

An Efficient Shrunken Estimators For The Mean Of Normal Population With Known Variance

A. N. Al- Goburi

Department of Mathematics, College of Education ,Ibn Al- Haithm ,Baghdad University

Abstract

This article considers a shrunken estimator of Al-Hermyari and Al-Goburi (1) to estimate the mean (θ) of a normal distribution $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ with known variance (σ^2), when a guess value (θ_0) is available about the mean (θ) as an initial estimate. This estimator is shown to be more efficient than the classical estimators especially when θ is close to θ_0 . General expressions for bias and MSE of considered estimator are given, with some examples. Numerical results, comparisons and conclusions are reported.

Introduction

Let X be a random variable that has a normal distribution $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ when θ is an unknown parameter and σ^2 is a known parameter, and when a prior information (θ_0) available about the mean based on any one of the following reasons (2)

e.g.:

(i) we believe θ_0 is close to the true value θ , or

(ii) we fear that θ_0 may be near the true of θ ,

i.e, Something bad happens if $\theta \approx \theta_0$, and we do not know about it.

In such a situation it is natural to start with the MLE ($\hat{\theta}$) of (θ) and modify it by moving it closer to θ_0 so that the resulting estimator, though perhaps biased has a smaller mean squared error (MSE) than that of $\hat{\theta}$ in some interval around θ_0 .

Several authors studied shrunken estimators for the mean (θ) of a normal distribution (see, e.g. Thompson(2,3), Mehta and Srinivasan (4), Kambo, Handa and Al-Hemyari (5) and others).

In this paper we have studied a shrunken estimator for the mean (θ) of a normal distribution $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ with known σ^2 , which has the following form:

$$\tilde{\theta} = \begin{cases} \psi(\hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) + \theta_0 & , \text{If } \hat{\theta} \in R, \\ (1 - \psi(\hat{\theta}))(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) + \theta_0 & , \text{If } \hat{\theta} \notin R, \end{cases} \dots\dots\dots [1]$$

where $0 \leq \psi(\hat{\theta}) \leq 1$ is a shrinkage weight function that may be constant or a function of $\hat{\theta}$, and R be a suitable region of $\hat{\theta}$ depending on θ_0 (e.g. pre test region).

General expressions for the bias and Mean squared error of considered estimator ($\tilde{\theta}$) defined in [1] are given for any shrinkage weight function $\Psi(\cdot)$ and for any region R. Examples of $\Psi(\cdot)$, R and numerical results are given and compared with known estimators.

Expressions for Bias and Mean Squared Error of Estimator $\tilde{\theta}$

General expressions for bias and MSE of a shrunken estimator $\tilde{\theta}$ for any shrinkage weight function $\Psi(\cdot)$ and any region R can be expressed as:

$$B(\tilde{\theta} / \theta, R) = \left\{ \int_R (2\psi(\hat{\theta}) - 1)(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) + \int_{-R}^{\infty} [(1 - \psi(\hat{\theta}))(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) + (\theta_0 - \theta)] \right\} f(\hat{\theta} / \theta) d\theta \dots\dots\dots [2]$$

$$MSE(\tilde{\theta} / \theta, R) = \left\{ \int_R [(2\psi(\hat{\theta}) - 1)(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^2 + 2(\theta_0 - \theta)(2\psi(\hat{\theta}) - 1)(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)] + \int_{-R}^{\infty} [(1 - \psi(\hat{\theta}))^2(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^2 + 2(\theta_0 - \theta)(1 - \psi(\hat{\theta}))(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) + (\theta_0 - \theta)^2] \right\} f(\hat{\theta} / \theta) d\hat{\theta} \dots\dots\dots [3]$$

where $f(\hat{\theta} / \theta)$ is a p.d. f. of $\hat{\theta}$

Shrunken Estimators $\tilde{\theta}_1$ and $\tilde{\theta}_2$

In this section we assumed two types of shrunken estimator ($\tilde{\theta}$) defined in [1] by taken two different shrinkage weight function $\Psi(\cdot)$ to estimate the mean (θ) of a normal distribution $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$

with known variance, and we assumed the pre- test region R of level of significance α , such that:

$$R = \{\hat{\theta}, T(\hat{\theta}) \in [L_{1-\alpha/2}, U_{\alpha/2}]\}, \dots\dots\dots [4]$$

where $L_{1-\alpha/2}$ and $U_{\alpha/2}$ are the lower and upper $100(\alpha/2)$ percentile points of the test statistic T used for testing $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ against $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$

Let us take $\psi_1(\hat{\theta}) = \sqrt{w}/c$ as a first type, where $w = n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 / \sigma^2$, $c = Z_{\alpha/2}$ and $\psi_2(\hat{\theta}) = a/b$ as the second, where a and b are natural numbers such that $(a \leq b)$.

