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#### Abstract

Lycosa (Araneae, Lycosidae) is a wolf spider genus typical of subtropical latitudes in the western Palearctic. Despite being erected over 200 years ago, the taxonomy of Lycosa is still unclear. Many species formerly ascribed to it are currently being moved to other genera, while new species are still being described. The species of Lycosa of the western Mediterranean basin are relatively well known, yet the Levantine region, the easternmost part of the Mediterranean basin, has not received much attention since the early $20^{\text {th }}$ century. Here, we study Lycosa from the southern Levant using morphological, molecular and behavioral characteristics, to delimit the species found in this region. We describe two new species: $L$. hyraculus sp. nov. and $L$. gesserit sp. nov. We re-describe the widespread and polymorphic species, Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876. Lycosa piochardi infraclara Strand, 1913 is synonymized with Lycosa piochardi. By adding novel data to the molecular phylogeny of Lycosa created by Planas et al. (2013) and re-analyzing it, we explore the relationship of the Levantine species to other Mediterranean species of Lycosa. We discuss habitat preferences of the two species of Lycosa. Additionally, we report the burrowing species Geolycosa vultuosa (C.L. Koch, 1838) as a new record to Israel, thus extending the distribution of this species and genus into the Levant.


Keywords. Integrative taxonomy, key, Levant, molecular phylogeny, wolf-spiders.

Armiach Steinpress I., Cohen M., Pétillon J., Chipman A.D. \& Gavish-Regev E. 2022. Lycosa Latreille, 1804 (Araneae, Lycosidae) of Israel, with a note on Geolycosa Montgomery, 1904. European Journal of Taxonomy 832: 1-54. https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2022.832.1877

## Introduction

Lycosidae Sundevall, 1833 is a family of medium-sized to large entelegyne spiders, easily recognized by a combination of characters: three-row eye-arrangement, lack of an RTA (retrolateral tibial apophysis, in males), carrying the egg sac attached to the spinnerets and carrying hatchlings on the body (in females) (Dippenaar-Schoeman \& Jocqué 1997). Lycosids inhabit most terrestrial habitats, especially open habitats and habitat patches, from the Arctic Circle to the tropics and from deserts to rainforests (Silva 1996; Dippenaar-Schoeman \& Jocqué 1997; Bowden \& Buddle 2012). With some exceptions, lycosids are ground-dwelling, active hunters, either vagrant or burrowing (Dippenaar-Schoeman \& Jocqué 1997).

Lycosidae is the sixth most species-rich family of spiders, with over 2400 described species in 126 genera (World Spider Catalog 2022). Although the family is well defined by morphological synapomorphies, there are still many lycosid genera with unclear morphological species boundaries; therefore, an integration of morphology and molecular data is needed for species delimitation. Recent molecular work recognized nine subfamilies in Lycosidae (Piacentini \& Ramírez 2019). Of these, Lycosinae Simon, 1898 is the most species rich, with $60-70$ genera and over 1500 species (Piacentini \& Ramírez 2019; World Spider Catalog 2022). It includes some of the largest lycosids, and all the large lycosids found in the Levant, belonging to Geolycosa Montgomery, 1904, Hogna Simon, 1885 and Lycosa Latreille, 1804. We have noticed that, perhaps due to their physical similarity, and because of significant changes in genus delimitations, Lycosa, Geolycosa and Hogna often tend to be confused. For this reason, we discuss Geolycosa and Hogna briefly in this paper.

Geolycosa Montgomery, 1904, includes 71 accepted species distributed across all continents (except Antarctica) and some islands, such as Timor and Bioko (World Spider Catalog 2022). These are large lycosids, characterized by adaptations to burrowing (Mcheidze 1997). Their genitalia are largely plesiomorphic, resembling the genitalia of Hogna Simon, 1885 and Trochosa C.L. Koch, 1847. The genus is in need of revision and as it is a large, cosmopolitan taxon, it might be found to be polyphyletic (Breitling 2019). Geolycosa iaffa (Strand, 1913) and Geolycosa urbana (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1876) were previously reported from Israel (Zonstein \& Marusik 2013), yet they have been transferred to Hogna effera (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1872) and Trochosa urbana O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1876, respectively (Zonstein et al. 2015).

Hogna Simon 1885, the genus with the smallest body size of the region's large lycosids (with body length of $12-16 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), is represented in the southern Levant by at least three species: Hogna effera (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1872) (Eastern Mediterranean basin, Middle East); Hogna (cf.) graeca (Israel and Palestine (if conspecific with Hogna graeca (Roewer, 1951), also Greece, Turkey)); and Hogna sinaia (Roewer, 1959) (Sinai peninsula) (Armiach et al. 2016; Logunov 2020; World Spider Catalog 2022). It will not be discussed in this paper, as its taxonomy was already partly resolved by Logunov (2020) and in part would need, to our assessment, a separate study to be addressed adequately. As we could not procure any type material of Hogna graeca, the local specimens are referred to as Hogna (cf.) graeca in this paper.

Lycosa Latreille, 1804 is the type genus of Lycosidae, as well as of Lycosinae. It has a long taxonomic history, with the type species, Lycosa tarantula (Linnaeus, 1758) erected as Aranea tarantula in Systema Naturae, in which, following Baglivi (1695), it is falsely claimed to be a medically significant species, causing tarantism (a medical condition, sometimes ascribed to Latrodectus tredecimguttatus Rossi,
1790) that can be cured by music (Linnaeus 1758). Lycosa comprises 224 species, second in number within Lycosidae, only to Pardosa C.L. Koch, 1847 (World Spider Catalog 2022). This high number of species is at least partially the result of the genus being used as a wastebasket taxon for two centuries (Framenau \& Vink 2001). Species in the genus Lycosa are characterized by relatively short, strong legs with black markings on the ventral side, an elevated cephalothorax and relatively large posterior eyes. Contrary to many other genera in Lycosinae, the genitalia of Lycosa s. str. are highly derived and are useful for circumscribing the genus (Piacentini \& Ramírez 2019), but not always for assessing species boundaries. Many species live in burrows, usually with a modification (turret or door) at the burrow entrance (Planas et al. 2013). The species of Lycosa s. lat. (as listed in World Spider Catalog 2022) are distributed across all continents (except Antarctica) as well as on many islands, such as Madagascar, New Guinea and Taiwan. Due to the sheer size and distribution of the genus, it may take many years until there is a full revision of Lycosa. Such a task is not in our power. However, we can work with some confidence on 'Lycosa sensu stricto': the species most closely related and geographically adjacent to the type species, Lycosa tarantula (Linnaeus, 1758). The distribution of Lycosa s. str. lies around the Mediterranean Sea, Eastern Europe, the Middle East and central Asia, with Lycosa pia (Bösenberg \& Strand, 1906) as an outlier, endemic to Japan (World Spider Catalog 2022).

Aphylogeny of 12 western Mediterranean species (Planas et al. 2013) found four main lineages (tarantula group, oculata group, baulnyi group and fasciiventris group) of north-west African origin. Each lineage was found to have a different burrow-constructing behavior: the tarantula and baulnyi groups construct burrows with turrets, the oculata group constructs burrows with doors, and the fasciiventris group does not construct burrows. Many regions in the study of Planas et al. (2013) were inhabited by more than one species, with species in a given locality belonging to different lineages. All the species mentioned in Planas et al. (2013) are found in Africa, or both Africa and Europe, except Lycosa tarantula (Linnaeus, 1758) that is found only in Europe. All Lycosa found in western Europe are assumed by the authors to have originated in Africa, with some speciation occurring post dispersal (Planas et al. 2013).

The Levant is the name denoting the countries in the easternmost part of the Mediterranean Sea (Israel, Jordan, Lebanon, Palestine, Syria, parts of Turkey and sometimes adjacent areas, such as the Sinai Peninsula and the island of Cyprus). It is geographically and climatically diverse, and lies wholly in the Palearctic biogeographical realm. As with the rest of the Mediterranean region, endemism is relatively high (Yom-Tov \& Tchernov 1988). Several species that were originally described as Lycosa have been historically recorded from the Levant: Allocosa cambridgei (Simon, 1876) (Syria, Turkey), Allocosa deserticola (Simon, 1898) (Egypt), Allocosa olivieri (Simon, 1876) (Israel, Syria), Lycosa articulata Costa, 1875 (Israel), Lycosa cingara (C.L. Koch, 1847) (Egypt), Lycosa cretacea Simon, 1898 (Egypt), Lycosa nilotica Audouin, 1826 (Egypt), Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 (Israel), Lycosa praegrandis C.L. Koch, 1836 (Turkey), and Lycosa tarantula (Linnaeus, 1758) (Egypt, Turkey). Not much information exists about most of these species. In cases such as Lycosa nilotica Audouin, 1826 and Allocosa deserticola (Simon, 1898) (illustrated in Roewer 1959) the illustrations accompanying the descriptions present a spider that, in all likelihood, would not be considered as belonging to Lycosa by its modern definition, as outlined by Zyuzin \& Logunov (2000). The description of Lycosa articulata Costa, 1875, is very incomplete, but the mention of a "biramous median band" (Costa 1875) in the original description would suggest a species of Hogna Simon, 1885 rather than a species of Lycosa.

In this study we aimed at uncovering the diversity of large lycosids found in the southern Levant (mainly Israel and Palestine) using different sets of characters for species delimitation (morphology, molecular data and behavior (burrow-construction)). The diversity of the somatic and genital morphology of Lycosa together with their distribution along a climatic gradient from the mesic habitats in the north of Israel to the arid habitats of the Negev (Israel) and Sinai (Egypt), led us to hypothesize that there are several (four to six) species of Lycosa. The burrow-construction behavior of individuals collected

Table 1. Species of Lycosa Latreille, 1804 delimitation hypotheses.

| Character set | Number of species | Character | Distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Somatic morphology | four to six | Ventral abdomen coloration and patch size (Fig. 15) | One species throughout the region, one to three in northern Israel, one throughout southern Israel, and one in the Negev high mountains and the Arava valley. |
| Genital morphology | three (according to each character separately) to five (if combined) | male: <br> Tegular apophysis tip bent proximally or not bent proximally. Crest of tegular apophysis serrated or smooth (Figs 13, 19-20) <br> female: <br> Septal pedicel present or absent. Septum triangular or trapezoidal (Fig. 9). Spermatheca spiral-shaped or bent dorso-ventrally. Head of spermatheca narrow or bulbous (Fig. 10). | Two species along Israel from the Hermon to the Negev, one in the loess plains of the Negev, one in the Negev high mountains, and one in the Arava valley. |
| Burrow building behavior | two | Door- or turret-building (Fig. 22) | One species throughout the southern Levant, in all habitat types. Another species in the desert habitats of the south of Israel. |

by us led us to hypothesize that there are only two species of Lycosa in the southern Levant: a doorbuilding and a turret-building Lycosa (Table 1). Integrating these data could indicate the existence of several sympatric, or vicariant species, or, conversely, a few wide-ranging species with variability in their genital and somatic morphology - or a combination of the two. We tested these hypotheses using a third set of characters - molecular sequence data, for those populations for which we had freshly collected material. Regarding sympatry, we aimed to investigate possible mechanisms of coexistence, such as niche partitioning.

