

Ibn Al-Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Sciences Journal homepage: jih.uobaghdad.edu.iq



Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodules^(II)

Omar A. Abdullah Department of Mathematics College of Computer Science and Mathematics Tikrit University / Iraq. <u>omer.a.abdullah35383@st.tu.edu.iq</u> Haibat K. Mohammadali Department of Mathematics College of Computer Science and Mathematics Tikrit University / Iraq. <u>H.mohammadali@tu.edu.iq</u>

Article history: Received 16 September 2022, Accepted 6 November 2022, Published in April 2023.

doi.org/10.30526/36.2.3045

Abstract

The concept of the Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodules was recently introduced by Omar A. Abdullah and Haibat K. Mohammadali in 2022, where he studies this concept and it is relationship to previous generalizationsm especially 2-Absorbing submodule and Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule, in addition to studying the most important Propositions, charactarizations and Examples. Now in this research, which is considered a continuation of the definition that was presented earlier, which is the Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodules, we have completed the study of this concept in multiplication modules. And the relationship between the Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule and Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing ideal. We also studied more result of Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule in multiplication module. In the end, we obtained new Propositions and distinguished results in studying this concept.

Keywords: EXNPQ-2-Absorbing submodule, multiplication modules, non-singular modules, faithful module, projective module, good rings and local rings.

1. Introduction

In recent years, many generalizations have appeared about the concept of the 2-Absorbing submodule such as (Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing, Nearly Quasi-2-Absorbing and Soc-QP2-Absorbing) submodules see [1, 2 and 3]. The concept of the Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodules is one of the recent generalizations that were recently introduced by us, researchers, Omar and Haibat see [4]. Where we dealt with in the previous research basic properties with relationships. The present work is divided into three parts. Part one is preliminaries part, we present in this part of the work the necessary background needed later consisting of definitions, propositions and remarks (without proof) and in the second part we introduced and studied the concept of the Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule in multiplication module. Also we got a lot of important results like Propositions 3.2, 3.6 and 3.7. In the end we

407

presented more result of Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule in multiplication modules. See Propositions 4.1, 4.2 and 4.10.

2. Preliminaries

The following list some fundamental definitions and notations that will be utilized in this paper.

Definition 2.1[4].

A proper submodule V of an R-module W is said to be Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing (for short EXNPQ2AB) submodule of W if whenever $abcx \in V$, where $a,b,c \in R, x \in W$, implies that either $acx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. And an ideal P of a ring R is called EXNPQ2AB ideal of R, if P is an EXNPQ2AB R-submodule of an R-module R.

Definition 2.2[5].

An R-module W is multiplication, if every submodule V of W is of the form V = PW for some ideal P of R. Equivalently W is a multiplication R-module if every submodule V of W of the form $V = [V_{R} W]W$.

Definition 2.3[6].

An R-module W is faithful if $ann_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{W}) = (0)$, where $ann_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{W}) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : rw = (0)\}$.

Definition 2.4[6].

An R-module W is finitely generated if $W = Rx_1 + Rx_2 + \dots + Rx_n$ for $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in W$.

Definition 2.5[7].

An R-module W is called concellation module if PW = BW for any ideals P and B of R implies that P = B.

Lemma 2.6[5, Coro. (2.14) (i)].

Let W be faithful multiplication R-module, then soc(R)W = soc(W).

Lemma 2.7 [8, Coro. (2.14) (i)].

Let W be faithful multiplication R-module, then J(R)W = J(W).

Definition 2.8[6].

An R-module W is a projective if *for any* R-epimorphism *f* from an R-module W on to an R-module \overline{W} and for any homomorphism *g* from an R-module $\overline{\overline{W}}$ to \overline{W} , there exists a homomorphism

h from $\overline{\overline{W}}$ to W such that $f \circ h = g$.

Lemma 2.9[6, Theo. (9.2.1) (g)].

For any projective R-module W, we have J(R)W = J(W).

Lemma 2.10[8, Prop. (3.24)].

For any projective R-module W, we have soc(R)W = soc(W).

Remark 2.11[6].

R is a good ring if J(R)W = J(W).

Definition 2.12[9].

Aring R is Artinian if R satisfies (DCC) is an ideals of R, that is if $\{P_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a family of ideals of R such that $P_1 \supseteq P_2 \supseteq \cdots$, then $\exists m \in Z^+$ such that Pn = Pm for any $n \ge m$.

Definition 2.13[10].

Aring R is said to be local ring R if R has a unique maximal ideal.

Lemma 2.14[6, Coro. (9.7.3) (b)].

If R is an Artinian ring, then R is a good ring.

Lemma 2.15[11, Prop. (1.12)].

If W is an R-module over local ring R, then J(R)W = J(W).

Definition 2.16[12].

An R-module W is *non-singular* if Z(W) = W, where $Z(W) = \{x \in W : xP = (0), for some essential ideal P of R\}.$

Lemma 2.17[12, Coro. (1.26)].

Let W be is a non-singular R-modules, then soc(R)W = soc(W).

Lemma 2.18[13, Coro of Theo. (9)].

Let W be a finitely generated multiplication R-module P and B are ideals of R. Then $PW \subseteq BW$ if and only if $P \subseteq B + ann_R(W)$.

Definition 2.19[14].

