

Ibn Al Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Science

Journal homepage: http://jih.uobaghdad.edu.iq/index.php/j/index



# Weakly Nearly Prime Submodules

Ali Sabah SadiaqHaibat K. MohammadaliDepartment of Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Math. , University of Tikrit, Tikrit, Iraq.

alisabahsadickali@gmail.com

H.mohammadali@tu.edu.iq

Article history: Received 16, January, 2020, Accepted 12, February, 2020, Published in January 2021

Doi: 10.30526/34.1.2556

# Abstract

In this article, unless otherwise established, all rings are commutative with identity and all modules are unitary left R-module. We offer this concept of WN-prime as new generalization of weakly prime submodules. Some basic properties of weakly nearly prime submodules are given. Many characterizations, examples of this concept are stablished.

**Keywords:** Weakly prime submodules, weakly nearly prime submodules, multiplication modules, finitely generated modules, Jacobson of a modules.

# 1.Introduction

The concept of weakly prime submodule was first Introduced and studied by Behoodi and Koohi in [1] as a generalization of weakly prime submodule , where a proper submodule H of an R-module U is weakly prime submodule, if whenever  $0 \neq ru \in H$ , for  $r \in R, u \in U$ , implies that either  $u \in H$  or  $r \cup \subseteq H$ . Recently, weakly prime submodules have been studied by many authors such as [2-5]. Many generalizations of weakly prime submodule are introduced such as weakly primary submodules, weakly quasi- prime submodules and weakly semi- prime submodules see [6-8]. In 2018 the concepts WE-prime submodules and WE-semi- prime submodules as a strange from of weakly prime submodule called WN-prime submodule , where a proper submodule H of an R-module U is called WN-prime of U if whenever  $0 \neq ru \in H$ , for  $r \in R$ ,  $u \in U$ , implies that either  $u \in H + J(U)$  or  $r \cup \subseteq H + J(U)$ , where J(U) is the Jacobson radical of U. An R-module U is multiplication if each submodule H of U from H = I U for some ideal I of R, that is  $H = [H:_R U] \cup [10]$ . Several characterizations, examples and basic properties of WN-prime submodules were given in this research.



### 2. Basic Properties of Weakly Nearly Prime Submodules

In this stage, we offer the definition of weakly nearly prime submodule and establish some of its basic properties and characterizations.

# **Definition** (2.1)

A proper submodule *H* of *R*-module *U* is said to be weakly nearly prime submodule of *U* (for short WN-prime submodule), if whenever  $0 \neq au \in H$ , where  $a \in R$ ,  $u \in U$ , implies that either  $u \in H + J(U)$  or  $r U \subseteq H + J(U)$ . An ideal *A* of ring *R* is WN-prime ideal of *R* if and only if *A* is a WN-prime submodule of an *R*-module R.

For example : consider the Z-module  $Z_{24}$  and the submodule  $H = \langle \overline{8} \rangle$  of  $Z_{24}$  which is a WNprime submodule of  $Z_{24}$  since  $J(Z_{24}) = \langle \overline{2} \rangle \cap \langle \overline{3} \rangle = \langle \overline{6} \rangle$ . Thus if  $0 \neq rm \in H$  with  $r \in Z$ ,  $m \in Z_{24}$ , implies that either  $m \in H + J(Z_{24}) = \langle \overline{8} \rangle + \langle \overline{6} \rangle = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$  or  $r \in [H + J(Z_{24}): Z_{24}] = [\langle \overline{2} \rangle: Z_{24}] = 2Z$ .

### **Remark (2.2)**

**1.** It is clear that every weakly prime submodule of an R-module U is WN-prime, but not conversely.

For example the submodule N = Z of the Z-module Q is not weakly prime, but N is WN-prime since J(Q) = Q and for each  $a \in Z$ ,  $u \in Q$  with  $0 \neq au \in N$ , implies that either  $u \in N + J(Q)$  or  $aQ \subseteq Z + J(Q) = Q$ .

