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A (b,t)-blocking set $B$ in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ is set of $b$ points such that every line of $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ intersects $B$ in at least $t$ points and there is a line intersecting $B$ in exactly $t$ points.
In this paper we construct a minimal (b,t)-blocking sets, $t=1,2,3,4,5$ in $\operatorname{PG}(2,5)$ by using conics to obtain complete arcs and projective codes related with them.
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## 1- Introduction

Let $\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q})$ denotes the Galois field of q elements and $\mathrm{V}(3, \mathrm{q})$ be the vector space of row vectors of length three with entries in $\operatorname{GF}(q)$. Let $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ be the corresponding projective plane. The points of $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ are the non zero vectors of $\mathrm{V}(3, \mathrm{q})$ with the rule that $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{y}=\left(\lambda \mathrm{x}_{1}, \lambda \mathrm{x}_{2}, \lambda \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ represent the same point, where $\lambda \in \mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q}) \backslash\{0\}$. The number of points of $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$ is $\mathrm{q}^{2}+\mathrm{q}+1$.

If the point $P(X)$ is the equivalence class of the vector $X$, then we will say that $X$ is a vector representing $P(X)$. A subspace of dimension one is a set of points all of whose representing vectors form a subspace of dimension two of $\mathrm{V}(3, \mathrm{q})$, such subspaces are called lines.
The number of lines in $\mathrm{PG}(3, \mathrm{q})$ is $\mathrm{q}^{2}+\mathrm{q}+1$. There are $\mathrm{q}+1$ points on every line and $\mathrm{q}+1$ lines through every point. The point $\mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ is on the line $\mathrm{Y}\left[\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}\right]$ if and only if $\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{y}_{2}+\mathrm{x}_{3} \mathrm{y}_{3}=0$.

## Definition (1.1): [1]

A $(k, n)$-arc is a set of $k$ points of a projective plane such that some $n$ but no $n+1$ of them are collinear, $n \geq 2$.

## Definition (1.2): [2]

A $(k, n)-\operatorname{arc}$ is complete if it is not contained in a $(k+1, n)$-arc.

## Definition (1.3): [2]

A line 1 in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ is an $i$-secant on a $(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{n})$-arc K if $|\ell \cap \mathrm{K}|=i$.

## Definition (1.4): [2]

A point N which is not on a $(k, n)$-arc has index $i$ if there are exactly $i$ ( $n$-secants) of the arc through N , we denote the number of points N of index $i$ by $\mathrm{N}_{i}$.

## Remark (1.5): [3]

The $(k, n)$-arc is complete iff $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0$. Thus the arc is complete iff every point of $\operatorname{PG}(2, \mathrm{q})$ lies on some $n$-secant of the arc.

## Definition (1.6): [3]

An (b,t)-blocking set $B$ in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ is a set of $b$ points such that every line of $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ intersects $B$ in at least $t$ points, and there is a line intersecting $B$ in exactly $t$ points. If $B$ contains a line, it is called trivial, thus $B$ is a subset of $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ which meets every line $\ell$ in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$, but contains no line completely; that is $t \leq|B \cap \ell| \leq q$ for every line $\ell$ in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$. So $B$ is a blocking set iff $P G(2, q) \backslash B$ is a blocking set. A blocking set is minimal if $B \backslash\{P\}$ is not blocking set for every p in B .

## Lemma (1.7): [4]

A $(b, 1)$-blocking set $B$ is minimal in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ iff there is a line $\ell$ in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ such that $B \cap \ell=\{Q\}$ for every $Q$ in $B$.

## Definition (1.8): [3]

A variety $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{F})$ of $\mathrm{PG}(2, \mathrm{q})$ is a subset of $\mathrm{PG}(2, \mathrm{q})$ such that:
$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{F})=\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}) \in \mathrm{PG}(2, \mathrm{q}) \mid \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{A})=0\}$.
Definition (1.9): [5]
Let $\mathrm{Q}(2, \mathrm{q})$ be the set of quadrics in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$; that is the varieties $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{F})$, where:
$F=a_{11} x_{1}^{2}+a_{22} X_{2}^{2}+a_{33} X_{3}^{2}+a_{12} x_{1} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{1} x_{3}+a_{23} x_{2} x_{3}$
If $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{F})$ is non-singular, then the quadric is a conic.

