# A Note on Rational Approximation with Respect to Metrizable Compactifications of the Plane

M. Fragoulopoulou, V. Nestoridis

Department of Mathematics, University of Athens Panepistimiopolis, Athens 157 84, Greece fragoulop@math.uoa.gr, vnestor@math.uoa.gr

Presented by Manuel Maestre

Received February 10, 2015

Abstract: In the present note we examine possible extensions of Runge, Mergelyan and Arakelian Theorems, when the uniform approximation is meant with respect to the metric  $\rho$  of a metrizable compactification  $(S, \rho)$  of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Key words: compactification, Arakelian's theorem, Mergelyan's theorem, Runge's theorem, uniform approximation in the complex domain.

AMS Subject Class. (2010): 30E10.

### 1. Introduction

It is well known that the class of uniform limits of polynomials in  $\overline{D}=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z|\leq 1\}$  coincides with the disc algebra A(D). A function  $f:\overline{D}\to\mathbb{C}$  belongs to A(D) if and only if it is continuous on  $\overline{D}$  and holomorphic in the open unit disc D. It is less known (see  $[3,\,7]$ ) what is the corresponding class when the uniform convergence is not meant with respect to the usual Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{C}$ , but it is meant with respect to the chordal metric  $\chi$  on  $\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}$ . The class of  $\chi$ -uniform limits of polynomials on  $\overline{D}$  is denoted by  $\widetilde{A}(D)$  and contains A(D). A function  $f:\overline{D}\to\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}$  belongs to  $\widetilde{A}(D)$  if and only if  $f\equiv\infty$ , or it is continuous on  $\overline{D}$ ,  $f(D)\subset\mathbb{C}$  and  $f_{|D}$  is holomorphic. The function  $f(z)=\frac{1}{1-z},\ z\in D$ , belongs to  $\widetilde{A}(D)$ , but not to A(D); thus, it cannot be uniformly approximated on D, by polynomials with respect to the usual Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{C}$ , but it can be uniformly approximated by polynomials with respect to the chordal metric  $\chi$ .

More generally, if  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a compact set with connected complement, then according to Mergelyan's theorem [10] polynomials are dense in A(K) with respect to the usual Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{C}$ . We recall that a function  $f: K \to \mathbb{C}$  belongs to A(K) if and only if it is continuous on K and holomorphic in the interior  $K^{\circ}$  of K.

An open problem is to characterize the class  $\widetilde{A}(K)$  of  $\chi$ -uniform limits of polynomials on K.

CONJECTURE. ([1, 6]) Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a compact set with connected complement  $K^c$ . A function  $f: K \to \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  belongs to  $\widetilde{A}(K)$  if and only if it is continuous on K and for each component V of  $K^{\circ}$ , either  $f(V) \subset \mathbb{C}$  and  $f_{|V|}$  is holomorphic, or  $f_{|V|} \equiv \infty$ .

Extensions of this result have been obtained in [5] when  $K^c$  has a finite number of components and K is bounded by a finite set of disjoint Jordan curves. In this case, the  $\chi$ -uniform approximation is achieved using rational functions with poles out of K instead of polynomials. Furthermore, extensions of Runge's theorem are also proved in [5]. Finally a first result has been obtained in [5] concerning an extension of the approximation theorem of Arakelian ([2]).

Instead of considering the one point compactification  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , we can consider an arbitrary metrizable compactification  $(S, \varrho)$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  and investigate the analogues of all previous results. This is the content of the present paper.

#### 2. Preliminaries

We say that  $(S, \varrho)$  is a metrizable compactification of the plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , if  $\varrho$  is a metric on S, S is compact,  $S \supset \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  is an open dense subset of S. Obviously,  $S \backslash \mathbb{C}$  is a closed subset of S. We say that the points in  $S \backslash \mathbb{C}$  are the points at infinity.

Let  $(S, \varrho)$  be a metrizable compactification of  $\mathbb{C}$  with metric  $\varrho$ . Many such compactifications can be found in [1]. The one point compactification  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  with the chordal metric  $\chi$  is a distinct one of them. We note that in this case, the continuous function  $\pi: S \to \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ , such that  $\pi(c) = c$ , for every  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\pi(x) = \infty$ , for every  $x \in S \setminus \mathbb{C}$ , is useful.