In addition, we aimed at testing the phylogenetic relationships of these putative species, especially in relation to the western Mediterranean species. There are several possible scenarios for the origin of the southern Levantine Lycosa in relation to the previously studied western Mediterranean species. The species of Lycosa of the southern Levant, studied here, could have originated within one or more of the western north African Lycosa lineages reported in Planas et al. (2013) and migrated eastward. In this case, the migration of Lycosa to the Levant could have taken a southern route, directly from Africa, or a northern route, originating in the groups that had colonized Europe prior to the dispersal eastward. If the split is older, and the southern Levantine species are nested deep in the western Mediterranean group before its radiation into the four current lineages, dispersal would be expected to take place directly from north Africa, where the taxon is supposed to have originally diversified. An additional scenario is that the southern Levantine species of Lycosa do not belong to the western Mediterranean clade at all and would be recovered in the phylogeny as its sister taxa. Under this scenario, no information could be gleaned on the origin of these species, as genetic material of most of the non-Mediterranean species has not been sequenced yet. More elaborate dispersal scenarios can be suggested beyond these routes, but the phylogenetic position of the Levantine clades should provide a strong hint as to the most parsimonious possibility.

In order to differentiate among these scenarios, and test our species hypotheses, we used fresh material from Israel and Palestine as well as historical material collected from Israel, Jordan, Lebanon, Palestine and the Sinai Peninsula, and examined the identities, distributions and relatedness of species of Lycosa and Geolycosa of the southern Levant. A short ecological survey was performed, to assess the habitat preferences and niche partitioning of two of the species found to be distributed sympatrically in the Negev desert.

## Material and methods

This synopsis is based on material deposited at the Israel Arachnid National Collection, the National Natural History Collections (NNHC), the Hebrew University of Jerusalem (HUJ), Senckenberg Naturmuseum (SMF), National Museum of Natural History, Paris (MNHN) and Natural History Museum in London (NHM). Four hundred and forty-one specimens from ~160 localities were examined (Fig. 1), 348 of which were freshly collected by the authors, or by collaborators. The samples were preserved in $70 \%$ ethanol in room temperature (for morphology only), or absolute ethanol in $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (for DNA extraction) and identified using relevant literature (Koch 1836; Simon 1876; Strand 1915), and comparison with paratype specimens. Specimen lists created according to Magalhaes (2019).

## Repositories

| HUJ INV-AR | $=$ The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel |
| :--- | :--- |
| MNHN | $=$ Muséum national d'histoire naturelle, Paris, France |
| NHM | $=$ Natural History Museum, London, UK |
| SMF | $=$ Senckenberg Naturmuseum, Frankfurt, Germany |

## Distribution and morphology

The distribution map was generated with Google Maps and edited in GIMP ver. 2.10.24. Transliterated names of the localities in Israel and Palestine follow the 'Israel Touring Map' (1:250000) and 'List of Settlements', published by the Israel Survey, Ministry of Labor. Coordinates are given in decimal degrees (DD). Coordinates estimated from Google maps by the locality name are in '()', coordinates taken in situ with a GPS are in '[]'.

Measurements are in mm. Epigynes were detached and temporarily cleared with clove oil. Specimens were examined and measured using a Nikon SMZ25 stereo microscope. Digital microscope images were taken using NIS-elements imaging system with Nikon DS Fi2 digital camera mounted on a Nikon SMZ25 stereo microscope. Habitus images were taken with Nikon B500. Images were edited using GIMP ver. 2.10.24.

## Anatomical abbreviations used in text and figures

$\mathrm{A}=$ atrium
AER $=$ anterior eye row
ALE $=$ anterior lateral eyes
AME $=$ anterior median eyes
C $=$ conductor
CT $=$ conductor tip
CTA $=$ crest of tegular apophysis
$\mathrm{CY}=$ cymbium
$\mathrm{E}=$ embolus
ET $=$ embolus tip
FD $=$ fertilization duct
$\mathrm{Fe}=$ femur


Fig. 1. Collecting localities for this study (small map points to a locality in southern Sinai). . Geolycosa vultuosa C.L. Koch, 1838. x: Lycosa gesserit sp. nov. o: Lycosa sp . 太. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov. ©: Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876. Isohyets represent mean annual precipitation in mm. Arrows point to localities represented in molecular phylogeny.

| HS | $=$ head of spermatheca |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mt | $=$ metatarsus |
| Pa | $=$ patella |
| PME | $=$ posterior median eyes |
| PP | $=$ posterior probability |
| S | $=$ septum |
| SA | $=$ spermatheca |
| SD | $=$ sperm duct |
| SE | $=$ synembolus |
| SEL | $=$ synemolus lobe |
| SET | $=$ synembolus tip |
| ST | $=$ subtegulum |
| T | $=$ tegulum |
| TA | $=$ tegular apophysis |
| TAT | $=$ tegular apophysis tip |
| Ti | $=$ tibia |
| Tr | $=$ tarsus |

## Molecular analysis

DNA was extracted from the legs of 16 specimens (Table 2) of three species of Lycosa. The method chosen was Minicolumn Purification, using the BioVision Insect Genomic DNA Kit (Catalog \#: K1412), following the protocol provided with the product (except that the samples were incubated in proteinase K overnight, rather than 30 minutes). Two genetic markers were amplified by PCR using general primers (Table 3) and sequenced bidirectionally with Sanger sequencing. We retrieved a $\sim 650 \mathrm{bp}$ long fragment of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) and a $\sim 650 \mathrm{bp}$ long fragment of nuclear 28S ribosomal RNA (28S).

All sequences are deposited in GenBank (Table 2). To these, we added the corresponding COI and 28S fragments from 18 species retrieved from GenBank (Table 2), mostly from the material used by Planas et al. (2013). These two markers were selected for their proven utility in lycosid phylogeny (Piacentini \& Ramírez 2019). The sequences were trimmed and aligned using MEGA 10 (Kumar et al. 2018). We performed a Bayesian analysis, using BEAUti ver. 1.10.4 and BEAST ver. 1.10.4 (Suchard et al. 2018) and constructed a phylogeny using TreeAnnotator ver. 1.10.4 (Drummond \& Rambaut 2007). Tree prior used in BEAUti was 'Speciation: Yule Process' (Yule 1925; Gernhard 2008). The rest of the parameters were left at default: substitution model: HKY, base frequencies: estimated, site heterogeneity model: none, MCMC length of chain: 10000000 , thread pool size: automatic, prefer use of: CPU, prefer prescision: double, rescaling scheme: default. Visualization was done with FigTree ver. 1.4.4 (Rambaut 2018) and GIMP ver. 2.10.24.

## Ecological survey

A short-term and small-spatial scale ecological survey was performed during the nights of 13-14 September 2020, at two localities in the Negev desert: Midreshet Ben-Gurion and Yeruham Park. Both localities, set about 18 km apart, are home to the two native species of Lycosa and are of similar environmental conditions (Midreshet Ben-Gurion: average altitude 480 m a.s.l. (above sea level), average precipitation 93 mm ; Yeruham park: average altitude 460 m a.s.l., average precipitation 100 mm ; both with loess soil and limestone bedrock). A total of 47 spiders were recorded (detailed in the supplementary material). A $1 \times 1$ meter square of ground in the vicinity of each specimen was photographed for further examination. In each locality the habitat was recorded (plain, hill), the incline of the surface $\left(>10^{\circ},<10^{\circ}\right)$, the direction of the incline (north, east, south, west), relative vegetation cover (negligible, sparse, abundant), percentage of stones on surface, and the distance to the nearest shrub were measured. Percentage of

Table 2 (continued on next page). Sequences used in molecular phylogeny.

| Species | Specimen ID | GenBank accession | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arctosa alluaudi Guy, 1966 | CRBA-LC1440 | KC550815 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Hogna radiata (Latreille, 1817) | CRBA-LC1315 | KC550816 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa aff. oculata 1 | CRBA-LC1403 | KC550679 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa aff. oculata 1 | CRBA-LC1413 | KC550680 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa aff. oculata 1 | CRBA-LC1414 | KC550681 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa aff. oculata 1 | CRBA-LC1415 | KC550682 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa aff. oculata 2 | CRBA-LC1603 | KC550684 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa aff. oculata 2 | CRBA-LC1598 | KC550683 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa aff. suboculata | CRBA-LC1154 | KC550695 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa aff. suboculata | CRBA-LC1167 | KC550692 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa aff. suboculata | CRBA-LC1169 | KC550693 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa aff. suboculata | CRBA-LC1171 | KC550694 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa aff. suboculata | CRBA-LC1362 | KC550687 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa baulnyi Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1152 | KC550802 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa baulnyi Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1095 | KC550782 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa baulnyi Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1097 | KC550796 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa baulnyi Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1104 | KC550783 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa baulnyi Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1158 | KC550807 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa bedeli Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1002 | KC550713 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa bedeli Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1022 | KC550714 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa bedeli Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1068 | KC550715 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa bedeli Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1398 | KC550716 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa bedeli Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1402 | KC550719 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa fasciiventris Dufour, 1835 | CRBA-AL1187 | KC550720 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa fasciiventris Dufour, 1835 | CRBA-AL1189 | KC550728 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa fasciiventris Dufour, 1835 | CRBA-AL1201 | KC550736 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa fasciiventris Dufour, 1835 | CRBA-AL1209 | KC550734 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa fasciiventris Dufour, 1835 | CRBA-AL9907 | KC550721 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa gesserit sp. nov. | HUJ INV-AR20631 | OK044018 | This study |
| Lycosa hispanica (Walckenaer, 1837) | CRBA-LC1115 | KC550661 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa hispanica (Walckenaer, 1837) | CRBA-AL1182 | KC550639 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa hispanica (Walckenaer, 1837) | CRBA-AL1184 | KC550640 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa hispanica (Walckenaer, 1837) | CRBA-AL9921 | KC550641 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa hispanica (Walckenaer, 1837) | CRBA-CO0086 | KC550646 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov. | HUJ INV-AR20553 | OK044021 | This study |
| Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov. | HUJ INV-AR20835 | OK044020 | This study |
| Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov. | HUJ INV-ARINVAr 20318 | OK044019 | This study |
| Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov. | HUJ INV-AR20757 | OK044022 | This study |
| Lycosa munieri Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LCMENO | KC550768 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa munieri Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1001 | KC550749 | Planas et al. 2013 |

