

Ibn Al Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Science

Journal homepage: http://jih.uobaghdad.edu.iq/index.php/j/index



Filter Bases and *j*-*w*-Perfect Mappings

G. S. Ashaea

Y. Y. Yousif

Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Sciences, Ibn -Al-Haitham, University of Baghdad

ghidaasadoon@gmail.com

yoyayousif@yahoo.com

Article history: Received 25 March 2019, Accepted 26 May 2019, Publish September 2019

Doi:10.30526/32.3.2289

Abstract

This paper consist some new generalizations of some definitions such: j- ω -closure converge to a point, j- ω -closure directed toward a set, almost j- ω -converges to a set, almost j- ω -cluster point, a set j- ω -H-closed relative, j- ω -closure continuous mappings, j- ω -weakly continuous mappings, j- ω -compact mappings, j- ω -rigid a set, almost j- ω -closed mappings and j- ω -perfect mappings. Also, we prove several results concerning it, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Keywords: Filter base, *j*- ω -closure converge, almost *j*- ω -converges, almost *j*- ω -cluster, *j*- ω -rigid a set, *j*- ω -perfect mappings.

Math Subject Classification 2010: 54C05, 54C08, 54C10.

1. Introduction

The notion "filter" first commence in Riesz [1]. and the setting of convergence in terms of filters sketched by Cartan in [2, 3]. And was sophisticatedly by Bourbaki in [4]. Whyburn in [5]. Introduces the notion directed toward a set and the generalization of this notion studied in Section 2. Dickman and Porter in [6]. Introduce the notion almost convergence, Porter and Thomas in [7]. introduce the notion of quasi-H-closed and the analogues of this notions are studied in Section 3. Levine in [8]. Introduce the notion θ -continuous functions, Andrew and Whittlesy in [9]. Introduce the notion weakly θ -continuous functions, in Dickman [6]. Introduce the notions θ -compact functions, θ -rigid a set, almost closed functions and the analogues of this notions are studied in Section 4. In [5]. The researcher introduces the notion of θ -perfect functions but the analogue of this notion studied in Section 5. The neighborhood denoted by nbd. The closure (resp. interior) of a subset K of a space G denoted by cl(K)(resp., int(K)). A point g in G is said to be condensation point of $K \subseteq G$ if every S in τ with g \in S, the set $K \cap S$ is uncountable [10]. In 1982 the ω -closed set was first exhibiting by Hdeib in [10]. and he know it a subset $K \subseteq G$ is called ω -closed if it incorporates each its condensation points and the ω -open set is the complement of the ω -closed set [12]. The ω interior of the set $K \subseteq G$ defined as the union of all ω -open sets contain in K and is denoted by int_{ω}(*K*). A point $g \in G$ is said to θ -cluster points of $K \subseteq G$ if $cl(S) \cap K \neq \varphi$ for each open set *S*

of *G* containment *g*. The set of each θ -cluster points of *K* is called the θ -closure of *K* and is denoted by $cl\theta(K)$. A subset $K \subseteq G$ is said to be θ -closed [11]. if $K = cl\theta(K)$. The complement of θ -closed set said to be θ -open. A point $g \in G$ said to θ - ω -cluster points of $K \subseteq G$ if $\omega cl\theta(S)$ $\cap K \neq \varphi$ for each ω -open set *S* of *G* containment *g*. The set of each θ - ω -cluster points of *K* is called the θ - ω -closure of *K* and is denoted by $\omega cl\theta(K)$. A subset $K \subseteq G$ is said to be θ - ω closed [11]. if $K = \omega cl\theta(K)$. The complement of θ - ω -closed set said to be θ - ω -open, δ -closed [12]. if $K = cl\delta(K) = \{g \in G: int(cl(S)) \cap K \neq \varphi, S \in \tau \text{ and } g \in S\}$. The complement of δ - ω -closed if $K = \omega cl\delta(K) = \{g \in G: int\omega(cl(S)) \cap K \neq \varphi, S \in \tau \text{ and } g \in S\}$. The complement of δ - ω -closed said δ - ω -clo

2. Filter

In this section we introduce definition of filter, filter base, nbd filter, finer ultrafilter and some other related concepts.

Definition 1 [4].

A nonempty family \Im of nonempty subsets of *G* called filter if it satisfies the following conditions:

(a) If $M_1, M_2 \in \mathfrak{I}$, then $M_1 \cap M_2 \in \mathfrak{I}$.

(b) If $M \in \mathfrak{I}$ and $M \subseteq M^* \subseteq G$, then $M^* \in \mathfrak{I}$.

Definition 2 [4].

A nonempty family \mathfrak{I} of nonempty subsets of *G* is called filter base if $M_1, M_2 \in \mathfrak{I}$ then $M_3 \subseteq M_1 \cap M_2$ for some $M_3 \in \mathfrak{I}$.

The filter generated by a filter base \mathfrak{T} consists of all supersets of elements of \mathfrak{T} . An open filter base on a space *G* is a filter base with open members. The set \aleph_g of all nbds of $g \in G$ is a filter on *G*, and any nbd base at *g* is a filter base for \aleph_g . This filter called the nbd filter at *g*. **Definition 3** [4].

Let \mathfrak{T} and \wp be filter bases on *G*. Then \wp is called finer than \mathfrak{T} (written as $\mathfrak{T} < \wp$) if for all $M \in \mathfrak{T}$, there is $G \in \wp$ such that $G \subseteq M$ and that \mathfrak{T} meets *G* if $M \cap G \neq \phi$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{T}$ and *G* $\in \wp$. Notice, $\mathfrak{T} \to g$ iff $\aleph_g < \mathfrak{T}$.

Definition 4 [4].

A filter \Im is called an ultrafilter if there is no strictly finer filter \wp than \Im . The ultrafilter is the maximal filter.

Definition 5 [13].

A subset *K* of a space *G* called:

- (a) α - ω -open if $K \subseteq int_{\omega}(cl(int_{\omega}(K)))$.
- (b) *pre-* ω -open if $K \subseteq int_{\omega}(cl(K))$.
- (c) *b*- ω -open if $K \subseteq cl(int_{\omega}(K)) \cup int_{\omega}(cl(K))$.

(d) β - ω -open if $K \subseteq cl(int_{\omega}(cl(K)))$.

The complement of an (resp. α - ω -open, *pre*- ω -open, *b*- ω -open, *β*- ω -open) called (resp. α - ω -closed, *pre*- ω -closed, *b*- ω -closed).

The *j*- ω -closure of $K \subseteq G$ is denoted by cl *j*- ω -(*K*) and defined by cl *j*- ω -(*K*) = $\cap \{M \subseteq G; G \text{ is } j$ - ω -closed and $K \subseteq M\}$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$. Several characterizations of ω -closed sets were provided in [11], [13-16]. Furthermore, we built some results about δ - ω -closed and δ - ω -closed and δ - ω -closed in [17-19].

3. Filter Bases and *j*-ω-Closure Directed toward a Set

In this section we defined filter bases and j- ω -closure directed toward a set and the some theorems concerning of them.

Lemma 6 [15].

Let $\lambda : (G, \tau) \to (H, \sigma)$ be an injective mapping.