An R-module W is called a Z-regular if for each $e \in W$ there exists $f \in W' = Hom_{\mathbb{R}}(W, \mathbb{R})$ such that e = f(e)e.

Definition 2.20[15].

An R-module W is called weak cancellation if BW = PW, implies that $B + ann_R(W) = P + ann_R(W)$ for B, P are ideals in R.

Lemma 2.21[8, Prop. (3.25)].

Let W be a Z-regular R-module, then soc(W) = soc(R)W.

Lemma 2.22[7, Prop. (3.9)].

If W is a multiplication R-module, then W is finitely generated if and only if W is weak cancellation.

Lemma 2.23[7, Prop. (3.1)].

If W is a multiplication R-module, then W is concellation if and only if W is faithful finitely generated.

Proposition 2.24[4, Prop. (3.4)].

A proper submodule V of W is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if $abc\mathcal{L} \subseteq V$, for a, b, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and \mathcal{L} is a submodule of W, implies that either $ac\mathcal{L} \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bc\mathcal{L} \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $ab\mathcal{L} \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Proposition 2.25[4, Prop. (3.5)].

Let W be module and $V \subset W$. Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if for every submodule A of W and for every ideals P_1, P_2, P_3 of R such that $P_1P_2P_3A \subseteq V$, implies that either $P_1P_2A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_1P_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_2P_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Proposition 2.26[4, Coro. (3.7)].

Let W be an R-module and $V \subseteq W$. Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if for each $r \in \mathbb{R}, x \in W$ and every ideals \mathbb{P}, J of R with $r\mathbb{P}Jx \subseteq V$, implies that either $r\mathbb{P}x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rJx \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $\mathbb{P}Jx \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Proposition 2.27[4, Coro. (3.8)].

Let W be an R-module and $V \subset W$. Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if for every ideals P_1, P_2, P_3 of R and $x \in W$ such that $P_1P_2P_3x \subseteq V$ implies that either $P_1P_2x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_1P_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_2P_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Proposition 2.28[4, Coro. (3.9)].

Let W be an R-module and $V \subset W$. Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if for any $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and any ideal P of R and every submodule A of W with $rsPA \subseteq V$ implies that either $rsA \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rPA \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $sPA \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Proposition 2.29[4, Coro. (3.10)].

Let W be an R-module and $V \subset W$. Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and any ideals P, J of R and every submodule A of W with $rPJA \subseteq V$ implies that either $rPA \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rJA \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

3. Main Results

In this part we introduced some characterizations of Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodules in multiplication modules.

Proposition 3.1

Let W be a multiplication R-module and $V \neq W$. Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if whenever $H_1H_2H_3A \subseteq V$ for some submodules H_1, H_2, H_3, A of W, implies that either $H_1H_2A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $H_1H_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $H_2H_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Proof.

(⇒) Let $H_1H_2H_3A \subseteq V$ for some submodules H_1 , H_2 , H_3 , A of W. Since W is a multiplication, then $H_1 = P_1W$, $H_2 = P_2W$, $H_3 = P_3W$ and $A = P_4W$ for some ideals P_1 , P_2 , P_3 and P_4 of R. That is $H_1H_2H_3A = P_1P_2P_3(P_4W) \subseteq V$. But V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, hence from **Proposition 2.25** we get either $P_1P_2(P_4W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_1P_3(P_4W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_2P_3(P_4W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Next, following either $H_1H_2A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $H_1H_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $H_2H_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. P

(⇐) Let $P_1P_2P_3A \subseteq V$ for P_1 , P_2 , P_3 are ideals of R and A is a submodule of W. Put $H_1 = P_1W$, $H_2 = P_2W$ and $H_3 = P_3W$. That is $H_1H_2H_3A \subseteq V$. Now, by hypotheses either $H_1H_2A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $H_1H_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $H_2H_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$, thus $P_1P_2A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_1P_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_2P_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Therefore by **Proposition 2.25** *V* is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

Proposition 3.2

Let W be a multiplication R-module and $V \neq W$. Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if whenever $F_1F_2F_3x \subseteq V$ for some submodules F_1, F_2, F_3 of $W, x \in W$, then either $F_1F_2x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $F_1F_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $F_2F_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Proof.

(⇒) Let *V* is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W and $F_1F_2F_3x \subseteq V$ for some submodules F_1,F_2,F_3 of W and $x \in W$. Since W is a multiplication, then $F_1 = P_1W$, $F_2 = P_2W$ and $F_3 = P_3W$ for some

ideals P_1 , P_2 and P_3 of R. That is $F_1F_2F_3x = P_1P_2P_3x \subseteq V$. But V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, hence from **Proposition 2.27** we get either $P_1P_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_2P_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_1P_2x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Next, following either $F_1F_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $F_2F_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $F_1F_2x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $F_1F_2x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $P_1P_2P_3x \subseteq V$ for P_1, P_2, P_3 are ideals of R and $x \in W$. Put $F_1 = P_1W, F_2 = P_2W$ and $F_3 = P_3W$. That is $F_1F_2F_3x \subseteq V$. Now, by hypotheses either $F_1F_2x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $F_1F_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $F_2F_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$, thus $P_1P_2x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_1P_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_2P_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Therefore by **Proposition 2.27** *V* is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

Proposition 3.3

Let W be a multiplication R-module and $V \neq W$. Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if whenever $m_1m_2m_3H \subseteq V$ for some $m_1,m_2,m_3 \in W$, H is a submodule of W, implies that either $m_1m_2H \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $m_1m_3H \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $m_2m_3H \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Proof.