Another metrizable compactification is the one defined in [8] and constructed as follows: consider the map

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \phi:\mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & D = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,:\, |\lambda < 1\} \\ z & \longmapsto & \frac{z}{1 + |z|} \end{array},$$

which is a homeomorphism. A compactification of the image D of  $\phi$  is  $\overline{D}$ , the closure of D, with the usual metric. This leads to the following compactifica-

tion of  $\mathbb{C}$ 

(2.1) 
$$S_1 := \mathbb{C} \cup \{ \infty e^{i\vartheta} : 0 \le \vartheta \le 2\pi \},$$

with metric d given by

$$d(z,w) = \left| \frac{z}{1+|z|} - \frac{w}{1+|w|} \right| \quad \text{if } z, w \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$(2.2) \quad d(z, \infty e^{i\vartheta}) = \left| \frac{z}{1+|z|} - e^{i\vartheta} \right| \quad \text{if } z \in \mathbb{C}, \ \vartheta \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$d(\infty e^{i\vartheta}, \infty e^{i\varphi}) = \left| e^{i\vartheta} - e^{i\varphi} \right| \quad \text{if } \vartheta, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In what follows, with a compactification  $(S, \varrho)$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ , we shall always mean a metrizable compactification.

An important question for a given compactification of  $\mathbb{C}$  is, whether for  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x \in S \setminus \mathbb{C}$ , the addition c + x is well defined. In other words, having two convergent sequences  $\{z_n\}, \{w_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , such that  $z_n \to c$  and  $w_n \to x$  does the sequence  $\{z_n + w_n\}$  have a limit in S?

If the answer is positive for any such sequences  $\{z_n\}, \{w_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then the limit  $y \in S$  of the sequence  $\{z_n + w_n\}$  is uniquely determined and we write c + x = y = x + c. We are interested in compactifications  $(S, \varrho)$ , where c + x is well defined for any  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x \in S$  (it suffices to take  $x \in S \setminus \mathbb{C}$ ). In this case, the map  $\mathbb{C} \times S \to S$ ,  $(c, x) \mapsto c + x$ , is automatically continuous.

Indeed, let  $x \in S \setminus \mathbb{C}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $w = x + y \in S \setminus \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\{z_n\}$  in S and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , such that  $z_n \to x$  and  $y_n \to y$ . If all but finitely many  $z_n$  belong to  $\mathbb{C}$ , then by our assumption  $z_n + y_n \to x + y$ . Suppose that infinitely many  $z_n$  belong to  $S \setminus \mathbb{C}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that all  $z_n$  belong to  $S \setminus \mathbb{C}$  and by compactness we can assume that  $z_n + y_n \to l \neq w = x + y$ .

Let  $d = \varrho(l, w) > 0$ . Then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\varrho(z_n + y_n, l) < \frac{d}{2}$$
 for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

Fix  $n \geq n_0$ . Since,  $z_n + y_n$  is well defined, there exists  $z'_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that

$$\varrho(z_n, z'_n) < \frac{1}{n}$$
 and  $\varrho(z_n + y_n, z'_n + y_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ .

It follows that

$$\varrho(z'_n, x) \le \varrho(z'_n, z_n) + \varrho(z_n, x) < \frac{1}{n} + \varrho(z_n, x) \to 0.$$

Hence,  $z'_n \to x$ ,  $y_n \to y$  and  $z'_n, y_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . By our assumption, it follows that  $z'_n + y_n \to x + y = w$ . But

$$\varrho(z'_n + y_n, l) \le \varrho(z'_n + y_n, z_n + y_n) + \varrho(z_n + y_n, l)$$
  
$$\le \frac{1}{n} + \varrho(z_n + y_n, l) < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{d}{2} \to \frac{d}{2}.$$

Thus, for all n large enough we have

$$\varrho(z'_n + y_n, l) \le \frac{3d}{4} < d = \varrho(l, w).$$

It follows that  $\varrho(z_n'+y_n,w)\geq \frac{d}{4}$ , for all n large enough. Therefore, we cannot have  $z_n'+y_n\to w$ .