(a) If $\mathfrak{T} = \{M: M \subseteq G\}$ is a filter base in *G*, then $\lambda(\mathfrak{T}) = \{\lambda(M): M \in \mathfrak{T}\}$ is a filter base in *H*. (b) If $\wp = \{G: G \subseteq \lambda(G)\}$ is a filter base in $\lambda(G), \mathfrak{T} = \{\lambda^{-1}(G): G \in \wp\}$ is a filter base in *G*. For each $\phi \neq K \subseteq G$ and any filter base \wp in $\lambda(K)$, then $\{K \cap \lambda^{-1}(G): G \in \wp\}$ is a filter base in *K*.

(c) If $\mathfrak{I} = \{M : M \subseteq G\}$ is a filter base in G, $\wp = \{\lambda(M) : M \in \mathfrak{I}\}, G^*$ is finer than G, and $\mathfrak{I}^* = \{\lambda^{-1}(G^*) : G^* \in \wp^*\}$, then the collection of sets $\mathfrak{I}^{**} = \{M \cap M^* \text{ for all } M \in \mathfrak{I} \text{ and } M^* \in \mathfrak{I}^*\}$ is finer than both of \mathfrak{I} and \mathfrak{I}^* .

Definition 7 [4].

Let \mathfrak{I} be a filter base on a space *G*. We say that \mathfrak{I} converges to $g \in G$ (written as $\mathfrak{I} \to g$) iff each open set *S* about *g* contains some element $M \in \mathfrak{I}$. We say \mathfrak{I} has g as a cluster point (or \mathfrak{I} cluster at *g*) iff each open set *S* about *g* meets all element $M \in \mathfrak{I}$. Clear that if $\mathfrak{I} \to g$, then \mathfrak{I} cluster at *g*.

Definition 8 [15].

Let \Im be a filter base on a space *G*. We say that \Im directed toward (shortly, *dir,- tow*) a set $K \subseteq G$, provided each filter base finer than \Im has a cluster point in *K*. (Note: Any filter base can't be *dir,- tow* the empty set).

Now, we will generalizations Definitions 7 and 8 as follows.

Definition 9

Let \Im be a filter base on a space *G*. We say that \Im closure converges to $g \in G$ (written as \Im $\rightsquigarrow g$) iff all open set *S* about *g*, the cl(*S*) contains some element $M \in \Im$. We say \Im has *g* as a closure cluster point (or \Im closure cluster at *g*) iff all open set *S* about *g* the cl(*S*) meets all element $M \in \Im$.

Clear that if $\Im \rightsquigarrow g$, then \Im closure cluster at g. cl (\aleph_g) used to denote the filter base {cl(S): $S \in \aleph_g$ }. Notice, $\Im \leadsto g$ if and only if cl $(\aleph_g) < \Im$. [10].

Definition 10

Let \Im be a filter base on a space *G*. We say that \Im closure directed toward (shortly, cl *dir,-tow*) a set $K \subseteq G$, provided each filter base finer than \Im has a closure cluster point in *K*.

Theorem 11

Let \mathfrak{I} be a filter base on a space G. $\mathfrak{I} \rightsquigarrow g \in G$ if and only if \mathfrak{I} is cl *dir,- tow g*.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Assume $\Im \rightsquigarrow g$, all open set *S* about *g*, cl(*S*) contains an element of \Im and thus contains an element of every filter base $\Im^* < \Im$, therefore \Im^* actually closure converges to *g*.

(\Leftarrow) Assume \Im is cl *dir,- tow* g, it must $\Im \rightsquigarrow g$. For if not, yond is an open set S in G about g such that cl(S) don't contains an element of \Im . Denote by \Im^* the collection of sets $M^* = M \cap (G - \text{cl}(S))$ for $M \in \Im$, then the sets M^* are nonempty. And \Im^* is a filter base and indeed $\Im^* < \Im$, because result in $M_1^* = M_1 \cap (G - \text{cl}(S))$ and $M_2^* = M_2 \cap (G - \text{cl}(S))$, so there is an $M_3 \subset M_1 \cap M_2$ and this perform to

$$M_3^* = M_3 \cap (G - \operatorname{cl}(S)) \subseteq M_1 \cap M_2 \cap (G - \operatorname{cl}(S))$$

= $M_1 \cap (G - \operatorname{cl}(S)) \cap M_2 \cap (G - \operatorname{cl}(S)).$

By construction, g is not a closure cluster point of \mathfrak{I}^* . This contradiction crops that, $\mathfrak{I} \rightsquigarrow g$.

Theorem 12

Let $\lambda : (G, \tau) \to (H, \sigma)$ be an injective mapping and given $L \subset H$. If for each filter base \wp in $\lambda(G)$ cl *dir,- tow* a point $h \in L$, the inverse filter $\mathcal{M} = \{\lambda^{-1}(G) : G \in \wp\}$ is cl *dir,- tow* $\lambda^{-1}(h)$, then for any filter base \mathfrak{T} in $\lambda(G)$ cl *dir,- tow* a set L, $\mathcal{E} = \{\lambda^{-1}(M) : M \in \mathfrak{T}\}$ is cl *dir,tow* $K = \lambda^{-1}(L)$.

Proof: Suppose that the hypothesis is true and any $h \in L$ is a closure cluster point of a filter base finer than \mathfrak{T} must be in $\lambda(G)$. Thus $L \cap \lambda(G) \neq \phi$, and \mathfrak{T} is cl dir,- tow $L \cap \lambda(G)$. So we may assume $L \subseteq \lambda(G)$. Let \mathcal{M} be a filter base finer than \mathcal{E} . Then $\mathcal{D} = \{(\lambda(m): m \in \mathcal{M}) \}$ finer than \mathfrak{T} by Lemma (6, a). So \mathcal{D} has a closure cluster point l in L and a filter base \mathcal{D}^* finer than \mathcal{D} closure converges to l and so is cl dir,- tow l. By supposition $\mathcal{M}^* = \{\lambda^{-1}(G^*): G^* \in \mathcal{D}^*\}$ is cl dir,- tow $\lambda^{-1}(l)$. In addition, by Lemma (6, c), \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}^* have a common filter base \mathcal{M}^{**} finer than of them. So \mathcal{M}^{**} has a closure cluster point g in $\lambda^{-1}(l)$. Since g is a closure cluster point of \mathcal{M} and $g \in \lambda^{-1}(l) \subset K$, obtain result follows.