That is, if $A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}a_{11} & \frac{a_{12}}{2} & \frac{a_{13}}{2} \\ \frac{a_{12}}{2} & a_{22} & \frac{a_{23}}{2} \\ \frac{a_{13}}{2} & \frac{a_{23}}{2} & a_{33}\end{array}\right]$ is nonsingular, then the quadric (1) is a conic.

### 1.10 The Relation Between The Blocking (b,t)-Set and The (k,n)-arc [5]

The ( $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{n}$ )-arc and the ( $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}$ )-blocking set are each complement to the other in the projective plane $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$, that is, $n+t=q+1$ and $k+b=q^{2}+q+1$. Thus the complement of the $(b, t)-$ blocking set is the set of points that intersects every line in at most $n$ points which represents the ( $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{n}$ )-arc. Also finding minimal (b,t)-blocking set is equivalent to finding maximal ( $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{n}$ )arc in $P G(2, q)$.
Lemma (1.11): [4]
Let $\beta=\mathrm{C} \cup \ell \cup\{\mathrm{P}\} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}\right\}$, where C is a conic, $\ell$ is a (2-secant) of C such that $\mathrm{C} \cap \ell=\left\{\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}\right\}, \mathrm{P}$ is the point of intersection of the two tangents to C at $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}$, then $\beta$ is a minimal ( $2 \mathrm{p}-1,1$ )-blocking set.

## Definition (1.12): [5]

Let $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{q})$ denote the vector space of all ordered n -tuples over $\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q})$. A linear code C over $\operatorname{GF}(\mathrm{q})$ of length n and dimension k is a k -dimensional subspace of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{q})$. The vectors of C are called code words. The Hamming distance between two codewords is defined to be the number of coordinate places in which they differ. The minimum distance of a code is the smallest distances between distinct codewords. Such a code is called an $[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{d}]_{\mathrm{q}}$ code if its minimum hamming distance is d.

There exists a relationship between complete ( $n, r$ )-arcs in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ and $[n, 3, d]_{q}$ codes given by the next theorem.

## Theorem (1.13): [5]

There exists a projective $[\mathrm{n}, 3, \mathrm{~d}]_{\mathrm{q}}$ code if and only if there exists an $(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{d})$-arc in PG(2,q).

## Theorem (1.14): [6]

Let $\beta_{2}$ be a double blocking set in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ :
(1) If $\mathrm{q}<9$, then $\beta_{2}$ has at least 3 q points.
(2) If $q=11,13,17$ or 19 , then $\left|\beta_{2}\right| \geq(5 q+7) / 2$.

Theorem (1.15): [6]
Let $\beta_{3}$ be a trible blocking set in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ :
(1) If $q=5,7,9$, then $\beta_{3}$ has at least 4 q points and if $\mathrm{q}=8$, then $\beta_{3}$ has at least 31 points.
(2) If $q=11,13$ or 17 , then $\left|\beta_{3}\right| \geq(7 q+9) / 2$. Now, we prove the following theorem:

## Theorem (1.16):

A (b,t)-blocking set $B$ is minimal in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ then every point $P$ in $B$ there is a $t$-secant of $B$ containing $P$.

## Proof:

Suppose B is minimal blocking set, let P be any point in B . Let K be the complement of $B$, then $K$ is complete ( $k, n$ )-arc in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ and $P$ is not $K$., then $P$ is an ( $n$-secant) of $K$, but $\mathrm{q}+1=\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{n}$ and so $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{q}+1-\mathrm{n}$. Thus P is on an ( t -secant) of B .