Consequently, one concludes that the addition map is continuous at every (x,y) with  $x \in S \setminus \mathbb{C}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{C}$ . Obviously, it is also continuous at every (x,y) with x and y in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus, addition is continuous on  $S \times \mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore, the following holds:

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be compact. Obviously, the map  $K \times S \to S$ ,  $(c, x) \mapsto c + x$ , is uniformly continuous.

Remark 1. The preceding certainly holds for the compactification  $(S_1, d)$  (see (2.1)), since

$$c + \infty e^{i\vartheta} = \infty e^{i\vartheta}$$
 for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

and we have continuity.

Remark 2. If we identify  $\mathbb{R}$  with the interval (-1,1), up to a homeomorphism, then  $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$  is identified with the square  $(-1,1) \times (-1,1)$ . An obvious compactification of  $\mathbb{C}$  is then the closed square with the usual metric. The points at infinity are those on the boundary of the square, for instance, those points on the side  $\{1\} \times [-1,1]$ . If  $x \in \{1\} \times (-1,1)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , then c+x is a point in the same side; if  $\operatorname{Im} c \neq 0$ , then  $c+x \neq x$ . If x = (1,1) and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , then x+c=x. If  $\operatorname{Im} c > 0$ , then c+x lies higher than x in the side  $\{1\} \times (-1,1)$ .

In this example, the addition is well defined and continuous, but the points at infinity are not stabilized as in Remark 1.

QUESTION. Is there a metrizable compactification of  $\mathbb{C}$  such that the addition c+x is not well defined for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x \in S \setminus \mathbb{C}$ ?

The answer is "yes". An example comes from the previous square in Remark 2, if we identify all the points of  $\{1\} \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  and make them just one point.

### 3. Runge and Mergelyan type theorems

In this section using a compactification of  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfying all properties discussed in the Preliminaries, we obtain the following theorem, that extends [5, Theorem 3.3].

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded domain, whose boundary consists of a finite set of pairwise disjoint Jordan curves. Let  $K = \overline{\Omega}$  and A a set containing one point from each component of  $(\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus K$ . Let  $(S, \varrho)$  be a compactification of  $\mathbb{C}$ , such that the addition  $+ : \mathbb{C} \times S \to S$  is well defined. Let  $f : K \to S$  be a continuous function, such that  $f(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{C}$  and  $f \upharpoonright_{\Omega}$  is holomorphic. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists a rational function R with poles only in A and such that  $\varrho(f(z), R(z)) < \varepsilon$ , for all  $z \in K$ .

Proof. If  $\Omega$  is a disk, the proof has been given in [1]. If  $\Omega$  is the interior of a Jordan curve, the proof is given again in [1], but also in [6]. In the general case, we imitate the proof of [5, Theorem 3.3]. Namely, we consider the Laurent decomposition of f, given by  $f = f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_N$  (see [4]). The function  $f_0$  is defined on a simply connected domain, bounded by a Jordan curve, and it can be uniformly approximated by a polynomial or a rational function  $R_0$  with pole in the unbounded component. Similarly,  $f_1$  is approximated by a rational function  $R_1$  with pole in A and so on. Thus, the function  $R_0 + R_1 + \cdots + R_N$  approximates, with respect to  $\varrho$ , the function  $f = f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_N$ . This is due to the fact that at every point z all the  $f_i$ 's,  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$ , except maybe one, take values in  $\mathbb C$  and the one, maybe has as a value, an infinity point in  $S \setminus \mathbb C$ . In this way, the addition map  $\mathbb C \times S \to S$ ,  $(c,x) \mapsto c + x$ , is well defined and uniformly continuous on compact sets and so we are done.  $\blacksquare$ 

Another Runge–type theorem is the following, where we do not need any assumption for the compactification S, or the addition map  $+: \mathbb{C} \times S \to S$ .

