

Gusau Journal of Accounting and Finance (GUJAF)

Vol. 3 Issue 1, April, 2022 ISSN: 2756-665X

A Publication of Department of Accounting and Finance, Faculty of Management and Social Sciences, Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State -Nigeria © Department of Accounting and Finance

Vol. 3 Issue 1 April, 2022 ISSN: 2756-665X

A Publication of Department of Accounting and Finance, Faculty of Management and Social Sciences, Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State -Nigeria

All Rights reserved

Except for academic purposes no part or whole of this publication is allowed to be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means be it mechanical, electrical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission of the Copyright owner.

Published and Printed by: Ahmadu Bello University Press Limited, Zaria Kaduna State, Nigeria. Tel: 08065949711, 069-879121 e-mail: <u>abupress2013@gmail.com</u> abupress2020@yahoo.com Website: www.abupress.com.ng

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-Chief: **Prof. Shehu Usman Hassan** Department of Accounting, Federal University of Kashere, Gombe State.

Associate Editor: Dr. Muhammad Mustapha Bagudo Department of Accounting, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Kaduna State.

Managing Editor: **Umar Farouk Abdulkarim** Department of Accounting and Finance, Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State.

Editorial Board

Prof.Ahmad Modu Kumshe Department of Accounting, University of Maiduguri, Borno State.

Prof Ugochukwu C. Nzewi Department of Accounting, Paul University Awka, Anambra State.

Prof Kabir Tahir Hamid Department of Accounting, Bayero University, Kano, Kano State.

Prof. Ekoja B. Ekoja Department of Accounting, University of Jos.

Prof. Clifford Ofurum Department of Accounting, University of PortHarcourt, Rivers State.

Prof. Ahmad Bello Dogarawa Department of Accounting, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria.

Prof. Yusuf. B. Rahman Department of Accounting, Lagos State University, Lagos State.

Prof. Suleiman A. S. Aruwa Department of Accounting, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nasarawa State.

Prof. Muhammad Junaidu Kurawa Department of Accounting, Bayero University Kano, Kano State.

Prof. Muhammad Habibu Sabari Department of Accounting, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.

Prof. Okpanachi Joshua

Department of Accounting and Management, Nigerian Defence Academy, Kaduna.

Prof. Hassan Ibrahim Department of Accounting, IBB University, Lapai, Niger State.

Prof. Ifeoma Mary Okwo Department of Accounting, Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Enugu State.

Prof. Aminu Isah Department of Accounting, Bayero University, Kano, Kano State.

Prof. Ahmadu Bello Department of Accounting, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.

Prof. Musa Yelwa Abubakar Department of Accounting, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto State.

Dr. Salisu Abubakar Department of Accounting, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Kaduna State.

Dr. Isaq Alhaji Samaila Department of Accounting, Bayero University, Kano State.

Dr. Fatima Alfa Department of Accounting, University of Maiduguri, Borno State.

Dr. Sunusi Sa'ad Ahmad Department of Accounting, Federal University Dutse, Jigawa State.

Dr. Nasiru A. Ka'oje Department of Accounting, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto State.

Dr. Aminu Abdullahi Department of Accounting, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, State.

Dr. Onipe Adebenege Yahaya Department of Accounting, Nigerian Defence Academy, Kaduna State.

Dr. Saidu Adamu Department of Accounting, Federal University of Kashere, Gombe State.

Dr. Nasiru Yunusa
Department of Accounting, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria.
Dr. Aisha Nuhu Muhammad
Department of Accounting, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria.

Dr. Lawal Muhammad Department of Accounting, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria.

Dr. Farouk Adeza

School of Business and Entrepreneurship, American University of Nigeria, Yola.

Dr. Bashir Umar Farouk Department of Economics, Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State.

Dr Emmanuel Omokhuale

Department of Mathematics, Federal University Gusau, Zamfara. State

ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

Prof. Kabiru Isah Dandago, Bayero University Kano, Kano State.

Prof A M Bashir, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Sokoto State.

Prof. Muhammad Tanko, Kaduna State University, Kaduna.

Prof. Bayero A M Sabir, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Sokoto State.

Prof. Aliyu Sulaiman Kantudu, Bayero University Kano, Kano State.

Editorial Secretary Usman Muhammad Adam Department of Accounting and Finance, Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State.

