EARTH SCIENCES RESEARCH JOURNAL

Earth Sci. Res. J. Vol. 22, No. 3 (September, 2018): 175-186

Geospatial assessment of eco-environmental changes in desertification area of the Brazilian semi-arid region

Richarde Marques da Silva¹, Celso A. G. Santos¹, Karinna Ugulino de Araújo Maranhão², Alexandro Medeiros Silva¹ & Valéria Raquel Porto de Lima³ ¹ Federal University of Paraíba

> ² Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology of Paraíba ³ Paraíba State University, Department of Geography

ABSTRACT

Eco-environmental change prediction models are important decision tools for policy makers, as they help to quantify environmental sensitivity and to understand the relationship between human activities and environmental quality. Thus, this paper aims to analyze eco-environmental changes in Monteiro County, a semi-arid zone within northeastern Brazil between 1987 and 2010, by means of satellite images, geographic information system and global positioning system techniques, topographic map, climatic data and soil maps, as well as field survey. The resulting outputs were evaluated for their ability to accurately predict the most sensitive and least sensitive areas. The results showed that land cover changes have modified the environment in general and the land degradation and low soil loss processes were identified. The mean soil loss decreased from 9.67 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 1987 to 2.67 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 2010, as an effect of vegetation gain and particularly the conversion of thousands of square kilometers of Tropophile *caatinga* middle slope and barren land into Tropophile *caatinga* plain and Tropophile *caatinga* high strand. Thus, this study provides insight on territorial ordering and management of environmental services with a regional perspective.

Keywords: Zoning; soil management; erosion; semi-arid zone.

UNIVERSIDAD

DE COLOMBIA

Evaluación geoespacial de los cambios ecoambientales en el área de desertificación de la región semiárida brasileña

RESUMEN

Los modelos de predicción del cambio ecológico ambiental son herramientas de decisión importantes para los responsables de la formulación de políticas, ya que ayudan a cuantificar la sensibilidad ambiental y comprenden la relación entre las actividades humanas y la calidad ambiental. Por lo tanto, este artículo tiene como objetivo analizar los cambios ecológicos en el condado de Monteiro, una zona semiárida en el noreste de Brasil. Este estudio utilizó medios de imágenes satelitales, sistema de información geográfica y técnicas del sistema de posición global, mapas topográficos, datos climáticos y mapas de suelos, así como datos de encuestas de campo. Los resultados fueron evaluados en su capacidad para predecir con precisión las áreas más y menos sensibles. Los resultados mostraron que los cambios en la cobertura terrestre han modificado el medio ambiente en general, y se han identificado dos procesos importantes de degradación ambiental: la degradación de la tierra y la baja pérdida de suelo. La pérdida media de suelo aumentó de 0.09 t ha' año' en 1987 a 0.18 t ha' año' en 2010, como un efecto del aumento de la vegetación y particularmente la conversión de miles de kilómetros cuadrados de bosque Tropophile de pendiente media y tierra estéril en la llanura del bosque Tropophile y el bosque alto Tropophile. Por lo tanto, este estudio proporciona información sobre el ordenamiento territorial y la gestión de los servicios ambientales con una perspectiva regional.

Palabras clave: zonificación; manejo del suelo; erosión; zona semiárida.

Record

Manuscript received: 20/01/2018 Accepted for publication: 25/05/2018

How to cite item

Da Silva, R. M., Santos, C. A. G., Araujo Maranhao, K. U., Medeiros Silva, A., & Porto de Lima, V. R. (2018). Geospatial assessment of eco-environmental changes in desertification area of the Brazilian semi-arid region. *Earth Sciences Research Journal*, 22(3), 175-186. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15446/esrj.v22n3.69904

Introduction

Land degradation is a severe environmental problem, which removes fertile top soil, and increases sedimentation in rivers and dams (Shao et al., 2014). Land degradation affects a large area of northeastern Brazil in reasons of many factors, such as the decrease of crop productivity. These problems are even more pronounced in semi-arid regions. This region is characterized by low rainfall, high temperatures, slightly weathered soils, and low phytomass production (Sousa et al., 2012). In addition, these regions have unique geo-environmental characteristics and are highly susceptible to dangers from natural and socioeconomic hazards (Lal, 1986), and also receive low, erratic rainfall of up to 600 mm yr⁻¹, with periodic droughts and various associations of vegetative cover and soils (Silva et al., 2015).

In this region, soil erosion process by water is a major problem that the area has suffered in the last century (Santos et al., 2017). In Brazil, areas prone to desertification cover 980,711 km², distributed in eight states of northeastern Brazil (Costa et al., 2009), and approximately 99,000 km² are in an advanced stage of desertification (Sousa et al., 2012).

According to Anache et al. (2017), quantitative results related to erosion rates and conservation policies are not usually available for areas with erosion problems, particularly semi-arid regions which suffer from political neglect and industrial and economic stagnation (Beskow et al., 2009). Furthermore, the agricultural sector in the semi-arid region of Brazil is dependent on natural rainfall and this high dependence on the short rainy season renders the country very vulnerable to weather hazards due to lowinvestment and family farming or traditional extensive livestock farming. Therefore, proactive policies and sustainable water management strategies that are based on decision support systems are crucial in semi-arid regions, such as irrigation projects and transposition of the São Francisco River (Henkes, 2014). Increased water scarcity, rising temperatures, and extreme climate events such as floods and droughts have grown in magnitude and frequency during the last few decades (Rao et al., 2016), which directly influence the type and condition of the vegetation cover. The higher frequencies of extreme events, as droughts, represent a big threat to the economy and to the livelihoods of the population because of their many consequences to cropland, food security, water availability and health.

Furthermore, despite an extensive body of knowledge about the relationships among vegetative cover, soil erosion and eco-environmental changes (Srinivasan & Galvão 1995; Marengo et al., 2016; Martins et al., 2018), very little is known about such processes in the *caatinga* biome (Schulz et al., 2017), because this biome is poorly analyzed and considered as one of the more neglected of Brazilian biomes (Beuchle et al., 2015; Ribeiro et al., 2016). Data availability of soil erosion and land changes in the *caatinga* is limited to thesis, few papers or technical notes published in regional journals, which makes it difficult for an international audience (Silva et al., 2017). A preliminary literature review on temporal and spatial research has shown that several regional-scale studies on land change exist in Brazil (Portillo-Quintero et al., 2013; Schucknecht et al., 2013; Coelho et al., 2014; Salazar et al., 2015), but without integration about soil erosion and eco-environmental changes in desertification area.

Besides the climatic causes, the intensification and mechanization of agriculture in recent decades have been known as the main causes of the acceleration of erosion processes (Cerdá et al., 2009) and consequently the acceleration of desertification. Thus, changes in land cover, agricultural intensification, and increased storm intensity due to climate variability could increase erosion and eco-environmental change considerably in the coming years. Environmental or erosion models are important decision tools for policy makers, as they help to quantify environmental sensitivity and to understand the relationship between human activities and environmental quality, particularly in semi-arid regions (Manfré et al., 2013).