(⇒) Let $m_1m_2m_3H \subseteq V$ for some $m_1,m_2,m_3 \in W$ and H is a submodule of W. That is $(m_1)(m_2)(m_3)H \subseteq V$ Since W is a multiplication, then $(m_1) = P_1W$, $(m_2) = P_2W$, $(m_3) = P_3W$ and $H = P_4W$ for some ideals P_1 , P_2 , P_3 and P_4 of R. That is $(m_1)(m_2)(m_3)H = P_1P_2P_3(P_4W) \subseteq V$. But V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, hence from **Proposition 2.25** we get either $P_1P_3(P_4W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_2P_3(P_4W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_1P_2(P_4W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Next, following either $m_1m_3H \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $m_2m_3H \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $m_1m_2H \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $\mathbb{P}_1\mathbb{P}_2\mathbb{P}_3\mathbb{H} \subseteq V$ for $\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{P}_2, \mathbb{P}_3$ are ideals of R and H is a submodule of W. Put $(\mathfrak{m}_1) = \mathbb{P}_1\mathbb{W}, \quad (\mathfrak{m}_2) = \mathbb{P}_2\mathbb{W}$ and $(\mathfrak{m}_3) = \mathbb{P}_3\mathbb{W}$. That is $(\mathfrak{m}_1)(\mathfrak{m}_2)(\mathfrak{m}_3)\mathbb{H} \subseteq V$. That is $\mathfrak{m}_1\mathfrak{m}_2\mathfrak{m}_3\mathbb{H} \subseteq V$. Now, by hypotheses either $\mathfrak{m}_1\mathfrak{m}_2\mathbb{H} \subseteq V + soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W})$ or $\mathfrak{m}_1\mathfrak{m}_3\mathbb{H} \subseteq V + soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W})$ or $\mathfrak{m}_2\mathfrak{m}_3\mathbb{H} \subseteq V + soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W})$, thus $(\mathfrak{m}_1)(\mathfrak{m}_2)\mathbb{H} \subseteq V + soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W})$ or $(\mathfrak{m}_1)(\mathfrak{m}_3)\mathbb{H} \subseteq V + soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W})$ or $(\mathfrak{m}_2)(\mathfrak{m}_3)\mathbb{H} \subseteq V + soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W})$. Then $\mathbb{P}_1\mathbb{P}_2\mathbb{H} \subseteq V + soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W})$ or $\mathbb{P}_1\mathbb{P}_3\mathbb{H} \subseteq V + soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W})$ or $\mathbb{P}_2\mathbb{P}_3\mathbb{H} \subseteq V + soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W})$. Therefore by **Proposition 2.25** V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

Remark 3.4

If *V* is an EXNPQ2AB submodule of an R-module W, then $[V_{R}, W]$ need not to be EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

The following example shows that:

Let $W = \mathbb{Z}_{48}$, $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Z}$ and the submodule $V = \langle \overline{16} \rangle$ is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, since $soc(\mathbb{Z}_{48}) = \langle \overline{2} \rangle \cap \langle \overline{3} \rangle \cap \langle \overline{8} \rangle \cap \mathbb{Z}_{48} = \langle \overline{8} \rangle$ and $J(\mathbb{Z}_{48}) = \langle \overline{2} \rangle \cap \langle \overline{3} \rangle = \langle \overline{6} \rangle$. Then $\langle \overline{16} \rangle + soc(\mathbb{Z}_{48}) + J(\mathbb{Z}_{48}) = \langle \overline{16} \rangle + \langle \overline{8} \rangle + \langle \overline{6} \rangle = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$, hence for all $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, e \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{48}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}em \in \langle \overline{16} \rangle$, implies that either $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{m} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle$ or $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{m} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle$ or $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{m} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle$. But $[\langle \overline{16} \rangle :_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Z}_{48}] = 16\mathbb{Z}$ is not an

EXNPQ2AB *ideal* of Z, since $2.4.2.1 \in 16Z$, for $1,2,4 \in Z$, implies that $2.4.1 \notin 16Z$ and $2.2.1 \notin 16Z$ and $4.2.1 \notin 16Z$.

Under certain conditions, the above observation is fulfilled.

Proposition 3.5

Let $F \neq W$ and W is faithful multiplication R-module. Then F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if $[F_{R} W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

Proof.