CALL FOR PAPERS

The editorial board of Gusau Journal of Accounting and Finance (GUJAF) is hereby inviting authors to submit their unpublished manuscript for publication. The journal is published in two issues of April and October annually. GUJAF is a double-blind peer reviewed journal published by the Department of Accounting and Finance, Faculty of Management and Social Sciences, Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State Nigeria The Journal accepts papers in all areas of Accounting and Finance for publication which include: Accounting Standards, Accounting Information System, Financial Reporting, Earnings Management, , Auditing and Investigation, Auditing and Standards, Public Sector Accounting and Auditing, Taxation and Revenue Administration, Corporate Governance Issues, Corporate Social Responsibility, Sustainability and Environmental Reporting Issue, Information and Communication Technology Issues, Bankruptcy Prediction, Corporate Finance, Personal Finance, Merger and Capital Structure. Working Capital Management, Enterprises Acquisitions. Risk Management, Entrepreneurship, International Business Accounting and Finance, Banking Crises, Bank's Profitability, Risk and Insurance Issue, Islamic Finance, Conventional and Islamic Banks and so forth.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION AND MANUSCRIPT FORMAT

The submission language is English and must be a well-researched original manuscript that has not previously been submitted elsewhere for publication. The paper should not exceed more than 15 pages on A4 type paper in MS-word format, 1.5-line spacing, 12 Font size in Times new roman. Manuscript should be tested for plagiarism before submission, as the maximum similarity index acceptable by GUJAF is 25 percent. Furthermore, the length of a complete article should not exceed 5000 words including an abstract of not more than 250 words with a minimum of four key words immediately after the abstract. All references including in text citation and reference list, tables and figures should be in line with APA 7th Edition publication manual. Finally, manuscript should be send to our email address elfarouk105@gmail.com and a copy to our website on journals.gujaf.com.ng

PUBLICATION PROCEDURE

After receiving a manuscript that is within the similarity index threshold, a confirmation email will be send together with a request to pay a review proceeding fee. At this point, the editorial board will take a decision on accepting, rejecting or making a resubmission of the manuscript based on the outcome of the double-blind peer review. Those authors whose manuscript were accepted for publication will be asked to pay a publication fee, after effecting all suggested corrections and changes made on the manuscript. All corrected papers returned within the specified time frame will be published in that issue.

PAYMENT DETAILS

Bank: FCMB Account Number: 7278465011 Account Name: Gusau Journal of Accounting and Finance

FOR INQUIRY

The Head, Department of Accounting and Finance, Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State. elfarouk105@gmail.com +2348069393824

FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT

The Editor-in-Chief on +2348067766435 The Associate Editor on +2348036057525 OR visit our website on www.gujaf.com.ng or journals.gujaf.com.ng

CONTENTS

Mediating effect of Audit Committee on Board Dynamic and Creative Accounting in Nigerian Firms	
Abbas Usman PhD, Shehu Usman Hassan PhD	1
Financial Performance of Banks in Selected African Countries: Does Institutional Quality Matter?	,
Toluwa Celestine Oladele PhD, Peters Ade Sanni	22
Firm-Specific Characteristcs and Financial Performance of Listed Agricultural Companies Nigeria	
Abdulrazaq T. Jimoh, John A. Attah	33
Effect of Financial Leverage on Stock Returns of Listed Companies in Nigeria Capital Market	
Abdulrahman Abubakar, Prof. Ahmad Bello, Prof. S. A. Abdullahi, Dr. M. D. Tahir	45
Efficiency of Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria: Data Envelopment Analysis Approach <i>Mayowa Gabriel AJAO, PhD, Lucky Charity OMOREGIE, PhD</i>	57
Credit Appraisal, Collection Policy and Loan Performance of Microfinance Banks in Kwa State, Nigeria <i>Lukman A. O. Abdulrauf</i>	ara 69
Environmental Sustainability Disclosure and Market Value of listed Oil and Gas firms in Nigeria Munir Aliyu Saleh, Sirajo Bappah, Prof. Gbegi Daniel Orsaa, Ibrahim Adamu Saleh PhD	81
Audit Quality, Tenure and Real Earnings Management of Listed Nonfinancial Firms in Nigeria	05
Ahmed Mohammed, Ademu Yahaya, Musa Zakariya	95
Effect of CEO Pay and CEO Power on Risk-Taking of Listed Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria	404
Ismaila Yusuf, Dr. Salisu Abubakar, Dr. Idris Ahmed Aliyu, Dr. (Mrs) Aneitie Charles Dikki	104
Nexus Between Taxation and Foreign Direct Investment in Nigeria Daniel Ayegbeni Ulokoaga, Esther Ikavbo Evbayiro-Osagie (Mrs), Ph. D	115
Working Capital Management and Profitability of Listed Consumer and Industrial Goods Companies in Nigeria	
Kwasau Ntyak Leah, Samuel Eniola Agbi PhD, Lateef Olumide Mustapha PhD	125
Value Relevance of Earnings and Book Value: A Comparative Analysis Between Big4 an Non-Big4 Audited Listed Firms in Nigeria <i>Abdu Abubakar, Ishaya Luka Chechet PhD, Muazu Saidu Badara PhD, Yunusa Nasiru PhD</i>	