The development of studies to monitor land degradation is urgently needed to preserve natural resources and increase quality of life, especially within environmentally important biodiversity hotspots such as the Monteiro region of Brazil. In order to study eco-environmental changes and soil loss, several approaches for vulnerability and risk assessment using geographic information systems (GIS) have been developed in the last few years (Braga et al., 2013; Brito Neto et al., 2016). These approaches allow an integrated evaluation of environmental outcomes using mathematic models, especially distributed models, which are valuable in evaluating different strategies for improvements in land use management.

Several physically-based models have been developed in order to estimate sediment yield, such as LISEM (de Roo and Jetten, 1999), WEPP (Flanagan et al., 2012), Kineros2 (Woolhiser et al., 1990), and SWAT (Arnold et al., 1998). The four models mentioned have the following disadvantages: (a) they need extensive data sets as input and have many calibration parameters; (b) they require either complex laboratory analyses or difficult and expensive field data collection, which may be infeasible in many developing countries; and (c) in spite of having some calibration parameters (Zhang et al., 2013), the models (except SWAT) do not have an optimization method implanted in the software. Some strength of these models can be emphasized: (a) they are physically or semi-physically based models, and basic processes are incorporated;(b) they take into account spatial variability of inputs and outputs, thus better representing the real world; (c) three of them are derived from the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE). This equation was developed by Wischmeier & Smith (1965) and is the simplest equation for erosion estimation. It estimates mean annual soil loss for a long time and has been applied with success in several parts of the world. Thus, the goal of this work is to evaluate the influence of eco-environmental changes in soil erosion in a desertification area within Monteiro County, Brazil.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Study area

The study was conducted within Monteiro County, inserted in the semi-arid area within the rectangle defined by the following coordinates: $37^{\circ} 21' 21''$ W and $7^{\circ} 42' 55''$ S, and $36^{\circ} 55' 31''$ W and $8^{\circ} 3' 31''$ S (Figure 1). Monteiro has an approximate area of 990.47 km² and is in the Paraiba State, northeastern Brazil. The climate is BSw type (hot semi-arid), according to Köppen classification. The study area is characterized by an aridity and dry climate with historical average rainfall (1999–2011) around 700 mm (Silva, 2004), absence of aquifers, low effective depth of the soils and high evapotranspiration totals. The rainfall intensity is low/moderate and generally occurs during a single rainy season causing a negative water balance (Barbosa et al., 2006). As in other semi-arid regions, the seasonal variability of rainfall is high, resulting in rainfall outside of the characteristic periods, with rainfall occurring mostly during months from February and May (Srinivasan & Paiva, 2009). The average value for potential evapotranspiration is 1900 mm yr⁻¹, according to Santos et al. (2017).

Temperatures are high and quite spatially and temporally uniform, with an annual average ranging from 25 to 30 °C. Monteiro has a heterogeneous landscape and lies over a crystalline basement that spreads by almost all the state of Paraíba from the Agreste to the Sertão mesoregions. The crystalline basement is mainly composed of metamorphosed rocks and tectonically structured Precambrian rocks (schist, gneiss, migmatite, quartzite) and granitic intrusions (Huhn et al., 2014). Quaternary alluvial deposits flank intermittent rivers and streams (de Queiroz & Norton 1992). This region is composed of two main units: Meso- to Neoproterozoic granitoid plutons of Serra do Monte and Serra do Bravo (Souza et al., 2005). The geomorphology in general is well diversified, constituting by different forms of relief, which were worked by different processes, influenced by the semi-arid climate, and endogenous and exogenous processes.

2.2 Vegetation physiognomies and land cover

The study area is located in the *caatinga* biome, and the predominant vegetation is the semi-arid tropophile (loss of leafs in drought conditions and/or high humidity) with physiognomic aspects of *caatinga* vegetation (Lima, 2012), with deciduous species, which lose their leaves during the dry season that occurs from June to January (Silva et al., 2011). The natural distribution of trees is conditioned by the main limiting factors, such as geology, soil types, climatology and water availability. Each tree species is adapted to certain conditions and is located in its niche.



Figure 1. Geographical location of Monteiro County in Paraíba State, Brazil.

The *caatinga* vegetation (native vegetation) has vast biodiversity, and around 50% of its original vegetation cover remains relatively intact despite the high human population density and high deforestation rates (Schulz et al., 2017). Northeastern Brazil is composed of highly dynamic ecosystems that readily respond to climatic conditions such as precipitation. The elevation and effective root development capacity of soil thickness are the dominant factors controlling vegetation structure and distribution.

This region possesses a number of species and has high importance as a biodiversity hotspot and is thus extensively studied by environmental scientists. In addition, this region is economically important to Paraíba State due to bentonite mining (Gopinath & Lima 2011) and biodiversity (Lima & Artigas 2013). However, given the environmental and economic importance, there are surprisingly few studies that have examined the environmental fragility of Monteiro region.

Caatinga vegetation is classified as steppe savannah (bush and dense), with species of genera Spondias, Mimosa and Aspidosperma, and is a typical vegetation type in the semi-arid region of Brazil. The caatinga consists of a complex mixture of deciduous woody species with an annual herbaceous understory. This biome has a large number of plant associations and floristic complexes with different physiognomies, including xerophilous, deciduous trees, thorny bushes, cactus, and bromeliads, varying from grassland to xerophytic thorn savanna, and shrub woodland (Barbosa et al., 2006). In this study, three *caatinga* types were identified based on Mansur et al. (2000) and adopted by Lima & Artigas (2014): (a) Tropophile caatinga high strand (TCHS): savannas and woody savannas as one type of caatinga dry forests with dense cover; (b) Tropophile caatinga middle slope (TCMS): characterized by low amounts of woody vegetation and high human-driven transformation; and (c) Tropophile caatinga plain (TCP): close and open shrublands were interpreted as shrubland, i.e., xerophytic thorn scrubs or degraded caatinga dry forests with less dense and lower vegetation cover.

The vegetation condition used in this study was verified by bioclimatic balance evaluation (rainfall data, soil temperature and soil texture), and such a condition was verified in the field with the objective of associating the bioclimatic condition with the vegetation physiognomy. The floristic survey technique used was that of linear transects of 50×2 m, when data of height and diameter of the vegetation were observed.

2.3 Soil types

The soils in the study area are morphologically diverse, including soils with reddish horizons of clay accumulation, sodium-affected soils with well-developed clay-pans, shallow undeveloped soils, alluvial soils,

and cracking-clay soils (de Queiroz & Norton 1992). Luvisols, Fluvisols, Lithosols, Acrisols, and Regosols were identified in the study area. The soil classification of FAO/UNESCO (1988) was used in this study. Luvisols are the most representative soil classes with reference to the study area and are little to moderately developed soils. These soils are developed mainly from gneisses and mica-schists, with or without the contribution of transported materials, and can be formed from other rocks such as phyllites, shales, siltstones, limestone and clayey sediments (de Oliveira et al., 2009). Fluvisols are young soils with weak horizon differentiation possessing AC-profiles and are predominantly brown in color, with texture ranging from coarse sand in levee oils to heavy clays in interfluves. The Lithosols are characterized by young soils and normally limited to a thin A-horizon over an incipient B-horizon. Acrisols are thin ochric surface horizon, dark color and lack distinct pedogenic horizons; they are shallow to moderately deep; and in Paraíba State tend to be coarse-textured. Regosols are very weakly developed mineral soils in unconsolidated materials that have only an ochric surface A-horizon that is not very shallow. This soil type is extensive in eroding lands, particularly in semi-arid regions (Maranhão 2014). Familiarity with the soil classification was gained through field observations and conversations with farmers during a detailed year-long ecological assessment of a portion of Monteiro County.