Theorem 13

Let $\lambda : G \to H$ be closed mapping and $\lambda^{-1}(h)$ compact for every $h \in H$ iff for every filter base \mathfrak{I} in $\lambda(G)$ cl *dir,- tow* a set $L \subseteq H$, the collection $\mathfrak{E} = {\lambda^{-1}(M) : M \in \mathfrak{I}}$ is cl *dir,- tow* $\lambda^{-1}(L)$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Suppose that λ is closed mapping and $\lambda^{-1}(h)$ compact for every $h \in H$. Then by Theorem 11 and 12 it suffices to prove that if \wp is a filter base in λ (*G*) *j*- ω -closure converging to $h \in L$, then $\mathcal{M} = \{\lambda^{-1}(G) : G \in \wp\}$ is *cl*-*d*-*t* $\lambda^{-1}(h)$. In order to if not, yond is a filter base \mathcal{M}^* finer than \mathcal{M} , no point of $\lambda^{-1}(h)$ is a *j*- ω -closure cluster point of \mathcal{M}^* . For all $g \in$ $\lambda^{-1}(h)$, by supposition yond is an open set S_g about *g* and $\mathcal{M}_g^* \in \mathcal{M}^*$ with $\mathcal{M}_g^* \cap S_g = \phi$. Since $\lambda^{-1}(h)$ is compact, yond are a finite numbers of open sets S_{g_i} such that $\lambda^{-1}(h) \subseteq S = \bigcup S_{g_i}$, suppose $m^* \in \mathcal{M}^*$ such that $m^* \subseteq \cap m_{g_i}^*$ and let $T = H - \lambda$ (G - S) be the open set. Then $\lambda(m^*) \cap T = \phi$ because of $m^* \subset G - cl(S)$. So since $\lambda(m^*) \in \wp^*$, \wp^* cannot have *h* as a closure cluster point.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that the hypothesis is true and λ is not closed. Let $K \subseteq G$ be a closed set and for some $h \in H - \lambda(K)$ is a closure cluster point of $\lambda(K)$. Suppose \wp be a filter base of sets $\lambda(K) \cap T$ for every open sets $T \subseteq H$ such that $h \in T$, then \wp is a filter base in $\lambda(G)$ and $\wp \rightsquigarrow h$. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{\lambda^{-1}(G): G \in \wp\}$ and $\mathcal{M}^* = \{K \cap m : m \in \mathcal{M}\}$. It apparent that $\mathcal{M}^* < \mathcal{M}$.

Nevertheless, G - K is open and $\lambda^{-1}(h) \subseteq G - K$, \mathcal{M}^* has no closure cluster point in $\lambda^{-1}(h)$. The contradiction crops that λ be a closed mapping. Finally, to prove $\lambda^{-1}(h)$ is compact, this is easy for $h \in H - \lambda(G)$. And for $h \in \lambda(G)$, $\{h\}$ is a filter base in $\lambda(G)$ cl dir,- tow h. By supposition, $\{\lambda^{-1}(h)\}$ cl dir,- tow $\lambda^{-1}(h)$. This means that every filter base in $\lambda^{-1}(h)$ has a closure cluster point in $\lambda^{-1}(h)$, so that $\lambda^{-1}(h)$ is compact.

Corollary 14

Let $\lambda : G \to H$ be closed mapping and $\lambda^{-1}(h)$ compact for every $h \in H$ if and only if each filter base in $\lambda(G) \rightsquigarrow h \in H$ has *pre*-image filter base cl *dir*, *tow* $\lambda^{-1}(h)$.

Corollary 15

Let $\lambda : G \to H$ be closed mapping and $\lambda^{-1}(h)$ compact for every $h \in Y$, for every compact set $W \subseteq H$, $\lambda^{-1}(W)$ is compact.

Proof. Let $W \subseteq H$ be a compact set and \mathfrak{I} is a filter base in $\lambda^{-1}(W)$, $\mathfrak{G} = \{\lambda(M) : M \in \mathfrak{I}\}$, is a filter base in *W* and in $\lambda(G)$ and is cl *dir*, *tow W*. So $\mathfrak{I}^* = \{\lambda^{-1}(G) : G \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ is cl *dir*, *tow* $\lambda^{-1}(W)$, so that $\mathfrak{I}^* < \mathfrak{I}$ and \mathfrak{I}^* has a closure cluster point in $\lambda^{-1}(W)$.

4. Filter Bases and Almost *j*-ω-Convergence

In this section, we defined filter bases, almost j- ω -closure, and the some theorems about them. We now introduce the definition of almost *j*- ω -closure, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Definition 16

Let \mathfrak{T} be a filter base on a space G. We say \mathfrak{T} almost j- ω -converges to a subset $K \subseteq G$ (written as $\mathfrak{T}_{j}-\omega \rightsquigarrow K$) if for each cover \mathcal{K} of K by subsets open in G, there is a finite subfamily $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ and $M \in \mathfrak{T}$ such that $M \subseteq \bigcup \{ \text{cl} (L) : L \in \mathcal{L} \}$. We say \mathfrak{T} almost j- ω converges to $g \in G$ (written as $\mathfrak{T}_{j}-\omega \rightsquigarrow g$) if $\mathfrak{T}_{j}-\omega \rightsquigarrow \{g\}$. Now, $\text{cl}(\aleph_g) \rightsquigarrow g$, while, j- ω cl $(\aleph_g)_{j}-\omega \rightsquigarrow g$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Also, we introduce the definitions of almost *j*- ω -cluster point, and quasi -*j*- ω -H-closed set where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Definition 17

A point $g \in G$ is called an almost j- ω -cluster point of a filter base \mathfrak{I} (written as $g \in (al-j-\omega-c_g)\mathfrak{I}$) if \mathfrak{I} meets cl j- ω - (\aleph_g) , where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

For a set $K \subseteq G$, the almost *j*- ω -closure of *K*, denoted as (al-*j*- ω -cl (*K*)) is al *j*- ω -cc_g {*K*} if $K \neq \phi$ i.e. { $g \in G$: every *j*- ω -closed nbd of *g* meets *K*} and is ϕ if $K = \phi$; *K* is almost *j*- ω -closed if $K = (\text{al-} j-\omega$ -cl(*K*)). Correspondingly, the almost *j*- ω -interior of *K*, denoted as (al-*j*- ω -int*K*), is { $g \in G$; cl *j*- ω - (*S*) \subseteq *K* for some open set *S* containing *g*}; *K* is almost *j*- ω -interior if *K* = (al-*j*- ω -int(*K*)), where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Theorem 18

Let \Im and \wp be filter bases on a space $G, K \subseteq G$ and $g \in G$.

- (a) If $\mathfrak{I}_j \omega \rightsquigarrow k$, then $\operatorname{cl}_j \omega(\aleph_k) < \mathfrak{I}$.
- (b) If $\mathfrak{I}_j \omega \rightsquigarrow g$, iff $\operatorname{cl}_j \omega(\aleph_g) < \mathfrak{I}$.
- (c) If $\Im < \wp$, then $(al_j \omega c_g \wp) \subseteq (al_j \omega c_g \Im)$.
- (d) If $\Im < \wp$ and $\Im_{i} \omega \rightsquigarrow K$, then $\wp_{i} \omega \rightsquigarrow K$.
- (e) $(al_j \omega c_g \mathfrak{I}) = \cap \{cl_j \omega(M) : M \in \mathfrak{I}\}.$
- (f) If $\mathfrak{I}_j \cdot \omega \rightsquigarrow g$ and $g \in K$, then $\mathfrak{I}_j \cdot \omega \rightsquigarrow K$.
- (g) If $\mathfrak{T}_{j} \omega \rightsquigarrow K$ iff $\mathfrak{T}_{j} \omega \rightsquigarrow K \cap (al j \omega c_g \mathfrak{T})$.
- (h) If $\mathfrak{I}_{i} \omega \rightsquigarrow K$, then $K \cap (al_{i} \omega c_{g}\mathfrak{I}) \neq \phi$.
- (i) If $S \subseteq G$ is open, then $(al_{-1} \omega cl(S)) = cl(S)$.
- (j) If \Im is a open filter base, then $(al_j \omega cl\Im) = (al_j \omega c_g\Im)$.