Value Relevance of International Financial Reporting Standard 4 (**IFRS 4**) of Listed Nigerian Insurance Firms *Mariya Mohammed Hafiz, Muhammad Mustapha Bagudo PhD, Salisu Abubakar PhD* 145

Determinants of Audit Fees of Listed Insurance Companies in Nigeria Sagir Lawal, PhD, Mohammed Ibrahim, PhD	158
Taxation and Social Services: Evidence from Nigeria ADEGBITE, Tajudeen Adejare, PhD, ABDUSSAMAD, Olarinde	171
Ownership Structure and Financial Performance of Quoted Mortgage Banks in Nigeria Awotundun, D. A., PhD, Jinadu, M. Y. B., Fakunmoju, S. K., PhD.	183

Capital Structure and Profitability of Listed Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria Rahji Ohize Ibrahim, Kamaldeen Ibraheem Nageri, PhD, Abdullai Agbaje Salami, PhD 194

AUDIT QUALITY, TENURE AND REAL EARNINGS MANAGEMENT OF LISTED NONFINANCIAL FIRMS IN NIGERIA

Ahmed Mohammed

Department of Accountancy School of Financial Studies The Federal Polytechnic Bida Niger State. <u>adangaha76@gmail.com</u>

Ademu Yahaya

Department of Business Management Facaulty of Management Sciences Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State ayahaya2@fudutsinma.edu.ng

Musa Zakariya

Department of Accountancy School of Business and Financial Studies, Kaduna Polythechnic, Kaduna. zachee90@gmail.com

Abstract

Earnings management is volatile due to its asymmetric nature by managers of non-financial firms. Yet, very few studies have examined the issues that cause this manipulation, especially in non-financial firms. This study, therefore, examines the relationship between audit big4 and audit tenure on REM of 76 listed non-financial firms in Nigeria using a 10-year data set (2010-2019). The MachammeRatios Database is used for data extraction. The results indicate that audit big4 shows significant positive effects on real earnings management. However, audit tenure shows in significant adverse effects on real earnings management. The paper, therefore, concludes that Audit Big4 is very important in mitigating real earnings management in the non-financial companies in Nigeria. The empirical findings are essential for non-financial firms' policy enhancement and further research and contributions to the body of knowledge. Managers should improve audit tenure while enhancing audit big4 independent to reduce incidence earnings management.

Keywords: Audit Big4, audit tenure, real earnings management.

1. Introduction

Earnings management is a pervasive problem, spreading across organizations and industries; it distorts earnings quality and utility for investment decisions, diminishing investor confidence in financial reporting. The level of information asymmetry between shareholders, management, and knowledgeable and uninformed investors is the cause. Therefore, the demand for external audits is fueled by agency issues related to ownership and control separation—shareholders' investments in public companies to their contracting agent and management. Stakeholders want the agent to supply relevant and trustworthy data for various corporate operations, such as investments, financing, liquidity, dividends, mergers, and acquisitions, to name a few (Adamu et al., 2017; Hassan & Ibrahim, 2014). Due to extensive accounting and financial manipulation at the hands of its contractual agent, the public faith in businesses has badly broken in recent years (Brown, 2013; Hassan & Bello, 2013). Firms must act as good stewards to their owners, displaying their actual situation and performance and demonstrating the quality of their earnings (Admati, 2017; Ibrahim et al., 2020).

Experienced accountants will be able to arrange transactions that satisfy their earnings aim where businesses diverge (REM) or manipulate their performance metrics to provide the appearance of success without actually creating value. The output may be favorable in the short term but not in the long run (Adamu et al., 2017; Ibrahim, 2020). Enron, Tyco, and other financial reporting crises have highlighted the need for audit quality and governance systems, which are critical for influential non-financial firms in Nigeria.

Furthermore, external examination, like an internal audit, is essential to improve the reliability, objectivity, and soundness of financial reporting, promote accountability, reduce any intelligent board behavior, and improve the proficiency and effectiveness of internal controls (Wolnizer, 1995). According to Anderson et al. (2001), a director with a more considerable profit incentive, the auditor perceives such a director as more aggressive, as someone who wants their financial accounts to seem reasonable and expects the auditors to agree with their reports. As a result, when auditors become aware that CEOs are manipulating earnings, they will report income smoothing. Similarly, the financial scandals have cast doubt on the audit function truthfulness, reliability, utility, or value relevance. In the last decade, Badawi (2008) compiled a list of corporations involved in accounting scandals involving poor external audit quality and earnings manipulations in the United States. Corporate scandals in Nigeria, such as those involving Cadbury Nigeria Plc and Lever Brothers Nigeria Plc, have been widely publicized and have resulted in false financial reporting (Adeyemi & Fagbemi, 2010; Yusuf, 2020).