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be open,  $f:\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic and  $(S,\varrho)$  a compactification of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let A be a set containing one point from each component of  $(\mathbb{C} \cup \infty) \backslash \Omega$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $L \subset \Omega$  compact. Then, there

exists a rational function R with poles in A, such that  $\varrho(f(z), R(z)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $z \in L$ .

*Proof.* Clearly the subset f(L) of  $\mathbb{C}$  is compact. Then, from the classical theorem of Runge, there exist rational functions  $\{R_n\}$ , with poles only in A, converging uniformly to f on L, with respect to the Euclidean metric  $|\cdot|$ . Hence, there is a positive integer  $n_0$  and a compact K, such that

$$f(L) \subset K \subset \mathbb{C}$$
 and  $R_n(L) \subset K$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

But on K the metrics  $|\cdot|$  and  $\varrho$  are uniformly equivalent. Therefore,  $R_n \to f$  uniformly on L, with respect to  $\varrho$ . To conclude the proof, it suffices to put  $R = R_n$ , for n large enough.

Theorem 3.2 easily yields the following

COROLLARY 3.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 there exists a sequence  $\{R_n\}$  of rational functions with poles in A, such that  $R_n \to f$ ,  $\varrho$ -uniformly, on each compact subset of  $\Omega$ .

Remark. According to Corollary 3.3, some of the  $\varrho$ -uniform limits, on compacta, of rational functions with poles in A, are the holomorphic functions  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ . Those are limits of the finite type. The other limits of sequences  $\{R_n\}$  as above may be functions  $f: \Omega \to S \setminus \mathbb{C}$  of infinite type, continuous (but maybe not all of them, as the Example  $(S_1, d)$  shows; cf. [8]).

QUESTION. Is a characterization possible for such limits  $f: \Omega \to S_1 \backslash \mathbb{C}$ ?

An imitation of the arguments in [8, p. 1007] gives that f must be of the form  $f(z) = \infty e^{i\vartheta(z)}$ ,  $z \in \Omega$ , where  $\vartheta$  is a multivalued harmonic function. The following extends [5, Section 5].

Theorem 3.4. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be open and f a meromorphic function on  $\Omega$ . Let B denote the set of poles of f. Let  $(S,\varrho)$  be a compactification of  $\mathbb{C}$ , such that the addition  $+: \mathbb{C} \times S \to S$  is well defined. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $K \subset \Omega$  be a compact set. Then, there is a rational function g, such that  $\varrho(f(z), g(z)) < \varepsilon$ , for every  $z \in K \setminus B$ .

*Proof.* Since  $B \cap K$  is a finite set, the function f decomposes to f = h + w, where h is a rational function with poles in  $B \cap K$  and w is holomorphic on an open set containing K. By Runge's theorem there exists a rational function R

with poles off K, such that  $|w(z) - R(z)| < \varepsilon'$  on K. Since w(K) is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and the addition  $+ : \mathbb{C} \times S \to S$  is well defined, a suitable choice of  $\varepsilon'$  gives

$$\varrho([h(z) + w(z)], [h(z) + R(z)]) < \varepsilon$$
 on  $K \setminus B$ .

We set g = h + R and the result follows.

## 4. Arakelian sets

A closed set  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$  is said a set of approximation if every function  $f: F \to \mathbb{C}$  continuous on F and holomorphic in  $F^{\circ}$  can be approximated by entire functions, uniformly on the whole F. This is equivalent to the fact that F is an Arakelian set (see [2]), that is  $(\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus F$  is connected and locally connected (at  $\infty$ ).

We can now ask about an extension of the Arakelian theorem in the context of metrizable compactifications. A result in this direction is the following

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a closed Arakelian set with empty interior, i.e.,  $F^{\circ} = \emptyset$ . We consider the compactification  $(S_1, d)$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  (see (2.1) and (2.2)) and let  $f: F \to S_1$  be a continuous function. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there is an entire function g such that  $d(f(z), g(z)) < \varepsilon$ , for every  $z \in F$ .