**2.** It is clear that every prime submodule of an R-module U is WN-prime, but not conversely. For example : consider that the Z-module  $Z_{12}$ , and the submodule  $H = \langle \overline{4} \rangle$  of  $Z_{12}$  is not prime, but  $H = \langle \overline{4} \rangle$  is WN-prime submodule of  $Z_{12}$  since  $J(Z_{12}) = \langle \overline{2} \rangle \cap \langle \overline{3} \rangle = \langle \overline{6} \rangle$ . Thus if  $0 \neq ru \in H$  with  $r \in Z$ ,  $u \in Z_{12}$ , implies that either  $u \in H + J(Z_{12}) = \langle \overline{4} \rangle + \langle \overline{6} \rangle = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$  or  $r \in [H + J(Z_{12}): Z_{12}] = [\langle \overline{2} \rangle: Z_{12}] = 2Z$ .

**3.** If *H* is proper submodule of an R-module U with  $J(U) \subseteq H$ . Then *H* is a WN-prime if and only if *H* is weakly prime submodule.

**4.** If U is a semi-simple R-module and H is a proper submodule of U, then H is a weakly prime if and only if H is WN-prime submodule of U.

# Proof

It is well-known if U is a semi-simple, then  $J(U) = (0) \cdot [14, \text{ Theo. } (9.2.1) (a)]$ . So the proof follows direct.

The following propositions give characterizations of WN-prime submodules.

# **Proposition** (2.3)

Let U be an R-module, H be a submodule of U, then H is a WN-prime submodule of U if and only if for every submodule L of U and  $r \in R$  with  $0 \neq \langle r \rangle L \subseteq H$ , implies that either  $L \subseteq H + J(U)$  or  $\langle r \rangle U \subseteq H + J(U)$ .

# Proof

(⇒) Suppose that  $0 \neq \langle r \rangle L \subseteq H$ , for  $r \in R$ , and *L* is a submodule of *U*, with  $L \nsubseteq H + J(U)$ , then  $l \notin H + J(U)$  for some non-zero element  $l \in L$ . Now  $0 \neq rl \in H$ , then since *H* is WNprime submodule of *U*, and  $l \notin H + J(U)$ , then we have  $r \in [H + J(U): U]$ , it follows that  $\langle r \rangle \subseteq [H + J(U): U]$ . That is  $\langle r \rangle U \subseteq H + J(U)$ 

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $0 \neq ru \in H$ , for  $r \in R$ ,  $u \in U$ , it follows that  $0 \neq \langle r \rangle \langle u \rangle \subseteq H$ , so by hypothesis either  $\langle u \rangle \subseteq H + J(U)$  or  $\langle r \rangle U \subseteq H + J(U)$ . That is either  $u \in H + J(U)$  or  $r U \subseteq H + J(U)$ . Hence *H* is a WN-prime submodule of *U*.

As direct result of Proposition (2.3) we get the following corollary.

# Corollary (2.4)

A proper submodule *H* of an R-module *U* is WN-prime if and only if for every submodule *K* of *U* and every  $r \in R$  such that  $0 \neq rK \subseteq H$ , implies that either  $K \subseteq H + J(U)$  or  $r \in [H + J(U) : U]$ .

# **Proposition (2.5)**

Let *H* be proper submodule of R-module *U*, then *H* is WN-prime submodule of *U* if and, only if  $[H_{R} x] \subseteq [H + J(U)_{R} U] \cup [0_{R} x]$  for all  $x \in U$  and  $x \notin H + J(U)$ . **Proof** 

# Proof

 $(\Longrightarrow)$  Let  $r \in [H_{R}x]$  and  $x \notin H + J(U)$ , then  $rx \in H$ . If  $rx \neq 0$ , and H is a WN-prime submodule of U and  $x \notin H + J(U)$ , hence  $r \in [H + J(U)_{R}U]$ . If rx = 0, then  $r \in [0_{R}x]$ . Thus  $r \in [H + J(U)_{R}U] \cup [0_{R}x]$ . Hence  $[H_{R}x] \subseteq [H + J(U)_{R}U] \cup [0_{R}x]$ .