## 2- The Projective Plane PG(2,5)

In this paper we consider the case $\mathrm{q}=5$ and the elements of $\mathrm{GF}(5)$ are denoted by 0,1,2,3,4.

A projective plane $\pi=\operatorname{PG}(2,5)$ over $\mathrm{GF}(5)$ consists of 31 points, 31 lines each line contains 6 points and through every point there is 6 lines.

Let $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\ell_{\mathrm{i}}$ be the points and lines of $\mathrm{PG}(2,5)$ respectively. Let i stands for the point $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$, $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, 31$. The points and lines of $\mathrm{PG}(2,5)$ are given in the table (1).

### 2.1 The Conic in PG(2,5) Through The Reference and Unit Points

The general equation of the conic is:
$\mathrm{a}_{11} \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{a}_{22} \mathrm{x}_{2}^{2}+\mathrm{a}_{33} \mathrm{x}_{3}^{2}+\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{a}_{13} \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{3}+\mathrm{a}_{23} \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}=0$
By substituting the reference points:
$1(1,0,0), 2(0,1,0), 7(0,0,1)$ and the unit point $13(1,1,1)$, which are four points no three of them are collinear, in (1), we get:
$a_{12}+a_{13}+a_{23}=0$ and $a_{11}=a_{22}=a_{33}=0$, so (1) becomes:
$\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{a}_{13} \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{3}+\mathrm{a}_{23} \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}=0$
If $\mathrm{a}_{12}=0$, then the conic is degenerated, therefore $\mathrm{a}_{12} \neq 0$, similarly, $\mathrm{a}_{13} \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{a}_{23} \neq 0$.
Dividing equation (2) by $\mathrm{a}_{12}$, we get:
$x_{1} x_{2}+\alpha x_{1} x_{3}+\beta x_{2} x_{3}=0$,where $\alpha=\frac{a_{13}}{a_{12}}, \beta=\frac{a_{23}}{a_{12}}$, then $\beta=-(1+\alpha)$ since $1+\alpha+\beta=0(\bmod 5)$.
Then $\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}+\alpha \mathrm{x}_{1 \mathrm{x}_{3}}-(1+\alpha) \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}=0$, where $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 4$, for if $\alpha=0$ or $\alpha=4$ we get a degenerated conic, that is, $\alpha=1,2,3$.

### 2.2 The Equations and the Points of the Conics in PG(2,5) Through the Reference and Unit Points

For any value of $\alpha$, there is a unique conic contains 6 points, 4 of them are the reference and unit points

1. If $\alpha=1$, then the equation of the conic $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is

$$
\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{3}+3 \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}=0
$$

The points of $C_{1}$ are : $1,2,7,13,20,26$.
2. If $\alpha=2$, then the equation of the conic $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is
$\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}+2 \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{3}+2 \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}=0$
The points of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ are : $1,2,7,13,21,29$.
3. If $\alpha=3$, then the equation of the conic $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ is
$\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}+3 \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{3}+\mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}=0$
The points of $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ are : 1,2,7,13,24,30.
Thus we found five conics two of them are degenerated and the remaining three conics $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{3}$, $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ are non-degenerated.

Table (1)

| $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 17 | 22 | 27 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 16 | 20 | 24 | 28 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 23 | 30 |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 18 | 26 | 29 |
| 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 19 | 25 | 31 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 16 | 21 | 26 | 31 |


| 9 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 19 | 24 | 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| 11 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 18 | 23 | 28 |
| 12 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 23 | 27 |
| 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 18 | 25 | 27 |
| 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 13 | 21 | 24 | 27 |
| 16 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 27 |
| 17 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 18 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 25 | 28 |
| 19 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 17 | 26 | 30 |
| 20 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 16 | 17 | 23 | 29 |
| 21 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 17 | 24 | 31 |
| 22 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 23 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 13 | 20 | 22 | 29 |
| 24 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 22 | 28 |
| 25 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 22 | 31 |
| 26 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 30 |
| 27 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 28 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 30 |
| 29 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 12 | 20 | 23 | 31 |
| 30 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 12 | 19 | 26 | 28 |
| 31 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 21 | 25 | 29 |

### 2.3 The Construction of Minimal (b,t)-Blocking Sets By Using Conic-Type Blocking Sets

We construct minimal (b,t)-blocking set in $\operatorname{PG}(2,5)$ from the minimal blocking $(9,1)$-sets of lemma (1.15) by using conic.