If *S* is an open ultrafilter on *G*. Then $S \rightsquigarrow g$ if and only if $S_j - \omega \rightsquigarrow g$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Proof: The proof is easy, so it omitted.

Definition 19

The subset *K* of a space *G* is said to be quasi $-j \cdot \omega$ -H-closed relative to *G* if every cover \mathcal{K} of *K* by open subsets of *G* contains a finite subfamily $L \subseteq K$ such that $K \subseteq \bigcup \{ \text{cl } j \cdot \omega \cdot (L) : L \in \mathcal{B} \}$. If *G* is Hausdorff, we say that *K* is $j \cdot \omega$ -H-closed relative to *G*. If *G* is quasi- $j \cdot \omega$ -H-closed relative to itself, then *G* is said to be quasi- $j \cdot \omega$ -H-closed (resp. $j \cdot \omega$ -H-closed), where $j \in \{ \theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta \}$.

Theorem 20

The following are equivalent for a subset $K \subseteq G$:

(a) *K* is quasi-*j*- ω -H-closed relative to *G*.

(b) For all filter base \Im on K, \Im_{i} - $\omega \rightsquigarrow K$.

(c) For all filter base \Im on *K*, (al $-j-\omega c_g \Im$) $\cap K \neq \phi$. Where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Proof: Clearly (a) \Rightarrow (b), and by Theorem (18, h), (b) \Rightarrow (c). To show (c) \Rightarrow (a), let \mathcal{K} be a cover of K by open subsets of G such that the j- ω -closed of the union of any finite subfamily of \mathcal{K} is not cover K. Then $\mathfrak{T} = \{K - \operatorname{cl} j - \omega -_g(\bigcup_k S_k): k \text{ is finite subfamily of } \mathcal{K}\}$ is a filter base on K and (al $-j - \omega - c_g \mathfrak{T}$) $\cap K = \phi$. This contradiction crop s that K is quasi- $j - \omega$ -H-closed relative to G, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

By concepts of closure directed toward a set, almost j- ω -convergence characterized and related in the next result.

Theorem 21

Let \Im be a filter base on a space *G* and $K \subseteq G$. Then:

(a) \Im is cl-*dir,-tow* K iff for each cover \mathcal{K} of K by open subsets of G, there is a finite subfamily $L \subseteq K$ and an $M \in \Im$ such that $M \subseteq \bigcup \{ \text{cl } j \cdot \omega \cdot (L) : L \in \mathcal{B} \}$, where $j \in \{ \theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, , b, \beta \}$.

(b) For every filter base \wp , $\Im < \wp$ implies $(al - j - \omega - c_g \wp) \cap K \neq \phi$ iff $\Im_j - \omega \rightsquigarrow K$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Proof: The proofs of the two facts are similar; so, we will only prove the fact (b):

(⇒) Suppose for every filter base \wp , $\Im < \wp$ implies (al- *j*- ω -c_{*g*} \wp) ∩ *K* ≠ ϕ . If $\Im_j - \omega \rightsquigarrow g$ for some *g* ∈ *K*, then by Theorem (3.3, f), $\Im_j - \omega \rightsquigarrow K$. So, assume that for each *g* ∈ *K*, \Im does not *j*- $\omega \rightsquigarrow g$. Let *K* be a cover of *K* by subsets open in *G*. For every *g* ∈ *K*, yond is an open set *S_g* containing *g* and *T_g* ∈ K such that *S_g* ⊆ *T_g* and *M*− cl *j*- ω -*_g*(*S_g*) ≠ ϕ for every *M* ∈ \Im . So, $\wp_g =$ {*M*− cl *j*- ω -*_g*(*S_g*) : *M* ∈ \Im } is a filter base on *G* and $\Im < \wp_g$. Now, *g* ∉ (al- *j*- ω -c_{*g*} \wp_g).

Assume that $\cup \{ \wp_g : g \in K \}$ forms a filter sub base with \wp denoting the generated filter. Then $\Im < \wp$ and (al $-j-\omega-c_g \wp$) $\cap K = \phi$. This contradiction implies yond is a finite subset $L \subseteq K$ and $M_g \in \Im$ for $g \in L$ such that, $\phi = \bigcap \{ M_g - \operatorname{cl} j - \omega - {}_g(S_g) : g \in L \}$. There is $M \in \Im$ such that $M \subset \bigcap \{ M_g : g \in L \}$. It easily follows that $\phi = \bigcap \{ M - \operatorname{cl} j - \omega - {}_g(S_g) : g \in L \}$ and $M \subseteq \cup \{ \operatorname{cl} j - \omega - {}_g(T_g) : g \in L \}$. Thus $\Im_j - \omega \rightsquigarrow K$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $\mathfrak{I}_{j}-\omega \rightsquigarrow K$ and \wp is a filter base such that $\mathfrak{I} < \wp$. By Theorem (18, d), $\wp_{j}-\omega \rightsquigarrow K$, and Theorem (18, h), (al- $j-\omega-c_g \wp$) $\cap K \neq \phi$.

5. Filter Bases and *j*-ω-Rigidity

In the section, we defined filter bases, j- ω -rigidity, and the some theorems concerning of them.

Definition 22

A mapping $\lambda : G \to H$ is said to be *j*- ω -closure continuous (resp. *j*- ω -weakly continuous) if for every $g \in G$ and every nbd *T* of $\lambda(g)$, there exists a nbd *S* of *g* in *G* such that $\lambda(\operatorname{cl} j - \omega - (S)) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} j - \omega - (T)$ (resp. $\lambda(S) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} j - \omega - (T)$).

Clearly, every continuous mapping is *j*- ω -closure continuous, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

The notions of almost *j*- ω -convergence and almost *j*- ω -cluster can used to characterize *j*- ω -closure continuous.

Theorem 23

Let $\lambda: G \rightarrow H$ be a mapping. The following are equivalent:

(a) λ is *j*- ω -closure continuous.

(b) For all filter base \mathfrak{I} on G, \mathfrak{I}_{j} - $\omega \rightsquigarrow g$ implies $\lambda(\mathfrak{I}) \rightarrow \lambda(g)$.

For all filter base \Im on G, λ (al-j- ω -c \Im) \subseteq (al-j- ω -c λ (\Im). For all open $S \subseteq H$, $\lambda^{-1}(S) \subseteq$ (al-j- ω -int $\lambda^{-1}(al-j$ - ω -cl(S))). Where $j \in \{ \theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta \}$.

Proof: The proof of the equivalence of (a), (b) and (d) is straightforward.

(a) \Rightarrow (c) Suppose \mathfrak{T} is a filter base on $G, g \in (\text{al-} j \cdot \omega \cdot c\mathfrak{T}), M \in \mathfrak{T}$ and T is a nbd of $\lambda(g)$, yond is a nbd S of g such that $\lambda(\text{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (S)) \subseteq \text{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (T)$. Since $\text{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (S) \cap M \neq \phi$, then $\text{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (T) \cap \lambda(M) \neq \phi$. So, $\lambda(g) \in (\text{al-} j \cdot \omega \cdot c \lambda(\mathfrak{T}))$. This shows that $\lambda(\text{al-} j \cdot \omega \cdot c\mathfrak{T}) \subseteq (\text{al-} j \cdot \omega \cdot c \lambda(\mathfrak{T}))$.