(⇒) Let F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, and $P_1P_2P_3P_4 \subseteq [F_{:R}W]$ for some ideals P_1, P_2, P_3 and P_4 of R, then $P_1P_2P_3P_4W \subseteq F$. But W is a multiplication, then $P_1P_2P_3P_4W = F_1F_2F_3F_4 \subseteq$ F, by taking $P_1W = F_1$, $P_2W = F_2$, $P_3W = F_3$ and $P_4W = F_4$. But F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, then by **Proposition 3.1** either $F_1F_3F_4 \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $F_2F_3F_4 \subseteq F +$ soc(W) + J(W) or $F_1F_2F_4 \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$. Since W is multiplication, then $F = [F_{:R}W]W$, and since W is faithful multiplication, then by **Lemma 2.6** soc(W) = soc(R)W and by **Lemma 2.7** J(W) = J(R)W. Thus either $P_1P_3P_4W \subseteq [F_{:R}W]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $P_2P_3P_4W \subseteq$ $[F_{:R}W]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $P_1P_2P_4W \subseteq [F_{:R}W]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$. Hence either $P_1P_3P_4 \subseteq [F_{:R}W] + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $P_2P_3P_4 \subseteq [F_{:R}W] + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $P_1P_2P_4 \subseteq$ $[F_{:R}W] + soc(R) + J(R)$. Therefore $[F_{:R}W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $[F:_R W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R, and $rstA \subseteq F$ for $r, s, t \in R$ and A is a submodule of W, since W is a multiplication, then A = PW for some ideal P of R, that is $rstPW \subseteq F$, implies that $rstP \subseteq [F:_R W]$, but $[F:_R W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R, then by **Proposition 2.24** either $rsP \subseteq [F:_R W] + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $rtP \subseteq [F:_R W] + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $stP \subseteq [F:_R W] + soc(R) + J(R)$. Thus either $rsPW \subseteq [F:_R W]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $stPW \subseteq [F:_R W]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $stPW \subseteq [F:_R W]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$. Since W is a faithful multiplication, then $[F:_R W]W = F$ and by **Lemma 2.6** and **Lemma 2.7** either $rsA \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rtA \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $stA \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$. Thus by **Proposition 2.24** F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

Proposition 3.6

Let $F \neq W$ and W is multiplication projective R-module. Then F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if $[F_R W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

Proof.

(⇒) Assume that F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, and $P_1P_2P_3b \subseteq [F_RW]$ for some ideals P_1, P_2, P_3 of R and $b \in R$, then $P_1P_2P_3(bW) \subseteq F$. But F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, then by **Proposition 2.25** either $P_1P_3bW \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_2P_3bW \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $P_1P_2bW \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$. Since W is multiplication, then $F = [F_RW]W$, and since W is projective R-module W, then by **Lemma 2.10** soc(W) = soc(R)W and by **Lemma 2.9** J(W) = J(R)W. Thus either $P_1P_3bW \subseteq [F_RW]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $P_2P_3bW \subseteq [F_RW]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $P_1P_2bW \subseteq [F_RW]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$. Hence $P_1P_3b \subseteq [F_RW] + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $P_2P_3b \subseteq [F_RW] + soc(R) + J(R)$. Therefore by **Proposition 2.27** $[F_RW] + soc(R) + J(R)$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $[F:_R W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R, and $rsPA \subseteq F$ for $r, s \in R$ and some submodule A of W and for some ideal P of R since W is a multiplication, then A = JW for some ideal J of R, that is $rsPJW \subseteq F$, implies that $rsPJ \subseteq [F:_R W]$, but $[F:_R W]$ is EXNPQ2AB *ideal* of R, then by **Proposition 2.28** either $rsJ \subseteq [F:_R W] + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $rPJ \subseteq [F:_R W] + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $sPJ \subseteq [F:_R W] + soc(R) + J(R)$. Thus either $rsJW \subseteq [F:_R W]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $rPJW \subseteq [F:_R W]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $sPJW \subseteq [F:_R W]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$. Hence by **Lemma 2.10** and **Lemma 2.9** either $rsA \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rPA \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $sPA \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$. Thus by **Proposition 2.28** F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

Proposition 3.7

Let $F \neq W$ and W is non-singular multiplication R-module W over an a good *ring* R. Then F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if $[F_{R} W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

Proof.

(⇒) Let *abct* ∈ [F:_RW] for *a*, *b*, *c*, *t* ∈ R, then *abc*(*t*W) ⊆ F. But F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, then by **Proposition 2.24** either *ab*(*t*W) ⊆ F + (*soc*(W) + *J*(W)) or *ac*(*t*W) ⊆ F + (*soc*(W) + *J*(W)) or *bc*(*t*W) ⊆ F + (*soc*(W) + *J*(W)). Since W is multiplication, then F = [F:_RW]W and since W is non-singular multiplication, then by **Lemma 2.17** *soc*(W) = *soc*(R)W and R is a good ring then by **Remark 2.11** *J*(W) = *J*(R)W. Thus either *ab*(*t*W) ⊆ [F:_RW]W + (*soc*(R)W + *J*(R)W) or (*t*W) ⊆ [F:_RW]W + (*soc*(R)W + *J*(R)W) or *ac*(*t*W) ⊆ [F:_RW]W + (*soc*(R)W + *J*(R)W), then either *abt* ∈ [F:_RW] + (*soc*(R) + *J*(R)) or *bct* ∈ [F:_RW] + (*soc*(R) + *J*(R)) or *act* ∈ [F:_RW] + (*soc*(R) + *J*(R)). Hence by **Proposition 2.24** [F:_RW] is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

(⇐) Suppose that $[F:_R W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R, and $abcx \in F$ for $a, b, c \in R, x \in W$, hence $abc(x) \subseteq F$. Since W is a multiplication, then (x) = JW for some ideal J of R, that is $abcJW \subseteq F$, implies that $abcJ \subseteq [F:_R W]$, but $[F:_R W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R, then by definition either $abJ \subseteq [F:_R W] + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $acJ \subseteq [F:_R W] + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $bcJ \subseteq [F:_R W] + soc(R) + J(R)$. Thus either $abJW \subseteq [F:_R W]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $acJW \subseteq [F:_R W]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $bcJW \subseteq [F:_R W]W + soc(R)W + J(R)W$. Hence by **Lemma 2.17** and **Remark 2.11** either $ab(x) \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $ac(x) \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bc(x) \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$. Next, follows either $abx \in F + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $acx \in F + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcx \in F + soc(W) + J(W)$. Therefore F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