*Proof.* According to (1.1), the compactification  $S_1$  is homeomorphic to  $\overline{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \le 1\}$ . For each 0 < R < 1 let us define

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \phi_R: \overline{D} & \longrightarrow & \{z \in \mathbb{C} \, : \, |z| \leq R\} \subset \overline{D} \\ \\ z & \longmapsto & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} z \, , & \text{if} & |z| \leq R \, , \\ \frac{Rz}{|z|} \, , & \text{if} & R \leq |z| \leq 1 \, . \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

In other words, the whole line segment  $[Re^{i\vartheta}, e^{i\vartheta}]$  is mapped at the end point  $Re^{i\vartheta}$ . The function  $\phi_R$  is continuous and induces a continuous function  $\widetilde{\phi}_R: S_1 \to S_1$ . It suffices to take  $\widetilde{\phi}_R := T^{-1} \circ \phi_R \circ T$ , where  $T: S_1 \to \{w \in \mathbb{C}: |w| \leq 1\}$  is defined as follows

$$T(z) := rac{z}{1+|z|} \qquad ext{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \subset S_1 \,,$$
  $T(\infty e^{i\vartheta}) := e^{i\vartheta} \qquad ext{for } \vartheta \in \mathbb{R} \,.$ 

If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given, then there exists  $R_{\varepsilon} < 1$ , such that for  $R_{\varepsilon} \leq R < 1$  and  $z \in S_1$ , we have  $d(z, \widetilde{\phi}_R(z)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Let now f be as in the statement of the Proposition 4.1. Then,

$$d(f(z), (\widetilde{\phi}_R \circ f)(z)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$
 for all  $z \in F$ .

Moreover, the function  $\widetilde{\phi}_R \circ f : F \to \mathbb{C}$  is continuous. Since F is a closed Arakelian set, with empty interior, and  $(\widetilde{\phi}_R \circ f)(F) \subset K$ , is included in a compact subset K of  $\mathbb{C}$ , there exists g entire, such that

$$\left| (\widetilde{\phi}_R \circ f)(z) - g(z) \right| < \varepsilon' \quad \text{for all } z \in F.$$

Since  $(\widetilde{\phi}_R \circ f)(F)$  is contained in a compact subset K of  $\mathbb{C}$ , for a suitable choice of  $\varepsilon'$ , it follows that

$$d\Big(\big(\widetilde{\phi}_R\circ f\big)(z),g(z)\Big)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\qquad \text{ for all } z\in F\,.$$

The triangle inequality completes the proof.

An analogue of Proposition 4.1 for the one point compactification  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  has been established in [5].

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. Androulidakis, V. Nestoridis, Extension of the disc algebra and of Mergelyan's theorem, C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **349** (13–14) (2011), 745–748.
- [2] N.U. Arakelian, Uniform approximation on closed sets by entire functions, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **28** (1964), 1187–1206 (Russian).
- [3] L. Brown, P.M. Gauthier, W. Hengartner, Continuous boundary behaviour for functions defined in the open unit disc, *Nagoya Math. J.* **57** (1975), 49–58.
- [4] G. COSTAKIS, V. NESTORIDIS, I. PAPADOPERAKIS, Universal Laurent series, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 48 (3) (2005), 571–583.
- [5] M. Fragoulopoulou, V. Nestoridis, I. Papadoperakis, Some results on spherical approximation, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 45 (6) (2013), 1171–1180.
- [6] V. Nestoridis, Compactifications of the plane and extensions of the disc algebra, in "Complex Analysis and Potential Theory", CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, 61–75.
- [7] V. NESTORIDIS, An extension of the disc algebra, I, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 44 (4) (2012), 775-788.

- [8] V. Nestoridis, N. Papadatos, An extension of the disc algebra, II, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 59 (7) (2014), 1003–1015.
- [9] V. Nestoridis, I. Papadoperakis, A remark on two extensions of the disc algebra and Mergelian's theorem, *preprint* 2011, arxiv: 1104.0833.
- [10] W. Rudin, "Real and Complex Analysis", McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1966.