Table 2 (continued). Sequences used in molecular phylogeny.

| Species | Specimen ID | GenBank accession | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lycosa munieri Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1003 | KC550750 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa munieri Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1041 | KC550753 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa munieri Simon, 1876 | CRBA-LC1056 | KC550751 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa oculata (Simon, 1876) | CRBA-LC1000 | KC550670 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa oculata (Simon, 1876) | CRBA-LC1012 | KC550671 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa oculata (Simon, 1876) | CRBA-LC1013 | KC550674 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa oculata (Simon, 1876) | CRBA-LC1018 | KC550672 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa oculata (Simon, 1876) | CRBA-LC1019 | KC550673 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 | HUJ INV-AR20559 | OK044011 | This study |
| Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 | HUJ INV-AR20583 | OK044014 | This study |
| Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 | HUJ INV-AR20873 | OK044007 | This study |
| Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 | HUJ INV-AR20963 | OK044012 | This study |
| Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 | HUJ INV-AR20763 | OK044017 | This study |
| Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 | HUJ INV-AR20709 | OK044009 | This study |
| Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 | HUJ INV-AR20606 | OK044008 | This study |
| Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 | HUJ INV-AR20912 | OK044015 | This study |
| Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 | HUJ INV-AR20548 | OK044016 | This study |
| Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 | HUJ INV-AR20607 | OK044013 | This study |
| Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 | HUJ INV-AR20893 | OK044010 | This study |
| Lycosa praegrandis C.L. Koch, 1836 | P6A 7055 | MH763772 | Just et al. 2019 |
| Lycosa suboculata Guy, 1966 | CRBA-LC1383 | KC550702 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa suboculata Guy, 1966 | CRBA-LC1224 | KC550696 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa suboculata Guy, 1966 | CRBA-LC1358 | KC550697 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa suboculata Guy, 1966 | CRBA-LC1360 | KC550699 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa suboculata Guy, 1966 | CRBA-LC1427 | KC550703 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa tarantula (Linnaeus, 1758) | CRBA-LCFRA6 | KC550663 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa tarantula (Linnaeus, 1758) | CRBA-LC1088 | KC550669 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa tarantula (Linnaeus, 1758) | CRBA-LC1090 | KC550667 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa tarantula (Linnaeus, 1758) | CRBA-LC1089 | KC550666 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa tarantula (Linnaeus, 1758) | CRBA-LC1091 | KC550668 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa vachoni Guy, 1966 | CRBA-LC1008 | KC550775 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa vachoni Guy, 1966 | CRBA-LC1007 | KC550773 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa vachoni Guy, 1966 | CRBA-LC1017 | KC550779 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa vachoni Guy, 1966 | CRBA-LC1065 | KC550778 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lycosa vachoni Guy, 1966 | CRBA-LC1609 | KC550774 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Pirata piraticus (Clerck, 1757) | BIOUG06991-A10 | KM839375 | Hebert et al. 2016 |
| Pisaura mirabilis (Clerck, 1757) | CRBA-LC1468 | KC550814 | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Xerolycosa miniata (C.L. Koch, 1834) | ZFMK-DNA-100425649 | KY270253 | Astrin et al. 2016* |

[^0]Table 3. Primers used in molecular phylogeny.

| name | sequence | gene | direction | source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LCOI | GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG | COI | F | Folmer et al. 1994 |
| HCOI | CCCGGTAAAATTAAAATATAAACTTC | COI | R | Folmer et al. 1994 |
| MT6 | CCCGGTAAAATTAAAATATAAACTTC | COI | F | Simon et al. 1994 |
| NANCY | CCCGGTAAAATTAAAATATAAACTTC | COI | R | Simon et al. 1994 |
| JERRY | CAACATTTATTTTGATTTTTTGG | COI | F | Simon et al. 1994 |
| MAGGIE | GGATAATCAGAATATCGTCGAGG | COI | R | Hedin \& Maddison 2001 |
| Lyc28SFa | GGAAGTAAGAGTAGGGCTTCCC | 28 S | F | Planas et al. 2013 |
| Lyc28SRa | ATGGTTCGATTAGTCTTTCGCCCC | 28 S | R | Planas et al. 2013 |

stones was calculated using the Trainable Weka Segmentation plugin (Arganda-Carreras et al. 2017) in Fiji (Schindelin et al. 2012). A comparison between the parameters in sites with the different species of Lycosa was done with the aid of JMP package (JMP ${ }^{\circledR}$. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, 1989-2021).

## Results

We reject our hypotheses of four to six (morphology) or two (burrow construction behavior) species of Lycosa in Israel and Palestine. By using integrative taxonomy, we conclude that three species can be identified using morphology, behavior and molecular data, from Israel and Palestine. We therefore describe (see below) two new species of Lycosa from Israel and redescribe the widespread species Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876. We additionally document one female specimen that might represent an additional species of $L y \cos a$, yet we did not have males or material for molecular analysis to test this hypothesis. We here present a key to the species of large lycosids (Geolycosa, Hogna, Lycosa) in Israel and Palestine. We added to the key the northern Levant species, Lycosa praegrandis C.L. Koch, 1836, that might exist in the north of Israel.

## Key to large lycosids (Geolycosa, Hogna, Lycosa) of Israel and Palestine

1. First eye row as wide as second eye row (PME). Second eye row narrower than half of front of the carapace. Posterior eyes arranged in trapezoid

- First eye row narrower than second eye row (PME). Second eye row wider than half of front of carapace. Posterior eyes arranged in rectangle .3

2. Base of epigyne septum as wide as half of length of pedicel. Terminal apophysis with prolateral spur that is longer than half of width of bulb (incl. spur)

Geolycosa vultuosa (C.L. Koch, 1838) Figs 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, 6A, 7A, 8A

- Base of epigyne septum as wide as length of pedicel. Terminal apophysis with prolateral spur that is shorter than half of width of bulb (incl. spur)

Hogna effera (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1872) Fig. 8F
3. Epigyne septum hammer-shaped, pedicel long. Terminal apophysis with prolateral spur $\qquad$
Hogna (cf.) graeca (Roewer, 1951) Fig. 8E

- Epigyne septum trapezoid, pedicel greatly reduced to absent. Terminal apophysis without prolateral spur
.Lycosa Latreille, 1804 ... 4

4. Ocular area less than one third of length of carapace. Tegular apophysis tip curving posteriorly at $\sim 90^{\circ}$. Left spermatheca not twisting clockwise .5

- Ocular area longer than one third of length of carapace. Tegular apophysis tip directed retrolaterally and not curving posteriorly. Left spermatheca twisting clockwise .6

5. Crest of tegular apophysis $1 / 2$ width of tegular apophysis. Conductor tip acute. Base of spermathecae parallel or subparallel, not helical $\qquad$ .Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876
Figs 2D, 3D, 4D, 5D, 6D, G, 7D, G, 9C-L, 10C-L, 11E-F, 12C, 13-18

- Crest of tegular apophysis $>1 / 2$ width of tegular apophysis. Conductor tip blunt. Base of spermathecae helical, twisting counterclockwise ... Lycosa praegrandis C.L. Koch, 1836 Figs 2E, 9M, 10M, 12A
(Not yet known from the southern Levant. May be present in nearby areas)

6. Tegular apophysis tip and crest of tegular apophysis distinct from one another. Septal pedicel present. Atria of epigyne visible $\qquad$
Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov. Figs 2C, 3C, 4C, 5C, 6C, F, 7C, F, 8C-D, 9B, 10B, 11C-D, 12C, 19

- Tegular apophysis tip indistinct from the crest and continuing line of crest of tegular apophysis ..... Lycosa gesserit sp. nov. Figs 2B, 3B, 4B, 5B, 6B, 7B, 8B, 12B, 20
- Septal pedicel absent. Atria of epigyne not visible $\qquad$ Lycosa sp. Figs 6E, 7E, 9A, 10A, 12A-B


## Taxonomy

Class Arachnida Cuvier, 1812
Order Araneae Clerck, 1757
Family Lycosidae Sundevall, 1833
Genus Geolycosa Montgomery, 1904

## Type species

Geolycosa latifrons Montgomery, 1904.

## Diagnosis

Large lycosids; carapace elevated anteriorly; PME and ALE forming rectangle; septal pedicel on epigyne and spur on TA long (Zyuzin \& Logunov 2000).

## Description

Leg I strongest, nearly as long as IV, with thick scopulae on three terminal joints. Chelicerae large. Cephalic region of cephalothorax raised. $1^{\text {st }}$ eye row as wide as $2^{\text {nd }}$ eye row (PME). Otherwise, similar to Lycosa (Montgomery 1904). The type species with elongated epigynal septum and elongated palpal TA (Wallace 1942).

## Natural history

Burrowing lycosids, not venturing far from their burrow (except mature males) (Wallace 1942).

## Distribution

In its current composition Geolycosa is nearly cosmopolitan, recorded in all continents, except Antarctica, in tropical to temperate latitudes.

## Relationships

Geolycosa is a polyphyletic genus, as shown by Piecentini et al. (2019). The morphology is possibly a convergence across Lycosinae, due to adaptation to a digging lifestyle. The type species is from North America, and it might not be related to the Old-World species.

