

PRELIMINARY PHYTOCHEMICAL INVESTIGATION AND ANTIOXIDANT POTENTIAL OF VARIOUS EXTRACTS OF DIETARY TURNIP (*Brassica Rapa L.*)

Karima OULD YEROU¹, *Fatima Zohra EL KADI², Khedoudja KANOUN³, Hadj Mostefa KHELLADI⁴, Hanane BENZAHIA¹, Sara BEKHTI¹

 ¹Faculty of Sciences; Mustapha Stambouli University of Mascara, Algeria
 ²Faculty of Technology, Djillali Liabés University of Sidi-Bel-Abbés, Algeria <u>elkadifatimazohra@yahoo.fr</u>
 ³Faculty of Natural Sciences and Life, Djillali Liabés University of Sidi-Bel-Abbés, Algeria.
 ⁴ Faculty of Natural Sciences and Life, University of Oran 1, Oran, Algeria *Corresponding author Received 23th January 2022, accepted 30th March 2022

Abstract: In the present work, the aqueous and methanolic extract of the underground part (comestible part) of Brassica Rapa (Brassicaceae) was subjected to phytochemical and biological evaluation. The results show that the methanolic extract of B. rapa (MEBr) presents a higher yield than the aqueous extract of B. rapa (AqEBr) (24% vs 17%) respectively. The qualitative and quantitative phytochemical analysis revealed the presence of some chemical families. However, MEBr showed higher contents of polyphenols, total flavonoids and condensed tannins compared to AqEBr. The total antioxidant activity (TAC) of MEBr (5.32 \pm 0.56 mg AAE/gr DE) was more powerful than that of AqEBr (2.68 ± 0.33 mg AAE/gr DE). According to the DPPH test, the IC50 values are (0.14±0.12 mg/ml vs 1.9±0.45 mg/ml) for MEBr and AqEBr respectively. On the other hand, the FRAP test confirmed that AqEBr has antioxidant activity with a maximum of 1.41mg/ml, which is still higher than that of MEBr 0.83 mg/ml. We conclude, that methanol was a more efficient extractor than water for B. rapa species, which allows a good yield, a high rate of secondary metabolites and a powerful antioxidant activity. B. rapa is a rich source of antioxidants that can eliminate free radicals and reduce the risk of chronic diseases. Abbreviations: MEBr: Methanolic Extract of Brassica rapa, AqEBr: Aqueous Extract of Brassica rapa, TAC: Total Antioxydant Capacity, DPPH: 2.2'-diphenyl-1pycrilhydrazyl, FRAP: Ferric-Reducing Antioxidant Power Assay, IC50: Inhibitory Concentration 50.

Keywords: Brassica rapa, MEBr, AqEBr, phenolic compounds, phytochemical screening, antioxidant activity.

1. Introduction

Frequent consumption of cruciferous vegetables (340 genus and about 3700 species), such as broccoli, cauliflower, cabbages, radishes, turnips and kale reported to reduce the risk of developing cardiovascular disorders, cancer, heart attacks , strokes and diabetes [1]. Brassicas are the most important and widely consumed group of plants in the cruciferous family. In addition, Brassica vegetables can be stored in their natural

form for a prolonged time, and are available all year around .The turnip (*Brassica rapa*) belongs to the *Brassicaceae* family (cruciferous vegetables). Among the different Brassica species, turnip is one of the first to be domesticated [2].

The main constituents of this species are: glucosinolates, isothiocyanates [3], flavonoids [4 -5], indoles [6], sulphur and phenolic compounds [7-8], carbohydrates [9], volatile compounds (mainly terpenes, esters, aldehydes and ketones) [10-11], and some well-known antioxidants; such as vitamin C, vitamin E and carotenoids, as well as antioxidant enzymes; such as catalase, superoxide dismutase and peroxidase [12].

The bitterness of turnip is related to glucosinolate (isothiocyanate) degradation compounds [13]. Researchers have widely pointed out the therapeutic properties of turnip: hepatoprotective [9,14,15], antimicrobial, antitumor [16], antioxidant [11], anti-inflammatory [17], cardioprotective, lipid-lowering [18,19,20], anti-diabetic [21], nephroprotective [22], and analgesic [23], as well as reducing obesity and metabolic syndrome.

In view of the therapeutic and antioxidant virtues of the turnip, a phytochemical, biological and antioxidant evaluation of the underground part of *Brassica rapa* was considered using two extraction methods (aqueous infusion and alcoholic maceration).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Plant material

The choice of the plant drug was the Brassica rapa variety which is commonly called turnip and widely consumed by the Algerian population. Fresh Brassica rapa roots (rhizome) were collected in November 2018 from the local market of the Ghriss town located at 19 km from the Mascara province (Western Algeria). The Ghriss Plain is a part of the Oued Fékane watershed, which covers an area of 1,185 km², located in north-west Algeria (Fig 1), between 35° 07' and 35° 31' N latitude and between 0° 0' and 0° 26' E longitude [24].



Fig 1: Location of the Ghriss plain [24]

In the laboratory, the *Brassica rapa* rhizomes were cleaned, peeled and ground and then dried at 38°C for 24 hours. After the drying process, the dried plant material

was reduced to powder with an electric grinder (Fig 2) and stored in sealed and hermetically containers for later use.



