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#### Abstract

This paper studies the existence of positive solutions for the following boundary value problem :- $$
\begin{aligned} & -y^{\prime \prime}=\lambda g(t) f(y) \quad a<t<b \\ & \alpha y(a)-\beta y^{\prime}(a)=0 \\ & y(b)=0 \end{aligned}
$$

The solution procedure follows using the Fixed point theorem and obtains that this problem has at least one positive solution .Also,it determines ( $\lambda$ ) Eigenvalue which would be needed to find the positive solution .
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## Introduction

In this paper we shall consider the second - order boundary value problem (BVP)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
-y^{\prime \prime}=\lambda g(t) f(y) & a<t<b  \tag{1.1}\\
\alpha y(a)-\beta y^{\prime}(a)=0 \\
y(b)=0 &
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The following conditions will be assumed throughout :-
A- $\mathrm{f}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous ,
B- $\mathrm{g}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous and does not vanish identically on any subinterval,
C- $f_{0}=\operatorname{Lim}_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x)}{x}$ and $f_{\infty}=\operatorname{Lim}_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{x}$ exist ,
D- $\alpha, \beta$ such that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are not both zero and $Z=\alpha+\beta>0$, and
E- $a \geq 0, b \leq 1$.
The boundary value problem (1.1) arises in the applied mathematical sciences such as nonlinear diffusion generated by nonlinear sources, thermal ignition of gases and chemical concentrations in biological problems ; for example see [1], [2], [3]. When $\lambda=1$ and f is either sup erlinear that is ( $\mathrm{f}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{f}_{\infty}=\infty$ ) or f is sublinear that is $\left(\mathrm{f}_{0}=\infty\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{f}_{\infty}=0\right)$,

Erbe and Wang [5] obtained solutions that are positive with respect to a cone which lies in an annular type region.The methods of [5] were then extended to higher order BVP in [4] .
For the case $\alpha=1, \beta=0, \gamma=1, \delta=0$, Johnny Henderson and Haiyan Wang [7] obtained solutions that are positive for an open interval of eigenvalues. Not required in this work that f would be either superlinear or sublinear, yet, as in [4], [5] but as in [7] , the arguments presented here for obtaining solutions of(1.1)for certain $\lambda$ involve concavity properties of solutions, which are employed in defining a cone on which a positive integral operator is defined. A Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem [8] is applied to yield positive solutions of (1.1), for $\lambda$ belongs to an open interval.

Section 2 , presents some properties of Green's functions that are used in defining a positive operator, also states the Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem .
Section 3, gives an appropriate Banach space and constructs a cone to which we apply the fixed point theorem yielding solutions of 1.1 , for an open interval of eigenvalues .

## 2- Some Preliminaries

In this section, we state the above mentioned Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem. We will apply this fixed point theorem to completely continuous integral operator, whose kernal , $G(t, s)$, is the Green's function for
$-y^{\prime \prime}=0$
$\alpha y(a)-\beta y^{\prime}(a)=0$
$y(b)=0$
Is
$G(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{Z}(\alpha t+\beta)(1-s) & a \leq t \leq s \leq b \\ \frac{1}{Z}(\alpha s+\beta)(1-t) & a \leq s \leq t \leq b\end{cases}$
from which
$\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s})>0$ on $(0,1) \times(0,1)$,
$\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s}) \leq \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{Z}}(\alpha \mathrm{s}+\beta)(1-\mathrm{s}) \quad, \mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{s} \leq \mathrm{b}$,
and it is shown in [5] that :-
$\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s}) \geq \mathrm{MG}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s})=\mathrm{M} \frac{1}{\mathrm{Z}}(\alpha \mathrm{s}+\beta)(1-\mathrm{s}) \quad, \frac{2 \mathrm{a}+1}{4} \leq \mathrm{t} \leq \frac{2 \mathrm{~b}+1}{4}, \mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{s} \leq \mathrm{b}, \ldots$
Where $M=\min \left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\alpha+4 \beta}{4(\alpha+\beta)}\right\}$
We shall apply the following fixed point theorem to obtain solutions of (1.1), for certain $\lambda$
Theorem 1 [8]. Let B a Banach space, and let P be a cone in B . Assume N, K are be $0 \in \mathrm{~N} \subset \overline{\mathrm{~N}} \subset \mathrm{~K}$, and let $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{P} \cap(\overline{\mathrm{K}} \backslash \mathrm{N}) \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ open subsets of B with
a completely continuous operator such that, either
$1-\|\mathrm{Tu}\| \leq\|\mathrm{u}\|, \mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{P} \cap \partial \mathrm{N}$, and $\|\mathrm{Tu}\| \geq\|\mathrm{u}\|, \mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{P} \cap \partial \mathrm{K}$, or
$2-\|\mathrm{Tu}\| \geq\|\mathrm{u}\|, \mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{P} \cap \partial \mathrm{N}$, and $\|\mathrm{Tu}\| \leq\|\mathrm{u}\|, \mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{P} \cap \partial \mathrm{K}$
. $\mathrm{P} \cap(\overline{\mathrm{K}} \backslash \mathrm{N})$ Then T has a fixed point in