2.4 Land cover change and geospatial analysis of soil erosion

Different thematic layers were generated to spatially estimate the soil loss and eco-environmental changes between 1987 and 2010 using Landsat TM imagery dataset. A multi-temporal remotely sensed imagery TM/Landsat (WRS2: 215/65 and 215/66), dated May 1987 and 2010, with spatial resolution of 30×30 m were used. Landsat satellite images were classified using supervised classification of maximum likelihood. Accuracy assessment included ground truth points collected in field, high-resolution imagery from 2014, available for visualization on Google Earth (http://earth.google.com), comparison to fine-resolution IKONOS image, and land owners' information, as used by Garcia and Ballester (2016). The areas of different land cover types and final thematic maps were produce using ArcGIS 10.1[®] (ESRI, 2015). Then, based on these procedures, products and maps, the formal USLE model was applied using a typical GIS-based equation implementation (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Flowchart depicting steps taken to assess USLE model validity for ecoenvironmental changes in desertification in the Monteiro County.

In this case study, estimates of soil loss from the Monteiro County were estimated based on the USLE to predicting the mean annual erosion and its spatial distribution during the period analyzed (1987 and 2010). The USLE was selected for the current study as it yields reasonably reliable data, at least in certain conditions with moderate input required for data gathering (Mohamed 2013). This equation provides acceptable estimates of average annual sheet and rill erosion from a wide range of land cover, soil, and climatic conditions. The USLE is based on:

$$Y = R \cdot K \cdot LS \cdot C \cdot P \tag{1}$$

where Y is the loss (average annual soil in t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), R is the rain erosivity (in MJ mm ha⁻¹ h⁻¹ yr⁻¹), K is the soil erodibility (in t h MJ⁻¹ mm⁻¹), LS is the slope-length factor, which includes slope length and slope steepness factors (booth dimensionless), C is the cover management factor (dimensionless), and P is the support practice factor (dimensionless). As there are no support and conservation practices in the Monteiro, P factor values were assumed to be 1 (Silva et al., 2012). Thus, the CP factor (vegetation cover) was adopted.

2.5 Determining USLE Factors

2.5.1 R factor (erosivity)

In order to determinate rainfall erosivity (R factor), daily rainfall data between January 1998 and December 2010 from 12 grid points of Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM), product 3B42 (V7), with spatial resolution of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ were used (Figure 3). The monthly rainfall erosivity of each grid point was estimated for this period as:



Figure 3. Location of the TRMM grid used for the erosivity factor determination.

$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} EI_{30}}{n} 100 / 200.6$$
(2)

where R is the rainfall erosivity factor (MJ mm $ha^{-1} hr^{-1} y^{-1}$), i is the number of years, j is the number of days per year, and EI30 is rainfall erosivity at 30 minutes per day (hundred t mm $ha^{-1} h^{-1}$).

$$EI_{30} = 34.065 \times EI_{1440} - 0.2695 \tag{3}$$

where EI_{1440} is erosion index per day (hundred t mm ha⁻¹ h⁻¹).

$$EI_{1440} = 3.856 \times PI_{1440} - 0.0048 \tag{4}$$

where PI1440 is daily precipitation index (mm h⁻¹).

$$PI_{1440} = (Rainfall)^2 / 24$$
(5)

The daily R value obtained was converted to monthly and annual values. Then, the mean annual erosivity for the 17 year period was derived.

2.5.2 K factor (erodibility)

In order to obtain the values of K factor, data from analysis of eighteen soil samples were used, which were obtained by the Brazilian Agricultural

Research Corporation (EMBRAPA) in Monteiro County, available at www. sisolos.cnptia.embrapa.br. The soil erodibility factor (*K*) was determined based on the methodology proposed by Williams (1995):

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{f}_{\text{sand}} \times \mathbf{f}_{\text{cl-si}} \times \mathbf{f}_{\text{orgc}} \times \mathbf{f}_{\text{hisand}} \tag{6}$$

where f_{sand} is the coarse sand indices, f_{el-si} is the silt and clay rate, f_{orge} is the organic carbon content and f_{hisand} is the sand content. The parameters used to calculate the K factor were calculated using the following equations:

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{sand}} = \left\{ 0.2 + 0.3 \times \exp\left[-0.256 \times \mathbf{m}_{\text{s}} \times \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\text{silt}}}{100} \right) \right] \right\}$$
(7)

$$\mathbf{f}_{cl-si} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}_{silt}}{\mathbf{m}_{c} + \mathbf{m}_{silt}}\right)^{0.3} \tag{8}$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{orgc}} = \left[1 - \frac{0.25 \times \text{orgC}}{\text{orgC} + \exp(3.72 - 2.95 \times \text{orgC})} \right]$$
(9)

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{hisand}} = \left\{ 1 - \frac{0.7 \times \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\text{s}}}{100}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\text{s}}}{100}\right) + \exp\left[-5.51 + 22.9\left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\text{s}}}{100}\right)\right]} \right\}$$
(10)

where m_s is the percentage of sand, m_{silt} is the percentage of silt, m_e is the percentage of clay and orgC is the organic carbon percentage contained in the A-horizon (surface soil). Soil distribution in the Monteiro and the K factor values are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Soil types and soil erodibility factor values.

Soil types	Area (km²)	Area (%)	K factor (t h MJ ⁻¹ mm ⁻¹)
Luvisol	725.37	73.23	0.108031
Lithosol	137.29	13.86	0.001800
Fluvisol	91.53	9.24	0.184743
Acrisol red yellow	34.65	3.50	0.116694
Regosol	1.63	0.16	0.127641

2.5.3 LS factor (topographic)

Smith & Wischmeier (1957) evaluated the effect of slope and length on soil erosion for several locations, and defined the dimensionless length and steepness of slope factor (LS). In the present study, the LS factor was obtained using one freely-available global digital elevation model (DEM), i.e. product ASTER GDEM2 with a spatial resolution of 30 m, which is available at https://asterweb.jpl.nasa.gov/gdem.asp. Thus, in order to estimate LS factor, Eq. 11 was used, as proposed by Moore and Burch (1986) and was also used by Zhang et al. (2009):

$$LS = (V / 22.13)^{0.4} (\sin \theta / 0.0896)^{1.3}$$
(11)

where V is the product of flow accumulation and cell size and θ is the slope in degrees; both are directly derived from the DEM.