As a direct application of **Proposition 3.7**, we get the following corollary:

Corollary 3.8

Let $F \neq W$ and W is non-singular multiplication R-module W over Artinian *ring* R. Then F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if $[F_{R} W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

By Proof of Proposition 3.7 and using Lemma 2.15 we get:

Proposition 3.9

Let $F \neq W$ and W is non-singular multiplication R-module W over local ring R. Then F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if $[F_R W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

Proposition 3.10

Let $F \neq W$ and W is Z-regular multiplication R-module W over an a good ring R. Then F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if $[F_R W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R. P

Proof.

(⇒) Let $rstP \subseteq [F_{:R}W]$ for $r, s, t \in R$ and P is an ideal of R, then $rstPW \subseteq F$. But F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, then either $rsPW \subseteq F + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $rtPW \subseteq F + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $stPW \subseteq F + (soc(W) + J(W))$. Since W is multiplication, then $F = [F_{:R}W]W$ and since W is a Z-regular, then by **Lemma 2.21** soc(W) = soc(R)W and R is a good ring then by **Remark 1.11** J(W) = J(R)W. Thus either $rsPW \subseteq [F_{:R}W]W + (soc(R)W + J(R)W)$ or $rtPW \subseteq [F_{:R}W]W + (soc(R)W + J(R)W)$ or $rtPW \subseteq [F_{:R}W]W + (soc(R)W + J(R)W)$ or $stPW \subseteq [F_{:R}W]W + (soc(R)W + J(R)W)$, it follows that either $rsP \subseteq [F_{:R}W] + (soc(R) + J(R))$ or $rtP \subseteq [F_{:R}W] + (soc(R) + J(R))$. Hence by **Proposition 2.24** $[F_{:R}W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $[F:_{\mathbb{R}} W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R, and $rstA \subseteq F$ for $r, s, t \in W$ and A is a submodule of W. Since W is a multiplication, then A = PW, that is $rstA = rstPW \subseteq F$, implies that $rstP \subseteq [F:_{\mathbb{R}} W]$, but $[F:_{\mathbb{R}} W]$ is EXNPQ2AB *ideal* of R, then by **Proposition 2.24** either $rsP \subseteq [F:_{\mathbb{R}} W] + soc(\mathbb{R}) + J(\mathbb{R})$ or $rtP \subseteq [F:_{\mathbb{R}} W] + soc(\mathbb{R}) + J(\mathbb{R})$ or $stP \subseteq [F:_{\mathbb{R}} W] + soc(\mathbb{R}) + J(\mathbb{R})$ or $stP \subseteq [F:_{\mathbb{R}} W] W + soc(\mathbb{R}) + J(\mathbb{R})$. Thus either $rsPW \subseteq [F:_{\mathbb{R}} W]W + soc(\mathbb{R})W + J(\mathbb{R})W$ or $stPW \subseteq [F:_{\mathbb{R}} W]W + soc(\mathbb{R})W + J(\mathbb{R})W$ or $stPW \subseteq [F:_{\mathbb{R}} W]W + soc(\mathbb{R})W + J(\mathbb{R})W$. Hence by **Lemma 2.21** and **Remark 2.11** either $rsA \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rtA \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $stA \subseteq F + soc(W) + J(W)$. Therefore F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

As a direct application of **Proposition 3.10**, we get the following corollary:

Corollary 3.11

Let $F \neq W$ and W is Z-regular multiplication R-module W over Artinian ring R. Then F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if $[F_R W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

By Proof of Proposition 3.10 and using Lemma 2.15 we get:

Proposition 3.12

Let $F \neq W$ and W *Z*-regular multiplication R-module W over local ring R. Then F is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if $[F:_R W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

4. More Result of EXNPQ2AB Submodules in Multiplication Modules.

In this part we studied more result of EXNPQ2AB submodules in multiplication modules. And we got the most important results.

Proposition 4.1

Let W be a finitely generated multiplication projective R-module, and B is an ideal of R with $ann_{R}(W) \subseteq B$. Then B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R if and only if BW is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

Proof.

(⇒) Let $H_1H_2H_3A \subseteq BW$ for some submodules H_1, H_2, H_3, A of W. Since W is a multiplication, then $H_1 = j_1W$, $H_2 = j_2W$, $H_3 = j_3W$ and $A = j_4W$ for some ideals j_1, j_2, j_3 and j_4 of R. That is $H_1H_2H_3A = j_1j_2j_3j_4W \subseteq BW$. But W is a finitely generated multiplication R-module then by **Lemma 2.18** $j_1j_2j_3j_4 \subseteq B + ann_R(W)$, but $ann_R(W) \subseteq B$, implies that $B + ann_R(W) = B$, thus $j_1j_2j_3j_4 \subseteq B$. Now, by assumption B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R then by **Proposition 3.2** either $j_1j_3j_4 \subseteq B + (soc(R) + J(R))$ or $j_2j_3j_4 \subseteq B + (soc(R) + J(R))$ or $j_1j_2j_4 \subseteq B + (soc(R) + J(R))$, hence either $j_1j_3j_4W \subseteq BW + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $j_2j_3j_4W \subseteq BW + (soc(R)W + J(R)W)$ or $j_1j_2j_4W \subseteq BW + soc(R)W + J(R)W$. Since W is a projective then by **Lemma 2.10** and **Lemma 2.9** (soc(W) + J(W)) = (soc(R)W + J(R)W), thus either $H_1H_3A \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $H_2H_3A \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $H_1H_2A \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$. Hence by **Proposition 3.2** BW is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