The Nigerian context provides a rich contextual foundation for examining the impact of audit quality on earnings management. Apart from a few instances of accounting fraud, the dearth of scientific research in this sector adds to the case. Previous studies focus on financial institutions, while few in the oil and gas industry see Alao and Gbolagade (2019); Tyokoso and Tsegba (2015). The Big4 audit companies continued dominance in the Nigerian audit sector, the country's flawed corporate governance system, and little or no lawsuit risk against auditors are all considered in this study.

This study investigated the relationship between audit big4 and audit tenure on REM among Nigerian quoted non-financial firms. As a result, the findings of this study will be helpful to the appropriate authorities. Following the introduction, the work proceeds as follows: the second portion covers past related empirical investigations in addition to the theoretical review. Meanwhile, the various sections explain the methodology, practical results, conclusion, and recommendation.

2. Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

According to agency theory, monitoring systems are supposed to align the interests of managers and shareholders, removing the inherent conflict of interest in the corporate form of organization and the managers' opportunistic behavior (Alzoubi 2016). An auditing function is a monitoring tool that aligns managers' and shareholders' interests, limits managers' opportunistic behavior in earnings management, etc. It reduces the asymmetry of knowledge between management and shareholders (Alvin et al., 2012). Big 4 auditors have proxied audit quality (Ibrahim et al., 2020, Wu et al., 2016). According to research on audit quality and earnings management, firms audited by the Big 4 have lower earnings management levels than firms examined by non-Big4 auditors (Alzoubi 2016; Ibrahim et al. 2020).

Audit Big4 and Earnings Management

Studies that demonstrated Big4 auditors perform higher-quality audits than non-Big4 auditors have primarily examined in the United States and other countries where auditors face a substantial risk of shareholder litigation if they provide lower-quality auditing. Recent data reveals that client factors, particularly size, play a role in audit quality disparities between Big 4 and non-Big4 auditors (Lawrence et al. 2011). According to Ajona et al. (2008), Big 4 auditors behave differently in different nations regarding profit management, which varies systematically with differences in the economic environment and particular institutional contexts. Alzoubi (2015) discovered that the level of earnings management is significantly less among companies hiring a Big4 audit firm. The Big4 auditors will enforce higher earnings quality and greater conservatism on clients' financial statements (Eilifsen & Knivsfla, 2016; Agyei-Mensah, 2019; Ibrahim et al., 2020; Le & Moore, 2021). According to research conducted in Belgium, France, Greece, Korea, Malaysia, and Turkey, there is no substantial difference in the levels of earnings management of Big 4 and non-Big4 audited enterprises, according to research conducted in countries such as developed and emerging economies (Yasar 2013; Ching et al. 2015; Abid et al. 2018). Therefore, it is pertinent to investigate audit big4 against REM. Thus, we hypothesize that;

H1. There is a significant relationship between audit big4 and REM.

Audit Tenure and Earnings Management

The audit committee has the responsibility and role of overseeing the financial reporting process on behalf of the commissioners who represent the owner to ensure that no manager's actions affect the owner. Over a more extended period, the committee can better understand management's features when running a business (Prasetyo 2014). Audit committees who have been in office for a long time may have knowledge and expertise in financial statement auditing. The tenure has no bearing on REM. The length of service positively impacted accrual quality (Dhaliwal et al., 2010). The size of one's employment has a good impact on REM.

Meanwhile, Bedard et al. (2004) discovered a link between aggressive earnings management and audit committee terms. According to auditing literature, the shorter the tenure of an audit company, the better the firm's performance and audit quality (Guindy & Basuony, 2018). On the other hand, some believe that the longer an audit company works with a client, the greater the risk of compromising audit quality and eroding long-term firm performance (Chi et al., 2011, Sun et al., 2014; Ibrahim et al., 2020; Soyemi et al. 2020; Susanto & Pradipta, 2020). The hypothesis is:

H2: Audit committee tenure does not have significant effects on REM.