2.5.4 C factor (land cover)

The C factor was estimated using remote sensing techniques based on vegetation indices derived from satellite images by the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), named NDVI-C. The NDVI measures the amount of green vegetation and is expressed as:

$$NDVI = (NIR - IR) / (NIR + IR)$$
(12)

where NIR is reflection of the near infrared portion of the electromagnetic spectrum and IR is reflection of the upper visible spectrum. In a subsequent step, NDVI-C values for each land cover were taken from each image. These sample points were used for a linear regression analysis:

$$NDVI-C = \exp[-2(NDVI/1 - NDVI)]$$
(13)

The C factor prediction model based on NDVI was tested here, because it was used for similar studies in the Europe, Asia and South America (Anache et al., 2014; Durigon et al., 2014; Silva et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2010; Meusburger et al., 2010; Ahmet, 2010; de Asis & Omasa, 2007; Lin et al., 2002). A desirable feature of C factor prediction using NDVI satellite imagery is that it estimates the fractional abundance of ground cover and barren soils simultaneously, which is appropriate for soil erosion analysis. To obtain a better picture of the NDVI images, NDVI profiles were created for all land cover classes. The NDVI-C factor values obtained can be found in Table 2.

Table 2. Values of each NDVI-C factor for Monteiro

Land cover/Vegetation physiognomies	NDVI-C values
Water	0.0
Urban area	0.0
Tropophile <i>caatinga</i> high strand	0.00004
Tropophile <i>caatinga</i> middle slope	0.0007
Tropophile <i>caatinga</i> plain	0.01
Barren land	1.0

2.6 Indictors of desertification and rates of eco-environmental degradation Numerous models and indicators were developed to analyze the ecoenvironmental degradation (Al-Awadhi et al., 2005; Garcia and Ballester, 2016) and assessment of climate variability on water resources. In this study, eco-environmental degradation rate was calculated according to Puyravaud modified index (Puyravaud, 2003):

$$X = (1/T_2 - T_1) \times \ln(S_2/S_1) \times 100$$
(14)

where X is the landscape change rate, S_1 and S_2 are the land area of each land cover at time (1987 for T_1 and 2010 for T_2). Puyravaud modified index was adopted to make the present results comparable to other studies, thus, the result of deforestation, forest degradation and land cover changes were considered as landscape loss.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Analysis of Eco-Environmental degradation processes

After the classification of satellite data, the reliability of results depends on the overall accuracies of the classified images. The result of this process indicates whether the land cover changes have been accurately identified and extracted. Figure 4 shows land cover classes in Monteiro for the studied years. The dominant land cover in the study area in 1987 and 2010 were TCMS, TCP, TCHS, barren land, water, and urban area. Figure 4 shows a recovery and an increase in the TCMS and TCHS.

Table 3 shows the distribution of land cover types (change in area and percentage growth) for the study area in 1987 and 2010. The results show that there was an increase of TCHS area compared to the TCMS and TCP, mainly on the western edge of the municipality, whereas in the eastern portion, the areas covered by TCMS were replaced by TCP. The TCP and TCHS areas increased from 231.4 km² and 225.1 km² in 1987 to 282.9 km² and 275.1 km² in 2010, respectively. The results show an increase of 21.84 % of the total area of TCHS, while TCMS declined by 13.7 % and the barren land decreased by 64.9 % (Table 3). The decrease is particularly evident in areas covered by vegetation (24.1 %) and water (15.5 %), corresponding to an average loss of 342.2 and 97.4 km² yr⁻¹, respectively. The results also show a great growth of the Urban area (> 200 %), influenced by population increase, when in 1980s the resident population was 26,852 inhabitants and in 2010s it increased to 30,852 inhabitants (210 %), with 66 % of the total population living in the urban zone (Lima, 2012).

From Table 3, it is clear that a substantial change in land cover patterns has occurred over the studied years. As shown, Urban area and TCP classes has been the main receivers of land from other classes. The increase of urbanization in the area provoked an intense land cover change during the



Figure 4. Land cover in Monteiro for (a) 1987 and (b) 2010.

last decades. As observed in Table 3, Monteiro County was mainly covered by TCMS (46.1 % in 1987 and 39.8 % in 2010). In general, a substantial loss of natural vegetation (*caatinga*) was observed during the study period in Monteiro. Degraded land (barren land) presented a fluctuating pattern during the studied period, e.g. 6.7 % of the total landscape in 1987 and the minimum value in 2010 with 2.3 % of the total study area.

180

Table 3. Land cover change calculated in the study area

Land cover – classes	1987		2010		Change in	Porcontago
	Area (km²)	%	Area (km²)	%	area (km ²)	growth
Barren land	64.8	6.6	22.7	2.3	-44.73	-66.29
TCHS	225.1	22.8	275.1	27.9	50.00	21.84
TCMS	231.4	23.4	282.9	28.7	-173.40	-37.58
ТСР	455.6	46.1	392.9	39.8	164.70	70.17
Urban area	1.5	0.2	4.7	0.5	3.21	211.18
Water	8.87	0.9	8.9	0.9	0.06	0.67

The eco-environmental degradation processes in Monteiro between 1987 and 2010 (Figure 5) was also calculated based on Puyravaud modified index. In this figure, the positive and negative bars represent land cover types that respectively expanded and decreased in extent. The results show that the environmental conversion processes occurring in Monteiro can be characterized in terms of two types between 1987 and 2010: (1) barren land decreased by approximately 4.5 % and by 0.5 % for TCMS; and (2) TCP and TCHS increased by 0.6% and 0.7%, respectively. The results show that the decrease in the area of degraded land was more than that of reclaimed land. The urban area categories clearly increased in the period studied, followed by TCP and TCHS. It was observed that, even a small increase in the land cover can cause a resignation on erosion processes.



Figure 5. Variation in eco-environmental changes among different land uses.

During the analyzed period, the land cover had a spatial reconfiguration in Monteiro. Spatial distributions of transition among land cover classes are shown in Figure 6. The pristine areas were derived from boolean operations using land use and land cover maps from 1987 and 2010. The results show that few areas remained unchanged throughout the whole landscape changing process. The areas with land cover change and without change for the studied period were 857.72 km² and 132.75 km², respectively. Land cover types without changes were observed among TCHS (62.53 km²), TCP (50.75 km²) and TCMS (12.43 km²). Main changes were observed among TCP (94.50 km²), TCHS (9.12 km²), TCMS (19.93 km²) and Barren land (8.6 km²).

Tables 4 and 5 show the land cover change matrixes for Monteiro County for 1987 and 2010. Table 6 shows the errors of omission and commission obtained by the verification of classified images. The omission errors were small (below 2%), showing an excellent accuracy between the classes predicted and those identified in the field. Commission errors showed points that were improperly included in all categories. Additionally,



Figure 6. Map of natural areas that showed no land cover or land use change in Monteiro County from 1987 to 2010 (pristine).

the Kappa coefficients for the 1987 and 2010 maps were 0.99 and 0.97, respectively, which could be considered an almost perfect evaluation based on the reference table presented by Landis & Koch (1977).

Table 4. Land cover change classification matrix for Monteiro County for 1987.

Land use	Water	ТСР	TCHS	TCMS	Barren land	Urban area	Sum of rows
Water	3492	0	0	0	33	0	3525
ТСР	0	3997	14	13	1	0	4025
TCHS	0	43	3087	0	0	0	3130
TCMS	0	0	0	879	3	1	883
Barren land	0	0	0	6	471	11	488
Urban area	0	0	0	2	25	390	417
Sum of colums	3492	4040	3101	900	533	402	12468

TCP = Tropophile *caatinga* plain, TCMS = Tropophile *caatinga* middle slope and TCHS = Tropophile *caatinga* high strand.