Now, the shrunken estimators $\tilde{\theta}_1$ and $\tilde{\theta}_2$, using [1], are respectively given by:

$$\tilde{\theta}_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{w}}{c}(\bar{X} - \theta_0) + \theta_0, & \text{if } \bar{X} \in R, \\ (1 - \frac{\sqrt{w}}{c})(\bar{X} - \theta_0) + \theta_0, & \text{if } \bar{X} \notin R. \end{cases} \dots [5]$$

$$\tilde{\theta}_2 = \begin{cases} a(\bar{X} - \theta_0)/b + \theta_0, & \text{if } \bar{X} \in R, \\ (1 - a/b)(\bar{X} - \theta_0) + \theta_0, & \text{if } \bar{X} \notin R, \end{cases} \dots [6]$$

By using equation [2], the expressions for bias of $\tilde{\theta}_1$ and $\tilde{\theta}_2$ are respectively given as follows:-

$$B(\tilde{\theta}_1 / \theta, R) = (\sigma / \sqrt{n}) \{ (2/c)[J_2(\ell, u) - 2\lambda J_1(\ell, u) + \lambda^2 J_0(\ell, u)] - [J_1(\ell, u) - \lambda J_0(\ell, u)] + (1 + \lambda^2)/c \}, \dots\dots\dots [7]$$

and,

$$B(\tilde{\theta}_2 / \theta, R) = (\sigma / \sqrt{n}) \{ (2a/b - 1)[J_1(\ell, u) - \lambda J_0(\ell, u)] + (a/b)\lambda \} \dots\dots\dots [8]$$

Using equation [3], the expressions for MSE of $\tilde{\theta}_1$ and $\tilde{\theta}_2$ are respectively given by:-

$$\begin{aligned}
 MSE(\tilde{\theta}_1 / \theta, R) = & (\sigma^2 / n) \{ (4\lambda / c) [J_2(\ell, u) - 2\lambda J_1(\ell, u) + \lambda^2 J_0(\ell, u)] \\
 & + 2\lambda [J_1(\ell, u) - \lambda J_0(\ell, u)] \\
 & + (2/c) [J_3(\ell, u) - 3\lambda J_2(\ell, u) + 3\lambda^2 J_1(\ell, u) - \lambda^3 J_0(\ell, u)] \\
 & + [1 + (3 + 6\lambda^2 + \lambda^4) / c^2 - 2(3\lambda + \lambda^3) / c + (2\lambda / c^2)(1 + \lambda^2)] \dots\dots\dots [9]
 \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$MSE(\tilde{\theta}_2 / \theta, R) = (\sigma^2 / n) \{ (2a/b - 1) [J_2(\ell, u) - \lambda^2 J_0(\ell, u)] + (1 - a/b)^2 + (a\lambda/b)^2 \} \dots [10]$$

It is possible, for example to take b=1 and find the value of (a) by minimizing the $MSE(\tilde{\theta}_2 / \theta)$ with respect to a; therefore the value of (a) is as:

$$a = \frac{MSE(\bar{X} / \theta) - (\theta_0 - \theta) B(\bar{X} / \theta) - \int [(\bar{X} / \theta)^2 + (\theta_0 - \theta)^2] f(\bar{X} / \theta) d\bar{x}}{MSE(\bar{X} / \theta) - 2(\theta_0 - \theta) B(\bar{X} / \theta) + (\theta_0 - \theta)^2} \dots\dots\dots [11]$$

by simple calculation,

$$a = \frac{1 - J_2(\ell, u) + \lambda^2 J_0(\ell, u)}{1 + \lambda^2} \dots\dots\dots [12]$$

where:

$$\lambda = \sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \theta) / \sigma, \quad \ell = \lambda - c, \quad u = \lambda + c, \quad z = \sqrt{n}(\bar{x} - \theta) / \sigma$$

and

$$J_r(\ell, u) = \int_{\ell}^u Z^r \exp(-Z^2 / 2) dz, r = 0, 1, 2, \dots\dots\dots [13]$$

Conclusons and Numerical Results

In this section some conclusions and numerical results concerning $\tilde{\theta}_1$ and $\tilde{\theta}_2$ will be presented as follows:

- (1) $MSE(\tilde{\theta}_i / \theta, R)$ is an even function of λ , where $\lambda = \sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \theta) / \sigma$, for $i = 1, 2$.
- (2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} MSE(\tilde{\theta}_i / \theta, R) = 0$, then $\tilde{\theta}_i$ ($i=1,2$) are consistent estimators.
- (3) The bias, mean squared error, and relative efficiency [$R.Eff = MSE(\hat{\theta}) / MSE(\tilde{\theta}_i)$] of estimators $\tilde{\theta}_i$ ($i=1,2$) with

region (R) were computed for different values of λ which is involved in these estimators, The following results based on these computations:-

- (i) Numerical computations are performed by taken $\alpha = 0.002, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.08, \dots$ and $\lambda = 0.0 (0.1) 2.0$.
- (ii) In tables (1) and (2), some sample values of R. efficiency relative to \bar{x} and bias ratio $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} B(\tilde{\theta}_i / \theta)$ (shown in parenthesis) of $\tilde{\theta}_i (i=1,2)$ with λ are given for some selected values of α . It was observed that generally R. Eff ($\tilde{\theta}_i$) increases as α decreases and decreases as λ increases; Therefore, for each α , the estimators $\tilde{\theta}_i (i= 1,2)$ have higher relative efficiency when $\lambda = 0$. The relative efficiency of $\tilde{\theta}_2$ increased with the increasing of b for each α and λ .
- (4) The considered estimators $\tilde{\theta}_i (i= 1,2)$ have higher relative efficiency than the classical estimator and than the known estimators which are considered by Thompson(1), Mehta and Srinivasan(4) and Hirano(6).