3. Methodology

This study adopted panel data from financial statements from 2010 to 2019 to analyze the nexus between the audit big4 and audit tenure on REM in listed non-financial firms of Nigeria. The data accesses from the MachameRatios DataBase and financial statement. There are 112 listed non-financial firms in Nigeria as of 31st December 2019, and this study deleted 37 firms on technical suspension by the Nigerian Stock Exchange. As a result, the study utilized the remaining 75 firms. Therefore, the study uses correlational research analysis since it addresses cause and effect linkages. Similarly, skewness and kurtosis, correlation analysis, variance inflation factor, and tolerance level for multicollinearity are carried out to make the estimation free of bias. Test for heteroskedasticity, Breusch/Pagan Lagrangian Multiplier test for panel effect/Ordinary Least Squares, and Hausman specification test for random effect model/fixed-effect model used to diagnose the data, and together with descriptive and

inferential analyses, and the test results interpreted at 5 percent level of significance. The empirical models for the study are as follows:

Variable Measurement

Previous studies on earnings management used the Dechow et al. (1995) model, advanced by Roychowdhury (2006), and later studies used modified Roychowdhury (2006) models to quantify REM. On the subject of corporate finance and associated literature, authors such as Roychowdhury (2006) emphasize the idea of earnings management. This research used the Cohen et al. (2008); Kouaib and Jarboui (2017); Almashaqbeh et al. (2019) model, which includes three proxies for REM:1) unusual discretionary spending, 2) unusual manufacturing costs, and 3) unique cash flow of funds

DISXt/TA_{t-1} = $a_0 + (1/TA_{t-1}) + a_1(SALES_{t-1}/TA_{t-1}) + e_t$ (1)

 $PRODt/TA_{t-1} = a_0 + (1/TA_{t-1}) + a_1(SALES_t/TA_{t-1}) + a_2(\Delta SALES_t/TA_{t-1}) + a_3(\Delta SALES_{t-1}/TAt) + e_t....(2)$

CFO t/TA_{t-1} = $a_0+(1/TA_{t-1})+a_1(SALES_t/TA_{t-1})+a_3(\Delta SALES_t/TA_{t-1})+e_t...$ (3)

Therefore, these equations are to calculate normal DISEXP, PROD, and CFO and derived residual.

Where;

DISEXP, and PROD: discretionary expenses. St: the sales in year t. Δ SALES t: (SALES t - SALES t-1) change in current sales from t-1 to t. SALES t-1: sales in year t-1. Δ SALES t-1: change in sales, TAt-1: is the total asset by the end of the year as expressed through t-1, and PRODt: the cost of production CFOt: current cash flow from operation. Outside the average level of expenditures in the business (REMDIXEPt) and abnormal levels of production cost (REM PRODt) as measured as the residuals of equation (2) while, operating cash flows for the business (REMCFOt) calculated under the title of equations (3) and 2 multiplied by -1. REMt is the sum of REMDIXEPt ; REMPRODt, and REM CFOt. The residual is:

 $REM = CFO^{*}(-1) + DISEXP^{*}(-1) + PROD.$

The regression model used to examine the association among the independent variables (i.e., audit big4, audit tenure) and REM is as follows:

 $REM_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 AUDB4_{it} + \beta_2 AUDTE_{it} + \beta_3 FSIZE_{it} + \beta_4 FAGE_{it} + e_{it}.$

Whereas:

REM = Real earnings management,

AUDB4 = Audit Big4 (Non-Jordanian).

AUDTE = Audit tenure,

FSIZE = Firm size,

FAGE = Firm Age,

e = Error term

Abnormal levels of production cost (REM PRODt) as measured as the residuals of equation (2), while the outside the normal level of expenses in the business (REMDIXEPt) and operating cash flows for the business (REMCFOt) are measures under the title of equations (1) and (3) multiplied by -1. REMt is the sum of REMPRODt, REMDIXEPt and REM CFOt. REM= CFO*(-1) + DISEXP*(-1) + PROD (4). The measurement of independent and control predictors depicts in the table 1:

Variables	Measurement
AUDQ	Audit quality is proxy with big4 which is equal to 1 if the company is audited by
	a Big 4 audit firm and 0 otherwise (Alzoubi, 2015;).
AUDTE	Audit tenure is the number of years audit big4 client relationship (Ibrahim et al., 2020; Lee & Moore, 2021)

 Table 1: Operationalization of the variables

FSIZE	Firm size is the natural logarithm of total assets (Alzoubi, 2016).
FAGE	Firm age is the natural logarithm of fit age of incorporation to date (Wu et al.,
	2020).

Source: Authors compilation, 2021.