Table 5. Land cover change classification matrix for Monteiro County for 2010.

Land use	Water	ТСР	тсня	TCMS	Barren land	Urban area	Sum of rows
Water	2703	0	7	0	0	0	2710
ТСР	0	20279	105	245	11	0	20640
TCHS	0	218	26044	392	12	0	26666
TCMS	0	120	9	11374	139	4	11646
Barren land	0	0	0	15	717	17	749
Urban area	0	0	0	5	33	522	560
Sum of colums	2703	20617	26165	12031	912	543	62971

TCP = Tropophile *caatinga* plain, TCMS = Tropophile *caatinga* middle slope and TCHS = Tropophile *caatinga* high strand.

 Table 6. Errors of omission and commission obtained by the verification of classified images.

T and and	1	987	2010		
Land use	Omission	Commission	Omission	Commission	
Water	0.94%	0.00%	0.26%	0.00%	
Tropophile caatinga plain	0.70%	1.06%	1.75%	1.64%	
Tropophile caatinga high strand	1.37%	0.45%	2.33%	0.46%	
Tropophile caatinga middle slope	0.34%	2.12%	2.30%	5.42%	
Barren land	1.26%	7.28%	2.05%	18.43%	
Urban area	0.68%	3.99%	1.85%	10.14%	

It is also interesting to note that the intense anthropogenic pressures (due to removal of wood for the production of firewood and coal) in Monteiro County have generated scattered occupation throughout the region, resulting in environmental degradation mainly caused by deforestation, poor soil use and careless advances on TCMS areas. The erosion increase in these areas also is due to the intensification of goat breeding (agrosilvipasture and silvipasture) in the region, as a practice of economic sustainability of population to coexist with the droughts owing to the climatic conditions of the region. These areas represent zones under intense anthropogenic influence which should be considered as important regions due to their land cover changes. This can cause environmental impacts and decreased crop production in these areas in the future, especially in those related to grazing.

3.2 Geospatial assessment of soil loss vulnerability

After estimating all factors, a geospatial assessment of soil loss vulnerability was computed. Figure 7a shows the annual rainfall erosivity calculated for the region. In this study, erosivity factor values were within a range of 3,896–4,623 MJ mm ha⁻¹ h⁻¹ yr⁻¹. In comparison, R factor values increased from the east to west towards the north and south of the region. The results from this study show that erosivity in the region was high,

because depends on the intensity and amount of rainfall. The main result is that the potential risk in the region of Monteiro is very high due to climatic unevenness in which extreme events occur in rainy seasons.

High intensity rainfall events provoke severe erosion within the area, when compared to the R factor values mapped for humid areas of Brazil (Anache et al., 2018; da Silva et al., 2013), which were 1,000 < R factor < 5,000 MJ mm ha⁻¹ h⁻¹ year⁻¹ for the semi-arid region in Brazil. These values showed that the region climatologically had a high erosion potential. Therefore, in spite of showing higher erosivity values, it has long been recognized that the climatic conditions of these regions together with land cover, soil types, and topographic factors can increase the soil loss.

Figure 7b shows soil types and the spatial distribution of K factor in Monteiro County. The K values varied from 0.034 to 0.052 t h⁻⁻ MJ⁻⁻ mm⁻⁻, with the mean value equal to 0.043 t h^{-'} MJ^{-'} mm^{-'}. The major soil types in the study area are Luvisols (73.23%) and Lithosol (13.86 %) with 2:1 minerals that expand (swell) when wet, and shrink when dry, i.e., plastic characteristic. When studying the soil moisture in the profile corresponding to the root zone in semi-arid region of Brazil, Costa et al. (2013) showed that the largest runoff volumes occur in the plot with the Luvisol (mean field capacity of the profile of 0.225 m³ m⁻³), against a value of 0.280 m³ m⁻³ for field capacity for plot with an occurrence a Red-Yellow Ultisol. This characterizes the capability of a Luvisol for producing of soil loss, when compared to other soils of the region. The Lithosol is not more susceptible to erosion. It is noted that 9.24% of Monteiro's overall area contains Fluvisols, which have the highest soil erodibility values. The soils of the region are characterized by the soil moisture variation, which provokes deep cracks during dry spells, and very plastic and sticky soil consistency, when wet (dos Santos et al., 2016). The low permeability is due to the high clay content, however possesses high water adsorption, which results in high initial abstractions when dry. Porosity below the inflection point corresponds to textural voids, as presented by Silva et al. (2011). These factors may increase the erosion processes in the study area.

The spatial distribution of LS factors is shown in Figure 7c. Monteiro has LS factor values which ranged from 0-0.5 to 20-40, with the highest values in the classes 0-0.5 (44 % of total area) and 0.5-1 (32 % of total area) (Figure 7d). According to the spatial analysis, Monteiro is characterized by its increasing elevation values from east to west, with a maximum drop of 673 m. The LS factors suggested that the largest values occur in the northwest and north portions, and at a low frequency in the central and east



Figure 7. (a) Map of erosivity factor, (b) map of main soil types and K factor values, (c) map of length and steepness of slope factor (LS), and (d) classes of LS values for Monteiro.



Figure 7. (a) Map of erosivity factor, (b) map of main soil types and K factor values, (c) map of length and steepness of slope factor (LS), and (d) classes of LS values for Monteiro.

parts, which means that there is an increase of soil loss only in a very small part of the study area that has steeper slopes, which is more susceptible to such a loss. The north and northwest areas of the region have the highest elevation.

Figures 8a-b show the NDVI maps for the years studied. The NDVI values varied from -1 to 0 for areas with water or barren land, while values above 0 and less than 1 represent the TCMS, TCP and TCHS classes. The lowest NDVI values occurred in the TCP areas characterized by small agricultural areas and in places where goat farming exist. Owing to the larger amount of barren land located in the hillside edges of the valleys,

higher C factor values occur in those areas as well. In 1987, the largest area with NDVI values represented the 0.25-0.50 class, while in 2010 the class with the largest area was the 0.50-0.75. In addition, many streams and reservoirs found in the images from 1987 were not present in those from 2010. This change was probably caused by land degradation or climatic conditions, even for such a short time period (i.e. 23 years).

Table 5 summarizes the average rate of soil loss for different land cover types. It is noted that the majority of land cover in the area (TCMS, TCP and TCHS) is expected to have average annual soil losses of approximately 2.83 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for 1987 and 1.0 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for 2010. These



Figure 8. Results of NDVI for the years: (a) 1987 and (b) 2010.

values can be considered low for these land cover types when compared to Silva et al. (1999, 2012), due to the high C factor values present in a large part of the area (considering that there was no major program of natural cover restoration or erosion control) and due to climatic conditions in the study area which can be considered unusual for a semi-arid region.

 Table 7. Statistics for the estimated soil loss for each land cover type in Monteiro from 1987 to 2010.