(⇐) Let  $0 \neq rx \in H$  for  $r \in R$ ,  $u \in U$ , with  $x \notin H + J(U)$ , then  $r \in [H_{R}x]$ , by hypothesis  $r \in [H + J(U)_{R} U] \cup [0_{R}x]$ , but  $rx \neq 0$ . Thus,  $r \in [H + J(U)_{R} U]$  and hence H is a WN-prime submodule of U.

# **Proposition (2.6)**

Let H be a proper submodule of an R-module U with  $[H + J(U)]_R U$  is a maximal ideal of R, then H is a WN-prime submodule of U.

# Proof

Suppose that  $0 \neq ru \in H$ , with  $r \in R$ ,  $u \in U$  and  $r \cup \not\subseteq H + J(\cup)$ . That is,  $r \notin [H + J(\cup): U]$ , but  $[H + J(\cup): U]$  is maximal, then by [11,Th. 5.1]  $R = \langle r \rangle + [H + J(\cup):_R \cup]$ . It follows that 1 = ar + b, for some  $a \in R$ ,  $b \in [H + J(\cup):_R \cup]$ . Hence,  $u = aru + bu \in H + J(\cup)$ . Hence, H is a WN-prime submodule of U.

# **Proposition** (2.7)

Let *H* be a proper submodule of an R-module *U* with  $[L_R U] \nsubseteq [H + J(U)_R U]$  and H + J(U) is a proper submodule of *L* for each submodule *L* of *U*. If  $[H + J(U)_R U]$  is a prime ideal of *R*, then *H* is a WN-prime submodule of *U*.

# Proof

Assume that  $0 \neq ru \in H$ , for  $r \in R$ ,  $u \in U$  and  $u \notin H + J(U)$ . We have  $H + J(U) \notin H + J(U) + \langle u \rangle$ , put  $L = H + J(U) + \langle u \rangle = L$ , then  $[L:_R U] \notin [H + J(U):_R U]$ . That is there exist  $a \in [L:_R U]$  and  $a \notin [H + J(U):_R U]$ . It follows that  $aU \subseteq L$  but  $aU \notin H + JU$ .  $aU \subseteq L$ , implies that  $raU \subseteq rL = r(H + J(U) + \langle u \rangle) \subseteq H + J(U)$ , that is  $ra \in [H + J(U):U]$ . But  $[H + J(U):_R U]$  is a prime ideal of R and  $a \notin [H + J(U):_R U]$  then  $r \in [H + J(U):U]$ . Thus H is a WN-prime submodule of U.

It is well-known that if U is a multiplication R-module and H is a proper submodule of U, then  $[L_R U] \notin [H_R U]$  for each submodule L of U with  $H \notin L$  [12, Rem. (2.15)]. **Corollary (2.8)** 

Let *H* be a proper submodule of a multiplication R-module *U*, then *H* is a WN-prime submodule of *U*, if  $[H + J(U)]_R U$  is a prime ideal of *R* and H + J(U) is a proper submodule of *L* for each submodule *L* of *U*.

If *H* is a submodule of an *R*-module *U*, then  $H(S) = \{u \in U : \exists t \in S \text{ such that } tu \in H\}$  [13].

# **Proposition (2.9)**

Let *H* be a proper submodule of an R-module *U*, with  $[H + J(U)]_R U$  is a prime ideal of *R*, then *H* is WN-prime if and only if  $H(S) \subseteq H + J(U)$  for each multiplicatively closed subset *S* of *R* with  $S \cap [H + J(U)]_R U = \varphi$ .

# Proof

(⇒) Suppose that *H* is a WN-prime submodule of *U* with  $S \cap [H + J(U)]_R U] = \varphi$ . Let  $u \in H(S)$ , then  $\exists r \in S$  such that  $ru \in H$ , implies that  $r \in [H]_R u] \subseteq [H + J(U)]_R U] \cup [0]_R u]$  by Proposition (2.5). It follows that  $0 \neq ru \in H$  (since *H* is a WN-prime), implies that either  $u \in H + J(U)$  or  $r \in [H + J(U)]_R U]$ . If  $r \in [H + J(U)]_R U]$ , implies that  $r \in S \cap [H + J(U)]_R U] = \varphi$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $u \in H + J(U)$  and hence  $H(S) \subseteq H + J(U)$ . (⇐) Suppose that  $0 \neq ru \in H$  where  $r \in R$ ,  $u \in U$  such that  $u \notin H + J(U)$  and  $r \notin H$ 