Fig 2: Brassica rapa powder (original photo)

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Moisture content

The moisture content is defined as the weight loss during drying. For this purpose, 200 g of the vegetable, cut into small pieces, were weighed in clean capsules and then placed in the oven at $105^{\circ}C$ +/- 5°C for a period of 48 h +/- 1 h until the constant weight was obtained. Then allow the capsules to cool before weighing in a desiccator. Repeat this process several times until a constant weight was attained [28].

2.2.2. Ash content

The ash determination is based on the destruction of all organic matter under the effect of high temperature $(500 \pm 25^{\circ}C)$. To perform this, the empty crucibles were weighed, 10 g of the sample was added to the crucibles, The empty crucibles were weighed and 10 g of the sample was added to them, then place them in a muffle furnace for 3-5h at 550°C. After removing from the oven, place these crucibles in a desiccator to cool. Weigh the cooled crucibles, then reheat the crucibles again for a half hour or more. Repeat this process until the weight remains constant [28].

2.2.3. Extracts preparation

To prepare the extract, two extraction methods were adopted: aqueous infusion

and alcoholic maceration .For the aqueous extract, 10 g of plant powder are introduced into 50 ml of boiling water and allowed to infuse for 15 minutes. After cooling, the liquid was then filtered and rinsed with a little hot water to obtain 50 ml of filtrate [25]. For the alcoholic extract, the protocol of [26] was adopted, which consists of macerating (10gr) of the plant powder in 100 ml of methanol (80%). The extraction was repeated 3 times with renewal solvent. The extracts obtained were filtered and then evaporated using a rotavapor at 45°C. Two extracts were obtained: aqueous extract of Brassica rapa = AqEBr; and methanolic extract of *Brassica rapa* = MEBr. Both extracts were stored in sealed glass vials at $\pm 4^{\circ}$ C before testing and analysis.

2.2.4. Yield calculation

The yield of the two extracts was determined using the following equation: $P_{i}(\theta_{i}) = P_{i}(\theta_{i}) = 100$ (b)

 $R~(\%) = (M1 / M2) \times 100 ~(I)$

R (%): yield in %; M1: mass of extract after evaporation of solvent; M2: mass of plant material used for extraction [27].

2.2.5. Phytochemical screening (qualitative)

Phytochemical tests consist in the identification of the different secondary metabolite families present in the edible part (roots) of *Brassica rapa* by qualitative characterisation, using the standard procedures as described by [29]. The results were expressed according to the reaction type: Very positive: +++; Moderately positive: ++; Positive: +; Negative: -

Tannins

To a test tube containing 1 ml of plant extract, 1 ml of a diluted aqueous solution of 1% FeCl₃ was added. The blue-black and blue-green color indicates the presence of hydrolyzable tannins and condensed tannins respectively [29].

Flavonoids

To 5 ml of each extract, 1 ml of isoamyl alcohol, a few magnesium chips and a few drops of hydrochloric acid are added; the appearance of a pink or red colour indicates the presence of flavonoids [29].

Coumarins (UV Fluorescence)

To 2 ml of extract we added 0.5 ml of 25% NH₄OH. After mixture, the observance were made under UV at 366 nm. An intense fluorescence indicates the presence of coumarins[29].

Free anthraquinones (Bornträger test)

We Transfer 1 ml of each prepared extract into a test tube, then we added 1 ml of diluted NH₄OH and stirred. The more or the less red coloration indicated the presence of free anthraquinones [29].

Triterpenoids (Salkowski's test)

A volume of 2 ml of chloroform was mixed with 1 ml of plant extract, and 3 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid (H_2SO_4) was carefully added to the mixture, without stirring. The yellow color of the lower layer indicated the presence of triterpenoid [29].

Free quinones

The presence of free quinones was confirmed by adding a few drops of 10% NaOH to 5 ml of extract, the coloring turns to yellow, red or purple indicates the presence of free quinones [29].

2.2.4. Secondary metabolite quantification

Polyphenols (Folin-Ciocalteu)

The determination of total phenols was carried out according to the protocol established by [30], in a test tube 200 μ l of the extract (1g dissolved in 10ml methanol), 1ml of 10-fold diluted Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, and 800 μ l of the

sodium carbonate solution Na₂CO₃ (7.5%) were added. After 30 min of incubation at room temperature, the absorbance was determined at 765 nm. A calibration range was established with gallic acid(y=0.592x+0.473 R²= 0.9489) and the expression of the results were made in μg gallic acid equivalents per mg of dry matter (μg GAE/ mg DM).

Flavonoids (aluminium trichloride)

For the flavonoids determination, the aluminium trichloride colorimetric method was adopted [31]. A 500 µl of the extract was mixed with 1.5 ml of distilled water and then with 0.3 ml of a 5% sodium nitrite solution NaNO₂. After 5 min. 3 ml of a 10% AlCl₃ solution was added. Then, 6 min later, 1 ml of 4% NaOH was added. After a 5 min rest time, the reaction volume was homogenised with a vortex and the absorbance was measured at 510 To establish the calibration curve, nm. quercetin was used as а standard $(v=0.692x+1.471 R^2 = 0.967)$, the total flavonoid contents were expressed in ug quercetin equivalent / mg of dry matter (µg QE/ mg DM).