	I				
 Land cover	1	987		Change	
	Mean	Standard deviation	Mean	Standard deviation	- (%)
ТСР	5.9	0.73	1.2	0.13	-80%
TCMS	1.1	0.60	1.5	0.23	33%
TCHS	1.5	1.02	0.3	0.32	-83%
Barren land	132.6	1.03	93.3	0.82	-30%
Urban area	0.6	0.02	0.01	0.01	19%

Figures 9a-b show annual soil loss within Monteiro for the years 1987 and 2010. The results show that the soil loss values ranged from 2 to 500 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for two years studied, and a decrease can be observed in the areas with the highest trend to soil loss in 2010, which is a direct reflection of the ongoing reduction of vegetation cover. The current rate of soil loss from the study area was different to the mean soil loss rate obtained by Silva et al. (2008), Srinivasan & Paiva (2009) and Montenegro et al. (2013) for erosion plots of 100 m² with different surface cover types in Sumé and São João do Cariri Counties. However, the current rate in our study area is well above the other cover types and cultivated fields in savannah and *caatinga* environments (Oliveira et al., 2015).

The lowest rates of soil loss were observed for Urban area and TCHS and TCMS. In this study, mean annual soil loss for Barren land was 132.6 t ha-¹ yr-¹ in 1987 and decreased to 93.3 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 2010, and for TCP was 5.9 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 1987 and 1.2 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 2010.

Furthermore, another important feature of this area is the undulating relief in the western edge of Monteiro. Areas with higher soil loss have LS factor values higher than 12.3 % and land cover classified as TCP and TCMS, whereas the lower soil loss was in TCHS, because it occurs in the tops of the mountains, where intact vegetation exist, which significantly reduces the soil erosion. Thus, interventions should therefore be prioritized for areas with a slope steeper than 10 %. The soil erosion influenced by erosivity also is uncertain in the study area regarding rainfall magnitude and consecutive dry days (dos Santos et al., 2016).

Human activities such as deforestation, removal of TCMS for firewood and other purposes, and using arid and semi-arid lands interchangeably for cultivation and grazing significantly increase water productivity and soil loss, which in turn increases the potential for land degradation. Consequently, the removal of TCMS and TCP as a means to improve rangeland productivity must be accompanied by careful management. Therefore, keeping suitable vegetative cover should be considered for soil and water conservation in forests and rangeland, and any cultivation or brush control should be carefully practiced to avoid retrogressive trends in these lands.

The present study brings a contribution to the knowledge and discussion on historic and current status of land use and cover in the semiarid region of Brazil (*caatinga* biome), mainly between 1987 and 2010. It provides key information to institutions concerned with land cover change assessment or environmental conservation on how much of the natural vegetation in the region has already been lost and in which rates are occurring forest and woodland losses during different historical time period, using satellite imagery and GIS techniques.

As example of action for the *caatinga* biome conservation, there is the creation of protected areas in the remaining areas of *caatinga*, the so-called conservation units. They are defined areas where the nature and resources could be protected by the Brazilian Forest Code of 1965 (BRAZIL, 1965). In addition to such measure, there is also the dissemination of sustainable technologies, reforestation, forest management, agroecology, support to the local productive groups and environmental education. Such regional planning and action propositions could reduce the increase of desertification in the semi-arid region of northeastern Brazil.

4. Conclusions

In this study, environmental and natural vulnerability maps developed for the Monteiro municipality in Paraíba State in the Brazilian semi-arid region helped to develop a better understanding of ecosystem fragility and urban growth in desertification areas. Intense anthropogenic activities take



Figure 9. Spatial distribution of soil loss rate for Monteiro: (a) 1987 and (b) 2010.

place at sites that are mainly related to agriculture and are aided by a lack of guidelines for local environmental management. The research shows that great changes have taken place in the eco-environment in Monteiro area.

Soil erosion is a severe problem in semi-arid region of northeastern Brazil, and study the soil loss risk and eco-environmental changes is necessary for management sustainable of land cover. The results indicate that soil losses are lower in the Monteiro area, revealing the regeneration of vegetative cover and a decrease in erosion-prone areas. However, further studies are needed to confirm this tendency within other soil geomorphological units. Finally, the application of the USLE/GIS approach resulted in a consistent pattern of soil erosion among different land cover types, slope positions and soil groups and reasonably predicted annual soil losses, locating the erosion-prone areas in Monteiro County where concentrated flow created irreversible soil losses.

Acknowledgments

The financial support provided by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development, Brazil – CNPq (Grant No. 305413/2014-7, 408631/2016-3 and 304540/2017-0) and Federal University of Paraíba is gratefully acknowledged. This study was also financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001.

References

- Ahmet, K. (2010). Estimation of C factor for soil erosion modeling using NDVI in Buyukcekmece watershed. Ozean Journal of Applied Science, 3(1), 77–85.
- Al-Awadhi, J.M., Omar, S.A. & Misak, R.F. (2005). Land degradation indicators in Kuwait. Land Degradation and Development, 16(2), 163–176.
- Anache, J. A. A., Bacchi, C. G. & Alves Sobrinho, T. (2014). Modeling of (R)USLE C-factor for pasture as a function of Normalized Difference Vegetation Index. *European International Journal of Science and Technology*, 3(9), 214–221.
- Anache, J. A. A., Flanagan, D. C., Srivastava, A. & Wendland, E.C. (2018). Land use and climate change impacts on runoff and soil erosion at the hillslope scale in the Brazilian Cerrado. *Science of The Total Environment*, 622–623, 140–151.
- Anache, J. A. A., Wendland, E. C., Oliveira, P. T. S., Flanagan, D. C. & Nearing, M. A. (2017). Runoff and soil erosion plot-scale studies under natural rainfall: A meta-analysis of the Brazilian experience. *Catena*, 152, 29–39.
- Arnold, J. G., Moriasi, D. N., Gassman, P. W., Abbaspour, K. C., White, M. J., Srinivasan, R., Santhi, C., Harmel, R. D., van Griensven, A., Van Liew, M. W., Kannan, N. & Jha, M. K. (2012). SWAT: model use, calibration, and validation. Transactions of the ASABE, 55(4), 1491–1508.
- Barbosa, H. A., Huete, A. R. & Baethgen, W. E. (2006). A 20-year study of NDVI variability over the Northeast Region of Brazil. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 67(2), 288–307.
- Beskow, S., Mello, C. R., Norton, L. D., Curi, N., Viola, M. R. & Avanzi, J. C. (2009). Soil erosion prediction in the Grande River Basin, Brazil using distributed modelling. *Catena*, 79(1), 49–59.
- Beuchle, R., Grecchi, R. C., Shimabukuro, Y. E, Seliger, R., Eva, H. D., Sano, E. & Achard, F. (2015). Land cover changes in the Brazilian Cerrado and Caatinga biomes from 1990 to 2010 based on a systematic remote sensing sampling approach. *Applied Geography*, 58(2), 116-127.
- Braga, A. C. F. M., Silva, R. M., Santos, C. A. G., Galvão, C. O. & Nobre, P. (2013). Downscaling of a global climate model for estimation of runoff, sediment yield and dam storage: A case study of Pirapama basin, Brazil. *Journal of Hydrology*, 498(1), 46–58.
- BRAZIL (2018). Law n°. 4771 New Forest Code of Brazil. Available in: https://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/LEIS/L4771.htm. Access: 04/22/2018.
- Brito Neto, R. T., Santos, C. A. G., Mulligan, K. & Barbato, L. (2016) Spatial and temporal water-level variations in the Texas portion of the Ogallala Aquifer. *Natural Hazards*, 80(1), 351–365.