## Composition

72 species are recorded in the World Spider Catalog（2022）．
Geolycosa vultuosa（C．L．Koch，1838）
Figs $1,2 \mathrm{~A}, 3 \mathrm{~A}, 4 \mathrm{~A}, 5 \mathrm{~A}, 6 \mathrm{~A}, 7 \mathrm{~A}, 8 \mathrm{~A}, 21 \mathrm{~A}, 22 \mathrm{~A}$
Lycosa vultuosa C．L．Koch，1838：102，figs 407－408（Dठ우）．
Lycosa infernalis Motschulsky，1849：289，pl． 2 figs 1－2（D ${ }^{\text {T}}$ ；N．B．：considered a nomen dubium by Zyuzin \＆Logunov 2000：309）．
Trochosa infernalis Thorell，1875： 172 （Dq）．

## Arctosa vultuosa－Simon 1864： 346.

Trochosa infernalis－Lendl 1887：37，51，figs 1－15（ơq）．
Mimohogna vultuosa－Roewer 1955： 279.
Lycosa vultuosa－Fuhn \＆Niculescu－Burlacu 1971：202，figs 98a－b，99a－f（ơ）．— Miller 1971：154， pl．xxiii fig．24，pl．xxiv fig． 5 （ôq）．－Loksa 1972：51，figs 44c，46c－d（ôq）．－Mcheidze 1997： 226，figs 474－475（óq）．
Geolycosa vultuosa－Zyuzin \＆Logunov 2000：308，figs 5－6， 10 （ T §우 from Lycosa）．－Kovblyuk et al．2012：246，figs $8,11,14,18,21,27,30,36,39,43$（̊ （て）．


Fig．2．ふ〇 Pedipalps，ventral view．A．Geolycosa vultuosa C．L．Koch， 1838 （HUJ INV－AR20960）． B．Lycosa gesserit sp．nov．，holotype（HUJ INV－AR20631）．C．Lycosa hyraculus sp．nov．，holotype （HUJ INV－AR20818）．D．Lycosa piochardi Simon， 1876 （HUJ INV－AR20948）．E．Lycosa praegrandis C．L．Koch， 1836 （Veles，North Macedonia； 1 Jun．2002；courtesy of Marjan Komnenov）．Scale bars＝ 0.5 mm ．Photos by I．Armiach Steinpress．

## Diagnosis

Large lycosids (carapace $>5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). $1^{\text {st }}$ eye row as wide as $2^{\text {nd }}$ eye row (PME). Front of cephalothorax square from above. $1^{\text {st }}$ eye row $<0.5$ width of front of carapace. Epigyne septum hammer-shaped, pedicel twice as long as than width of septum base. Terminal apophysis (TA) with prolateral spur. TA (incl. spur) $>0.5$ width of bulb. The only species of Geolycosa in the Levantine region (Figs 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, 6A).

The only species in the Levant with a similar habitus is Hogna effera (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1872). It is generally smaller, with septal pedicel as long as width of septum base, TA smaller than 0.5 width of bulb.

## Material examined

 leg.; HUJ INV-AR20960, HUJ INV-AR20961.

## Natural history

This is a burrowing species, found mainly in open, herbaceous habitats (Mcheidze 1997). Hibernation takes place in the burrow (at least in the north of its range) (Mcheidze 1997), juveniles emerge in springtime. Maturation takes about a year (Mcheidze 1997). The species is preyed upon by pompiliids, scorpions and centipedes, and the eggs are subject to mite infestations (Mcheidze 1997). The specimens examined in this study were found active in daytime in an urban meadow: one on the surface, the other in a burrow with a turret made of soil (Figs 7A, 19A, 21A, 22, Table 4).

## Distribution

AZERBAIJAN, BULGARIA, GEORGIA, HUNGARY, IRAN, RUSSIA, TURKEY, UKRAINE (World Spider Catalog 2022), ISRAEL. According to Mcheidze (1997), also SYRIA.

## Records

ISRAEL: Galilee (Karmiel) (Fig. 1).

## Relationships

Geolycosa vultuosa is related to Geolycosa charitonovi (Mcheidze, 1997) and Geolycosa dunini Zyuzin \& Logunov, 2000 (Zyuzin \& Logunov 2000). The type species of Geolycosa (G. latifrons Montgomery, 1904) is of Nearctic distribution. It may not be congeneric with the Palearctic species ascribed to Geolycosa. As the revision work needed to find the correct phylogenetic placement of G. vultuosa is widely beyond the scope of this work, we refrained from moving $G$. vultuosa to a different genus.

Genus Lycosa Latreille, 1804

## Type species

Lycosa tarantula (Linnaeus, 1758).

## Diagnosis

Large lycosids; anterior eye row clearly shorter than PME; epigyne septum tongue-shaped or rhomboid, confined to posterior half of epigyne; septal pedicel reduced or absent; TA wide and flat, ending with a distal process (TAT) directed retrolaterally to posteriorly (Zyuzin \& Logunov 2000).

## Description

Large lycosids (body length over 12 mm ). Carapace sloping posterior to ocular area. Carapace margins with thick pubescence (Zyuzin 1990). Chelicerae with three retromarginal teeth. AER recurved. PME

Table 4. Documented activity of Lycosa Latreille, 1804 and Geolycosa Montgomery, 1904 in Israel (by month). Females with egg-sacs marked by 'o'. Grey squares without a sex sign denote juveniles only.

| Species $\backslash$ Month | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Geolycosa vultuosa (C.L. Koch, 1838) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

wider than AER. Abdomen venter usually with black markings. Sexual dimorphism weak. Posterior part of epigynal septum widened and clearly outlined. Septal pedicle fused with genital groove or wholly absent. This contrasts with other large Lycosinae (Hogna, Geolycosa), having a clearly outlined pedicle. Cymbium asymmetrical. Tegular apophysis wide and flat, with an elongated distal process, directed retrolaterally to posteriorly. Embolus thin, long, with narrow epiconductor.

## Natural history

Generalist predators, typical of open habitats. Most species are burrowing, but some seem to be vagrant (Planas et al. 2013). The burrow entrance is usually modified with a door or a turret (an elevated structure made of silk and vegetation, surrounding the entrance). Activity is mostly nocturnal. Reproductive season recorded in the summer and autumn.

## Distribution

Known from the Mediterranean Basin, eastern Europe, Middle East, central Asia and Japan (World Spider Catalog 2022).

## Relationships

Lycosa s. str. is related to Hogna Simon 1885 (Piacentini \& Ramírez 2019). According to Zyuzin \& Logunov (2000), Lycosa is closely allied with Alopecosa pictilis (Emerton, 1885), A. sibirica (Kulczyn'ski, 1908), A. solivaga (Kulczyn'ski, 1901) and A. hirtipes (Kulczyn'ski, 1908).

Lycosa gesserit sp. nov. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:A5A1F6AD-14B4-488F-BC74-A2B5E240A86B

Figs 1, 2B, 3B, 4B, 5B, 6B, 7B, 8B, 12B, 23-24

## Diagnosis

## Male

Recognized by pedipalp structure: TAT not bent posteriorly, directed retrolaterally. Contrasts with L. hyraculus sp. nov. by having TAT shorter than TAC (TAT as long as TAC in L. hyraculus sp. nov.). Contrasts with all other known males of Lycosa, with TAT bent posteriorly (Figs 2B, 3B, 4B, 5B, 6B, 7B, 8B).

## Female

Unknown.

## Etymology

Species named after the order of Bene Gesserit, from Frank Herbert's 1965 novel, Dune.

## Type material

## Holotype

ISRAEL - Negev • ${ }^{\text {º }}$; Har Karkom; [30.28 N, $34.74^{\circ}$ E]; 7 Sep. 2017; E. Gavish-Regev; col. sub.; collected at night; HUJ INV-AR20631.

## Description

## Male

HoLotype measurements. AME diameter: 0.2 ;ALE diameter: 0.18 ; PME diameter: 0.8 ; PLE diameter: 0.7 ; carapace length: 6.6; carapace width: 4.8 ; carapace maximal height: 2.1; abdomen length: $5.2 ; \operatorname{leg} \mathrm{I}$ (Fe, Pa, Ti, Mt, Tr): 5.5, 2.5, 4.9, 5.5, 2.8; leg II: 5.1, 2.3, 4.6, 5.3, 2.9; leg III: 4.9, 2.4, 4.1, 5.9, 2.3; leg IV: 6, 2.6, 5.5, 8.2, 3.4.

Carapace. Ocular area $>1 / 3$ length of carapace, distinctly raised, making the carapace between PLE and fovea appear slightly concave in profile.

Color. Carapace cream colored, with dark brown median bands, darker on margins, connecting to dark ocular area. Perimeter light brown. Sternum grey. Chelicerae reddish-brown. Abdomen yellow infused with light brown dorsad, cardiac mark brown, darker on margins. Abdomen venter with black patch surrounding epigastric fold, connecting to two parallel black bars stretching towards spinnerets ( $\Pi$ shaped patch). Spinnerets brown. Legs cream colored, infused with brown dorsad, yellow-grey ventrad, to reddish-brown distad, with two black bands on tibia. Coxae dark grey, trochanters brown. Pedipalps yellow, to dark brown distad (Figs 6B, 7B, 8B).


Fig. 3. Male pedipalps, prolateral view. A. Geolycosa vultuosa C.L. Koch, 1838 (HUJ INV-AR20960). B. Lycosa gesserit sp. nov., holotype (HUJ INV-AR20631). C. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., holotype (HUJ INV-AR20818). D. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 (HUJ INV-AR20948). Scale bars $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.

Genitalia. Genital opening sclerotized, similar to subadult epigyne. Cymbium reddish-brown. Tegular apophysis (TA) lying flat on bulb, oriented retrolaterally. TAT highly sclerotized, dark brown, not bent posteriorly (unlike most other species of Lycosa), but slightly bent ventrad. Crest of TA (CTA) with smooth edge, and abrupt, rounded end at base of TAT. TAT shorter than width of CTA. Tip of conductor membranous, triangular, with smooth edges. Synembolus with large, slightly sclerotized lobe (SEL), on retrolateral edge (Figs 2B, 3B, 4B, 5B, 12B).

Legs. Metatarsus I ventral spination: 2 pairs + apical triplet. Tibia I ventral spination: 2 pairs + apical pair. Tarsus with scopula.

## Natural history

This species is nocturnal. A single juvenile male was collected at night, in September, at 650 m a.s.l., in a stony plain near Har Karkom, a secluded tableland in the hyperarid desert (annual precipitation $<75 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) (Fig. 1, Table 4). The specimen was mature after two molts in captivity, in August 2018. Like the closely related $L$. hyraculus sp. nov., it makes a burrow with a trapdoor. Shares habitat with L. piochardi. Reproductive season unknown.

## Distribution

ISRAEL. Possibly endemic to the Har Karkom tableland or found throughout hyperarid zone.

## Records

ISRAEL: Negev (Har Karkom) (Fig. 1).

## Relationships

According to our molecular phylogeny, this species is the sister taxon of Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., and closely related to Lycosa oculata, Lycosa aff. oculata 1 and Lycosa aff. oculata 2 (Figs 21-24). Cephalothorax is similar to Lycosa macrophthalma Nadolny \& Zamani, 2020 and it might be related to it as well.


Fig. 4. Male pedipalps retrolateral view. A. Geolycosa vultuosa C.L. Koch, 1838 (HUJ INV-AR20960). B. Lycosa gesserit sp. nov., holotype (HUJ INV-AR20631). C. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., holotype (HUJ INV-AR20818). D. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 (HUJ INV-AR20948). Scale bars $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.