 $[H + J(U):_R U]$ . Since  $r \in S$ , then  $S = \{1, r, r^2, r^3, ...\}$  is multiplicatively closed subset of R and  $S \cap [H + J(U):_R U] = \varphi$  (since  $[H + J(U):_R U]$  is prime ideal of R). But  $u \notin H + J(U)$  implies that  $u \notin H(S)$  and then  $0 \neq ru \notin H$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $u \in H + J(U)$  or  $r \in [H + J(U):_R U]$ . That is, H is a WN-prime submodule of U.

The following corollary a direct consequence of Proposition (2.9).

# Corollary (2.10)

Let *U* be an *R*-module, *H* be a proper submodule of *U*, with  $[H + J(U)]_R U$  is prime ideal in *R*, then *H* is WN-prime if and only if  $H(R - ([H + J(U)]_R U]) \subseteq H + J(U)$ .

# **Proposition (2.11)**

Let U be an R-module, and A be a maximal ideal of R, with  $A U + J(U) \neq U$ . Then A U is a WN-prime submodule of U.

# Proof:

Since  $A U \subseteq A U + J(U)$ , then  $A \subseteq [A \cup +J(\cup):_R \cup ]$ . That is, there exists  $r \in [A \cup +J(\cup): U]$  and  $r \notin A$ . But A is a maximal ideal of R, then  $R = A + \langle r \rangle$ , then 1 = a + sr for some  $s \in R$ , it follows that u = au + sru for each  $u \in U$ . Thus  $u \in A \cup +J(U)$  for each  $u \in U$ , so  $A \cup +J(U) = U$  which is a contradiction. Hence,  $r \in A$  and it follows that  $[A \cup +J(\cup): U] \subseteq A$ . Thus  $[A \cup +J(\cup): U] = A$ . That is,  $[A \cup +J(\cup): U]$  is a maximal ideal of R, hence by Proposition(2.6),  $A \cup i$  is a WN-prime submodule of U.

#### **Proposition** (2.12)

Let *H* be a proper submodule of an R-module *U* with  $[H + J(U)]_R U] = [H + J(U)]_R K$ for each submodule *K* of *U* such that H + J(U) is a proper submodule of *L*, then *H* is a WNprime submodule of *U*.

### Proof

Suppose that  $0 \neq ru \in H$  for each  $r \in R$ ,  $u \in U$  with  $u \notin H + J(U)$ . Assume that  $K = H + J(U) + \langle u \rangle$ , it is clear that  $H + J(U) \subseteq K$ , then  $u \in K$  and so  $r \in [H_{:R}K]$ . Since  $H \subseteq H + J(U)$ , then  $[H_{:R}K] = [H + J(U)_{:R}K] = [H + J(U)_{:R}U]$  by hypothesis. Thus  $r \in [H + J(U)_{:R}U]$ , it follow that H is a WN-prime submodule of U.

Recall that submodule *H* of an R-module *U* is to said to be small, if for any submodule *K* of *U* with U = H + K then K = U [14].

#### **Proposition (2.13)**

Let *H* be a small proper submodule of an R-module *U* and J(U) is a weakly prime submodule of *U*, then *H* is a WN-prime submodule of *U*.

### Proof

Suppose that  $0 \neq ru \in H$ , where  $r \in R$ ,  $u \in U$ . Since *H* is a small submodule of *U*, then  $0 \neq ru \in H \subseteq J(U)$ . It follows that  $0 \neq ru \in J(U)$ , but J(U) is a weakly prime submodule of *U*, implies that either  $u \in J(U) \subseteq H + J(U)$  or  $rU \subseteq J(U) \subseteq H + J(U)$ . Hence *H* is a WN-prime submodule of *U*.