(c) \Rightarrow (a) Let *S* be an ultrafilter containing $\lambda(\operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (\aleph_g))$. Now, $\lambda^{-1}(S)$ is a filter base since $\lambda(G) \in S$ and $\lambda^{-1}(S)$ meets cl $j \cdot \omega \cdot (\aleph_g)$. So, $\lambda^{-1}(S) \cup \operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (\aleph_g)$ is contained in some ultrafilter \mathcal{T} . Now $\lambda \lambda^{-1}(S)$ is an ultrafilter base that generates *S*. Since $\lambda \lambda^{-1}(S) < \lambda(\mathcal{T})$, then $\lambda(\mathcal{T})$ also generates *S*; hence (al- $j \cdot \omega \cdot c\lambda(\mathcal{T})$) = (al- $j \cdot \omega \cdot cS$). Since $g \in (\operatorname{al} \cdot j \cdot \omega \cdot c(\mathcal{T}))$, then $\lambda(g) \in \lambda(\operatorname{al} \cdot j \cdot \omega \cdot c\mathcal{T}) \subseteq (\operatorname{al} \cdot j \cdot \omega \cdot c\lambda(\mathcal{T})) = (\operatorname{al} \cdot j \cdot \omega \cdot cS)$. So, *S* meets cl $j \cdot \omega \cdot (\aleph \lambda_{(g)})$ and cl $j \cdot \omega \cdot (\aleph \lambda_{(g)}) \subseteq \cap \{S : S \text{ ultrafilter}, S \supseteq \lambda(\operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (\aleph_g))\}$, (denote this intersection by \wp). Nevertheless, \wp is the filter generated by (cl $j \cdot \omega \cdot (\aleph_g)$) (see [4]. Proposition I.6.6), so cl_j \cdot \omega (\aleph \lambda_{(g)}) < \lambda(\operatorname{cl}_j \cdot \omega \cdot (\aleph_g))). Hence λ is $j \cdot \omega \cdot \operatorname{closure}$ continuous, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Corollary 24

If $\lambda: G \to H$ is $j \cdot \omega$ -closure continuous and $K \subseteq G$, then $\lambda(\text{al-} j \cdot \omega \cdot \text{cl}(K)) \subseteq (\text{al-} j \cdot \omega \cdot \text{cl}(\lambda(K)))$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Here are some similarly proven facts about j- ω -weakly continuous mapping.

Theorem 25

Let $\lambda : G \rightarrow H$ be a mapping. The following are equivalent:

(a) λ is *j*- ω -weakly continuous.

(b) For all filter base \mathfrak{I} on $G, \mathfrak{I} \to g$ implies $\lambda(\mathfrak{I})_{j} \cdot \omega \rightsquigarrow \lambda(g)$.

(c) For all filter base \mathfrak{I} on G, $\lambda(al - j - \omega - c\mathfrak{I}) \subseteq (al - j - \omega - c\lambda(\mathfrak{I}))$.

(d) For all open $S \subseteq H$, $\lambda^{-1}(S) \subseteq \operatorname{int} \lambda^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} j - \omega - (S))$. Where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Theorem 26

If $\lambda : G \rightarrow H$ is *j*- ω -weakly continuous mapping, then

- (a) For all $K \subseteq G$, $\lambda(\operatorname{cl} j \omega (K)) \subseteq (\operatorname{al} j \omega \operatorname{cl} \lambda(K))$.
- (b) For all $L \subseteq H$, $\lambda(\operatorname{cl} j \omega (\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl} j \omega \lambda^{-1}(L)))) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} j \omega (L)$.
- (c) For all open $S \subseteq H$, $\lambda(\operatorname{cl} j \omega (S)) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} j \omega \lambda(S)$. Where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Now, We introduce the definitions of j- ω -compact, j- ω -rigid set, almost j- ω -closed, and j- ω -urysohn space as follows.

Definition 27

A mapping $\lambda : G \to H$ is said to be *j*- ω - compact if for every subset *C* quasi-*j*- ω -H-closed relative to *H*, $\lambda^{-1}(C)$ is quasi-*j*- ω -H-closed relative to *G*, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Definition 28

A subset *K* of a space *G* is said to be *j*- ω -rigid provided whenever \Im is a filter base on *G* and $K \cap (\text{al-} j - \omega - c_g \Im) = \phi$, there is an open *S* containing *K* and $M \in \Im$ such that cl *j*- ω -(*S*) \cap $M = \phi$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Definition 29

A mapping $\lambda : G \to H$ is said to be almost *j*- ω -closed if for any set $K \subseteq G$, $\lambda(\text{al-} j - \omega - \text{cl}(K)) = (\text{al-} j - \omega - \text{cl} \lambda(K))$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Definition 30

A space *G* is said to be *j*- ω -Urysohn if every pair of distinct points are contained in disjoint *j*- ω -closed nbds, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Before characterizing *j*- ω -rigidity, we can show that a *j*- ω -closure continuous, *j*- ω -compact mapping into a *j*- ω -Urysohn space with a certain property (the "*j*- ω -closure" and "quasi-*j*- ω -H-closed relative" analogue of property α in [15].) is almost *j*- ω -closed.

Theorem 31

Suppose $\lambda : G \to H$ is a *j*- ω -closure continuous mapping and *j*- ω -compact and *H* is *j*- ω -Urysohn with this property: For each $L \subseteq H$ and $h \in (\text{al-} j - \omega - \text{cl}(L))$, there is a subset *C* quasi-*j*- ω -H-closed relative to *H* such that $h \in (\text{al-} j - \omega - \text{cl}(C \cap L))$. Then λ is almost *j*- ω -closed, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Proof: Let $K \subseteq H$. By corollary (24), λ (al- *j*- ω -cl (*K*)) \subseteq (al- *j*- ω -cl λ (*K*)). Suppose $h \in$ (al- *j*- ω -cl λ (*K*)). Yond is a subset *C* quasi- *j*- ω -H-closed relative to *H* such that $h \in$ (al- *j*- ω -cl($C \cap \lambda(K)$). Then $\mathfrak{I} = \{ \text{cl } j - \omega - (S) \cap C \cap \lambda(K) : S \in \aleph_h \}$, is a filter base on *H* such that $\mathfrak{I}_j - \omega \twoheadrightarrow h$. Now, $\mathfrak{G} = \{ K \cap \lambda^{-1}(M) : M \in \mathfrak{I} \}$ is a filter base on $K \cap \lambda^{-1}(C)$. Since $\lambda^{-1}(C)$ is quasi- *j*- ω -H-closed relative to *H*, then there is $g \in$ (al -*j*- ω -c_g \mathfrak{G}) $\cap \lambda^{-1}(C)$. By theorem 23, $\lambda(g) \in$ (al- *j*- ω -c_h $\lambda(\mathfrak{G})$) \subseteq (al. *j*- ω ch \mathfrak{I}). Since $\mathfrak{I}_j - \omega \twoheadrightarrow h$ and *H* is *j*- ω -Urysohn, (al- *j*- ω -ch \mathfrak{I}) = {*h*}. So, $h \in \lambda(\mathfrak{al} - j - \omega$ -cl (*K*)), where $j \in \{ \theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta \}$.