The single known male specimen was raised in the laboratory for two molts. This has probably affected the size of the adult spider. Even though we have observed an aberrant morphology in the genitalia of females grown in lab conditions, we have not observed the same in males, either of L. piochardi or of L. hyraculus sp. nov. We consider it highly probable that the diagnostic characters in the male's pedipalp were not affected by the laboratory conditions.

Although genitalia of other males of Lycosa grown in our laboratory did not show distortions (see discussion about distortions of female genitalia), we cannot dismiss the possibility that the pedipalps of specimens in the wild are somewhat different to the type specimen, which was raised in the laboratory.

## Notes

The locality where the type was found is a remote and not easily reachable nature reserve, encircled by army training areas, that are closed to the public most of the year. There are only few occasions every


Fig. 5. Male pedipalps, distal view. A. Geolycosa vultuosa C.L. Koch, 1838 (HUJ INV-AR20960). B. Lycosa gesserit sp. nov., holotype (HUJ INV-AR20631). C. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., holotype (HUJ INV-AR20818). D. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 (HUJ INV-AR20948). Scale bars $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.
year that access to the public is possible. As of the writing of this paper, we have not succeeded in collecting additional specimens.

Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:8BA7C78F-E972-41B9-B7AC-C65ECA9B67E2
Figs 1, 2C, 3C, 4C, 5C, 6C, F, 7B, F, 8B-D, 9B, 10B, 11C-D, 12C, 19, 21B, 22B, 23-24

## Diagnosis

Male
TER not bent posteriorly, directed retrolaterally. Contrasts with $L$. gesserit sp. nov. by having TAT as long as CTA (TAT as shorter than CTA in $L$. gesserit). Contrasts with all other known males of $L y \operatorname{cosa}$, with TER bent posteriorly (Figs 2C, 3C, 4C, 5C, 6C, F, 7C, 19).

## Female

Recognized by combination of characters: ocular area: $>1 / 3$ length of carapace, distinctly raised, making the carapace between PLE and fovea appear slightly concave in profile. Epigyne: septal pedicel reduced, septum trapezoidal, broader proximally, wider than long. Copulatory openings narrow, at anterior end of septum. Carapace resembles L. oculata, L. suboculata, and $L$. macrophthalma, but in these species the septum is longer than wide. Carapace also resembles Lycosa sp., but in this species the copulatory


Fig. 6. Habitus, dorsal view. A. Geolycosa vultuosa C.L. Koch, 1838, ô (HUJ INV-AR20961). B. Lycosa gesserit sp. nov., holotype, đ̋ (HUJ INV-AR20631). C. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., holotype, ő (HUJ INV-AR20818). D. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876, đ̋ (HUJ INV-AR20597). E. Lycosa sp., ㅇ (HUJ INV-AR20573). F. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., paratype, $q$ (HUJ INV-AR20817). G. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876, $\circ$ (SMFD11934). Scale bars $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.
openings are not visible in ventral view. Epigyne similar to L. piochardi and L. baulnyi, but in these species the ocular area is not distinctly raised, and is $<1 / 3$ length of carapace (Figs 6C, F, 7C, F, 9B, 10B, $11 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D})$.

## Etymology

Species name from Hyrax. This species often leaves the entrance to its burrow open. This behavior reminded the authors of an Israeli nursery rhyme, in which "the little hyrax forgot to close the door".

## Type material

## Holotype

ISRAEL - Negev • ${ }^{\text {º'; Sede Zin; }}$ [30.854 ${ }^{\circ}$ N, $34.773^{\circ}$ E]; 13 Aug. 2016; I. Steves leg.; HUJ INVAR20818.

## Paratype

ISRAEL-Negev• $\odot$; same collection data as for holotype; HUJ INV-AR20817.


Fig. 7. Habitus, ventral view. A. Geolycosa vultuosa C.L. Koch, 1838, ô (HUJ INV-AR20961). B. Lycosa gesserit sp. nov., holotype, ठ̄ (HUJ INV-AR20631). C. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., holotype, $\widehat{o}^{\top}$ (HUJ INV-AR20818). D. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876, đ̄ (HUJ INV-AR20597). E. Lycosa sp., \& (HUJ INV-AR20573). F. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., paratype, \& (HUJ INV-AR20817). G. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876, q (SMFD11934). Scale bars $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.

## Other material examined

EGYPT - Sinai • 1 juv.; Al-Qusaymah (Kadesh Barnea); [30.668 N, $34.366^{\circ}$ E]; 13 Nov. 1967; P. Amitai leg.; HUJ INV-AR20840•

ISRAEL-Negev•1 •; Ha-Ro'a Campsite; [ $30.876^{\circ}$ N, $34.784^{\circ}$ E]; 14 Jun. 2015; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20658 • $1 \delta^{\text {§ }}$; Haluqim ridge; [ $30.86^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.77^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ]; 24 Jul. 2018; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20663 • 1 juv.; Hawat Even Ari; [30.786 N, $34.77^{\circ}$ E]; 3 Jan. 2018; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20942•1 §; Mamshit ; 7 Nov. 2017; A. Uzan leg.; HUJ INV-AR20553 • 1 juv.; Midreshet BenGurion; [ $30.854^{\circ}$ N, $34.773^{\circ}$ E]; 22 Oct. 2018; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; HUJ INV-AR20737 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20738 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20739 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20740 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20741 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20742 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20743 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20744 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20745 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20746 - 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20747•1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20748 • 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20749 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20750 • 1 §; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20757 • $1 \widehat{J}^{\top}$; Mishor Yamin; [31.003 ${ }^{\circ}$ N, $35.1^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ]; 11 May 2014; S. Aharon leg.; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20855 • 1 §; Shivta camp; [ $30.9245^{\circ}$ N, $34.6244^{\circ}$ E]; 22 Oct. 2018; O. Erlichman leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20835 • 1 juv.; Tel Jaulan, Yeruham; [30.985 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.904^{\circ}$ E]; 10 Oct. 1962; P. Amitai leg.; HUJ INV-AR20836•1 ; Yeruham; [ $30.985^{\circ}$ N, $34.9^{\circ}$ E]; 1 Apr. 2017; A. Novikova leg.; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR 20523 • 1 juv.; same locality as for preceding; 29 Jul. 2018; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20616•1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20620 • $1 \AA$; same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20617•1 ${ }^{\top}$; same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20618•1 ${ }^{\top}$; same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20619•1 $q$; same locality as for preceding; 2 Jun. 2016; E. Zvik; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20665 - 1 juv.; same locality as for preceding; 19 Jul. 2019; Y. Zvik leg.; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20868 • 1 ơ; Yeruham Park; 2 Jun. 2016; Y. Zvik leg.; HUJ INV-AR20874 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20875.

## Description

## Male

Holotype measurements. AME diameter: 0.4; ALE diameter: 0.35; PME diameter: 1.25 ; PLE diameter: 0.99; carapace length: 9.71; carapace width: 7.28; carapace maximal height: 3.59; abdomen length: 6.9; $\operatorname{leg} \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Pa}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Mt}, \mathrm{Tr}): 7.9,3.54,6.45,6.48,3.67$; leg II: 7.31, 3.7, 5.58, 6.93, 3.76; leg III: (missing), 2.88, 5.03, 6.82, 3.57; leg IV: 8.99, 3.56, 7.14, 9.35, 4.07.

Variation in males collected as adults ( $\mathrm{n}=2$, all other male adults collected as juveniles). AME diameter: 0.28-0.44; PME diameter: 0.9-1.19; carapace length: $0.78-10.03$; carapace width: 0.59-7.75; carapace maximal height: 2.78-3.36; abdomen length: 5.9-6.5; leg I (Fe, $\mathrm{Pa}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Mt}, \mathrm{Tr}): 6.44-7.7,3.02-$ 3.68, 5.5-6.7, 5.84-7.3, 3-3.23; leg II: 6.19-8.2, 2.7-3.6, 5-6.36, 5.66-7.36, 3.1-3.8; leg III: 5.85-7.66, 2.4-3.36, 4.5-5.72, 6.2-7.58, 2.8-3.43; leg IV: 7.5-9.42, 2.8-3.59, 6.06-10, 8.03-10.33, 4.03-4.26.

CARAPACE. Ocular area $>1 / 3$ length of carapace, distinctly raised, making the carapace between PLE and fovea appear slightly concave in profile.

Color. Carapace cream colored, with light brown median bands, radiated and darker on margins, connecting to dark ocular area. Perimeter light brown. Sternum black. Chelicerae reddish-brown.

Abdomen yellow infused with light brown dorsad, cardiac mark brown, darker on margins. Abdomen venter black posterior to epigastric fold. Genital opening brown. Spinnerets black. Legs cream colored, infused with brown dorsad, yellowish ventrad, to reddish-brown distad, with two black bands on tibia. Coxae dark grey, trochanters brown. Pedipalps yellow, to dark brown distad (Figs 6C, 7C, 8C-D).


Fig. 8. Live specimens. A. Geolycosa vultuosa C.L. Koch, 1838. B. Lycosa gesserit sp. nov., holotype, $\sigma^{\top}$ (HUJ INV-AR20631) C. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., juveniles. D. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., ō (specimen not collected). E. Hogna (cf.) graeca (specimen not collected). F. Hogna effera (O. PickardCambridge, 1872). Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.

Color variation in males ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Abdominal black patch sometimes traspezoidal, narrow distad; sometimes short, not reaching spinnerets. Spinnerets sometimes grey, brown or yellow.

Genitalia. Genital opening sclerotized, similar to subadult female epigyne. Cymbium reddish-brown. Tegular apophysis (TA) lying flat on bulb, oriented retrolaterally. TAT highly sclerotized, dark brown, not bent posteriorly (unlike most other species of $L y \operatorname{cosa}$ ), slightly bent ventrad. CTA with smooth edge, and abrupt, rounded end at base of TAT. Tip of conductor membranous, triangular, with smooth edges. Synembolus with small, slightly sclerotized lobe (SEL), on retrolateral edge (Figs 2C, 3C, 4C, 5C, 19).

Legs. Metatarsus I ventral spination: 2 pairs + apical pair. Tibia I ventral spination: 2 pairs + apical pair. Tarsus with scopula.


Fig. 9. Epigynes, ventral view. A. Lycosa sp. (HUJ INV-AR20573). B. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., paratype (HUJ INV-AR20817). C-L. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876. C. HUJ INV-AR20607. D. HUJ INV-AR20912. E. HUJ INV-AR20671. F. SMFD2184. G. HUJ INV-AR20963. H. HUJ INV-AR20709. I. HUJ INV-AR20897. J. HUJ INV-AR20530. K. HUJ INV-AR20860. L. HUJ INV-AR20758. M. Lycosa praegrandis C.L. Koch, 1836 (Veles, North Macedonia, 1 Jun. 2002; courtesy of Marjan Komnenov). Scale bars $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.