(⇐) Let $P_1P_2P_3P_4 \subseteq B$, for P_1 , P_2 , P_3 and P_4 are ideals in R, implies that $P_1P_2P_3(P_4W) \subseteq BW$. But BW is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, then by **Proposition 2.25** either $P_1P_2(P_4W) \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $P_2P_3(P_4W) \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $P_2P_3(P_4W) \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$. But W is a projective then (soc(W) + J(W)) = (soc(R)W + J(R)W). Thus either $P_1P_2P_4W \subseteq BW + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $P_1P_3P_4W \subseteq BW + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $P_2P_3P_4W \subseteq BW + soc(R) + J(R)W$, hence either $P_1P_2P_4 \subseteq B + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $P_1P_3P_4 \subseteq B + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $P_1P_3P_4 \subseteq B + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $P_2P_3P_4 \subseteq B + soc(R) + J(R)$. Then by **Proposition 2.25** B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

Proposition 4.2

Let W be a faithful finitely generated multiplication R-module, and B is an ideal of R. Then B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R if and only if BW is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

Proof.

(⇒) Let $rPJx \subseteq BW$ for any $r \in R$, $x \in W$ and P, J are ideals of R. Next, follows $rPJ(x) \subseteq BW$. Since W is a multiplication, then $(x) = P_1W$ for some ideal P_1 of R, that is $rPJP_1W \subseteq BW$. Thus by Lemma 2.18 we get $rPJP_1 \subseteq B + ann(W)$, but W is faithful, then $ann(W) = \{0\}$, that is $rPJP_1 \subseteq B$. Since B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R, then by Proposition 2.27 either $rPP_1 \subseteq B + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $rJP_1 \subseteq B + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $rJP_1 \subseteq B + soc(R) + J(R)$, hence either $rPP_1W \subseteq BW + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $rJP_1W \subseteq BW + soc(R)W + J(R)W$, or $rJP_1W \subseteq BW + soc(R)W + J(R)W$, hence by Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 either $rP(x) \subseteq BW + soc(W) + J(W)$. That is either $rPx \subseteq BW + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rJx \subseteq BW + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $PJx \subseteq BW + soc(W) + J(W)$. That is either $rPx \subseteq BW + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rJx \subseteq BW + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $PJx \subseteq BW + soc(W) + J(W)$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $rstP \subseteq B$ for $r, s, t \in R$ and P ideal of R, hence $rst(PW) \subseteq BW$, but BW is an EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, then either $rs(PW) \subseteq BW + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rt(PW) \subseteq BW + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $st(PW) \subseteq BW + soc(W) + J(W)$. Thus by Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 either $rsPW \subseteq BW + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $rtPW \subseteq BW + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $stPW \subseteq BW + soc(R)W + J(R)W$, hence either $rsP \subseteq B + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $rtP \subseteq B + soc(R) + J(R)$. Therefore B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

Proposition 4.3

Let W be a finitely generated non-singular multiplication module over good ring R and B is an ideal of R with $ann_{R}(W) \subseteq B$. Then B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R if and only if BW is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

Proof.

(⇒) Let $rsPA \subseteq BW$, for $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, \mathbb{P} is an ideal of \mathbb{R} and A is a submodule of \mathbb{W} . Since \mathbb{W} is a multiplication, then $A = \mathbb{P}_1 \mathbb{W}$, for some ideal \mathbb{P}_1 of \mathbb{R} , then $rsP\mathbb{P}_1 \mathbb{W} \subseteq B\mathbb{W}$. But \mathbb{W} is a finitely generated multiplication \mathbb{R} -module then by Lemma 2.18 $rsP\mathbb{P}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{B} + ann_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{W})$, since $ann_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{W}) \subseteq \mathbb{B}$, implies that $\mathbb{B} + ann_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{W}) = \mathbb{B}$, hence $rs\mathbb{P}\mathbb{P}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{B}$. But \mathbb{B} is EXNPQ2AB ideal of \mathbb{R} then by Proposition 2.28 either $rs\mathbb{P}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{B} + (soc(\mathbb{R}) + J(\mathbb{R}))$ or $r\mathbb{P}\mathbb{P}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{B} + (soc(\mathbb{R}) + J(\mathbb{R}))$. Thus either $rs\mathbb{P}_1\mathbb{W} \subseteq B\mathbb{W} + (soc(\mathbb{R})\mathbb{W} + J(\mathbb{R})\mathbb{W})$ or $r\mathbb{P}\mathbb{P}_1\mathbb{W} \subseteq B\mathbb{W} + (soc(\mathbb{R})\mathbb{W} + J(\mathbb{R})\mathbb{W})$ or $s\mathbb{P}\mathbb{P}_1\mathbb{W} \subseteq B\mathbb{W} + (soc(\mathbb{R})\mathbb{W} + J(\mathbb{R})\mathbb{W})$. Since \mathbb{W} is non-singular, then by Lemma 2.17 $soc(\mathbb{R})\mathbb{W} = soc(\mathbb{W})$ and \mathbb{R} is good ring then $J(\mathbb{R})\mathbb{W} = J(\mathbb{W})$. Hence either $rs\mathbb{P}_1\mathbb{W} \subseteq B\mathbb{W} + (soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W}))$ or $r\mathbb{P}_1\mathbb{W} \subseteq B\mathbb{W} + (soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W}))$ or $s\mathbb{P}\mathbb{P}_1\mathbb{W} \subseteq B\mathbb{W} + (soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W}))$ or $r\mathbb{P}A \subseteq B\mathbb{W} + (soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W}))$ or $s\mathbb{P}A \subseteq B\mathbb{W} + (soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W}))$ or $r\mathbb{P}A \subseteq B\mathbb{W} + (soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W}))$ or $s\mathbb{P}A \subseteq B\mathbb{W} + (soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W}))$ or $r\mathbb{P}A \subseteq B\mathbb{W} + (soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W}))$ or $s\mathbb{P}A \subseteq B\mathbb{W} + (soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W}))$ or $r\mathbb{P}A \subseteq B\mathbb{W} + (soc(\mathbb{W}) + J(\mathbb{W}))$ or $s\mathbb{P}A \subseteq B\mathbb{W}$ and \mathbb{W} is EXNPQ2AB submodule of \mathbb{W} .