Referernces

1. Al- Hemyari, Z.A. and Al- Goburi, A.N. (1999). population". AL-Fath J.of the College of Pure Sci.3:5
2. Thompson, J. R. (1968a). J. Amer. Statist. Assoc, 63: 113-122.
3. Thompson, J. R. (1968a). J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 63 :951-963.
4. Mehta, J.S. and Srinivasan, R. (1971). J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 66: 86-90.
5. Kambo, N. S.; Handa, B. R. and Al- Hemyari, Z.A. (1992). Comm. Statist. - Theory Meth, 3: 823-841.
- 6.Hirano, K. (1974).Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., pp. 479-492.

Table (1): R. Eff ($\tilde{\theta}_1/\theta$) and B ($\tilde{\theta}_1/\theta$)

α	λ	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0
0.002	R.Ef	7.21	6.21	5.05	4.02	3.19	2.55	2.07	1.71	1.42	1.19	1.12
	B	(0.0)	(.05)	(.15)	(.22)	(.29)	(.35)	(.42)	(.49)	(.56)	(.62)	(.68)
0.01	R.Ef	4.83	4.33	3.70	3.06	2.49	2.02	1.64	1.34	1.09	0.89	0.80
	B	(0.0)	(.06)	(.14)	(.21)	(.28)	(.35)	(.42)	(.49)	(.56)	(.64)	(.72)
0.05	R.Ef	2.39	2.11	1.76	1.42	1.11	0.87	0.68	0.53	0.41	0.32	0.25
	B	(0.0)	(.08)	(.17)	(.26)	(.35)	(.44)	(.54)	(.65)	(.77)	(.90)	(1.04)
0.1	R.Ef	1.14	1.0	0.82	0.65	0.51	0.39	0.31	0.24	0.18	0.14	0.10
	B	(0.0)	(.11)	(.22)	(.34)	(.46)	(.59)	(.74)	(.89)	(1.06)	(1.24)	(1.45)

Table (2): R.Eff ($\tilde{\theta}_1/\theta$) and B ($\tilde{\theta}_1/\theta$)

α	λ	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0
0.002	R.Ef	30.80	16.21	9.38	6.16	4.47	3.48	2.88	2.48	2.21	2.01	1.82
	B	(0.0)	(.09)	(.18)	(.26)	(.33)	(.37)	(.41)	(.43)	(.45)	(.46)	(.47)
0.01	R.Ef	11.59	8.79	6.16	4.85	3.93	3.18	2.74	2.44	2.23	2.07	1.70
	B	(0.0)	(.08)	(.26)	(.23)	(.29)	(.33)	(.36)	(.39)	(.41)	(.42)	(.43)
0.05	R.Ef	4.83	4.47	4.03	3.60	3.24	2.94	2.70	2.50	2.32	2.14	1.62
	B	(0.0)	(.06)	(.12)	(.17)	(.22)	(.26)	(.30)	(.34)	(.38)	(.41)	(.42)
0.1	R.Ef	4.01	3.87	3.67	3.45	3.22	3.00	2.78	2.56	2.34	2.12	1.53
	B	(0.0)	(.05)	(.10)	(.15)	(.20)	(.25)	(.29)	(.35)	(.37)	(.40)	(.41)

مقدرات التقصص الكفوءة لمتوسط التوزيع الطبيعي عندما يكون التباين معلوماً

عباس نجم سلمان الجبوري

قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية - ابن الهيثم، جامعة بغداد

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث درس مقدر التقصص ذو المرحلة الواحدة للباحثين Al- Hemyari, and Al- Goburi^[1]، لتقدير الوسط الحسابي (θ) للتوزيع الطبيعي $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ عندما يكون التباين (σ^2) معلوماً بافتراض توافر المعلومات المسبقة (θ_0) بشكل تقديرات أولية حول الوسط الحسابي (θ) . اشتقت الصيغة العامة للتحيز (bias) ومتوسط مربعات الخطأ (MSE) لهذا المقدر لمختلف دوال التقصص الموزونة $\psi(\cdot)$ ومختلف المجالات (R) ، ثم اقترحت بعض الامثلة واعطيت النتائج العددية بتناول مختلف الثوابت التي تضمنتها. قورنت المقدرات المقترحة في هذا البحث مع المقدرات الكلاسيكية والمشابهة وبينت كفاءتها.