 - \varepsilon_T) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}.$$
(4.1)

On the other hand, f is ε_W -bandlimited to W in $L^2(\mu)$ -norm, by definition there is a g in $B_2(W)$ with $||f - g||_{L^2(\mu)} \le \varepsilon_W ||f||_{L^2(\mu)}$. For this g and by (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_T g\|_{L^2(\mu)} &\geq \|P_T f\|_{L^2(\mu)} - \|P_T (f-g)\|_{L^2(\mu)} \\ &\geq \|P_T f\|_{L^2(\mu)} - \varepsilon_W \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$||g||_{L^{2}(\mu)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon_{W}) ||f||_{L^{2}(\mu)}.$$

So that

$$\frac{\|P_Tg\|_{L^2(\mu)}}{\|g\|_{L^2(\mu)}} \ge \frac{\|P_Tf\|_{L^2(\mu)} - \varepsilon_W \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}}{(1 + \varepsilon_W) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}}.$$

Thus, by (4.1) we deduce

$$\frac{\|P_Tg\|_{L^2(\mu)}}{\|g\|_{L^2(\mu)}} \geq \frac{1-\varepsilon_T-\varepsilon_W}{1+\varepsilon_W}$$

This combined with Lemma 4.1 proves Theorem 4.2.

Lemma 4.3. Let T and W be measurable sets of $[0, \infty[$. For $f \in L^2(\mu)$,

$$\frac{\|S_W P_T f\|_{L^2(\mu)}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}} \le \sqrt{\mu(T)\nu(W)}.$$

Proof. Assume that $\mu(T) < \infty$ and $\nu(W) < \infty$. Let $f \in L^2(\mu)$. From (2.5) and (2.9),

$$S_W P_T f(s) = \int_W \varphi_w(s) \mathcal{F}(P_T f)(w) d\nu(w)$$

=
$$\int_W \varphi_w(s) \int_T \varphi_w(t) f(t) d\mu(t) d\nu(w)$$

Since by (2.1),

$$\int_{W} \int_{T} \left| \varphi_{w}(s) \varphi_{w}(t) f(t) \right| \mathrm{d}\mu(t) \mathrm{d}\nu(w) \leq \nu(W) \sqrt{\mu(T)} \| f \|_{L^{2}(\mu)} < \infty$$

by Fubini's theorem,

$$S_W P_T f(s) = \int_T f(t) \int_W \varphi_w(s) \varphi_w(t) d\nu(w) d\mu(t),$$

so that

$$S_W P_T f(s) = \int_T q(s,t) f(t) \mathrm{d}\mu(t), \qquad (4.2)$$

where

$$q(s,t) = \int_{W} \varphi_w(s)\varphi_w(t) d\nu(w), \quad t \in T, s \in [0,\infty[.$$

For $t \in T$, let

$$g_t(s) = q(s,t) = \int_W \varphi_w(s)\varphi_w(t)\mathrm{d}\nu(w)$$

Then the inversion formula (2.3) shows that

$$\mathcal{F}(g_t)(w) = 1_W \varphi_w(t).$$

By Plancherel's formula (2.4) it then follows

$$\int_0^\infty |q(s,t)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu(s) = \int_0^\infty |g_t(s)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu(s) = \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}(g_t)(w)|^2 \mathrm{d}\nu(w) \le \nu(W)$$

By applying Hölder's inequality to (4.2),

$$|S_W P_T f(s)|^2 \le ||f||_{L^2(\mu)}^2 \int_T |q(s,t)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu(t).$$

Hence

$$\|S_W P_T f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \le \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \Big(\int_0^\infty \int_T |q(s,t)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu(t) \mathrm{d}\mu(s)\Big)^{1/2}.$$

By Fubini-Tonnelli's theorem,

$$\|S_W P_T f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \le \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \Big(\int_T \int_0^\infty |q(s,t)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu(s) \mathrm{d}\mu(t) \Big)^{1/2} \le \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \sqrt{\mu(T)\nu(W)}.$$

Thus, the proof is complete.

Thus, the proof is complete.

Another uncertainty principle for $L^2(\mu)$ -norm is obtained.

Theorem 4.4. Let T and W be measurable sets of $[0,\infty[$ and $f \in L^2(\mu)$. If f is ε_T -concentrated to T in $L^2(\mu)$ -norm and $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is ε_W -concentrated to W in $L^2(\nu)$ -norm, then

$$\sqrt{\mu(T)\nu(W)} \ge 1 - \varepsilon_T - \varepsilon_W.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(\mu)$. From (2.8) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - S_W P_T f\|_{L^2(\mu)} &\leq \|f - S_W f\|_{L^2(\mu)} + \|S_W f - S_W P_T f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon_W \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} + \|f - P_T f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \\ &\leq (\varepsilon_T + \varepsilon_W) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

The triangle inequality gives

 $\|S_W P_T f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \ge \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} - \|f - S_W P_T f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \ge (1 - \varepsilon_W - \varepsilon_T) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}.$ It then follows that $\|S_W P_T f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \ge (1 - \varepsilon_W - \varepsilon_T) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}$. The Lemma 4.3 show that

$$\sqrt{\mu(T)\nu(W)} \|f\|_{L^{2}(\mu)} \ge (1 - \varepsilon_{T} - \varepsilon_{W}) \|f\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}$$

which gives the desired result.