## 3. Solutions in The Cone

In this section, apply Theorem 1 to the eigenvalue problem (1.1). Note that $y(t)$ is a solution of (1.1) if , and only if,
$y(t)=\lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) g(s) f(y(s)) d s \quad, \quad a \leq t \leq b$
For our construction, let $B=C[a, b]$, with norm, $\|x\|=\operatorname{Sup}_{a \leq t \leq b}|x(t)|$
Define a cone P by :
$P=\left\{x \in B: x(t) \geq 0\right.$ on $\left.[a, b], \min _{\frac{2 a+1}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{2 b+1}{4}} x(t) \geq M\|x\|\right\}$
$M=\min \left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\alpha+4 \beta}{4(\alpha+\beta)}\right\}$ Where
Also, let the number $h \in[a, b]$ be defined by
$\int_{\frac{2 a+1}{4}}^{\frac{2 b+1}{4}} G(h, s) g(s) d s=\max \int_{\frac{2 a+1}{4}}^{\frac{2 b+1}{4}} G(t, s) g(s) d s$
Theorem 2. Assume that conditions (A),(B),(C) and (D) are satisfied .Then , for each $\lambda$ satisfy ing
... (3.2)......

there exists at least one solution of (1.1) in P .
Proof. Let $\lambda$ be given as in (3.2). Now, let $\varepsilon>0$ be chosen such that
$\frac{4}{\left(M \int_{(2 a+1 / 4}^{(2 b+1) / 4} G(h, s) g(s) d s\right)\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right)}<\lambda<\frac{1}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} G(s, s) g(s) d s\right)\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right)}$
Define an integral operator $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ by
$T y(t)=\lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) g(s) f(y(s)) d s \quad, \quad y \in P$
We seek a fixed point of $T$ in the cone $P$.
From (2.2), we note that, for $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Ty}(\mathrm{t}) \geq 0$ on $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$. Also, for $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{P}$, we have from (2.3) that
$T y(t)=\lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) g(s) f(y(s)) d s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T y\| \leq \lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(s, s) g(s) f(y(s)) d s \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{P}$, we have by (2.4) and (3.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{\frac{2 a+1}{4} \leq \leq \frac{2 b+1}{4}} T y(t)=\min _{\frac{2 a+1 \leq t \leq \frac{2 b+1}{4}}{} \lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) g(s) f(y(s)) d s} \\
& \quad \geq M \lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(s, s) g(s) f(y(s)) d s \\
& \quad \geq M\|T y\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rightarrow \mathrm{p}$. In addition, standard arguments show that T is As a consequence, $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{p}$ completely continuous.
Now, turning to $\mathrm{f}_{0}$, there exist an $\mathrm{K}_{1}>0$ such that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \leq\left(\mathrm{f}_{0}+\varepsilon\right) \mathrm{x}$, for $0<\mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{K}_{1}$. $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{P}$ such that $\|\mathrm{y}\|=\mathrm{K}_{1}$, we have from (2.3) and (3.3) So , by choosing