Condensed tannins (vanillin)

The amount of tannins was estimated using the vanillin method described by [32]. In test tubes 50 µl of plant extract and 750 µl of 4% vanillin solution were introduced and mixed with the vortex, then 750 µl of were concentrated HCl added and incubated for 20 minutes. The absorbance reading was obtained at 550 nm, the calibration curve was prepared under the same conditions using catechin as standard $(y=6.203x+0.394 R^2=0.9843)$ and the results were expressed as µg catechin equivalent/mg of dry matter (µg CE/ mg DM).

2.2.5. Antioxidant activity evaluation

In order to estimate the antioxidant activity of the two studied extracts (AqEBr and

MEBr); three different tests were used: TAC (Total antioxidant capacity), DPPH (2.2'-diphenyl-1-pycrilhydrazyl), FRAP (Ferric-Reducing Antioxidant Power Assay).

Total antioxidant capacity (TAC)

The total antioxidant capacity (TAC) of the plant extracts was evaluated by the phosphomolvbdenum method. This method was based on the reduction of molvbdenum present Mo (VI)as molybdate ions MoO₄-2 to molybdenum Mo (V) MoO^{+2} in the presence of the extract to form a green phosphate/Mo (V) complex at acidic pH. The stock solution (10 mg plant powder /10 ml methanol) was prepared. Then, 1 ml of Monosodium phosphate, 1 ml of sulphuric acid, 1 ml of molybdate and 500 µl of sample are introduced into a test tube, this solution was incubated at a temperature of 90°C for 90 min. The blank solution was prepared under the same conditions. The absorbance reading was obtained at 695 nm. the TAC was expressed in milligram equivalents of ascorbic acid per gram of dry matter (mg EAA/ g DM). [33].

DPPH test

DPPH radical scavenging activity was measured according to the protocol described by [34], in test tubes 30 µl of each extract (1mg/ml methanol) was introduced and 1.5 ml of the methanolic solution was added to DPPH (4 mg /100ml). After vortexing, the tubes were stored in the darkness at room temperature for 30 minutes.

The reading was performed by measuring the absorbance at 517 nm. The negative control consists of 1.5 ml of the methanolic solution of DPPH and 30μ l of methanol. The positive control was represented by an ascorbic acid solution; we calculate the inhibition percentages by the following formula: I % = ((CA - TA)/CA) \times 100 (II) With: CA: the control absorbance; TA: the test absorbance.

IC₅₀ calculation

 IC_{50} (inhibitory concentration 50) is the concentration of the test sample required to reduce 50% of the DPPH radical. IC50s were calculated graphically as inhibition percentages according to different extract tested concentrations [35].

FRAP test

The protocol established by [36] was adopted, which consisted of removing 0.5 ml of each extract at different concentrations and adding 1.25 ml of a 0.2 M phosphate buffer solution (pH=6.6) and 1.25 ml of a 1% potassium ferricyanide K₃Fe(CN)₆ solution and incubated at 50°C for 20 min. The tubes were then cooled to temperature. 2.5 room ml of 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) were added to the reaction, the tubes block were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. then the supernatant (1.25 ml) was added to 1.25 ml of distilled water, and 250 ml of a 0.1% iron chloride (FeCl₃) solution, the absorbance reading was made at 700 nm. The positive control was represented by an ascorbic acid solution.

2.2.6. Statistical analysis

Each value is the mean of three replicates. Values of different parameters were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Moisture and ash content

According to our results the turnip has a moisture content of 74%. Our results were lower than those found by [37] which were 91.90%. According to [38], several factors (genetic, pedoclimatic, geographical, storage conditions, the plant's age,

vegetative cycle and the maturation stage can influence the plant's water content. According to our results, turnip roots have an ash content of 10%, which indicated that *Brassica rapa* is rich in mineral elements. In addition, the raw turnip contains a significant amount of potassium, 237 mg per 100 g [37].



Fig 3: Moisture and ash levels of *Brassica rapa* roots

3.1. Extraction yields

After the extracts have been collected, the yields were calculated and represented in the (Fig 4)



Fig 4 : Extraction yields of *Brassica rapa* **roots** The highest yield was 24% for MEBr compared to 17% for AqEBr, this may be due to the differential solubility of the different phenolic compounds in the solvents and that this solubility is related to their degree of polymerisation, the interaction with other constituents and the used solvent type [27].

3.3. Phytochemical screening

The preliminary evaluation of the *Brassica rapa* phytochemical composition revealed the presence of some chemical groups (Table 1).

It can be seen that the two extracts MEBr and AqEBr are provided with different intensities of all the metabolites, except the anthraquinone class which is absent in both extracts. According to previous works, *Brassica* species are rich in phenolic compounds, flavonoids, hydroxycinnamic acid, coumarins and terpenoids, which are very beneficial for human health. These components exert an antioxidant activity by inhibiting carcinogenesis and the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [39]

In addition, *Brassica rapa* contains anthocyanins, responsible for red and purple pigmentation [40].

These data are comparable with our results, since the tests reveal the presence of flavonoids, coumarins and terpenoids.

On the other hand, our results are synchronized with those of [41], who confirmed the presence of flavonoids, sulphur compounds and anthocyanins in the *Brassica rapa* underground part.

3.4. Secondary metabolites

The total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC) and condensed tannin content (CTC) were determined from the calibration curves of gallic acid, quercetin and catechin respectively. The TPC, TFC and CTC of the *Brassica rapa* .L tubers aqueous and methanolic extracts of tubers are presented in (Table 2).