An uncertainty principle for $L^1(\mu) \cap L^2(\mu)$ theory is obtained. **Theorem 4.5.** Let T and W be measurable sets of $[0, \infty]$ and $f \in L^1(\mu) \cap L^2(\mu)$. If f is ε_T -concentrated to T in $L^1(\mu)$ -norm and $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is ε_W -concentrated to W in $L^2(\nu)$ -norm, then

$$\sqrt{\mu(T)\nu(W)} \ge (1-\varepsilon_T)(1-\varepsilon_W).$$

Proof. Assume that $\mu(T) < \infty$ and $\nu(W) < \infty$. Let $f \in L^1(\mu) \cap L^2(\mu)$. Since $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is ε_W -concentrated to W in $L^2(\nu)$ -norm, then

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{L^{2}(\mu)} &\leq \varepsilon_{W} \|f\|_{L^{2}(\mu)} + \left(\int_{W} |\mathcal{F}(f)(w)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\nu(w)\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{W} \|f\|_{L^{2}(\mu)} + \sqrt{\nu(W)} \|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^{\infty}(\nu)}. \end{split}$$

Thus by (2.2),

$$(1 - \varepsilon_W) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \le \sqrt{\nu(W)} \|f\|_{L^1(\mu)}.$$
(4.3)

On the other hand, since f is ε_T -concentrated to T in $L^1(\mu)$ -norm,

$$|f||_{L^{1}(\mu)} \leq \varepsilon_{T} ||f||_{L^{1}(\mu)} + \int_{T} |f(t)| d\mu(t)$$

$$\leq \varepsilon_{T} ||f||_{L^{1}(\mu)} + \sqrt{\mu(T)} ||f||_{L^{2}(\mu)}.$$

Thus

$$(1 - \varepsilon_T) \|f\|_{L^1(\mu)} \le \sqrt{\mu(T)} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}.$$
(4.4)
(4.4) we obtain the result of this theorem.

Combining (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain the result of this theorem.

References

- [1] L. Bouattour and K. Trimèche, Beurling-Hörmander's theorem for the Chébli-Trimèche transform, Glob. J. Pure Appl. Math. 1(3) (2005) 342-357
- [2] H. Chébli, Théorème de Paley-Wiener associ??un op érateur différentiel singulier sur $(0, \infty)$, J. Math. Pures Appl. 58(1) (1979) 1-19.
- [3] R. Daher, An analog of Titchmarsh's theorem of Jacobi transform, Int. J. Math. Anal. 6(20) (2012) 975 - 981.
- [4] R. Daher and T. Kawazoe, Generalized of Hardy's theorem for Jacobi transform, Hiroshima J. Math. **36**(3) (2006) 331–337.
- [5] R. Daher and T. Kawazoe, An uncertainty principle on Sturm-Liouville hypergroups, Proc. Japan Acad. 83 Ser. A (2007) 167-169.
- [6] R. Daher, T. Kawazoe and H. Mejjaoli, A generalization of Miyachi's theorem, J. Math. Soc. Japan **61**(2) (2009) 551–558.
- [7] D.L. Donoho and P.B. Stark, Uncertainty principles and signal recovery, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **49**(3) (1989) 906–931.
- [8] C.L. Fefferman, The uncertainty principle, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1983) 129–206.
- [9] D. Gabor, Theory of communication, J. Inst. Elec. Engrg. 93 (1946) 429-457.
- [10] W. Heisenberg, The Physical Principles of the Quantum Theory, Dover, NewYork, 1949 (The University of Chicago Press, 1930).
- [11] R. Ma, Heisenberg inequalities for Jacobi transforms, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332 (2007) 155-163.
- [12] R. Ma, Heisenberg uncertainty principle on Chébli-Trimèche hypergroups, Pacific J. Math. **235**(2) (2008) 289–296.
- [13] M.M. Nessibi, L.T. Rachdi and K. Trimèche, The local central limit theorem on the product of the Chébli-Trimèche hypergroup and the Euclidean hypergroup \mathbb{R}^n , J. Math. Sci. (Calcutta) **9**(2) (1998) 109–123.
- [14] M. Rösler and M. Voit, An uncertainty principle for Hankel transforms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127**(1) (1999) 183–194.
- [15] K. Trimèche, Transformation intégrale de Weyl et théorème de Paley-Wiener associés ?un opérateur différentiel singulier sur $(0, \infty)$, J. Math. Pures Appl. **60**(1) (1981) 51–98.

- [16] K. Trimèche, Inversion of the Lions transmutation operators using generalized wavelets, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 4(1) (1997) 97–112.
- [17] Z. Xu, Harmonic analysis on Chébli-Trimèche hypergroups, Ph.D. thesis, Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia, 1994.
- [18] H. Zeuner, The central limit theorem for Chébli-Trimèche hypergroups, J. Theoret. Probab. 2(1) (1989) 51–63.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Jazan University, P.O.Box 114, Jazan, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Author partially supported by DGRST project $04/\mathrm{UR}/15\text{-}02$ and CMCU program $10\mathrm{G}$ 1503