### 2.3.1 The Construction of Minimal (9,1)-Blocking Set by Lemma (1.11)

We take the conic $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ in section 2.
Let $\beta_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~L}_{1} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}\right\} \cup\{\mathrm{P}\}, \mathrm{C}_{1}=\{1,2,7,13,20,26\}, \mathrm{L}_{1}=\{2,7,12,17,22,27\}$, $\mathrm{C}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~L}_{1}=\{2,7\}, \mathrm{L}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{9}$ are the two tangents to $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ at the points 7 and 2 respectively. $\mathrm{L}_{4} \cap \mathrm{~L} 9=\{14\}$, then
$\beta_{1}=\{1,12,13,14,17,20,22,26,27\}, \beta_{1}$ is a $(9,1)$-blocking set in PG(2,5). Since each point of $\beta_{1}$ is on line $\ell$ in $\operatorname{PG}(2,9)$ such that $\beta_{1} \cap \ell=\{P\}$ (lemma 1.7), $\beta_{1}$ satisfies the following conditions:
(a) $\beta_{1}$ intersects every line in $\operatorname{PG}(2,5)$ in at least one point.
(b) Every point in $\beta_{1}$, there is a line $\ell$ in $\operatorname{PG}(2,5)$ such that $\beta_{1} \cap \ell=\{P\}$.

The complement of $\beta_{1}$ is the complete (22,5)-arc $\mathrm{K}_{5}$, by theorem (1.13) there exists a projective [22,3,17] code.

### 2.3.2 The Construction of Minimal (b,2)-Blocking Set In PG(2,5)

We construct two ( 9,1 )-blocking sets.
Let $\beta_{1}=\{1,12,13,14,17,20,22,26,27\}$ be the minimal (9,1)-blocking set of section (2.3.1). We construct another (9,1)-blocking set
$\alpha_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \cup \mathrm{~L}_{8} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~L}_{8}\right\} \cup\{15\}$, where $\mathrm{C}_{2}=\{1,2,7,13,21,29\}, \mathrm{L}_{8}=\{2,11,16,21,26,31\}$, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~L}_{8}=\{2,21\}, \mathrm{L}_{10} \cap \mathrm{~L}_{24}=\{15\}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{10}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{24}$ are tangents to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ at the points 2 and 21 respectively.
$\alpha_{1}=\{1,7,11,13,15,16,26,29,31\}$ is (9,1)-blocking set.
Now, we construct (b,2)-blocking set as follows:

Let $\mathrm{A}=\alpha_{1} \cup \beta_{1}=\{1,7,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,20,22,26,27,29,31\}$.
A must satisfies the following conditions:
(a) A intersects every line of $\mathrm{PG}(2,5)$ in at least two points.
(b) Every point in A is on at least one 2 -secant of A .

We add three points 3,10 and 18 to A and eliminate the points 15 and 26 from A to satisfy these conditions, then:
$\beta_{2}=A \cup\{3,10,18\} \backslash\{15,26\}=\{1,3,7,10,11,12,13,14,16,17,18,20,22,27,29,31\}$ is a minimal $(16,2)$-blocking set. The complement of $\beta_{2}$ is the complete $(15,4)$-arc $\mathrm{K}_{4}$. By theorem (1.13) there exists a projective [15,3,11] code.