Table 1:

| \mathbf{N}° | Phyto-chen | nical test | Extracts | |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------|-------|
| | Chemicals constituents | Tests | MEBr | AqEBr |
| 1 | Tanins | Ferric Chloride test | + | + |
| 2 | Flavonoids | Shinoda test | ++ | ++ |
| 3 | Coumarins | Fluorescence UV | ++ | ++ |
| 4 | Free anthraquinones | Borntrager's test | | |
| 5 | Terpenoids | Salkowski Test | ++ + | +++ |
| 6 | Free quinones | Sulfuric acid test | + | +++ |

Very positive: +++ ; *moderately positive:* ++; *positive:* +; *negative:* -

Table 2:

Total phenolic, flavonoids and condensed tannins contents of Brassica rapa

| | TPC (µg GAE/mg DM) | TFC (µg QE/mg DM) | CTC (µg CE/mg DM) |
|-------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| AqEBr | 0.12± 0.0009 | 0.015 ± 0.0005 | 0.007 ± 0.0003 |
| MEBr | 1.48 ± 0.0009 | 0.15 ± 0.0005 | 0.11 ± 0.001 |

Each value was expressed as means ± Standard deviations for triplicate experiments. CE: Catechin equivalent; DM: Dry Matter; GAE: Gallic acid equivalent; QE: Quercetin equivalent; TFC: Total flavonoid content; TPC: Total phenolic content; CTC: Condensed tannins content

According to our results, the *Brassica rapa* concentration of bioactive compounds (TPC, TFC, CTC) varied depending to the extractor type, of which extraction with methanolic maceration is more efficient than aqueous extraction .These results are similar to several works, including those of [42], who confirmed that the methanolic extract of *B. rapa* (root) had values of 0.3 μ g GAE / mg and of 0.041- 0.085 μ g rutin equivalent / mg of Fresh weight in polyphenols and flavonoids respectively. In addition, according to [37], the TPC of turnip was at 1.5 μ g / mg.

On the other hand, our results are considerably lower than those found by [43], who detected a TPC of 2.1 - 25.9 μ g GAE / mg of dw with 70 % ethanol. According to [44], the *B. rapa* subsp. *rapifera* .L aqueous extract contains 5.64 μ g/mg of total phenols. According to the work of [45], the *Brassica rapa* subsp. *rapifer* aqueous extract of showed an

amount of phenolic and flavonoid compounds with values of $9.41 \pm 0.18 \ \mu g$ GAE/mg and $1.01 \pm 0.09 \ \mu g$ QE/mg, respectively. The content of phenolic compounds varied significantly between the different parts of the turnip.

For example, turnip green was revealed to contain 51.71 μ mol/ g dw of phenolic compounds compared to 38.99 μ mol/g dw in turnip top.

The family, amount and concentration of different bioactive molecules in turnips are dependent on the development stage, as well as on biotic and abiotic factors. For example, norisoprenoids, terrpenes and aldehydes are highly concentrated at the germination stage (9-day-old turnip sprouts); whereas, at the maturity stage there is a decrease in sulphur and nitrogen compounds [11].

Furthermore, herbivory pressure and high humidity always increased the abundance of secondary metabolites [46]. However,

the choice of extraction solvent, pH, light and heat can influence the content of phenolic compounds [47].

Several studies have confirmed the richness of turnip in glucosinolates, isothiocyanates, flavonoids, volatile substances [3, 4, 11, 48]. Flavonoids are major components in turnips, present as glycosides. Among the 35 flavonoids reported in this plant, kaempferol, quercetin and isorhamnetin are the most common aglycones [49].

Flavonoids play an important role in UV protection, pigmentation and disease resistance. This explains their high concentration in the leaves and fruit epidermis. Flavonoids detected in turnip include 27 flavonols, two flavanones and six chalcones [50, 51].

The presence of tannins suggests the ability of our plant to play a major function as an antioxidant agent [52]. This variation can be explained by the fact that the extraction of condensed tannins, depends on their chemical nature, the solvent used and the operating conditions

3.5. Antioxidant activity

3.5.1. Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAC)

The reducing capacity of a compound can serve as a significant indicator of its potential antioxidant activity [53]. The quantitative estimation of total antioxidant capacity was calculated according to the equation (y = 0.175x+0.125. R²= 0.9975).





It can be seen that the TAC of MEBr is higher compared to AqEBr with values of $(5.32 \pm 0.56 \text{ vs } 2.68 \pm 0.33 \text{ mg AAE/gr}$ DE) respectively (Fig 5). This suggests that the antioxidant activity increases with increasing polarity of the extracting solvent [43]. According to [54], the extraction time also participates in the increase of phytochemical content and antiradical activity.

3.5.2. DPPH evaluation

From our results, it can be seen that the inhibition percentage of DPPH free radical increases with increasing the extract concentration. However, MEBr demonstrated a strong antioxidant capacity compared to ascorbic acid. On the other hand, AqEBr showed a moderate antiradical activity (Fig 6).





Several researchers have widely pointed out the in vitro and in vivo antioxidant activity of turnip [9, 42, 55]. In addition, ethyl acetate extract from turnip roots exhibited higher free radical scavenging and lipoperoxidation inhibitory activity than other solvents (n-hexane, chloroform) [42]. However, [55] reported a inhibition percentage of DPPH radical with 11.11using 86.3% ethanol (70%) as an extractant solvent. On the other hand, [42] revealed a medium-low rate with 13-26%

of the methanolic extract of the *Brassica* rapa underground part.