Theorem 32

Let *K* be a subset of a space *G*. The following are equivalent: (a) *K* is j- ω -rigid in *G*.

(b) For all filter base \mathfrak{T} on G, if $K \cap (\text{al-} j - \omega - c_g \mathfrak{T}) = \phi$, then for some $M \in \mathfrak{T}, K \cap (\text{al-} j - \omega - c_g \mathfrak{T}) = \phi$.

(c) For all cover \mathcal{K} of K by open subsets of G, there is a finite subfamily $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ such that $K \subseteq$ int cl *j*- ω -($\cup \mathcal{B}$). Where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Proof: The proof that (a) \Rightarrow (b) is straightforward. (b) \Rightarrow (c) Let \mathcal{K} be a cover of K by open subsets of G and $\mathfrak{I} = \{\bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{B}} (G - \operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (S)): \mathcal{B} \text{ is a finite subset of } \mathcal{K}\}$. If \mathfrak{I} is not a filter base, then for some finite subfamily $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{K}, G \subseteq \cup \{\operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (S) : S \in \mathcal{B}\}$; thus, $K \subseteq G \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (\cup \mathcal{B})$ which completes the proof in the case that \mathfrak{I} is not a filter base. So, suppose \mathfrak{I} is a filter base. Then $K \cap (\operatorname{al} - j \cdot \omega \cdot \operatorname{c} \mathfrak{I}) = \phi$ and there is an $M \in \mathfrak{I}$ such that $K \cap (\operatorname{al} - j \cdot \omega \cdot \operatorname{c} (M)) = \phi$. For each $x \in K$, yond is open T_g of g such that $\operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (T_g) \cap M = \phi$. Let $T = \cup \{T_g : g \in K\}$. Now, $T \cap M = \phi$. Since $M \in \mathfrak{I}$, then for some finite subfamily $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{K}, M = \cap \{G - \operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (S) : S \in \mathcal{B}\}$. It follows that $T \subseteq \operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (\cup \mathcal{B})$ and hence, $K \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (\cup \mathcal{B})$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

(c) \Rightarrow (a) Let \Im be a filter base on G such that $K \cap (\text{al } -j - \omega - c\Im) = \phi$. For all $g \in K$ yond is open T_g of g and $M_g \in \Im$ such that $\text{cl } j - \omega - (T_g) \cap M_g = \phi$. Now $\{T_g: g \in K\}$ is a cover of K by open subsets of G; so, there is finite subset $L \subseteq K$ such that $K \subseteq$ int $\text{cl } j - \omega - (\bigcup \{T_g: g \in T\})$. Let $S = \text{int cl } j - \omega - (\bigcup \{T_g: g \in L\})$. Yond is $M \in \Im$ such that $M \subseteq \bigcap \{M_g: g \in L\}$. Since $\text{cl } j - \omega - (S) = \bigcup \{\text{cl } j - \omega - (T_g): g \in L\}$, then $\text{cl } j - \omega - (S) \cap M = \phi$. So K is $j - \omega$ -rigid in G, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

6. Filter Bases and *j*-ω-Perfect Mappings

In the section, we defined filter bases, j- ω -perfect mappings, and the some theorems about them.

In Corollary 14, we show that a mapping $\lambda : G \to H$ is perfect (i.e. closed and $\lambda^{-1}(y)$ compact for each $h \in H$) iff for all filter base \mathfrak{T} on $\lambda(G)$, $\mathfrak{T} \rightsquigarrow h \in H$, implies $\lambda^{-1}(\mathfrak{T})$ is (*cl-dirtow*) $\lambda^{-1}(y)$ and in Corollary 15, proved that a perfect mapping is compact (i.e. inverse image of compact sets are compact). In view Theorem 21, we say that a mapping $\lambda : G \to H$ is *j*- ω -perfect if for every filter base \mathfrak{T} on $\lambda(G)$, \mathfrak{T}_{j} - $\omega \rightsquigarrow h \in H$ implies $\lambda^{-1}(\mathfrak{T})_{j}$ - $\omega \rightsquigarrow \lambda^{-1}(h)$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Theorem 33

Let $\lambda : G \rightarrow H$ be a mapping. The following are equivalent:

(a) λ is *j*- ω -perfect.

(b) For all filter base \mathfrak{I} on *G*, (al-*j*- ω -(c $\lambda(\mathfrak{I})) \subseteq \lambda(al-j-\omega-(c\mathfrak{I}))$.

(c) For all filter base \mathfrak{I} on $\lambda(G)$, $\mathfrak{I}_{j}-\omega \rightsquigarrow L \subseteq H$, implies $\lambda^{-1}(\mathfrak{I})_{j}-\omega \rightsquigarrow \lambda^{-1}(L)$. Where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b) Assume \Im is a filter base on G and $h \in (\text{al-} j \cdot \omega \cdot c \lambda(\Im))$. For if not. Assume that $\lambda^{-1}(h) \cap (\text{al-} j \cdot \omega \cdot (c\Im) = \phi$. For each $g \in \lambda^{-1}(h)$, yond is open S_g of g and $M_g \in \Im$ such that cl $j \cdot \omega \cdot (S_g) \cap M_g = \phi$. Since $\lambda^{-1}(\text{cl } j \cdot \omega \cdot (\aleph_h))_{j} \cdot \omega \rightsquigarrow \lambda^{-1}(y)$ and $\{S_g : g \in \lambda^{-1}(h)\}$ is an open cover of $\lambda^{-1}(y)$, yond is a $V \in \aleph_h$ and a finite subset $B \subseteq \lambda^{-1}(y)$ such that $\lambda^{-1}(\text{cl } j \cdot \omega \cdot (T)) \subseteq \cup \{\text{cl } j \cdot \omega \cdot (T_g) : g \in L\}$. Yond is an $M \in \Im$ such that $M \subseteq \cap \{M_g : g \in L\}$. Thus, $M \cap \lambda^{-1}(\text{cl } j \cdot \omega \cdot (1))$

 ω -(*T*)) = ϕ implying cl *j*- ω -(*T*) $\cap \lambda(M) = \phi$, a contradiction as $h \in (\text{al-} j - \omega - c \lambda(\mathfrak{I}))$. This shows that $h \in \lambda(\text{al-} j - \omega - c \mathfrak{I})$, Where $j \in \{pre, , b, \alpha, \beta\}$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Assume \Im is a filter base on $\lambda(G)$ and $\Im_{j} \cdot \omega \rightsquigarrow L \subseteq H$. Let \wp be a filter base on G such that $\lambda^{-1}(\Im) < \wp$. Then $\Im < \lambda(\wp)$ and $(\operatorname{al}_{-j} \cdot \omega \circ \lambda(\wp)) \cap L \neq \phi$. Therefore $\lambda(\operatorname{al}_{-j} \cdot \omega \circ \varphi) \cap L \neq \phi$ and $(\operatorname{al}_{-j} \cdot \omega \circ \varphi) \cap \lambda^{-1}(L) \neq \phi$. By Theorem (3.6, b), $\lambda^{-1}(\Im)_{-j} \cdot \omega \cdots \lambda^{-1}(L)$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$. (c) \Rightarrow (a) Clearly.