4. Result and Analysis

This section is devoted to the results of the series of analyses carried out, the contrast and comparison with empirical results from previous related studies, and the results of the descriptive analysis depicted in Table 2 as follows:

No. of Obs.	Mean	Standard	Min	Max	Skewness	Kurtosis
		Deviation				
760	.041	.028	.000	.3062	3.188	22.058
760	.572	.495	0.000	1	2923	1.086
760	.766	.424	0.000	1	-1.255	2.576
760	7.081	.816	5.093	9.241	.206	2.587
760	26.183	13.379	1	55	258	1.743
	760 760 760 760 760	760 .041 760 .572 760 .766 760 7.081	Deviation 760 .041 .028 760 .572 .495 760 .766 .424 760 7.081 .816	Deviation 760 .041 .028 .000 760 .572 .495 0.000 760 .766 .424 0.000 760 7.081 .816 5.093	Deviation 760 .041 .028 .000 .3062 760 .572 .495 0.000 1 760 .766 .424 0.000 1 760 7.081 .816 5.093 9.241	Deviation Deviation 760 .041 .028 .000 .3062 3.188 760 .572 .495 0.000 1 2923 760 .766 .424 0.000 1 -1.255 760 7.081 .816 5.093 9.241 .206

Table 2: Results of Descriptive Analysis

Source: STATA 13 Outputs, 2022

Table 2 presents a summary of the descriptive indicators of the variables of interest in the paper. The number of observations is 760, derived from the 76 listed non-financial firms and the study's ten (10) years. In terms of the audit big4 of the firms, the average audit big4 over the ten years is 0.572. However, the minimum audb4 is 0.000, and the maximum audb4 is 1. Concerning audit tenure, the average AUDTE is .766. These results clearly show that the audit tenure is extended even as big4, which implies that the uses of audit big4 in non-financial firms in Nigeria are underutilized. In terms of control variables, the average value of FSIZE is 7.081, while the minimum is 5.093 and the maximum is 9.241. Also, the average FAGE is 26.183 with minimum and maximum values of 1 and 55, respectively.

I abic 5. Acsu	us of correlatio	n I CSi			
Variables	REM	AUDB4	AUDTE	FSIZE	FAGE
REM	1.0000				
AUDB4	0.1501	1.0000			
AUDTE	-0.0035	0.0495	1.0000		
FSIZE	0.0497	0.3311	- 0.0079	1.0000	
FAGE	0.0076	0.0655	-0.0443	0.1533	1.0000
		20.22			

Table 3: Results of Correlation Test

Source: STATA 13 Outputs, 2022

Table 3 reports a bivariate association between variables. Results indicate that AUDB4 has significant positive associations with REM. However, AUDTE failed to show any meaningful relationship with REM price. The associations among the predictors offer a maximum coefficient of 15.01 percent (between AUDB4 and REM), which falls short of the 80 percent required to prove the presence of multicollinearity among the independent variables.

Table 4: Multicollinearity Test Results

Variables	VIF	1/VIF
AUDQU	1.15	0.872670
AUDTE	1.13	0.887383
FSIZE	1.03	0.974313
FAGE	1.01	0.994914

Source: STATA 13 Outputs, 2022

Table 4 presents the results of the VIF and tolerance value of the series to consider the likelihood of multicollinearity. The variables have VIFs of less than 2 and a tolerance of higher than 0.5 across the panels. Therefore, it suggests an absence of multicollinearity because values are below the benchmark of 10 for VIF above 0.10 for tolerance (Wooldridge, 2010; Field, 2013).

Table 5: Results	of Breusc	h/Pagan Lagr	angian Mu	ltiplier Test
	0, 2.0000			

usie et nestins of Di cusent, i agait Eagi angia	
Chibar2 (01)	11.28
Prob > Chibar2	0.001

Source: STATA 13 Outputs, 2022

Table 5 presents the outcome of the Breusch/Pagan Lagrangian Multiplier Test assists in deciding between a random-effects model and a simple Ordinary Least Square (OLS). As clearly indicated in Table 6, the Prob > Chibar2 is not significant (p-value = 0.001), which implies that there are no panel effects in the model, and therefore, OLS is the most appropriate for the study.

Table 6: OLS Regression Results

REM	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P>t
AUDB4	.0085648	.002169	3.95	0.000
AUDTE	0007341	.0023929	-0.31	0.759
FSIZE	5.18e-06	.0013269	0.00	0.997
FAGE	-5.82e-06	.0000766	-0.08	0.939
_cons	.0367642	.0092751	3.96	0.000
Number of Obs. $= 760$				
F(4, 755) = 4.38				
R-squared $= 0.0227$				
Adj R-squared = 0.0175				
Hettest: $Chi2(1) = 11.28$.				
Prob>chi2= 0.0008				
Common STATA 12 Onteres	La 2022			

Source: STATA 13 Outputs, 2022

As indicated in Table 6, for every unit of increase in audit Big4, there is a 1per cent increase in REM. Also, the t-value (3.95) and p-value (.000) indicate that the effect of audit Big4 on REM is significant. These results align with the results of Alzoubi, 2015; Ibrahim et al. 2020, who found significant effects. Thus, hypothesis one, which states that audit has no significant impact on the REM of listed non-financial firms in Nigeria, is rejected. However, Table 5 indicates that for every one-year tenure of an auditor (AUDTE), REM reduces by 0.02. The tvalue (-0.31) and p-value (0.759) indicate that the effect AUDT has on REM is insignificant. These results align with Soyemi et al. (2020); Susanto & Pradipta (2020) found no significant impact. Thus, hypothesis two is accepted, that depicted AUDTE has no considerable effect on REM. Finally, from Table 5, the results of control variables also reveal a positive association between FSIZE and REM. At the same time, it is not statistically significant with FAGE and REM.