In addition, further studies have revealed that turnip flower buds have the highest antioxidant capacity compared to other edible parts (leaves, stems and roots) with the DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) radical scavenging test [8,56].

According to [57], the anti-free radical activity is dependent on the number, position and nature of substituents on the B and C rings (hydroxyl, metaxyl, glycosyl groups) and the degree of polymerization of phenolic compounds.

IC₅₀ (DPPH test)

According to our results, the methanolic extract showed the highest antiradical activity with an IC_{50} of 0.14 ± 0.12 mg/ml compared to the aqueous extract with an IC_{50} of 1.9 ± 0.45 mg/ml.



Fig 7 : *IC*₅₀ of different *Brassica rapa* extracts and ascorbic acid

The results of [42] are synchronized with our, which they found that IC_{50} of ethanolic extract (70%) of the *Brassica*. *rapa* subsp. *rapifera* .L edible part was 0.23-2.00 mg/ml. According to 8, flower buds showed an IC_{50} value of 0.94 mg/ml, followed by leaves and stems $IC_{50}=1.12$ mg/ml, and then roots had the lowest antioxidant capacity with an IC_{50} value of 2.88 mg/ml.

3.5.3. FRAP evaluation

The reduction of Fe⁺³ is often used to study the ability of a substance to release electrons. This property constitutes an important mechanism of antioxidant action [58]. Our results revealed that AqEBr has the highest antioxidant power compared to MEBr with maximum values of (1.41 mg/ml vs 0.83 mg/ml) respectively. However, ascorbic acid showed the highest antioxidant power with a maximum of 1.63mg/ml (Fig 8).

Antioxidant activity depends not only on the concentration of phenolic molecules but also on the structure of these molecules. Low or high antioxidant capacity may be due to the solvent's polarity, which modified the capacity to dissolve a selected group of antioxidant compounds, thus influencing the antioxidant activity evaluation [59]. The temperature strongly determined the level of enzyme activity involved in the polyphenol synthesis. Therefore, different thermal conditions can induce a significant change in the final polyphenols concentration. Other environmental factors could probably play a role in the process of polyphenol synthesis such as CO₂ content (C/N interaction) or the level of oxidative stress [60].

4. Conclusion

From the results presented, it appears that methanol is a more efficient extractor than water, which MEBr exhibited a higher antioxidant capacity and richness in secondary metabolites than AqEBr .Therefore, the selection of an appropriate solvent-based system remains one of the most important steps, in the optimization of the polyphenols, flavonoids and other antioxidant compounds extraction, for a active ingredients better valorization. Furthermore, the results obtained in this

work are very promising; they indicate that the turnip *Brassica rapa* can be a easily available food source of biologically active compounds.



Fig 8: Antiradical activity of Brassica rapa methanolic and aqueous extracts

5. Acknowledgments

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest in relation to this article.

6. References

[1].GHANAD M., NULIT R., GO R ., AND SEOK CY., Comparative study on antioxidant activity of *Brassica rapa var. parachinensis* leaves and seeds. Advances in Nutrition and Food Science, 1-7. doi:10.4172/2472-1921-C1-006, (2020).

[2].GUO Y., CHEN S., LI Z ., AND COWLING WA., Center of origin and centers of diversity in an ancient crop, *Brassica rapa* (Turnip Rape). Journal of Heredity, 105 (4):555-65.doi: 10.1093/jhered/esu021, (2014).

[3].PIERRE PS., JANSEN JJ., HORDIJK CA., VAN DAM NM., CORTESERO AM ., AND DUGRAVOT S., Differences in volatile profiles of turnip plants subjected to single and dual herbivory above and below ground. Journal of Chemical Ecology, 37(4):368-377.doi: 10.1007/s10886-011-9934-3, (2011).

[4]. FRANCISCO M., MORENO DA., CARTEA ME., FERRERES F., GARCÍA-VIGUERA C ., AND VELASCO P., Simultaneous identification of glucosinolates and phenolic compounds in a representative collection of vegetable *Brassica rapa*. Journal of ChromatographyA, 1216(38):6611-

6619.doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2009.07.055, (2009). [5]. JEONG RH., WU Q., CHO JG., LEE DY., SHRESTHA S., LEE M H., LEE KT., CHOI MS., JEONG TS., AHN EM., CHUNG HG., RHO YD., AND BAEK N.I., Isolation and identification of flavonoids from the roots of *Brassica rapa* spp. Journal of Applied Biological Chemistry , 56 (1): 23-27.doi: 10.3839/jabc.2013.005, (2013).

[6].WU Q., BANG MH., LEE DY., CHO JG., JEONG RH., SHRESTRA S., LEE KT., CHUNG HG., AHN EM ., AND BAEK NI ., New indoles from the roots of *Brassica rapa* subsp. *campestris*. Chemistry of Natural Compounds, 48 (2):251-253. doi: 10.1007/s10600-012-0221-5, (2012).

[7].CARTEA ME., FRANCISCO M., SOENGAS P ., AND VELASCO P., Phenolic Compounds in *Brassica* Vegetables. Molecules, 16 (1): 251-280. doi :10.3390/molecules16010251, (2011)

[8]. FERNANDES F., VALENTÃOB P., SOUSA C., PEREIRA J A ., SEABRA R M ., AND ANDRADE P B., Chemical and antioxidative assessment of dietary turnip (*Brassica rapa* var. *rapa* L). Food Chemistry, 105 (3):1003-1010.doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.04.063 (2007).