## Female

Paratype measurements. AME diameter: 0.44; PME diameter: 1.6; carapace length:10.97; carapace width: 7.96; carapace maximal height: 3.96; abdomen length: 10.88 ; leg I ( $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Pa}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Mt}, \mathrm{Tr}$ ): 8.18, $3.75,6.07,5.62,3.1$; leg II: 7.56, 3.7, 5.56, 6.03, 3.1; leg III: 6.34, 3.28, 5, 6.72, 3.29; leg IV: 8.25, 3.66, 6.84, 8.66, 3.75.

Variation of an additional female collected as adult ( $\mathrm{n}=1$, all other female adults collected as juveniles). AME diameter: 0.55 ; PME diameter: 1.35 ; carapace length: 10.7 ; carapace width: 8.2 ; carapace maximal height: 4.02; abdomen length: 9.98 ; leg I (Fe, $\mathrm{Pa}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Mt}, \mathrm{Tr}$ ): 8, 3.9, 6.3, 5.5, 3.17; leg II: 8.06, 4, 5.87, 6.26, 3.6; leg III: 7.45, 3.6, 5.56, 6.94, 3.53; leg IV: 9.64, 3.7, 7.46, 10.2, 4.23.

Carapace. Similar to male.


Fig. 10. Epigynes, dorsal view. A. Lycosa sp. (HUJ INV-AR20573). B. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., paratype (HUJ INV-AR20817). C-L. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 (HUJ INV-AR20607). D. HUJ INV-AR20912. E. HUJ INV-AR20671. F. SMFD2184. G. HUJ INV-AR20963. H. HUJ INV-AR20709. I. HUJ INV-AR20897. J. HUJ INV-AR20530. K. HUJ INV-AR20860. L. HUJ INV-AR20758. M. Lycosa praegrandis C.L. Koch, 1836 (Veles, North Macedonia, 1 Jun. 2002; courtesy of Marjan Komnenov). Scale bars $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.

Color. Similar to male. No significant variation observed (Figs 6F, 7F, 8C).
Genitalia. Epigyne longer than wide. Septal pedicel reduced, narrower than septum. Septum trapezoidal, wider proximally, about as long as proximal part of epigyne. Copulatory openings narrow, flanking septal pedicel. Spermatheca dark brown, compact, distal part arching laterally. Head of spermatheca distinctly wider than sperm duct, subcircular, positioned anterior to copulatory opening (Figs 9B, 10B, $11 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}$ ).

Legs. Similar to male.

## Natural history

This species is nocturnal. Females were collected March, June, August and September. Males collected June, August and September. Subadult females were collected April and June. Subadult males were


Fig. 11. Lycosa female epigyne, line drawings. A-B. Lycosa sp. (HUJ INV-AR20573). A. Ventral view. B. Dorsal view. C-D. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., paratype (HUJ INV-AR20817). C. Ventral view. D. Dorsal view. E-F. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 (HUJ INV-AR20709). E. Ventral view. F. Dorsal view. Scale bars $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Drawings by I. Armiach Steinpress.
collected May, July and September. Juveniles were collected January, June, July and October (Table 4). Reproductive season unknown. This species inhabits loess soils in arid desert environments (annual precipitation $75-200 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The burrow usually with a modification in the form of a thin, flexible door made of silk and soil, and hinged to the entrance with silk. Rarely an object such as a piece of soil crust or a flat stone can be used as a door. The door is often left open. The spiders are usually found at night, standing near the burrow, rarely on rocks or vegetation. Captive specimens accept a wide variety of insects, similar to other species of Lycosa (Steves et al. 2017) (Figs 8C-D, 21B, 22B).

## Distribution

EGYPT, ISRAEL.

## Records

EGYPT: Sinai (Al-Qusaymah (Kadesh Barnea)). ISRAEL: Negev (Ha-Ro'a Campsite, Haluqim ridge, Hawat Even Ari, Mamshit, Midreshet Ben-Gurion, Mishor Yamin, Sede Zin, Shivta camp, Yeruham) (Fig. 1).

## Relationships

According to our molecular phylogey, this species is the sister taxon of Lycosa gesserit sp. nov., and closely related to Lycosa oculata, Lycosa aff. oculata 1 and Lycosa aff. oculata 2. The cephalothorax is morphologically similar to Lycosa macrophthalma Nadolny \& Zamani, 2020 and the species might be related to it as well (Figs 23-24).

## Lycosa sp.

Figs 1, 6E, 7E, 9A, 10A, 11A-B

## Diagnosis

## Female

Epigyne: septal pedicel absent, septum trapezoidal, broader proximally, as wide as long. Copulatory openings not visible in ventral view (only Lycosa in the Levant without visible copulatory openings!) (Figs 6E, 7E, 9A, 10A, 11A-B).

## Male

Unknown.

## Material examined

ISRAEL - Arava Valley • $\uparrow$; Nahal Amatsyahu; [30.835 N, $35.275^{\circ}$ E]; 13 Nov. 1988; B. Shalmon leg.; HUJ INV-AR20573.

## Description

## Female

Female measurements. AME diameter: 0.45; ALE diameter: 0.3; PME diameter: 1.1; PLE diameter: 1; carapace length: 7.1; carapace width: 5.2; carapace maximal height: 3; abdomen length: 10.7; leg I (Fe, $\mathrm{Pa}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Mt}, \mathrm{Tr}): 5.7,2.7,4.2,3.7,2.4$; leg II: 5.4, 2.7, 4, 3.9, 2.3; leg III: 5.1, 2.5, 3.7, 4.9, 2.4; leg IV: 6.6, 2.4, 5.2, 7, 2.9.

Carapace. Ocular area $>1 / 3$ length of carapace, distinctly raised, making the carapace between PLE and fovea appear slightly concave in profile.

Color. Carapace cream colored, darker on margins, with chocolate brown median bands, connecting to dark ocular area. Sternum yellow. Chelicerae reddish-brown. Abdomen cream colored with light brown chevrons dorsad. Abdomen venter with black patch posterior to epigastric fold, connecting to two parallel black bars stretching towards spinnerets ( $\Pi$ shaped patch). Spinnerets yellow. Legs yellow, infused with brown dorsad, yellow ventrad with two black bands on tibia, to reddish-brown distad, Coxae and trochanters yellow. Pedipalps yellow, to dark brown distad (Figs 6E, 7E).

Genitalia. Epigyne longer than wide. Septal pedicel absent, septum connects directly to epigyne. Septum trapezoidal, wider proximally, about as long as proximal part of epigyne. Copulatory openings not seen in ventral view. Spermatheca spiral-shaped, distal part arching laterally. Head of spermatheca slightly wider than sperm duct, oval, positioned anterior to copulatory opening (Figs 9A, 10A, 11A-B).

Legs. Metatarsus I ventral spination: 2 pairs + apical triplet. Tibia I ventral spination: 2 pairs + apical pair. Tarsus with scopula.

## Natural history

A single female was collected in the hyperarid desert (annual precipitation $<75 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) of the 'Arava valley, $\sim 200 \mathrm{~m}$ below sea level (Fig. 1, Table 4). No ecological data is available.

## Records

ISRAEL: ‘Arava Valley (Nahal Amatsyahu).

## Relationships

As of the writing of this paper, we have not succeded in collecting fresh specimens, and therefore we could not test its relationship using molecular methods. Somatically, it resembles L. gesserit sp. nov. and L. hyraculus sp. nov. Additionally, it is the only other specimen in our dataset, apart from the L. gesserit


Fig. 12. Lycosa $\begin{gathered} \\ \widehat{d} \\ \text {, conductor tip, photograph and outline. A. Lycosa praegrandis C.L. Koch, } 1836 . ~\end{gathered}$ B. Lycosa gesserit sp. nov., holotype (HUJ INV-AR20631). C. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., holotype (HUJ INV-AR20818). D. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876 (HUJ INV-AR20855). Scale bar $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Photos and drawings by I. Armiach Steinpress.
male, to exhibit a ventral patch on the abdomen shaped like the letter $\Pi$. As we could not know whether this is a new species or the female of $L$. gesserit, it is described here as $L y \cos a \mathrm{sp}$. only.

## Notes

We executed at least five field trips to the locality where this specimen was collected, at different dates, and couldn't find a single specimen of Lycosa any more. Since 1988, when the specimen was collected, intensive agriculture has changed the area, and this might have eradicated the habitat of this species.

## Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876

Figs 1, 2D, 3D, 4D, 5D, 6D, G, 7D, G, 9C-L, 10C-L, 11E-F, 12D, 13-18, 21C-D, 22C-D, 23-27
Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876: 72, pl. 3 figs 8-9 (ô?, Syria).
Tarentula piochardi infraclara Strand, 1915: 167 ( $q$, Israel). Syn. nov.
Tarentula piochardi - Kulczyński 1911: 51, pl. 2 figs 60-61 (q, Lebanon).
Lycosa piochardi infraclara - Roewer 1955: 269.
Lycosa piochardi - Nentwig et al. 2019: 40, fig. 6a-b (q). — Nadolny \& Zamani 2020: 209, fig. 19 (q).
— Zamani et al. 2021: 284, figs 7a-f, 8a-o ( $\uparrow$, Iran).
Lycosa piochardi infraclara - Nentwig et al. 2019: 40, fig. 6c-e ( $q$, subspecies inquirenda).

## Diagnosis

Male
Tegular apophysis tip (TAT) bent posteriorly at $\sim 90^{\circ}$ (in $L$. hyraculus sp. nov. TA unbent posteriorly). CTA (Fig. 2D) serrated (in L. hyraculus CTA smooth-edged), not wider than TA (in L. praegrandis,


Fig. 13. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876, $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { (HUJ INV-AR20948) right pedipalp (flipped) line drawings. }\end{gathered}$ A. Ventral view. B. Prolateral view. C. Retrolateral view. D. Distal view. Scale bars $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Drawings by I. Armiach Steinpress.

Fig. 2E, CTA wider than TA). Tip of conductor membranous, triangular, smooth-edged (in the similar L. praegrandis it is semicircular and unevenly toothed) (Figs 2D, 3D, 4D, 5D, 6D, 7D, 12D, 13).