5. Conclusion and Recommendation

The paper examines the relationship between Audit Big4 and Tenure on Real Earnings Management in Nigerian Non-Financial Firms. To achieve this goal, we developed a measure of REM based on the theory of Rowchebberry, 1976, which derived from the cash flows and expenses of the firms. We analyzed if big4 auditors and audit turnover influence REM within the Nigerian economy's non-financial firms. The study used an OLS regression model similarly used by Alzoubi; (2016). We used the 76 listed non-financial firms on the Nigerian Stock Exchange over ten years (2010-2019) and found that audit big4 has significant positive effects on REM. However, we failed to find any substantial impact from audit tenure on REM. Based on the results of this study, the contributions of this paper to empirical literature are many. First, we established that engagement of audit big4 in the non-financial firm has a significant bearing on REM. We, however, also failed to establish that audit tenure mitigates REM. These results have policy and performance implications, future empirical studies, and the present body of knowledge (conceptual and theoretical). Finally, the paper offers directions for future empirical studies. The sample should cover the entire financial sector (banks, insurance, mortgage banks, possibly microfinance banks). These would have to provide an opportunity for comparison among different financial institutions. In addition, future research should examine the effect of other measures on earnings management, for example, discretionary accruals. OLS application is another cause of worry, as broader coverage could have provided a data set that indicates the presence of panel effects.

References

- Abid, A., Shaique, M., & Anwar ul Haq, M. (2018). Do big four auditors always provide higher audit quality? Evidence from Pakistan. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 6(2), 58.
- Adamu, A.I., Ishak, R.B., & Chandren, S.A (2017). The effect of board attributes on real earnings management in Nigerian financial institutions, *international journal of accounting, business, finance. res.*, 1(1), 76–83.
- Adeyemi, S.B., & Fagbemi, T.O. (2010). Audit Quality, Corporate Governance and Firm Characteristics in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5 (5), 169 – 179.
- Admati, A.R., (2017). A skeptical view of financialized corporate governance, *international journal, econonomics perspect*, 31(3),131–150.
- Agyei-Mensah, B.K. (2019). The effect of audit committee effectiveness and audit quality on corporate voluntary disclosure quality. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*.
- Ajona, L. A., Dallo, F. L., & Alegria, S. S. (2008). Discretionary accruals and auditor behaviour in code-law contexts: An application to failing Spanish firms. *European Accounting Review*, 17(4), 641-666.
- Alao, B. B., & Gbolagade, O. L. (2019). The influence of audit quality on earnings management among listed oil and gas companies in Nigeria. *International Journal* of Academic and Applied Research, ISSN, 2643-9603.
- Alhadab, M., Clacher, I., & Keasey, K. (2015). Real and accrual earnings management and IPO failure risk, *Account. Bus. Res.*, 45(1), 55–92.
- Almashaqbeh, A., Shaari, H., & Abdul-Jabbar, H. (2019). The effect of board diversity on real earnings management: Empirical evidence from Jordan. *International Journal* of Financial Research, 11(5), 495-508.
- Alzoubi, E. S. S. (2016). Audit quality and earnings management: evidence from Jordan. Journal of Applied Accounting Research, 17(2), 170-189. DOI 10.1108/JAAR-09-2014-0089.
- Anderson, U., Kadous, K., & Koonce, L. (2001). The role of reporting incentives and quantification in auditors' evaluations of earnings fluctuations. Available at SSRN 276570.
- Alvin A. Arens, Randal J. Elder, Mark Beasley (2012). Auditing and Assurance Services..An Integrated Approach, 14th Edition-Boston _ Prentice Hall