[9]. WU Q., CHO JG., LEE DS., LEE DY., SONG NY., KIMY C., LEE KT ., CHUNG HG., CHOI MS., JEONG TS., AHN EM ., KIM GS ., AND BAEK NI., Carbohydrate derivatives from the roots of *Brassica rapa* ssp. *campestris* and their effects on ROS production and glutamate-induced cell

death in HT-22 cells. Carbohydrate Research, 372: 9-14.doi: 10.1016/j.carres.2012.09.015, (2013).

[10]. LEE JG., BONNEMA G., ZHANG N., KWAK JH., RIC C., DE VOS RCH ., AND BEEKWILDER J., Evaluation of glucosinolate variation in a collection of turnip (*Brassica rapa*) germplasm by the analysis of intact and desulfo glucosinolates. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 61(16):3984-

3993.doi:10.1021/jf400890p,(2013.

[11]. TAVEIRA M., FERNANDES F., DE PINHO PG., ANDRADE PB., PEREIRA JA., AND VALENTAO P., Evolution of *Brassica rapa* var. *rapa* L. volatile composition by HS SPME and GC/IT-MS. Microchemical Journal, 93(2):140-146.doi : 10.1016/j.microc.2009.05.011 , (2009).

[12]. MANCHALI S., MURTHY KNC., AND PATIL BS., Crucial facts about health benefits of popular cruciferous vegetables. Journal of Functional Foods, 4 (1):94-106.doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2011.08.004, (2012).

[13]. PAUL S., GENG CA., YANG TH., YANG YP., AND CHEN JJ., Phytochemical and Health-Beneficial Progress of Turnip (*Brassica rapa*). Journal of Food Science, 84(1):19-30. doi: 10.1111/1750-3841.14417, (2018).

[14]. GAIROLA S., SHARMA J., AND BEDI YS., A cross-cultural analysis of Jammu, Kashmir and Ladakh (India) medicinal plant use. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 155 (2): 925-986.doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2014.06.029, (2014).

[15]. ULLAH S., KHAN MR., SHAH N A., SHAH SA., MAJID M ., AND FAROOQ MA., Ethnomedicinal plant use value in the Lakki Marwat district of Pakistan. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 158 PtA: 412-422 . doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2014.09.048, (2014).

[16]. HONG JK., HWNAG JE., PARK TH ., ZANG YX ., LEE SC., KWON SJ., MUN JH., KIM HU., KIM JA ., JIN M N., KIM JS., LEE SI., LIM MH., HUR Y., LIM CO., AND PARK BS., Identification and characterization of the phytocystatin family from *Brassica rapa*. Journal of Plant Biotechnology, 35(4):317-327.doi:10.5010/JPB.2008.35.4.317

,(2008).

[17]. SHIN JS., NOH YS., LEE YS., CHO YW., BAEK NI., CHOI MS., JEONG TS., KANG E., CHUNG HG., AND LEE K T. Arvelexin from *Brassica rapa* suppresses NF-kB-regulated proinflammatory gene expression by inhibiting activation of IkB kinase. British Journal of Pharmacology, 164 (1):145-158.doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01351.x , (2011).

[18]. BANG MH., LEE DY., OH YJ ., HAN MW., YANG H J., CHUNG H G., JEONG TS., LEE KT., CHOI MS., AND BAEK NI., Development of biologically active compounds from edible plant sources XXII. Isolation of indoles from the roots of *Brassica campestris* ssp *rapa* and their hACAT inhibitory activity. Journal of the Korean Society for Applied Biological Chemistry, 51 (1): 65-69, (2008).

[19]. MIRZAIE H., JOHARI H., NAJAFIAN M., AND KARGAR H., Effect of ethanol extract of root turnip (*Brassica rapa*) on changes in blood factors HDL, LDL, triglycerides and total cholesterol in hypercholesterolemic rabbits. Advances in Environmental Biology, 6 (10):2796-2801, (2012).

[20]. AL-SNAFI AE "The pharmacological importance of *Bassica nigra* and *Brasica rapa* grown in Iraq. Journal of Pharmaceutical Biology, 5(4): 240-253, (2015).

[21]. DARYOUSH M., BAHRAM AT., YOUSEF D ., AND MEHRDAD., N., Protective effect of turnip root (*Brassica rapa*. L) ethanolic extract on early hepatic injury in alloxanized diabetic rats. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, (7):748-756, (2015).

[22]. MOHAJERI D., GHARAMALEKI MN., HEJAZI S., AND NAZERI M., Preventive effects of turnip (*Brassica rapa* L.) on renal ischemiareperfusion injury in rats. Life Science Journal, 10 (1):1165-1170, (2013).

[23]. HOSSEINI SE., ZAHIRI S ., AND AQABABA H., Effect of alcoholic extract of *Brassica rapa* root on formalin test pain in adult male rats. Quarterly of the Horizon of Medical Sciences, 19(3): 161-166, (2013).

[24]. BABA-HAMED K., BOUANANI A ., NASRI A ., AND BOUANANI R. La modélisation de la nappe alluviale de la plaine de Ghriss (Mascara NW- Algérien).Larhyss Journal, 21:143-150, (2015)

[25]. DJERROUMI A., AND NACEF M., 100 plantes médicinales d'Algérie. Edition دار هومه .ISBN10: 9961656235 .p 159, (2012).