Corollary 34

If $\lambda : G \rightarrow H$ is *j*- ω -perfect mapping, then:

(a) For all $K \subseteq G$, (al-*j*- ω -cl $\lambda(K)$) $\subseteq \lambda$ (al-*j*- ω -cl (*K*)).

(b) For all almost *j*- ω -closed *K* \subseteq *G*, $\lambda(K)$ is almost *j*- ω -closed.

(c) λ is *j*- ω -compact. Where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Proof: (a) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 33, and (b) follows easily from (a). To prove (c) Let *C* be quasi-*j*- ω -H-closed relative to *H*, and \wp be a filter base on $\lambda^{-1}(C)$, then $\lambda(\wp)$ is a filter base on *C*. By Theorem 20, (al-*j*- ω -c $\lambda(\wp)$) $\cap C \neq \phi$ and by Theorem (33, b), (al-*j*- ω -c \wp) $\cap \lambda^{-1}(C) \neq \phi$. By Theorem 20, $\lambda^{-1}(C)$ is quasi-*j*- ω -H-closed relative to *G*, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Theorem 35

An *j*- ω -closure continuous mapping $\lambda : G \rightarrow H$ is *j*- ω -perfect if and only if

(a) λ is almost *j*- ω -closed, and

(b) $\lambda^{-1}(y)$ *j*- ω -rigid for each $h \in H$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) If λ is *j*- ω -closure continuous and *j*- ω -perfect mapping, then by Corollaries 34 and 24, λ is almost *j*- ω -closed. To show $\lambda^{-1}(h)$, for $h \in H$, is *j*- ω -rigid, Let \Im be a filter base on *G* such that $\lambda^{-1}(h) \cap (\text{al } -j - \omega - c\Im) = \phi$. So, $h \notin \lambda(\text{al-} j - \omega - c\Im)$ and by Theorem (33, b), $h \notin (\text{al }_{j}-\omega \ c \ \lambda(\Im))$. Yond is open *S* of *h* and $M \in \Im$ such that cl *j*- ω -(*S*) $\cap \lambda(M) = \phi$. So, $\lambda^{-1}(\text{cl } j - \omega - (S)) \cap M = \phi$. Since λ is *j*- ω -closure continuous, then for any $g \in \lambda^{-1}(h)$, yond is open *T* of *g* such that cl *j*- ω -(*T*) $\subseteq \lambda^{-1}(\text{cl } j - \omega - (S))$. So, $\lambda^{-1}(h) \cap \text{cl } j - \omega - (M) = \phi$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that *j*- ω -closure continuous mapping λ satisfies (a) and (b). Let \Im be a filter base on $\lambda(G)$ such that $\Im_{j}-\omega \rightsquigarrow h$. Let \wp be a filter base on *G* such that $\lambda^{-1}(\Im) < \wp$. So, $\Im < \lambda(\wp)$ implying that $h \in (\text{al-} j-\omega-\text{cl} \lambda(\wp))$. Therefore, for each $G \in \wp$, $h \in (\text{al-} j-\omega-\text{cl} \lambda(G)) \subseteq \lambda(\text{al-} j-\omega-\text{cl} G)$. Hence, $\lambda^{-1}(h) \cap (\text{al-} j-\omega-\text{cl} G) \neq \phi$ for each $G \in \wp$. By (b), $\lambda^{-1}(h) \cap (\text{al-} j-\omega-\text{c} \wp) \neq \phi$. By Theorem 33, λ is *j*- ω -perfect mapping, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Actually, in the proof of the converse of Theorem 35, we have shown that property (a) of Theorem 35 can reduced to this statement: For each $K \subseteq G$, al $j \cdot \omega$ -cl $\lambda(K) \subseteq \lambda$ (al $j \cdot \omega$ -cl (K); in fact, we have shown the next corollary (the mapping is not necessarily $j \cdot \omega$ -closure continuous).

Corollary 36

Let $\lambda : G \to H$ be a mapping if (a) For all $K \subseteq G$, (al- *j*- ω -cl $\lambda(K)$) $\subseteq \lambda$ (al- *j*- ω -cl (*K*)

(b) $\lambda^{-1}(h) j - \omega$ -rigid for each $h \in H$, then λ is $j - \omega$ -perfect, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Corollary 37

Let $\lambda: G \to H$ be a mapping.

(a) λ is almost *j*- ω closed

(b) $\lambda^{-1}(h) j - \omega$ rigid for each $h \in H$, then λ^{-1} preserves $j - \omega$ rigidity, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Proof. Let $C \subseteq H$ be $j \cdot \omega$ rigid and \mathfrak{T} be a filter base on G such that al $j \cdot \omega \operatorname{c}_g \mathfrak{T} \cap \lambda^{-1}(C) = \phi$. By Corollary 36 and Theorem 33, (al- $j \cdot \omega \operatorname{c}\lambda(\mathfrak{T})$) $\cap C = \phi$. So, there is $M \in \mathfrak{T}$ such that (al- $j \cdot \omega \operatorname{cl}\lambda(M)$) $\cap C = \phi$. Nevertheless (al- $j \cdot \omega \operatorname{cl}\lambda(M)$) $= \lambda(\operatorname{al}-j \cdot \omega \operatorname{cl}(M))$. So, (al- $j \cdot \omega \operatorname{cl}(M)$) $\cap \lambda^{-1}(C) = \phi$. So, by Theorem 32, $\lambda^{-1}(C)$ is $j \cdot \omega$ rigid, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Theorem 38

Suppose $\lambda : G \rightarrow H$ has *j*- ω rigid point-inverses. Then:

(a) λ is $j-\omega$ closure continuous iff for each $h \in H$ and open set T containing h, there is an open set S containing $\lambda^{-1}(h)$ such that $\lambda(\operatorname{cl} j-\omega(S)) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} j-\omega(T)$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

(b) If for each $h \in G$ and open set *S* containing $\lambda^{-1}(h)$, there is an open set *T* of *h* such that $\lambda^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega(T)) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega(S)$, then for each $K \subseteq G$, (al- $j \cdot \omega \operatorname{cl}(\lambda(K)) \subseteq \lambda(\operatorname{al-} j \cdot \omega \operatorname{cl}(K))$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Proof. (a) (\Rightarrow) Is obvious.

(\Leftarrow) Is straightforward using Theorem (32, c) (b) Let $\phi \neq K \subseteq G$ and $h \notin \lambda(\text{al-} j \cdot \omega \text{ cl } (K))$. Then $\lambda^{-1}(h) \cap (\text{al-} j \cdot \omega \text{ cl } (K)) = \phi$. Now, $\Im = \{K\}$ is a filter base and $(\text{al-} j \cdot \omega \text{ c}\Im) \cap \lambda^{-1}(h) = \phi$. So, yond is open set *S* continuing $\lambda^{-1}(h)$ such that cl $j \cdot \omega$ (*S*) $\cap K = \phi$, yond is open *T* of *h* such that $\lambda^{-1}(\text{cl } j \cdot \omega(T)) \subseteq \text{cl } j \cdot \omega(S)$. Therefore, cl $j \cdot \omega(T) \cap \lambda(K) = \phi$. Hence $h \notin (\text{al-} j \cdot \omega \text{ cl } \lambda(K))$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

The next result related to Theorem (38, b); the proof is straightforward.