#### **Remark (2.14)**

If *H* and *L* are two submodules of R-module *U* with *H* is contained in *L*, *L* is a WN-prime submodule of *U*. Then *H* not necessary to be WN-prime submodule of *U*. The following example explains that. Consider the Z-module  $Z_{24}$  and the submodule  $H = \{\overline{0}, \overline{12}\}, L = \{\overline{0}, \overline{2}, \overline{4}, \overline{6}, \overline{8}, \overline{10}, \overline{12}, \overline{14}, \overline{16}, \overline{18}, \overline{20}, \overline{22}\}$  we have *L* is a WN-prime (since *L* is a weakly prime ) submodule of the Z-module  $Z_{24}$ , but *H* is not WN-prime because if  $3 \in Z, \overline{4} \in Z_{24}$  such that  $\overline{0} \neq 3 \overline{4} \in H$ , but  $\overline{4} \notin H + J(Z_{24}) = \{\overline{0}, \overline{6}, \overline{12}, \overline{18}\}$  and  $3 \notin [H + J(Z_{24}) : Z_{24}] = 6Z$ .

#### **Proposition** (2.15)

Let U be an R-module, and H, L are submodules of U with H contained in L, and  $J(U) \subseteq J(L)$ . If H is WN-prime submodule of U, then H is WN-prime submodule of L.

#### Proof

Assume that  $0 \neq rx \in H$  with  $r \in R, x \in L$ . Since *L* is a WN-prime submodule of *U*, then  $x \in H + J(U)$  or  $r \in [H + J(U):_R U]$ . But  $J(U) \subseteq J(L)$  so  $x \in H + J(L)$  or  $r \in [H + J(L):_R U] \subseteq [H + J(L):_R L]$ . Hence *H* is a WN-prime submodule of *L*.

### **Remark (2.16)**

The resudule of WN-prime submodule of an R-module U need not to be WN-prime ideal of R. The following example shows that:

Let  $U = Z_{12}$ , R = Z and  $H = \{\overline{0}, \overline{4}, \overline{8}\}$ , H is a WN-prime submodule of  $Z_{12}$  by Remark(2.2)(2). But  $[H_{Z}Z_{12}] = 4Z$  is not WN-prime ideal of R because  $0 \neq 2 \ 2 \in 4Z, 2 \in Z$  but  $2 \notin 4Z + J(Z) = 4Z$  and  $2 \notin [4Z + J(Z)_{Z}] = 4Z$ .

The following propositions show that the resudule of a WN-prime submodule is a WN-prime ideal in the class of multiplication R-module over a good ring, Artinian ring respectively.

Remember that A ring R is called good if J(U) = J(R). U where U is an R-module [14].

### **Proposition** (2.17)

Let U be a multiplication module over a good ring R, and H is a WN-prime submodule of U then  $[H_{:R} U]$  is a WN-prime ideal of R.

### Proof

suppose that  $0 \neq rs \in [H:_R U]$  where  $r, s \in R$ , implies that  $0 \neq r(sU) \subseteq H$ . But H is a WNprime submodule of U, then by Corollary (2.4) either  $sU \subseteq H + J(U)$  or  $rU \subseteq H + J(U)$ . For Ua multiplication module over good ring, then J(U) = J(R).U and  $H = [H:_R U].U$ . Thus either  $sU \subseteq [H:_R U].U + J(R).U$  or  $rU \subseteq [H:_R U]U + J(R)U$ . Hence either  $s \in [H:_R U] + J(R)$  or  $r \in [H:_R U] + J(R) = [[H:_R U] + J(R):_R U]$ . Therefore  $[H:_R U]$  is a WN-prime ideal of R.

It is well known if U is a module over Artinian ring R then J(U) = J(R)U. [14, Co. 9.3.10(c)].

# **Proposition (2.18)**

Let U is a multiplication module over Artinian ring R, and H is a WN-prime submodule of U then  $[H_{R} U]$  is a WN-prime ideal of R.