- Cerdá, A., Giménez-Morera, A. & Bodí, M. B. (2009). Soil and water losses from new citrus orchards growing on sloped soils in the western Mediterranean basin. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 34(13), 1822–1830.
- Coelho, V. H. R., Montenegro, S. M. G. L., Almeida, C. N., Lima, E. R. V., Ribeiro Neto, A. & Moura, G. S. S. (2014). Dynamic of land use/ cover change processes in a Brazilian semiarid watershed. *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental*, 18(1), 64–72.
- Costa, C. A. G., Lopes, J. W. B., Pinheiro, E. A. R., Araújo, J. C. & Gomes Filho, R. R. (2013). Spatial behaviour of soil moisture in the root zone of the Caatinga biome. *Revista Ciência Agronômica*, 44(4), 685–694.
- Costa, T. C. C., Oliveira, M. A. J., Accioly, L. J. O. & Silva, F. H. B. B. (2009). Analysis of degradation of 'Caatinga' in the desertification nucleus of Seridó – Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia* Agrícola e Ambiental, 13, 961–974.
- Da Silva, R. M., Santos, C. A. G., de Lima Silva, V. C. & Silva, L. P. (2013). Erosivity, surface runoff, and soil erosion estimation using GIScoupled runoff-erosion model in the Mamuaba catchment, Brazil. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 185(11), 8977–8990.
- De Asis, A. M. & Omasa, K. (2007). Estimation of vegetation parameter for modeling soil erosion using linear Spectral Mixture Analysis of Landsat ETM data. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 62(4), 309–324.
- De Oliveira, L. B., Fontes, M. P. F., Ribeiro, M. R. & Ker, J. C. (2009). Morphology and classification of luvisols and planosols developed on metamorphic rocks in semiarid northeastern Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Soil Science*, 3(5), 1333–1345.
- De Queiroz, J. S. & Norton, B. E. (1992). An assessment of an indigenous soil classification used in the caatinga region of Ceará State, Northeast Brazil. Agricultural Systems, 39(3), 289–305.
- De Roo, A. P. J. & Jetten, V. (1999). Calibrating and validating the LISEM model for two data sets from the Netherlands and South Africa. *Catena*, 37(5), 477–493.
- Dos Santos, J. C. N., Andrade, E. M., Guerreiro, M. J. S., Medeiros, P. H. A., Palácio, H. A. Q. & Araújo Neto, J. R. (2016). Effect of dry spells and soil cracking on runoff generation in a semiarid micro watershed under land use change. *Journal of Hydrology*, 541, Part B, 1057–1066.
- Durigon, V. L., Carvalho, D. F., Antunes, M. A. H., Oliveira, P. T. S. & Fernandes, M. M. (2014). NDVI time series for monitoring RUSLE cover management factor in a tropical watershed. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 35(2), 441–453.
- ESRI Arc Map Version 10.2 (2015). User Manual. ESRI, 380 New York Street, Redlands, CA, 92373-8100, USA.
- FAO/UNESCO Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (1988). Soil map of the world, revised legend. World Soil Resources. Rep. 60, FAO, Rome.
- Flanagan, D. C., Frankenberger, J. R. & Ascough II, J. C. (2012). WEPP: model use, calibration, and validation. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 55(4), 1463–1477.
- Garcia, A. S. & Ballester, M. V. R. (2016). Land cover and land use changes in a Brazilian Cerrado landscape: drivers, processes, and patterns. *Journal* of Land Use Science, 11(5), 538–559.
- Gopinath, T. R. & Lima, A. A. (2011). Modeling and mining of bentonite deposits Boavista region, Paraíba. Available in: www.brasilminingsite. com.br/anexos/artigos/12 0.pdf.
- Henkes, S. L. (2014). A política, o direito e o desenvolvimento: um estudo sobre a transposição do Rio São Francisco. *Revista Direito GV*, 10(2), 497-534.
- Huhn, S. R. B., Sousa, M. J., Souza Filho, C. R. & Monteiro, L. V. S. (2014). Geology of the Riacho do Pontal iron oxide copper-gold (IOCG) prospect, Bahia, Brazil: hydrothermal alteration approached via hierarchical cluster analysis. *Brazilian Journal of Geology*, 44(2), 309–324.

- Lal, R. (1986). Soil surface management in the tropics for intensive land use and high and sustained production. In: Stewart, E.D., Advances in soil science, 5, 109p.
- Landis, J. R. & Koch, G.G. (1977). The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics*, 33(1), 159-154.
- Lima, V. R. P. & Artigas, R. C. (2013). Management proposal for the conservation and management of natural resources in Caatinga Biome. *Mercator*, 12(29), 191–210. (in portuguese)
- Lima, V.R.P. & Artigas, R.C. (2014). Caracterización de las formaciones vegetales de la caatinga del Carirí (Paraíba, Brasil). In: Artigas, R.C., Pérez, B.R., Gómez, J.L.M. (Eds.). Biogeografia de Sistema Litorales: dinámicas y conservación. Sevilla, 143–152.
- Lima, V. R. P. (2012). Caracterización biogeográfica del bioma Caatinga en el sector semiárido de la cuenca del Río Paraíba – Noreste de Brasil: propuesta de ordenación y gestión de un medio semiárido tropical. Ph.D. Thesis, Sevilla University, Spain.
- Lin, C. Y., Lin, W. T. & Chou, W. C. (2002). Soil erosion prediction and sediment yield estimation: the Taiwan experience. *Soil Tillage Research*, 68(2), 143–152.
- Manfré, L. A., da Silva, A. M., Urban, R. C., Rodgers, J. (2013). Environmental fragility evaluation and guidelines for environmental zoning: a study case on Ibiuna (the Southeastern Brazilian region). *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 69(3), 947–957.
- Mansur, R. R., Nogueira, C. & Barbosa, D. C. A. (2000). Comportamento fisiológico em plantas jovens de quatro espécies lenhosas da caatinga submetidas a dois ciclos de estresse hídrico. *Phyton, International Journal of Experimental Botany*, 68, 97–106.
- Maranhão, K. U. A. (2014). O zoneamento ambiental do município de Monteiro, Paraíba. MSc. Thesis. Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Brazil.
- Marengo, J. A., Torres, R. R. & Alves, L. M. (2017). Drought in Northeast Brazil-past, present, and future. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 129(3–4), 1189–1200.
- Martins, M. A., Tomasella, J., Rodriguez, D. A., Alvalá, R. C. S., Giarolla, A., Garofolo, L. L., Siqueira Júnior, J. L., Paolicchi, L. T. L. C. & Pinto, G. L. N. (2018). Improving drought management in the Brazilian semiarid through crop forecasting. *Agricultural Systems*, 160(1), 21–30.
- Meusburger, K., Bänninger, D. & Alewell, C. (2010). Estimating vegetation parameter for soil erosion assessment in an alpine catchment by means of QuickBird imagery. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 12(3), 201–207.
- Mohamed, E. S. (2013). Spatial assessment of desertification in north Sinai using modified MEDLAUS model. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 6(12), 4647–4659.
- Montenegro, A. A. A., Abrantes, J. R. C. B., de Lima, J. L. M. P., Singh, V. P. & Santos, T. E. M. (2013). Impact of mulching on soil and water dynamics under intermittent simulated rainfall. *Catena*, 109(1), 139–149.
- Moore, I. D. & Burch, G. (1986). Physical basis of the length-slope factor in the Universal Soil Loss Equation. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 50(5), 1294–1298.
- Oliveira, P. T. S., Nearing, M. A., Wendland, E. C. (2015). Orders of magnitude increase in soil erosion associated with land use change from native to cultivated vegetation in a Brazilian savannah environment. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 40(11), 1524–1532.
- Portillo-Quintero, C., Sanchez-Azofeifa, A. & Espirito-Santo, M. M. (2013). Monitoring deforestation with MODIS Active Fires in Neotropical dry forests: An analysis of local-scale assessments in Mexico, Brazil and Bolivia. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 97(1), 150–159.
- Puyravaud, J. P. (2003). Standardizing the calculation of the annual rate of deforestation. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 177(1–3), 593–596.
- Rao, V. B., Franchito, S. H., Santo, C. M. & Gan, M. A. (2016). An update on the rainfall characteristics of Brazil: seasonal variations and trends in 1979-2011. *International Journal of Climatology*, 36(1), 291–302.