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ty}(\mathrm{t}) & \leq \lambda \int_{a}^{b} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{~s})) \mathrm{ds} \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{a}^{b} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~s})\left(\mathrm{f}_{0}+\varepsilon\right) \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{ds} \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{a}^{b} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{ds}\left(\mathrm{f}_{0}+\varepsilon\right) \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{~s})\|\mathrm{y}\| \\
& \leq\|y\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\|\mathrm{Ty}\| \leq \mid \mathrm{y} \|$. So , if we set $\Omega_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{B} \mid\|\mathrm{x}\|<\mathrm{K}_{1}\right\}$
then
$\|\mathrm{Ty}\| \leq\|\mathrm{y}\|$, for $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{P} \cap \square \partial \Omega_{1}$.
Next , considering $f_{\infty}$, there exist an $K_{2}>0$ such that $f(x) \geq\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right) x$, for all $x>K_{2}$.
Let $K_{3}=\max \left\{2 K_{1}, K_{2} / M\right\}$ and let $\Omega_{2}=\left\{x \in B \mid\|x\|<K_{3}\right\}$
If $y \in P$ with $\|y\|=K_{3}$, then $\min _{\frac{2 a+1}{4} \leq \leq \leq \frac{2 b+1}{4}} y(t) \geq M|y|=M K_{3} \geq K_{2}$, and we have from
and (3.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T y(h) & =\lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(h, s) g(s) f(y(s)) d s \\
& \geq \lambda \int_{(2 a+1) / 4}^{(2 b+1) / 4} G(h, s) g(s) f(y(s)) d s \\
& \geq \lambda \int_{(2 a+1) / 4}^{(2 b+1 / 4} G(h, s) g(s)\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right) y(s) d s \\
& \geq \lambda \frac{\lambda}{M} \int_{(2 a+1)}^{(2 b+1) / 4} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{ds}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right)|y| \\
& \geq\|y\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\|T y\| \geq \mid y \|$. Hence,
$\|\mathrm{Ty}\| \geq\|y\|, \quad$ for $\mathrm{y} \in \square \mathrm{P} \cap \square \partial \Omega_{2}$
Applying (1) of theorem 1 to (3.6) and (3.7) yields that T has a fixed point $\in \mathrm{P} \cap\left(\overline{\Omega_{2}} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$. As such, $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})$ is a desired solution of 1.1 for the given $\lambda$. Further, since G $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s})>0$, it follows that $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})>0$ for $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{t}<\mathrm{b}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.
Theorem 3. Assume that condition (A),(B),(C), (D) and (E) are satisfied. Then, for each $\lambda$ satisfy ing
$\frac{4}{\left(M \int_{(2 a+1) / 4}^{(2 b+1) / 4} G(h, s) g(s) d s\right) f_{0}}<\lambda<\frac{1}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} G(s, s) g(s) d s\right) f_{\infty}}$
there exists at least one solution of 1.1 in P .
Proof. Let $\lambda$ be given as in (3.8). Now, let $\varepsilon>0$ be chosen such that