# Proof

Let  $0 \neq rI \in [H:_R U]$  where  $r \in R$  and *I* is an ideal of *R*, then  $0 \neq rI \subseteq H$ . Since *H* is a WN-prime submodule of *U*, then by Corollary (2.4) either  $IU \subseteq H + J(U)$  or  $rU \subseteq H + J(U)$ . But *U* is a multiplication module over good ring *R*, then J(U) = J(R)U and  $H = [H:_R U]U$ . It follows that either  $IU \subseteq [H:_R U]U + J(R)U$  or  $rU \subseteq [H:_R U]U + J(R)$ . U. Hence either  $I \subseteq [H:_R U] + J(R)$  or  $r \in [H:_R U] + J(R) = [[H:_R U] + J(R):_R U]$ . Therefore  $[H:_R U]$  is a WN-prime ideal of *R*.

It is well known that if U is a projective R-module then J(U) = J(R) U [14, Th. 9.2.1(g)]. **Proposition (2.19)** 

Let U be a projective multiplication R-module, and H is a WN-prime submodule of U then  $[H_R U]$  is a WN-prime ideal of R. **Proof** 

Follows in the same way of Proposition (2.17) and Proposition (2.18).

It is well known if U is a multiplication finitely generated R-module, and A, B are ideals of R, then  $A U \subseteq B U$  if and only if  $A \subseteq B + ann(U)$  [15, Cor. of th. 9].

### **Proposition (20)**

Let U be a multiplication finitely generated faithful module over good ring R, A is a WN-prime ideal of R.Then A U is a WN-prime submodule of U.

# Proof

Suppose that  $0 \neq aH \subseteq AU$  where  $a \in R, H$  is a submodule of U, implies that  $0 \neq aI \cup \subseteq AU$  for U is a multiplication, it follows that  $0 \neq aI \subseteq A + ann(U)$ . But U is faithful, then ann(U) = (0). Thus  $0 \neq aI \subseteq A$ . But A is a WN-prime ideal of R, then either  $I \subseteq A + J(R)$  or  $r \in [A + J(R):R] = A + J(R)$ . Hence  $I \cup \subseteq A \cup + J(R) \cup r \cup T \cup \subseteq A \cup + J(R) \cup U$ . That is either  $I \cup \subseteq A \cup + J(U)$  or  $r \cup \subseteq A \cup + J(U)$  or  $r \in [A \cup + J(U):R \cup U]$ . Therefore  $A \cup U$  is a WN-prime submodule of U.

#### **Proposition** (2.21)

Let U be a finitely generated multiplication faithful module over Artinian ring R, and A be a WN-prime ideal of R, then A U is a WN-prime submodule of U.

# Proof

Similar as in Proposition (2.20).

#### **Proposition** (2.22)

Let U be a finitely generated projective multiplication R-module, and A is a WN-prime ideal of R with  $ann(U) \subseteq A$  then AU is a WN-prime submodule of U.

# Proof

Suppose that  $0 \neq au \in AU$  for  $a \in R, u \in U$  so,  $0 \neq a(u) \subseteq AU$ . Since *U* is a multiplication, then (u) = JU for some ideal J of *R*, hence  $0 \neq aJU \subseteq AU$ , since *U* is finitely generated multiplication, then  $0 \neq aJ \subseteq A + ann(U)$ . But  $ann(U) \subseteq A$ , then  $0 \neq aJ \subseteq A$ , since *A* is a WN-prime ideal of *R* then by Corollary (2.4) either  $J \subseteq A + J(R)$  or  $a \in [A + J(R):_R R] = A +$ J(R). That is either  $JU \subseteq AU + J(R)U$  or  $aU \subseteq AU + J(R)U$ . But *U* is a projective, then J(R) U = J(U). Thus either  $(u) \subseteq AU + J(U)$  or  $a \in [A U + J(U):_R U]$ . That is either  $u \in AU + J(U)$  or  $a \in [AU + J(U):_R U]$ . Thus *AU* is a WN-prime submodule of *U*.

#### **Proposition (2.23)**

Let *H* be a WN-prime submodule of an R-module *U*, then  $S^{-1}H$  is a WN-prime submodule of  $S^{-1}R$ -module  $S^{-1}U$ , where *S* is a multiplicatively closed subset of *R*.