- Ribeiro, K., de Sousa-Neto, E. R., de Carvalho Junior, J. A., Lima, J. R. S., Menezes, R. S. C., Duarte-Neto, P. J., Guerra, G. S. & Ometto, J. P. H. B. (2016). Land cover changes and greenhouse gas emissions in two different soil covers in the Brazilian Caatinga. *Science of the Total Environment*, 571, 1048–1057.
- Salazar, A., Baldi, G., Hirota, M., Syktus, J. & McAlpine, C. (2015). Land use and land cover change impacts on the regional climate of non-Amazonian South America: A review. *Global and Planetary Change*, 128(1), 103–119.
- Santos, C. A. G., da Silva, R. M., Silva, A. M. & Brasil Neto, R. M. (2017). Estimation of evapotranspiration for different land covers in a Brazilian semi-arid region: A case study of the Brígida River basin, Brazil. Journal of South American Earth Sciences, 74(1), 54–66.
- Schucknecht, A., Erasmi, S., Niemeyer, I. & Matschullat, J. (2013). Assessing vegetation variability and trends in north-eastern Brazil using AVHRR and MODIS NDVI time series. *European Journal of Remote Sensing*, 46(1), 40-59.
- Schulz, C., Koch, R., Cierjacks, A. & Kleinschmit, B. (2017). Land change and loss of landscape diversity at the Caatinga phytogeographical domain – Analysis of pattern-process relationships with MODIS land cover products (2001–2012). *Journal of Arid Environments*, 136(1), 54–74.
- Shao, H., Liu, M., Shao, Q., Sun, X., Wu, J., Xiang, Z. & Yang, W. (2014). Research on eco-environmental vulnerability evaluation of the Anning River Basin in the upper reaches of the Yangtze River. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 72(5), 1555–1568.
- Silva, A. B., Resende, M., Sousa, A. R. & Margolis, E. (1999). Soil mobilization, erosion and corn and bean yields in a regosol on the Pernambuco state dry area. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 34(2), 299–307.
- Silva, G. L., Lima, H. V., Campanha, M. M., Gilkes, R. J. & Oliveira, T. S. (2011). Soil physical quality of Luvisols under agroforestry, natural vegetation and conventional crop management systems in the Brazilian semi-arid region. *Geoderma*, 167-168, 61–70.
- Silva, R. M., Montenegro, S. M. G. L. & Santos, C. A. G. (2012). Integration of GIS and remote sensing for estimation of soil loss and prioritization of critical sub-catchments: a case study of Tapacurá catchment. *Natural Hazards*, 62(3), 953–970.
- Silva, R. M., Santos, C. A. G. & Montenegro, S. M. G. L. (2013). Identification of critical erosion prone areas and estimation of natural potential for erosion using GIS and Remote Sensing. *Brazilian Journal of Cartography*, 65(3), 125–136.
- Silva, R. M., Santos, C. A. G. & Santos, J. Y. (2017). Evaluation and modeling of runoff and sediment yield for different land covers under simulated rain in a semiarid region of Brazil. *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 34(1), 87–99.
- Silva, R. M., Santos, C. A. G., Moreira, M., Corte-Real, J., Silva, V. C. L. & Medeiros, I. C. (2015). Rainfall and river flow trends using Mann-Kendall and Sen's slope estimator statistical tests in the Cobres River basin. *Natural Hazards*, 77(2), 1205–1221.
- Silva, V. P. R. (2004). On climate variability in Northeast of Brazil. *Journal* of Arid Environments, 58(4), 575–596.
- Smith, D. D. & Wischmeier, W. H. (1957). Factors affecting sheet and rill erosion. *Transactions of the American Geophysical Union*, 38(6), 889-896.
- Sousa, F. P., Ferreira, T. O., Mendonça, E. S., Romero, R. E. & Oliveira, J. G. B. (2012). Carbon and nitrogen in degraded Brazilian semiarid soils undergoing Desertification. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 148(1), 11–21.
- Souza, Z. S., Nascimento, M. A. L., Barbosa, R. V. N. & Dias, L. G. S. (2005). Geology and tectonics of the Boa Vista Basin (Paraíba, northeastern Brazil) and geochemistry of associated Cenozoic tholeiitic magmatism. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 18(3–4), 391–405.

- Srinivasan, V. S. & Galvão, C. O. (1995). Evaluation of runoff and erosion loss in micro-basins utilizing the hydrodynamic model WESP. Advances in Engineering Software, 22(2), 79–85.
- Srinivasan, V. S. & Paiva, F. M. L. (2009). Regional validity of the parameters of a distributed runoff-erosion model in the semiarid region of Brazil. *Science in China Series E: Technological Sciences*, 52(11), 3348–3356.
- Wang, G., Wente, S., Gertner, G. Z. & Anderson, A. (2010). Improvement in mapping vegetation cover factor for the universal soil loss equation by geostatistical methods with Landsat Thematic Mapper images. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 23(18), 3649–3667.
- Williams, J. R. (1995). The EPIC Model. In: Singh V. (Ed.) Computer models of watershed hydrology. Chapter 25. Water Resources Publications, Highlands Ranch, 909–1000.
- Wischmeier, W. H. & Smith, D. D. (1965). Predicting rainfall erosion losses. Admin. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Washington, Agriculture Handbook Science and Education 357, 58p.

- Woolhiser, D. A., Smith, R. E. & Goodrich, D. C. (1990). KINEROS, a Kinematic Runoff and Erosion Model: Documentation and User Manual. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, ARS-77, 130p.
- Zhang, R., Santos, C. A. G., Moreira, M., Freire, P. K. M. M. & Corte-Real, J. (2013). Automatic calibration of the SHETRAN hydrological modelling system using MSCE. *Water Resources Management*, 27(11), 4053–4068.
- Zhang, Y., Degroote, J., Wolter, C. & Sugumaran, R. (2009). Integration of Modified Universal Soil Loss Equation (MUSLE) into a GIS framework to assess soil erosion risk. *Land Degradation and Development*, 20(1), 84–91..