Let T be the cone preserving, completely continuous operator that was defined by (3.4). Beginning with $f_{0}$, there exists an $K_{4}>0$ such that $f(x) \geq\left(f_{0}-\varepsilon\right) x$, for $0<x \leq K_{4}$.
$y \in P$ such that $\|y\|=K_{4}$, we have from (3.1) and (3.9) so , for So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ty}(\mathrm{h}) & =\lambda \int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{~s})) \mathrm{ds} \\
& \geq \lambda \int_{(2 \mathrm{a}+1)}^{(2 b+1) / 4} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{~s})) \mathrm{ds} \\
& \geq \lambda \int_{(2 \mathrm{a}+1)}^{(2 b+1) / 4} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~s})\left(\mathrm{f}_{0}-\varepsilon\right) \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{ds} \\
& \geq \mathrm{M} \lambda \int_{(2 b+1) / 4}^{4} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{ds}\left(\mathrm{f}_{0}-\varepsilon\right) \mid \mathrm{y} \| \\
& \geq \| y{ }^{(2 \mathrm{a}+1)} / 4
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\|T y\| \geq\|y\|$. So , if we let
$\Omega_{3}=\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{B} \mid\|\mathrm{x}\|<\mathrm{K}_{4}\right\}$
then
$\|T y\| \geq\|y\|$ for $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{P} \cap \partial \Omega_{3}$
It remains to consider $f_{\infty}$, there exists an $K_{5}>0$ such that $f(x) \leq\left(f_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) x$, for all $x>K_{5}$. There are the two cases, (a) $f$ is bounded, and (b) $f$ is unbounded .
For case (a), suppose $K_{6}>0$ is such that $f(x) \leq K_{6}$, for all $0<x<\infty$.

Let $K_{7}=\max \left\{2 K_{4}, K_{6} \lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(s, s) g(s) f(y(s)) d s\right\}$. Then, for $y \in P$ with $\|y\|=K_{7}$ we have from (2.3) and (3.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
T y(t) & \left.=\lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) g(s) f(y(s)) d s\right\} \\
& \leq \lambda K_{6} \int_{a}^{b} G(s, s) g(s) d s \\
& \leq\|y\|
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\|T y\| \leq \mid y \|$. So if $\Omega_{4}=\left\{x \in B \mid\|x\|<\mathrm{K}_{7}\right\}$
then
$\|\mathrm{Ty}\| \leq\|\mathrm{y}\|$, for $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{P} \cap \partial \Omega_{4}$
For case (b), let $K_{8}>\max \left\{2 K_{4}, K_{5}\right\}$ be such that $f(x) \leq f\left(K_{8}\right)$, for $0<x \leq K_{8}$.
By choosing $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{P}$ such that $\|\mathrm{y}\|=\mathrm{K}_{8}$ and we have from (2.3),(3.2) and (3.9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
T y(t) & =\lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) g(s) f(y(s)) d s \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(s, s) g(s) f(y(s)) d s \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(s, s) g(s) f\left(K_{8}\right) d s \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(s, s) g(s) d s\left(f_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) K_{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

But
$\lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(s, s) g(s) d s\left(f_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) K_{8}=\lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(s, s) g(s) d s\left(f_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) \| y \mid$
Therefore
$T y(\mathrm{t}) \leq \lambda \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ds}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right)\|y\|$
and so $\|T y\| \leq \mid y \|$. For this case, if we let
$\Omega_{4}=\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{B} \mid\|\mathrm{x}\|<\mathrm{K}_{8}\right\}$
then
$\|\mathrm{Ty}\| \leq\|\mathrm{y}\| \quad, \quad$ for $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{P} \cap \partial \Omega_{4}$
Thus, in both cases, an applying of part (2) of theorem 1 to (3.10),(3.11) and (3.12) yields that T has a fixed point $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}) \in \mathrm{P} \cap\left(\overline{\Omega_{4}} \backslash \Omega_{3}\right)$. As such, $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})$ is a desired solution of 1.1 for the given $\lambda$. Further, since $G(t, s)>0$, it follows that $y(t)>0$ for $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{t}<\mathrm{b}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.
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## الخلاصة

هنا البحث درس وجود الحلول الموجبة للمسألة الحدودية الاتية :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -y^{\prime \prime}=\lambda g(t) f(y) \quad a<t<b \\
& \alpha y(a)-\beta y^{\prime}(a)=0 \\
& y(b)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

مستخدما نظرية النقطة الثابتة وتوصلت إلى أن هذه المسألة تمتلك على الأقل حلا واحدا موجبا وتم تحديد قيم المعلمـة $\lambda$ ) ( التي عندها نوجد حلول موجبة للمسألة الحدودية .