## Female

Epigyne: septal pedicel reduced, septum subtriangular to trapezoidal, proportions vary greatly! Copulatory openings narrow, at anterior end of septum. Distal part of spermatheca (Fig. 10C-L) bent dorso-ventrally (in the similar L. praegrandis it is bent distally, so that the left spermatheca twists counterclockwise, Fig. 10M). Head of spermatheca elongated, not much wider than spermatheca. Both sexes distinguished from other levantine Lycosa by habitus: ocular area $<1 / 3$ length of carapace, not raised (Figs 6G, 7G, 9C-L, 10C-L, 11E-F).

## Type material

## Holotype

SYRIA• + ; 1876; M.Ch. Piochard de la Brûlerie leg.; MNHN 2076 (not examined).

## Paratypes

SYRIA • 5 q $q$; same collection data as for holotype; MNHN 1266 (examined)

## Other material examined

EGYPT - Sinai • 1 ¢; Al-Qusaymah (Kadesh Barnea); [30.668N, $34.366^{\circ}$ E]; 13 Nov. 1967; P. Amitai leg.; HUJ INV-AR20838 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20839 • 1 q; Mt. Catherine; [28.56º N, $33.95^{\circ}$ E]; 16 Aug. 1968; Tsabar leg.; HUJ INV-AR20860 • 1 ठं; same collection data as for preceding; 17 Jul. 1968; HUJ INV-AR20862•1 $\AA^{\top}$; same collection data as for preceding; 16 Aug. 1968; HUJ INV-AR20861




Fig. 14. Lycosa piochardi Simon,1876, size comparison of largest and smallest $q Q$ in the dataset. A. HUJ INV-AR20671. B. HUJ INV-AR20897. Scale bar $=5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Photo by I. Armiach Steinpress.

ARMIACH STEINPRESS I．et al．，New large lycosid species in the southern Levant
 I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；col．sub．；HUJ INV－AR20734 • 1 o＇$^{\circ}$ ；Be＇eri；［ $31.42^{\circ}$ N， $34.48^{\circ}$ E］； 22 Sep． 2011；HUJ INV－AR20923 • 1 个；east Holon（near Yamit 2000）；［ $32^{\circ}$ N， $34.793^{\circ}$ E］； 20 Jul．2017； I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；HUJ INV－AR20735•1 个；same collection data as for preceding； 28 Jul．2018； HUJ INV－AR20782•1 juv．；ETSEL memorial monument，Lod；［31．9404${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.8658^{\circ}$ E］； 28 May 2014； I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；HUJ INV－AR20779 • 1 juv．；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－ AR20780•1 + ；Gaza area；［ $31.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.46^{\circ}$ E］；1942；HUJ INV－AR20895• $1 \delta^{\top}$ ；grove near Drezner st．， Tel Aviv；［32．1295 N， $34.808^{\circ}$ E］； 18 Jun．2019；I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；col．juv．；HUJ INV－AR20730 － 1 q；same collection data as for preceding；col．sub．；HUJ INV－AR20731 • 1 ＇；same collection data as for preceding；col．sub．；HUJ INV－AR20732 • 1 万；same collection data as for preceding；col．sub．；HUJ INV－AR20733 • 1 q；Hadera sands；［ $32.461^{\circ}$ N， $34.885^{\circ}$ E］； 23 Aug．2018；I．Armiach Steinpress leg．； HUJ INV－AR20759 • 1 §＇；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20760 • 1 万 ；same collection data as for preceding；col．sub．；HUJ INV－AR20761•1 ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ ；same collection data as for preceding； 4 Jul．2019；col．sub．；HUJ INV－AR20787•1 ；Hatsor；［31．77 N， $34.71^{\circ}$ E］；2008；HUJ INV－AR20897 － $1 \delta^{\lambda}$ ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20898－ $1 \delta^{\text {º }}$ ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20899•1 ；Jaffa－Rehoboth；［ $31.95^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.78^{\circ}$ E］；May 1913；I．Aharoni leg．； SMFD2184•1 \＆；Kfar Bialik；［32．82 ${ }^{\circ}$ N， $35.087^{\circ}$ E］； 17 Sep．2018；HUJ INV－AR20913 • 1 ；；same collection data as for preceding； 7 Sep．2018；with eggsac；HUJ INV－AR20950 • 1 ；Mavo Ashdod；
 11 Jul．2017；I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；col．juv．；HUJ INV－AR20683 • 1 §＇；Nitzanim sands； 7 Aug． 2017；B．Shacham leg．；HUJ INV－AR20572•1 ；Oranim boarding School，Rishon LeTsiyon；［31．944ㅇ N， $34.805^{\circ}$ E］； 24 Aug．2013；I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；HUJ INV－AR20762•1 1 ；Ramat－Gan；［32．08ㅇ N， $34.81^{\circ}$ E］；1947；A．Shulov leg．；HUJ INV－AR $20526 \cdot 1$ §＇$^{\circ}$ ；Rehovot；［ $31.8992^{\circ}$ N， $34.8363^{\circ}$ E］； 5 Sep． 2019；I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；HUJ INV－AR20788 • 1 早；Savyon；［ $32.04^{\circ}$ N， $34.87^{\circ}$ E］； 29 Sep．1972； HUJ INV－AR20937 • 1 ；；Superland，Rishon LeTsiyon；［31．9748 N， $34.74235^{\circ}$ E］； 6 Sep．2018； I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；HUJ INV－AR20790•1 1 ；Talmei Menashe；［ $31.941^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.853^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ］； 28 May 2014；I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；col．sub．；HUJ INV－AR20781 • 1 juv．；Tel Akko；［32．9212 N， $35.0877^{\circ}$ E］； 20 Aug．2018；I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；HUJ INV－AR20736 • 1 q；Tel Baruch，Tel Aviv； ［ $32.13^{\circ}$ N， $34.789^{\circ}$ E］； 22 Aug．2020；D．Simon leg．；HUJ INV－AR20584• 1 ；Tel Kofer，Tel Aviv； ［ $32.04^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.807^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ］； 12 Oct．2018；I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；HUJ INV－AR20685• 1 q ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20686－ 1 ；；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－ AR20687•1 $q$ ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20688•1 $q$ ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20689 • 1 ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20690 • 1 ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20691－ 1 ；；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20692 • 1 ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20693•1 q ； same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20694－ 1 q ；same collection data as for preceding； HUJ INV－AR20695•1 $\mathcal{q}$ ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20696• 1 ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20697•1 ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20698 • $\%$ ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20699• 1 ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20700•1 $q$ ；same collection data as for preceding；with eggsac；HUJ INV－AR20701•1 $\uparrow$ ；same collection data as for preceding；with eggsac；HUJ INV－AR20702•1 $\uparrow$ ；same collection data as for preceding；with eggsac；HUJ INV－AR20703－ 1 ；same collection data as for preceding；with eggsac；HUJ INV－AR20704 • 1 万；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－ AR20705－1 ；Tel Michal，Herzlia；［ $32.162^{\circ}$ N， $34.8^{\circ}$ E］； 16 Jun．2017；I．Armiach Steinpress leg．； col．sub；HUJ INV－AR20716 • 1 q；Tira；［32．234 ${ }^{\circ}$ N， $34.934^{\circ}$ E］； 17 Jun．2019；A．Topper leg．；col．sub； HUJ INV－AR20548 • 1 juv．；Yashresh nature reserve，Rehovot；［ $31.9155^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.8304^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ］； 9 Mar．2018； I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；HUJ INV－AR20813．－Dead Sea Area • 1 q；Ein Feshkha；［31．716 N， $35.451^{\circ}$ E］； 15 May 1935；A．Shulov leg．；HUJ INV－AR20530 • 1 \＆；Hawat Einot Kedem；［31．928ㅇN， $35.4301^{\circ}$ E］； 2 Jun．2019；E．Gavish－Regev leg．；col．juv．；HUJ INV－AR20621 • 1 \＆；same collection data as for preceding；col．juv．；HUJ INV－AR20622 • 1 ；same collection data as for preceding；col．sub．； HUJ INV－AR20623•1 1 ；Jericho；［ $31.85^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.46^{\circ}$ E］； 12 Dec．1987；P．Amitai leg．；HUJ INV－AR20837