[26]. REBAYA A., BELGHITH SI., BAGHDIKIAN B., LEDDET VM., MABROUKI F., OLIVIER E., CHERIF JK., AND TRABELSI AYADI M., Total phenolic, total flavonoid, tannin content, and antioxidant capacity of *Halimium halimifolium* (*Cistaceae*). Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science, 5 (01): 52-57.doi: 10.7324/JAPS.2015.50110, (2015).

[27]. FALLEH H., KSOURI R., CHAIEB K., KARRAY-BOURAOUI N., TRABELSI N., BOULAABA M., AND ABDELLY C., Phenolic composition of *Cynara cardunculus L*. organs, and their biological activities . Comptes Rendus Biologies , 331(5): 372-379.doi: 10.1016/j.crvi.2008.02.008 , (2008).

[28]. AOAC.,The Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis. 17 th Ed. Maryland. U.S.A , (2000).

[29]. TREASE GE., EVANS WC., Pharmacognosy.3th (ed). ELBS/Bailliere Tindall, London. PP.(345-6, 535-6, 772-3), (1989).

[30]. SINGLETON V., AND ROSSI J., Colorimetry of total phenolic compounds with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture, 16(3), 144-158.doi: www.ajevonline.org/content/16/3/144.full.pdf+html

, (1965). [31]. KIM DO., CHUN OK., KIM YJ ., MOON HY., AND LEE CY., Quantification of polyphenolics and their antioxidant capacity in fresh plums. The Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 51(22):6509-6515. doi:

Chemistry, 51(22):6509-6515. (10.1021/jf0343074, (2003).

[32]. JULKUNEN-TIITTO, R., Phenolic constituents in the leaves of northern willows: Methods for the analysis of certain phenolics. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 33 (2): 213-217.doi: 10.1021/jf00062a013, (1985).

[33]. PRIETO P., PINEDA M., AND AGUILAR M., Spectrophotometric quantitation of antioxidant capacity through the formation of a phosphomolybdenum Complex: Specific application to the determination of vitamin E. Analytical Biochemistry, 269(2): 337-341.doi: 10.1006/abio.1999.4019 ,(1999).

[34]. LOPES-LUTZ D., ALVIANO DS., ALVIANO CS ., AND KOLODZIEJCZYK PP., Screening of chemical composition, antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of Artemisia essential oils. Phytochemistry, 69 (8):1732-1738.doi: 10.1016/i.phytochem.2008.02.014 (2008).

10.1016/j.phytochem.2008.02.014 , (2008). [35]. TORRES R., FAINI F., MODAK B., URBINA F., LABBÉ C ., AND GUERRERO J ., Antioxidant activity of coumarins and flavonols from the resinous exudate of *Haplopappus multifolius*. Phytochemistry, 67 (10): 984-987.doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2006.03.016 , (2006).

[36]. OYAIZU M., Studies on Products of Browning Reactions: Antioxidative activities of product of browning reaction prepared from Glucosamine. Japan Journal of Nutrition, 44 (6):307-315.doi: org/10.5264/eiyogakuzashi.44.30, (1986).

[37]. CIQUAL. Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire de l'alimentation, de l'environnement et du travail. Table de composition nutritionnelle des aliments. 2020. Accessed on 26/08/2020 from the website <u>https://ciqual.anses.fr/.</u>

[38]. RUIZ-RODRIQUEZ BM ., MORALES P., FERNANDZ-RUIZ V., CORTES SÁNCHEZ -MATAA M., CÁMARA M.,DÍEZ-MARQUÉS C., PARDO-DE-SANTAYANA M ., MOLINA M ., AND TARDÍO J., Valorization of wild strawberrytree fruits (*Arbutus unedo* L.) through nutritional assessment and natural production data .Food Research International, 44 (5) :1244-1253.doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2010.11.015, (2011).

[39]. MORALES-LOPEZ J., CENTENO-ÁLVAREZ M., NIETO-CAMACHO A., LOPEZ MG., PÉREZ-HERNÁNDEZ PEREZ E., NAWAZ H., SHAD MA ., AND RAUF A., Optimization of extraction yield and antioxidant properties of *Brassica oleracea Convar Capitata* Var L. leaf extracts. Food Chemistry, 242: 182-187. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.09.041 ,(2018).

[40]. AIRES A., Chapter 3 -Brassica Composition and Food Processing A2 - Preedy, Victor, Processing and Impact on Active Components in Food. Academic Press, San Diego .17-25, (2015).

[41]. RAFATULLAH S., AL-YAHYA M ., MOSSA J., GALAL A ., AND EL-TAHIR K., Preliminary phytochemical and hepatoprotective studies on turnip *Brassica rapa* L. International Journal of Pharmacology, 2 (6): 670-673.doi: 10.3923/ijp.2006.670.673 , (2006).

[42]. IQBAL S., YOUNAS U., CHAN KW., SAEED Z., SHAHEEN MA., AKHTAR N ., AND MAJEED A., Growth and antioxidant response of *Brassica rapa* var. *rapa* L.(turnip) irrigated with different compositions of paper and board mill (PBM) effluent. Chemosphere, 91(8):1196-1202.doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.01.035, (2013).