- Badawi, I. M. (2008). Motives and Consequences of Fraudulent Financial Reporting, Paper presented at the 17th Annual Convention of the Global Awareness Society International, 110-123.
- Be'dard, J., Chtourou, S.M., & Courteau, L. (2004). The Effect of Audit Committee Expertise, Independence, and Activity on Aggressive Earnings Management. *AUDITING: Journal of Practice and Theory*, 23, 13-35.
- Brown, A. (2013). Understanding pharmaceutical research manipulation in the context of accounting manipulation, *J. Law, Med. Ethics*, 41(3), 611–619.
- Chi, W., Lisic, L.L., & Pevzner, M (2011). Is Enhanced Audit Quality Associated with Greater Real Earnings Management? *Account Horizons*, 25(2), 315–335.
- Ching, C. P., Teh, B. H., San, O. T., & Hoe, H. Y. (2015). The Relationship among Audit Quality, Earnings Management, and Financial Performance of Malaysian Public Listed Companies. *International Journal of Economics & Management*, 9(1).
- Cohen, D. A., & Zarowin, P. (2008). Economic consequences of real and accrual-based management activities. *The Accounting Review*, 83(1), 758-787.
- DeAngelo, L.E. (1981). "Auditor size and audit quality", Journal of Accounting and Economics, 3(3), 183-199.
- Dechow, P. M., Sloan, R. G., & Sweeney, A. P. (1995). Detecting earnings management. *Accounting Review*, 193 225.
- Dhaliwal, D., Naiker, V. & Navissi, F. (2010). The Association Between Accruals Quality and The Characteristics of Accounting Experts and Mix of Expertise on Audit Committees, *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 27(3), 787-827.
- Eilifsen, A., & Knivsflå, K. (2016). The role of audit firm size, non-audit services, and knowledge spillovers in mitigating earnings management during large equity issues. *International Journal of Auditing*, 20(3), 239-254.
- Field, A. (2013), Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics, 3rd ed., Sage, London.
- Guindy, M., & Basuony, M. (2018). Audit Firm Tenure and Earnings Management: The
- Impact of Changing Accounting Standards in UK Firms, 52(4), 167–181.
- Hassan, S.U., & Bello, A. (2013). Firm Characteristics and Financial Reporting Quality of Listed, 1(6), 47–63.
- Hassan, S.U., & Ibrahim, G. (2014). Governance Attributes and Real Activities Manipulation
 of Listed Manufacturing Firms in Nigeria, *International journal of Account. Tax.*, 2(1),
 37-62.
- Ibrahim, G., Mansor, N., & Ahmad, A. U. (2020). The mediating effect of the internal audit committee on the relationship between firms' financial audits and real earnings management. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 9(04), 816-822.
- Kouaib, A., & Jarboui, A (2017). The mediating effect of REM on the relationship between CEO overconfidence and subsequent firm performance moderated by IAS/IFRS: Moderated- mediation analysis, *I Res. Int. Bus. Finance*.
- Lawrence, A., Minutti-Meza, M., & Zhang, P. (2011). Can big 4 versus non-big 4 differences in audit-quality proxies be attributed to client characteristics? *The Accounting Review*, 86(1), 259-286.
- Le, B., & Moore, P. H. (2021). The impact of audit quality on earnings management and cost of equity capital: evidence from a developing market. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*. 1985-2517. DOI 10.1108/JFRA-09-2021-0284.
- Prasetyo, A.B. (2014). Pengaruh Karakteristik Komite Audit dan Perusahaan terhadap Kecurangan Pelaporan Keuangan. *Jurnal Akuntansi & Auditing*, 11(1),1-24.
- Roychowdhury, R. (2006). Earnings management through real activities manipulation, *international journal of Account Econ.*, 42(3), 335–370.

Sun, J., Lan, G. & Liu, G. (2014). Independent Audit Committee Characteristics and Real Earnings Management. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 29(2), 153-172.

- Soyemi, K.A., Olufemi, O.A., & Adeyemi, S.B. (2020). External audit(or) quality and accrual earnings management: Further evidence from Nigeria, *Malaysian Management Journal*, 24, 31-56.
- Susanto, Y.K., & Pradipta, A. (2020). Can Audit Committee Reduce Real Earnings Management? *Jurnal Bisnis Dan Akuntansi*, 22(1), 139-146.
- Tyokoso, G. M., & Tsegba, I. N. (2015). Audit quality and earnings management of listed oil marketing companies in Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 7(29), 34-42.
- Wolnizer, P.W. (1995). Are Audit committees Red Herrings Abacus 31 (1) 45-66.
- Wooldridge, J.M. (2010), Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data, MIT Press
- Wu, M., Coleman, M., & Bawuah, J. (2020). The Predictive Power of K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN): The Effect of Corporate Governance Mechanisms on Earnings Management. SAGE. Open, 10(3), <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/215824402094953</u>.
- Yasar, A. (2013). Big four auditors' audit quality and earnings management: Evidence from Turkish stock market. *International journal of business and social science*, *4*(17).
- Yusuf. M.A (2020). Effect of Audit Quality on Earnings Management of Listed Consumers Goods Companies in Nigeria, *journal of management sciences*, 3(1), 01-13.