### 2.3.3 The Construction of Minimal (b,3)-Blocking Set In PG(2,5)

We take the $(9,1)$-blocking sets in section (2.3.2)
$\alpha_{1}=\{1,7,11,13,15,16,26,29,31\}, \beta_{1}=\{1,12,13,14,17,20,22,26,27\}$, Let $\gamma_{1}=C_{3} \cup L_{28} \cup\{8\} \backslash$
$\left\{\mathrm{C}_{3} \cap \mathrm{~L}_{28}\right\}, \mathrm{C}_{3}=\{1,2,7,13,24,30\}, \mathrm{L}_{28}=\{3,11,12,18,24,30\}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \cap \mathrm{~L}_{28}=\{24,30\}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{21} \cap \mathrm{~L}_{26}=\{8\}$, where $\mathrm{L}_{21}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{26}$ are tangents to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ at the points 24 and 30 respectively. $\gamma_{1}=\{1,2,3,7,8,11,12,13,18\}$ is a minimal ( 9,1 )-blocking set.
We must construct a minimal (b,3)-blocking set from $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ as follows:.
Let $B=\alpha_{1} \cup \beta_{1} \cup \gamma_{1}=\{1,2,3,7,8,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,20,22,26,27,29,31\}$.
$B$ must satisfy the following conditions:
(a) B intersects every line in $\operatorname{PG}(2,5)$ in at least three points.
(b) Every point in B is on at least one 3 -secant of B .

We add two points 4 and 5 to $B$ and eliminate the point 31 from B to satisfy these conditions, then:
$\beta_{3}=\mathrm{B} \cup\{4,5\} \backslash\{31\}=\{1,2,3,4,5,7,8,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,20,22,26,27,29\}$ is a minimal ( 20,3 )-blocking set which is trivial since $\beta_{3}$ contains some lines completely. The complement of $\beta_{3}$ is the complete (11,3)-arc $\mathrm{K}_{3}$. By theorem (1.13) there exists a projective [11,3,8] code in PG(2,5).
2.3.4 The Construction of Minimal (b,4)-Blocking Set In PG(2,5)

We take three minimal $(9,1)$-blocking sets in section $(2.3 .3)$ which are:
$\alpha_{1}=\{1,7,11,13,15,16,26,29,31\}, \beta_{1}=\{1,12,13,14,17,20,22,26,27\}$,
$\gamma_{1}=\{1,2,3,7,8,11,12,13,18\}$.
Let $\omega_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~L}_{2} \cup\{30\} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right\}$, where $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is the conic $\mathrm{C}_{1}=\{1,2,7,13,20,26\}$, $\mathrm{L}_{2}=\{1,7,8,9,10,11\}, \mathrm{C}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~L}_{2}=\{1,7\}, \mathrm{L}_{4} \cap \mathrm{~L}_{12}=\{30\}, \mathrm{L}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{12}$ are tangents to $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ at the points 7 and 1 respectively, then.
$\omega_{1}=\{2,8,9,10,11,13,20,26,30\}$ is a minimal (9,1)-blocking set.
We construct a minimal (b,4)-blocking set from $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}$ and $\omega_{1}$ as follows:.
Let $C=\alpha_{1} \cup \beta_{1} \cup \gamma_{1} \cup \omega_{1}=\{1,2,3,7, \ldots, 14,15,16,17,18,20,22,26,27,29,30,31\}$. C must satisfy the following conditions:
(a) C intersects every line in at least four points.
(b) Every point in C is on at least one 4 -secant of C .

We add the points $6,45,21,24,28$ to $C$, and eliminate one point 29 from $C$ to satisfy these conditions, then:
$\beta_{4}=C \cup\{6,21,24,28\} \backslash\{29\}=\{1,2,3,6,7, \ldots, 18,20,21,22,24,26,27,28,30,31\}$ is a minimal (25,4)blocking set which is trivial since $\beta_{4}$ contains some lines completely. The complement of $\beta_{4}$ is the complete $(6,2)$-arc $\mathrm{K}_{2}$. By theorem (1.13) there exists a projective [6,3,4] code.