Theorem 39

Let $\lambda : G \rightarrow H$. The following are equivalent:

(a) For all *j*- ω -closed $K \subseteq G$, $\lambda(K)$ is *j*- ω -closed, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

(b) For all $L \subseteq H$ and $j \cdot \omega$ open *S* containing $\lambda^{-1}(L)$, there is $j \cdot \omega$ -open *T* containing *L* such that $\lambda^{-1}(T) \subseteq S$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Theorem 40

If $\lambda : G \to H$ is $j \cdot \omega$ closure continuous and H is $j \cdot \omega$ Urysohn, then λ is $j \cdot \omega$ perfect if and only if for all filter base \mathfrak{I} on G, if $\lambda(\mathfrak{I})_{j} \cdot \omega \rightsquigarrow h \in H$, then $(al \cdot j \cdot \omega_{cg} \mathfrak{I}) \neq \phi$, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume that λ is $j \cdot \omega$ perfect and $\lambda(\mathfrak{I})_{j} \cdot \omega \rightsquigarrow h$. Therefore, $\lambda^{-1}(\mathfrak{I})_{j} \cdot \omega \rightsquigarrow \lambda^{-1}(h)$. Since $\lambda^{-1}\lambda(\mathfrak{I}) < \mathfrak{I}$, then by Theorem (18, d), $\mathfrak{I}_{j} \cdot \omega \rightsquigarrow \lambda^{-1}(h)$, by Theorem (18, h), (al $-j \cdot \omega \subset \mathfrak{I}$) $\neq \phi$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that for each filter base \Im on G, if $\lambda(\Im)_j - \omega \rightsquigarrow h \in G$, then $(al - j - \omega c_g \Im) \neq \phi$. Suppose \wp is a filter base on $\lambda(G)$ such that $\wp_j - \omega \rightsquigarrow h \in H$, and assume \mathcal{L} is a filter base on G such that $\lambda^{-1}(\wp) < \mathcal{L}$. Then $\wp = \lambda \lambda^{-1}(G) < \lambda(\mathcal{L})$. So, $\lambda(\mathcal{L})_j - \omega \rightsquigarrow h$. Therefore, $(al - j - \omega - c_g)$

 \mathcal{L}) $\neq \phi$. Let $i \in H - \{h\}$. Because of $H j \cdot \omega$ -Urysohn, yond are open sets S_i of i and S_h of h such that $\operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (S_i) \cap \operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (S_h) = \phi$. Yond is $H \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\lambda(H) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (S_h)$. For every $g \in \lambda^{-1}(i)$, there is open T_i of i such that $\lambda(\operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (T_i)) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (S_i)$. So, $\operatorname{cl} j \cdot \omega \cdot (T_g) \cap H = \phi$. It follows that $\lambda^{-1}(i) \cap (\operatorname{al} \cdot j \cdot \omega \cdot c_g \mathcal{L}) = \phi$ for each $i \in H - \{h\}$. So, $(\operatorname{al} \cdot j \cdot \omega \cdot c_g \mathcal{L}) \cap \lambda^{-1}(h) \neq \phi$ and λ is $j \cdot \omega$ -perfect, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Corollary 41

If $\lambda : G \to H$ be a mapping is *j*- ω -closure continuous, *G* is quasi-*j*- ω -H-closed, and *H* is *j*- ω -Urysohn, then λ is *j*- ω -perfect, where $j \in \{\theta, \delta, \alpha, pre, b, \beta\}$.

Proof. Since *G* is quasi- *j*- ω -H-closed, then all filter base on *G* has non void almost *j*- ω -cluster; now, the corollary follows directly from Theorem 35, Where *j* \in { θ , δ , α , *pre*, *b*, β }.

7. Conclusions

The starting point for the application of abstract topological structures in *j*- ω -perfect mapping is presented in this paper. We use filter base to introduce a new notion namely filter base and *j*- ω -perfect mapping. Finally, certain theorems and generalization concerning these concepts of studied; *j* \in { θ , δ , α , *pre*, *b*, β }.

References

- 1. Riesz, F. Stetigkeitsbegriff and und abstrakte Mengenlehre. Atti ael IV Congresso Internazionale dei Matematici. 1909, 2, 18-24.
- 2. Cartan, H. *Theorie des filters*. Acad. Paris. 1st edition, **1937**.
- 3. Cartan, H. *Filters Ultrafilters*. Acad. Paris. 2nd edition, **1937**a.
- 4. Bourbaki, N. General Topology. Part I. Addison-Wesly. Reding. Mass, 1975.
- 5. Whyburn, G.T. Directed families of sets and closedness of functions. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.***1965**, *54*, 688–692.
- Dickman, R.F.; Porter, J.R. θ-perfect and θ- absolutelyclosed functions. *Illinois Jour. Math*.1977, 21, 42-60.
- 7. Porter, J.R.; Thomas, J.D. On H-closed and minimal Hausdorff spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.***1969**, *138*, 159–170.
- 8. Levine, N.A. Decomposition of Continuity in Topological Spaces. *American Mathematical Monthly*.**1961**, *38*, 413-418.
- 9. Andrew, D.R; Whittlesy, E.K. Closure Continuity. *American Mathematical Monthly*. **1966**, *73*, 758-759.
- 10. Willard, S. *General Topology*. Addison Wesley Publishing Company, Inc. 1st edition, **1970**.
- 11. Hdeib, H.Z. ω-closed mapping. *Revista Colombian a de Mathematics*. **1982**, XVI, 65-78.
- 12. Yousif, Y.Y. ω-Perfect Mappings. *Ibn Al– Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Science*.2007, 20, 2, 141-149.
- 13. Mashhour, A.S.; Abd El-Monsef, M.E.; El-Deeb, S.N. On pre continuous and weak pre continuous mappings. *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc.***1982**, *53*, 47-53.
- 14. Abd El-Monsef, M.E.; El-Deeb, S.N.; Mohmoud, R.A. β-open sets and β-continuous mappings. *Bull. Fac. Sc. Assuit Univ.***1983**, *12*, 77-90.
- 15. Andrijevic, D. On b-open sets. Mat. Vesnik. 1996, 48, 59-64.
- 16. Velicko, N.N. H-closed topological spaces. *American Mathematical Society Translations*. **1968**, *78*, *2*, 103–118.

- 17. Yousif, Y.Y. Filter Bases and Supra Perfect Functions. *Science International*.2019, *31*, *1*, 23-27.
- 18. Yousif, Y.Y.; Hussain, L.A. Fibrewise IJ-Perfect Bitopological Spaces. *Conf. Series: Journal of Physics.***2018**, *1003*, *012063*, 1-12, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1003/1/012063.
- 19. Yousif, Y.Y.; Jassim, N.S. IJ-ω-Perfect Functions between Bitopological Spaces. *Ibn Al–Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Science*.**2010**, *23*, *2*, 135-140.