#### Proof

Suppose that  $(0) \neq \frac{r_1}{s_1} \frac{u}{s_2} \in S^{-1}H$  for  $\frac{r_1}{s_1} \in S^{-1}R$  and  $\frac{u}{s_2} \in S^{-1}U$  and  $r_1 \in R$ ,  $s_1, s_2 \in S, u \in U$ . Then  $\frac{r_1u}{t} \in S^{-1}H$ , where  $t = s_1s_2 \in S$ , that is there exists non-zero element  $t_1 \in S$  such that  $0 \neq t_1r_1u \in H$ . But *H* is a WN-prime submodule of *U*, then either  $t_1u \in H + J(U)$  or  $r_1 \in [H + J(U):_R U]$ , it follows that either  $\frac{t_1u}{t_1s_2} \in S^{-1}(H + J(U)) \subseteq S^{-1}H + J(S^{-1}U)$  or  $\frac{r_1}{s_1} \in S^{-1}(H + J(U))$ .

 $S^{-1}[H + J(U)]_R U \subseteq [S^{-1}H + J(S^{-1}U)]_R S^{-1}U$ . Hence either  $\frac{u}{s_2} \in S^{-1}H + J(S^{-1}U)$  or  $\frac{r_1}{s_1} \in [S^{-1}H + J(S^{-1}U)]:_R S^{-1}U].$  Thus  $S^{-1}H$  is a WN-prime submodule of  $S^{-1}R$ -module  $S^{-1}U$ .

It is well known that if  $\varphi : U \to Y$  is an R-epimorphism and  $Ker\varphi$  small submodule of Rmodule U, then  $\varphi(J(U)) = J(Y), \varphi^{-1}(J(Y)) = J(U)$  [14, Cor. 9.1.5(a)].

#### **Proposition** (2.24)

Let  $\varphi: U \to U'$  be an *R*-epimorphism with  $Ker\varphi$  is small submodule of U, and K be a WN-prime submodule of U', then  $\varphi^{-1}(K)$  is a WN-prime submodule of U.

### Proof

 $0 \neq rx \in \varphi^{-1}(K)$  where  $r \in R, x \in U$  with  $x \notin \varphi^{-1}(K) + J(U)$ , it follows that Let  $\varphi(x) \notin K + \varphi(J(U)) = K + J(U')$ . Since  $0 \neq rx \in \varphi^{-1}(K)$ , implies that  $0 \neq r \varphi(x) \in K$ . But K be a WN-prime submodule of U'and  $\varphi(x) \notin K + J(U')$ , it follows that  $r \in$  $[K + J(U')]_R U'$ , that is  $rU' \subseteq K + J(U')$ , hence  $r\varphi(U) = \varphi(rU) \subseteq K + J(U')$ . Implies that  $r U \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(K) + J(U)$ . Therefore  $\varphi^{-1}(K)$  is a WN-prime submodule of U.

#### **Proposition** (2.25)

Let  $f: U \to U'$  be an *R*-epimorphism with Kerf is small submodule of U, and H be a WNprime submodule of U with Kerf  $\subseteq H$ . Then f(H) is a WN-prime submodule of U'.

# Proof

Since Kerf  $\subseteq H$ , that's clearly f(H) is a proper submodule of U'. Now, suppose that  $0 \neq 0$  $rx' \in f(H)$ , where  $r \in R$ ,  $x' \in U'$ . Since f is an epimorphism then f(x) = x' for some  $x \in U$ , thus  $0 \neq rx' = rf(x) = f(rx) \in f(H)$ , it follows that there exists non-zero  $y \in H$  such that f(rx) = f(y), implies that f(rx - y) = 0, hence  $rx - y \in Ker f \subseteq H \Rightarrow 0 \neq rx \in H$ . but H is a WN-prime submodule of U, then either  $x \in H + J(U)$  or  $rU \subseteq H + J(U)$ , it follows that either  $x' = f(x) \in f(H) + J(U')$  or  $rU' = rf(U) \subseteq f(H) + J(U')$ . That is f(H) is a WN-prime submodule of U'.