### 2.3.5 The Construction of Minimal (b,5)-Blocking Set In PG(2,5)

We take four minimal $(9,1)$-blocking sets of section (2.3.4) which are
$\alpha_{1}=\{1,7,11,13,15,16,26,29,31\}, \beta_{1}=\{1,12,13,14,17,20,22,26,27\}$,
$\gamma_{1}=\{1,2,3,7,8,11,12,13,18\}, \omega_{1}=\{2,8,9,10,11,13,20,26,30\}$.
We construct another minimal (9,1)-blocking set.

Let $\delta_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \cup \mathrm{~L}_{6} \backslash\{7,13\} \cup\{24\}$, where $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is a conic, $\mathrm{C}_{2}=\{1,2,7,13,21,29\}$, $\mathrm{L}_{6}=\{3,7,13,19,25,31\}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~L}_{6}=\{7,13\}, \mathrm{L}_{3} \cap \mathrm{~L}_{22}=\{24\}$, where $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{22}$ are tangents to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ at the points 7 and 13 respectively, then. $\delta_{1}=\{1,2,3,19,21,24,25,29,31\}$ is a minimal ( 9,1 )-blocking set.
Now, we must construct a minimal (b,5)-blocking set from $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \omega_{1}$ and $\delta_{1}$ as follows:.
Let $\mathrm{D}=\alpha_{1} \cup \beta_{1} \cup \gamma_{1} \cup \omega_{1} \cup \delta_{1}=\{1,2,3,7, \ldots, 22,24, \ldots, 27,29,30,31\}$. D must satisfy the following conditions:
(a) D intersects every line in at least five points.
(b) Every point of D is on at least one 5 -secant of D .

We add four points $5,6,23,28$ to D to satisfy these conditions, then:
$\beta_{5}=\mathrm{D} \cup\{5,6,23,28\}=\{1,2,3,5, \ldots, 31\}$ is a minimal $(30,5)$-blocking set which is trivial since $\beta_{5}$ contains some lines completely. The complement of $\beta_{5}$ is not arc since every ( $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{n}$ ) cannot exist when $\mathrm{n}<2$.

## Conclusion

1. We construct a minimal ( 9,1 )-blocking set, which is containing a conic as in lemma (1.12). Also we construct minimal ( 16,2 )-blocking by taking the union of two blocking ( 9,1 )-sets of type in lemma (1.12). We construct minimal (20,3)-blocking set, by taking the union of three $(9,1)$ - blocking sets of type in lemma (1.12). We construct minimal ( 25,4 )-blocking set by taking the union of four ( 9,1 )-blocking sets of type in lemma (1.12) and finally we construct minimal ( 30,5 )-blocking set $\mathrm{B}_{5}$ by taking the union five ( 9,1 )-blocking sets of type in lemma (1.12).
2. The minimal $(9,1)$-blocking set $B_{1}$ and the minimal ( 16,2 )-blocking set $B_{2}$ are non-trivial, but the minimal $(20,3)$-blocking set $B_{3}$, the minimal $(25,4)$-blocking set $B_{4}$ and the minimal ( 30,5 )-blocking set $\mathrm{B}_{5}$ are trivial
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# PG(2,5) صغرى تحتوي على مخروطيات في (b,t)- بناء مجموعات قالبية والاقو اس الكاملة و الشفرات الاسقاطية المرتبطة بها 
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الخلاصه
المجمو عة القالبية - B(b,t) في PG(2,q) هي مجمو عة من b من النقاط بحيث ان كل مستققم في PG(2,q) يقطع
في t ( من النقاط في الاقل ويوجد مستنقيم يقطع B في t ف من النقاط فقط. في هذا البحث قمنا بيناء مجمو عات قالبية - (b,t) صغرى في (2,5(t= 1,2,3,4,5،PG ، ، باعتماد مخروطيات وحصلنا على أقو اس كاملة وشفر ات إسقاطية مرتبطة بها.

الكلمـات المفتاحية : مجموعة قالبية ، قوس كامل ، شفرة إسقاطية.