(⇐) Let $rP_1P_2P_3 \subseteq B$, for $r \in R$, and P_1, P_2, P_3 are ideals of R, implies that $rP_1P_2(P_3W) \subseteq BW$. Since BW is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, then by **Proposition 2.29** either $rP_1(P_3W) \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $rP_2(P_3W) \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $P_1P_2(P_3W) \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$. But W is non-singular and R is good ring then (soc(W) + J(W)) = (soc(R)W + J(R)W). Thus either $rP_1P_3W \subseteq BW + (soc(R)W + J(R)W)$ or $rP_2P_3W \subseteq BW + (soc(R)W + J(R)W)$ or $P_1P_2P_3W \subseteq BW + (soc(R)W + J(R)W)$, then either $rP_1P_3 \subseteq B + (soc(R) + J(R)W)$, then either $rP_1P_3 \subseteq B + (soc(R) + J(R)W)$ or $rP_2P_3 \subseteq B + (soc(R) + J(R)W)$, then either $rP_1P_3 \subseteq B + (soc(R) + J(R)W)$ or $rP_2P_3 \subseteq B + (soc(R) + J(R)W)$. Hence by **Proposition 2.28** B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

Corollary 4.4

Let W be a finitely generated non-singular multiplication module over Artinian ring R and B is an ideal of R with $ann_{R}(W) \subseteq B$. Then B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R if and only if BW is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

Proposition 4.5

Let W be a finitely generated non-singular multiplication module over local ring R and B is an ideal of R with $ann_{R}(W) \subseteq B$. Then B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R if and only if BW is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

Proof.

Similarly to the Proof of **Proposition 4.3** by using Lemma 2.15.

Proposition 4.6

Let W be a finitely generated multiplication Z-regular module over good ring R and B is an ideal of R with $ann_{R}(W) \subseteq B$. Then B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R if and only if BW is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

Proof.

(⇒) Let $rstx \in BW$ for $r, s, t \in R$ and $x \in W$, that is $rst\langle x \rangle \subseteq BW$. Since W is a multiplication, then $\langle x \rangle = PW$ for some ideal P of R, that is $rstPW \subseteq BW$. But W is a finitely generated multiplication R-module then by **Lemma 2.18** $rstP \subseteq B$. But B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R then by **Proposition 2.24** either $rsP \subseteq B + (soc(R) + J(R))$ or $rtP \subseteq B + (soc(R) + J(R))$ or $stP \subseteq$ B + (soc(R) + J(R)). Thus either $rsPW \subseteq BW + (soc(R)W + J(R)W)$ or $rtPW \subseteq BW + (soc(R)W + J(R)W)$ or $stPW \subseteq BW + (soc(R)W + J(R)W)$ or $stPW \subseteq BW + (soc(R)W + J(R)W)$. Since W is *Z*-regular then by **Lemma 2.21** soc(R)W = soc(W) and R is good ring then J(R)W = J(W). Hence either $rsPW \subseteq$ BW + (soc(W) + J(W)) or $rtPW \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $stPW \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $st\langle x \rangle \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$, thus either $rsx \in BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $rtx \in BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $stx \in BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $rtx \in BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $stx \in BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$. Therefore BW is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

(⇐) Let $abcd \in B$, for $a, b, c, d \in R$, implies that $abc(dW) \subseteq BW$. Since BW is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W, then by **Proposition 2.24** either $ab(dW) \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $ac(dW) \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $bc(dW) \subseteq BW + (soc(W) + J(W))$. But W is Z-regular and R is good ring, then (soc(W) + J(W)) = (soc(R)W + J(R)W). Thus either $abdW \subseteq BW +$ soc(R)W + J(R)W or $acdW \subseteq BW + soc(R)W + J(R)W$ or $bcdW \subseteq BW + soc(R)W + J(R)W$, then either $abd \in B + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $acd \in B + soc(R) + J(R)$ or $bcd \in B + soc(R) + J(R)$. Hence B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

Corollary 4.7

Let W be a finitely generated multiplication Z-regular module over Artinian ring R and B is an ideal of R with $ann_{R}(W) \subseteq B$. Then B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R if and only if BW is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

Proposition 4.8

Let W be a finitely generated multiplication Z-regular module over local ring R and B is an ideal of R with $ann_{R}(W) \subseteq B$. Then B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R if and only if BW is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

Proof.