[43]. RYU JP., KIM DC., IN MJ ., CHAE HJ ., AND LEE SD., Antioxidant potential of ethanol extract of *Brassica rapa* L. root. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research, 6 (9):1581-1584. doi : 10.5897/JMPR11.323 , (2012).

[44]. ANITHA T., AND DIVYA DHARSINI R., Studies on *In-vitro* antioxidant properties of *Brassica* vegetables. International Journal of Pharmaceutical, Chemical and Biological Sciences, 4 (4):1061-1065, (2014).

[45]. BERDJA S., SMAIL L., SAKA B., NEGGAZI S., HAFFAF EM., BENAZZOUG Y., KACIMI G., BOUDARENE L., AND AOUICHAT BOUGUERRA S. Glucotoxicity induced oxidative stress and inflammation in-vivo and in-vitro in Psammomys obesus: Involvement of aqueous extract of *Brassica rapa rapifera*. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine , 6:1-14.doi: 10.1155/2016/3689208, (2016).

[46]. METZ J., RIBBERS K., TIELBÖRGER K., AND MÜLLER C., Long-and medium-term effects of aridity on the chemical defence of a widespread *Brassicaceae* in the Mediterranean. Environmental and experimental botany, 105 : 39-45 .doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.04.007, (2018).

[47]. AKOWUAH GA., MARIAM A., AND CHIN JH., The effect of extraction temperature on total phenols and antioxidant activity of *Gynura procumbens* leaf. Pharmacognosie Magazine, 5(17): 81-85 .

http://www.phcog.com/text.asp?2009/5/17/81/5799 1, (2009).

[48]. PADILLA G., CARTEA ME., VELASCO P., DE HARO A., AND ORDÁS A., Variation of glucosinolates in vegetable crops of *Brassica rapa*. Phytochemistry, 68: (4) 536-545.doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2006.11.017,(2007).

[49]. VALLEJO FA., TOMÁS-BARBERÁN FA., AND FERRERES F., Characterization of flavonols in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica*) by liquid chromatography-UV diode array detection electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A, 1054, (1-2):181-193 .doi : 10.1016/j.chroma.2004.05.045,(2004).

[50]. CROZIER A., JAGANATH IB., AND CLIFFORD MN., Phenols, polyphenols and tannins: An overview in Crozier A, Clifford M, Ashihara H. (Eds.), Plant secondary metabolites: Occurrence, structure and role in the human diet (pp. 1–24). UK, Oxford: Blackwell, (2006).

[51]. PEREIRA DM., VALENTÃO P., PEREIRA
[51]. PEREIRA DM., VALENTÃO P., PEREIRA
JA., AND ANDRADE PB., Phenolics: From chemistry to biology. Molecules, 14 (6): 2202-2211.doi: 10.3390/molecules14062202 , (2009).
[52]. ALI-RACHEDI F., MERAGHNI S., NOURHENE TOUAIBIA N., AND SABRINA M., Analyse quantitative des composés phénoliques d'une endémique algérienne Scabiosa *Atropurpurea sub. Maritima L.* Bulletin de la société royale des sciences de liège, 87 :13-21 , (2018).

[53]. APAK R., GÜÇLÜ K., ÖZYÜREK M., AND ÇELIK SE., Mechanism of antioxidant capacity assays and the CUPRAC (cupric ion reducing antioxidant capacity) assay. Microchim Acta , 160: 413-419. doi : 10.25518/0037-9565.7398, (2008).

[54]. NAWAZ H., SHAD M.A., AND RAUF A., Optimization of extraction yield and antioxidant properties of *Brassica oleracea Convar Capitata Var L.* leaf extracts. Food Chemistry, 242, 182-187. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.09.041, (2018)

[55]. BELTAGY A., Investigation of new antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of *Brassica rapa* L. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 6 (6):84-88, (2014).

[56]. CHAUDHARY A., CHOUDHARY S., SHARMA U., VIG AP., AND ARORA S. *In-vitro* evaluation of *Brassica* sprouts for antioxidant and antiproliferative potential. Indian Journal of pharmaceutical Sciences, 78(5): 615-623 .doi: 10.4172/pharmaceutical-sciences.1000160, (2016). [57]. POPOVICI J., COMTE G., BAGNAROL É ., ALLOISIO N., FOURNIER P., BELLVERT F.,

AND FERNANDEZ M. P., Differential effects of rare specific flavonoids on compatible and incompatible strains in the Myrica gale-Frankia actinorhizal symbiosis. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 76(8): 2451-2460. doi:10.1128/AEM.02667-09 , (2010).

[58]. EBRAHIM ZADEH MA., POURMORAD F., AND BEKHRADNIA AR., Iron chelating activity screening, phenol and flavonoid content of some medicinal plants from Iran. African Journal of Biotechnology, 7(18): 3188 - 3192.doi: 10.4172/pharmaceutical-sciences.1000160, (2008).

[59]. TURKMEN N., VELIOGLU YS., SARI F., AND POLAT G., Effect of extraction conditions on measured total polyphenol contents and antioxidant and antibacterial activities of Black Tea. Molecules, 12(3): 484-496. doi : 10.3390/12030484, (2007).

KAINULAINEN [60]. SALLAS L., Ρ., UTRIAINEN J., HOLOPAINEN T., AND HOLOPAINEN JK., The influence of elevated O₃ and CO₂ concentrations on secondary metabolites of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) seedlings. Global Change Biology, 7(3): 303-311. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2001.00408.x, (2001).