### 3. Conclusion

In this article the concept WN-prime submodule was introduced and studied as generalization of a weakly prime submodule. The results that we set in this research are the following:

- 1. Every weakly prime submodule of R-module U is WN-prime, but not conversely.
- 2. A proper submodule H of an R-module U is a WN-prime if and only if whenever  $0 \neq 1$  $\langle r \rangle L \subseteq H$  where  $r \in R$ , L is a submodule of U implies that either  $L \subseteq H + J(U)$  or  $\langle r \rangle U \subseteq H + J(U)$ .
- 3. A proper submodule H of an R-module U is WN-prime if and only if  $[H_{R} x] \subseteq$  $[H + J(U):_R U] \cup [0:_R x]$  for all  $x \in U$  and  $x \notin H + J(U)$ .
- 4. Let H be a proper submodule of an R-module U, with  $[H + J(U)]_R U$  is a prime ideal of R, then H is a WN-prime if and only if  $H(S) \subseteq H + J(U)$  for each multiplicatively closed subset *S* of *R* with  $S \cap [H + J(U)]_R U = \varphi$ .
- 5. If a submodule H of an R-module U is small and J(U) is a weakly prime submodule of U, then H is WN-prime submodule of U.

- 6. Let U be a multiplication module over Artinian ring R, and H is a WN-prime submodule of U then  $[H_{R} U]$  is a WN-prime ideal of R.
- 7. If U is a projective multiplication R-module, and H is a WN-prime submodule of U then  $[H_{:_R} U]$  is a WN-prime ideal of R.
- 8. If U is finitely generated faithful multiplication module over good ring R, and A be WN-prime ideal of R, then A U is WN-prime submodule of U.
- **9.** If U is finitely generated projective multiplication R-module then A U is a WN-prime submodule of U for all WN-prime ideal A of R with  $ann(U) \subseteq A$ .
- 10. If *H* is a WN-prime submodule of an R-module *U*, then  $S^{-1}H$  is a WN-prime submodule of  $S^{-1}R$ -module  $S^{-1}U$ , where *S* is a multiplicatively closed subset of *R*.

# References

1. Behoodi, M. ; Koohi, H. Weakly prime Modules. *Vietnam Journal of Math.*, **2004**, *32,2*, 185-195.

2. Azizi, A.; weakly prime submodules and prime submodules, *Glasgow Math. Journal*, **2006**, *48*, 343-348.

3. Ebrahimi, S. ; Farzalipour, F.; On weakly prime submodules. *TAMKANG Journal of Math.* 2007, *38,3*, 247-252.

4. Azizi, A. On prime and weakly prime submodules, *Vietnam Journal of Math.*, 2008, *36,3*, 315-325.

5. Adil, K. J. A Generalizations of prime and weakly prime submodules, *Pure Math. Science*, 2013, *2*, *1*, 1-11.

**6.** Ebrahimi, S. ; Farzalipour, F. On weakly primary ideals, *Georgian Math. Journal*, **2005**, *13*, 423-429.

7. AL-Joboury, W. K. Weakly quasi- prime Modules and weakly quasi- prime submodules, M.SC. Thesis **2013**, University of Tikrit.

8. Farzalipour, F. On Almost semi- prime submodules; *Hindawi Publishing Corporation Algebra*, **2014**, *31*, 231-237.

9. Saif, A; Haibt, K. M. WE- prime submodules and WE-semi- prime submodules. *Ibn-Al-Haitham Jornal, for Pure and Apple.Sci.* **2018**, *31,3*, 109-117.

10. El-Bast, Z.; Smith, P. F.; Multiplication Modules, Comm. Algebra. 1988, 16, 4, 755-779.

11. Burton, D.; First course in rings and Ideals; University of New. Hampshire. 1970,

12. Athab, E. A. prime and Semi prime Submodules, M.SC. thesis, College of Science, University of Baghdad. **1996**.

13. Larsen, M. D. ; McCarthy, P. J. ; Multiplicative Theory of Ideals; Academic press, New York and London, **1971**.

14. Kasch, F., Modules and rings, London Math. Soc. Monographs (17) New York. 1982.

15. Smith P.; Some remarks on multiplication Modules, Arch. Math., 1988, 50, 223-226.