Similar to the Proof of **Proposition 4.6** by using **Lemma 2.15**.

Proposition 4.9

Let W be a faithful finitely generated multiplication R-module and $V \neq W$, in which case the following claims are equivalent:

1. *V* is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

2. $[V:_{R} W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

3. V = BW for some EXNPQ2AB ideal B of R.

Proof.

 $(1 \Leftrightarrow 2)$ By Proposition 3.6.

 $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ Since $[V:_{R}W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R and W is a faithful, that is $(0) = \alpha nn_{R}(W) = [0:_{R}W] \subseteq [V:_{R}W]$ and W is a multiplication, so $V = [V:_{R}W]W$, implies that V = JW for some EXNPQ2AB ideal $J = [V:_{R}W]$ of R.

 $(3 \Rightarrow 2)$ Suppose that V = JW for some EXNPQ2AB ideal J of R. Since W is multiplication, then $V = [V_{R} W]W$. That is $JW = [V_{R} W]W$, but W is faithful finitely generated multiplication then by **Lemma 2.23** we get $[V_{R} W] = J$. Thus $[V_{R} W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

Proposition 4.10

Let W be a finitely generated multiplication projective R-module and $V \neq W$, in which case the following claims are equivalent:

1. *V* is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W.

2. $[V:_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{W}]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of \mathbb{R} .

3. V = BW for some EXNPQ2AB ideal B of R.

Proof.

 $(1 \Leftrightarrow 2)$ By Proposition 3.7.

 $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ Clear.

 $(3 \Rightarrow 2)$ Assume that V = BW(1) for some EXNPQ2AB ideal B of R with $ann_{R}(W) \subseteq B$. while W is a multiplication, then $V = [V:_{R}W]W$(2), from (1) and (2) we have $[V:_{R}W]W =$ BW. Since W is a finitely generated, then by **Lemma 2.22** W is weak cancellation, then $[V:_{R}W] +$ $ann_{R}(W) = B + ann_{R}(W)$, but $ann_{R}(W) \subseteq B$, and $ann_{R}(W) \subseteq [V:_{R}W]$, implies that $ann_{R}(W) + B = B$ and $[V:_{R}W] + ann_{R}(W) = [V:_{R}W]$. Thus $B = [V:_{R}W]$, but B is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R, hence $[V:_{R}W]$ is EXNPQ2AB ideal of R.

5. Conclusion.

In this paper, we introduced the some characterizations in class of multiplication modules. And, we show by example the residual of Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule need not to be Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing ideal; we gave an example of that. Under a certain condition it is equivalent. Also, we studied the characterized Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing ideals by Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodules. In the end, we got a lot of important results.

References

1. Haibat, K.; Mohammedali.; Omar, A. Abdalla., Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing Submodules and Some Related Concepts, *Ibn Al-Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Science*, **2019**, *32*(2), 114-122.

2. Haibat, K.; Mohammedali; Khalaf, H. Alhabeeb., Nearly Quasi2-Absorbing Submodules, *Tikrit Journal for Pure. Sci*,**2018**, *23*(9), 99-102.

3. Reem, T. Abdulqader.; Zinah, T. Abdulqader.; Haibat, K. Mohammedali.; Akram, S. Mohammed., Soc-QP2-Absorbing Submodules, *Turkish Journal* of Computer and Mathematics Education, **2022**, *13*(*3*), 761-770.

4. Omar, A.Abdalla.; Haibat, K. Mohammedali. ,Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing Submodules^(I), *Ibn AL-Haitham Journal for pure and Applied Scince*, **2022**, too aper.

5. El-Bast, Z. A .;Smith, P. F., Multiplication Modules, *Comm. In Algebra*, 1988, 16(4), 755-779.
6. Kash, F. Modules., Rings. London Math. Soc. Monographs New York, Academic Press, 1982, 370.

7. Ali, S. M., On Cancellation Modules, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad. 1992.

8. Nuha, H.H., The Radicals of Modules, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad. 1996.

9. Barnard, A. Multiplication Modules, Journal of Algebra, (7), 174 - 178. 1981. 7.

10. Behboodi, M.; Koohi, H. , Weakly Prime Modules, Vietnam J. of Math., 2004, 32(2), 185-195.

11. Payman, M. H., Hollow Modules and Semi Hollow Modules, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad. **2005**.

- 12. Goodearl, K. R., Ring Theory, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York and Basel, 206. 1976.
- 13. Smith, P.F. Some Remarks of Multiplication Modules, Arch. Math, 1986, (50), 223-225.
- 14. Zelmanowitz, J., Regular Modules, Trans. Amerecan, Math. Soc., 1973 (163), 341-355.

15. Mijbass, A. S. On Cancellation Modules, M.Sc. Theses, University of Baghdad. 1993.