- 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; 10 Nov. 1971; with juv.; HUJ INV-AR20904. - Galilee • 1 ค; Adamit; [ $33.081^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.21^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ]; 10 Aug. 1964; HUJ INV-AR20903•1 q ; Ahihud forest; [ $32.92^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $\left.35.19^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right] ; 10$ Oct. 2017; B. Shacham leg.; HUJ INV-AR20556•1 1 ; Biq`at Qedesh; [33.13 \(\left.\mathrm{N}, 35.54^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right]\); 13 May 2015; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20588 • \(1 \delta^{\imath}\); Dishon; [33.085 N, \(35.519^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 14 Aug. 2014; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20589 • \(1 \delta^{\text {® }}\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INVAR20590•1 \(\delta^{\lambda}\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20591• \({ }^{\top}\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20592 • 1 q; Eilon; [33.059ํ N, \(35.224^{\circ}\) E]; 24 Jul. 2018; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20763 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INVAR20764•1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20765•1 \(\uparrow\); same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20766 • 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20767 • 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20768 • 1 §; same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20769 • 1 §; same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20770 • 1 §; same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20771 • 1 ó; Har Eliezer; [33.044 N, \(35.55^{\circ}\) E]; Sep. 1995; HUJ INV-AR20955 • 1 q; Kfar HaHoresh; [32.7 \({ }^{\circ}\) N, \(35.27^{\circ}\) E]; 9 Oct. 1968; Gershoni leg.; HUJ INV-AR20679 • \(1 \circlearrowleft^{\top}\); Kfar Kisch; [ \(32.67^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.45^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 19 Aug. 2008; HUJ INV-AR20917 • 1 中; Moreshet; [32.825ํ N, \(35.232^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 23 Sep. 2018; with eggsac; HUJ INV-AR20924 • 1 juv.; Mt. Meron; [ \(32.99^{\circ}\) N, \(35.41^{\circ}\) E]; 9 Apr. 1967; Pener leg.; sub. female; HUJ INV-AR20853 • 1 §; Nahal Snir; [ \(33.235^{\circ}\) N, \(35.676^{\circ}\) E]; 9 Jul. 1992; R. Kasher leg.; HUJ INV-AR20854 • 1 q; Nahal Tzippori; [ \(32.75^{\circ}\) N, \(35.19^{\circ}\) E]; 30 Apr. 2018; D. Ben Natan leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20576•1 C ; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20577 • 1 §; same collection data as for preceding; I. Tesler leg.; HUJ INV-AR20821 • 1 q; north Yiftah; [ \(33.134^{\circ}\) N, \(35.548^{\circ}\) E]; 9-17 Sep. 2015; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20633 • 1 juv.; Ramot Naftali; [33.1 \({ }^{\circ}\) N, \(35.547^{\circ}\) E]; 13 May 2014; sub. female.; HUJ INV-AR20905•1 \(\uparrow\); Safed; [32.966º N, \(35.491^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\); 2 Oct. 1967; Blondheim leg.; HUJ INV-AR20574•1 O ; Yavne'el; [32.697 \(\left.\mathrm{N}, 35.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right]\); 1 Aug. 2019; Y. Zvik leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20869 • \(1 \AA^{\top}\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20870•1 \(\mathrm{J}^{\top}\); Yuvalim; [32.877 N, \(35.27^{\circ}\) E]; 3 Aug. 2018; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; HUJ INVAR20785 - 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; 30 Apr. 2017; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20783 - 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; 3 Aug. 2018; HUJ INV-AR20786•1 §; same collection data as for preceding; 30 Apr. 2017; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20784 • 1 §; Zar'it; [33.085 \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.51^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\); 9-18 Aug. 2014; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20634•1 đ; same collection data as for preceding; 18 Aug. 2014; HUJ INV-AR20594 • \(1 \jmath^{\text {T; }}\); Zavit cave; [ \(33.038^{\circ}\) N, \(35.306^{\circ}\) E]; 23 Aug. 2018; E. GavishRegev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20598.-Golan Heights • 1 ¢; Horvat Susita; [32.777, \(35.663^{\circ}\) E]; 26 Jul. 2018; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20607 • 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INVAR20608• \(Q\); same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20609•1 \(q\); same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20610•1 \(q\); same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20611•1 \(\uparrow\); same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20612•1 \(\uparrow\); same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20613 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; sub. female; HUJ INV-AR20614•1 \(\delta^{\top}\); same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20615•1 \(q\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20664•1 \(q\); Nahal Yehudiya; [ \(32.926^{\circ}\) N, \(35.7003^{\circ}\) E]; 24 May 2015; B. Shacham leg.; HUJ INV-AR20567•1 \(\uparrow\); same collection data as for preceding; [32.9221 \(\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.678^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right] ; 3\) Jun. 2015; HUJ INV-AR20569 • 1 juv.; Odem Forest; [ \(33.22^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.75^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 14 Jun. 1972; P. Amitai leg.; sub. female; HUJ INV-AR20841 • 1 q; Qatsrin; [ \(\left.32.988^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.677^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right] ; 7\) Oct. 2018; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.v; HUJ INV-AR20791 • 1 o; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20792 • 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20793•1 \(\odot\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20794•1 \(\odot\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20795 • 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INVAR20796•1 \(\uparrow\); same collection data as for preceding; with eggsac; HUJ INV-AR20797•1 \(\uparrow\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20798•1 \(\uparrow\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20799•1 \(q\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20800•1 \(q\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20801 • 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INVAR20802•1 \(q\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20803•1 \(q\); same collection data as for preceding；with eggsac；HUJ INV－AR20804 • 1 ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20805•1 \(\uparrow\) ；same collection data as for preceding；with eggsac；HUJ INV－AR20806•1 \(\%\) ；same collection data as for preceding；with eggsac；HUJ INV－AR20807•1 \(\%\) ；same collection data as for preceding；with eggsac；HUJ INV－AR20808•1 q ；same collection data as for preceding；with eggsac；  Waset（Wassit）；［33．139 N， \(35.733^{\circ}\) E］； 19 Jul．1970；HUJ INV－AR20918．－Hermon • 1 juv．；Hermon； ［ \(33.3^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.78^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ］； 23 Jun．2017；N．Givon leg．；HUJ INV－AR20834 • 1 juv．；same collection data as for preceding；［ \(33.28^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.75^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ］； 6 Apr．1967；P．Amitai leg．；sub．female；HUJ INV－AR20847• 1 ；； Hermon；［ \(33.29^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.759^{\circ}\) E］； 1400 m a．s．1．； 2 Jun．2017；A．Uzan leg．；col．juv．；HUJ INV－AR20549 • 1 juv．；same collection data as for preceding；［ \(33.28^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.75^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ］； 6 Apr．1971；HUJ INV－AR20949 • 1 juv．；Hermon；［ \(33.3043^{\circ}\) N， \(35.7882^{\circ}\) E］； 2000 m a．s．1．； 9 Sep．1971；Lebovits leg．；dolina；HUJ INV－ AR20832 • 1 juv．；Hermon（dolina near peak 2072）； 23 Jun．2017；N．Givon leg．；HUJ INV－AR20833 • 1 ？ ；Hermon（near upper chairlift station）；［33．306 \({ }^{\circ}\) N， \(35.784^{\circ}\) E］； 9 Jun．2019；D．David leg．；HUJ INV－ AR20583－1 ；same collection data as for preceding；［33．3061 \(\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.7851^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right] ; 14 \mathrm{Jul}\) 2019；EGR， MC；HUJ INV－AR20674 • 1 早；same collection data as for preceding；［33．304 \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.789^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ； 23 Jun． 2017；I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；HUJ INV－AR20758 • 1 ¢；Nabi Hazuri；［ \(33.251^{\circ}\) N， \(35.729^{\circ}\) E］； 24 Aug． 2018；I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；HUJ INV－AR20772 • 1 ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20773 • \(1 \delta^{3}\) ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20774 • \(1 \delta^{\top}\) ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20775－ \(1 \delta^{2}\) ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－ AR20776．－Emeq Yizra＇el • 1 ¢ ；Kfar Baruh Reservoir；［ \(32.643^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.218^{\circ}\) E］； 16 Sep．2019；I．Armiach Steinpress leg．；HUJ INV－AR20710•1 1 ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20711 • 1 ；；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20712－ 1 ；；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20713 • 1 ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20714•1 ；； same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20715 • 1 juv．；same collection data as for preceding； ［ \(32.641^{\circ}\) N， \(35.219^{\circ}\) E］； 6 Feb．2018；HUJ INV－AR20789 • 1 juv．；Sarid；［ \(32.6674^{\circ}\) N， \(35.229^{\circ}\) E］； 22 Jun． 2020；Y．Zvik leg．；sub．female；HUJ INV－AR20962．－Jordan Valley • 1 q；Ashdot Ya＇akov；［32．66 N， \(35.578^{\circ}\) E］； 5 Aug．1972；Zevi leg．；HUJ INV－AR20894•1 \(q\) ；same collection data as for preceding；Oct． 1971；with eggsac；HUJ INV－AR20957 • 1 ＇；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20958 － 1 个 ；Ein Sukkot；［ \(32.365^{\circ}\) N， \(35.547^{\circ}\) E］； 11 May 2017；B．Shacham leg．；col．juv．；HUJ INV－AR20557 － 1 q；Karei Deshe；［ \(32.862^{\circ}\) N， \(35.536^{\circ}\) E］； 16 Sep．2013；HUJ INV－AR20910 • 1 q；Maoz Haim； ［32．4935 \({ }^{\circ}\) N， \(35.5517^{\circ}\) E］； 30 Jan．1943；A．Shulov leg．；HUJ INV－AR20534 • 1 q；Menahemia； ［ \(32.664^{\circ}\) N， \(35.5538^{\circ}\) E］； 22 Sep．2019；Y．Zvik leg．；HUJ INV－AR20871 • 1 juv．；same collection data as for preceding； 24 May 2017；sub．female；HUJ INV－AR20927 • 1 万；Nahal Hagal；［ \(32.631^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.554^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ］； 21 Jun．2015；col．sub．；HUJ INV－AR20921•1 \＆；Sde Eliyahu；［32．441\({ }^{\circ}\) N， \(35.514^{\circ}\) E］； 23 Jun．2019； Y．Zvik leg．；col．sub．；HUJ INV－AR20872• 1 早；Southern Jordan Valley；［32．2471\({ }^{\circ}\) N， \(35.5588^{\circ}\) E］； 23 Apr．2017；B．Shacham leg．；col．juv．；HUJ INV－AR20564 • 1 juv．；same collection data as for preceding； ［ \(32.0446^{\circ}\) N， \(35.5166^{\circ}\) E］； 24 Apr．2017；HUJ INV－AR20566．－Judea • 1 juv．；｀Ayn ad Duyuk（near Jericho）；［31．8959 N，35．4222 E］； 8 Jun．1978；P．Amitai leg．；sub．female；HUJ INV－AR20848•1 ； Alon；［31．833 N， \(35.352^{\circ}\) E］；Jan．2016；D．Waysman leg．；HUJ INV－AR20585 • 1 q；Arad；［31．26 N， \(35.21^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ； 9 Dec．2015；HUJ INV－AR20953 • 1 \＆；Arad Cemetery；［ \(31.273^{\circ}\) N， \(35.229^{\circ}\) E］； 31 Jul．2018； J．Ballesteros Chaves leg．；HUJ INV－AR20822•1 + ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－ AR20823•1 \(q\) ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20824•1 \(\varphi\) ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20825•1 + ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20826 • \(1 \delta^{\text {² }}\) ；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20827 • 1 §；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20828 • 1 ô；same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20829• 1 ô； same collection data as for preceding；HUJ INV－AR20830 • 1 ；；Beit Guvrin；［ \(\left.31.61^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.9^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right] ; 4\) Apr． 2015；A．Uzan leg．；col．juv．；HUJ INV－AR20552 • 1 q；Beit Nir；［31．65º N，34．868 \({ }^{\circ}\) E］； 31 Jan．2015； HUJ INV－AR20941• 1 q；Ben Shemen；［ \(31.95^{\circ}\) N， \(34.92^{\circ}\) E］； 21 May 2017；B．Shacham leg．；col．sub．； HUJ INV－AR20563－ 1 juv．；same collection data as for preceding； 18 Jul．1956；J．Machlis leg．；HUJ INV－AR20831 • 1 juv．；Bet Yatir，［31．357 N，35．11 E］； 17 Apr．2018；HUJ INV－AR20911• 1 q ；Biq｀at Qanna＇im；［31．316 N， \(35.276^{\circ}\) E］； 5 Aug．2019；Y．Zvik leg．；HUJ INV－AR20880 • 1 \＆；Bor｀Atin； [31.3125 \({ }^{\circ}\) N, \(35.26^{\circ}\) E]; 3 May 2018; Y. Zvik leg.; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20878•1 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20879 • 1 juv.; Canada Park; [34.9954ํ N, \(31.8427^{\circ}\) E]; 13 Jun. 2018; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; sub. male; HUJ INV-AR20707•1 ; Gazelle Valley; [31.759º N, \(35.196^{\circ}\) E]; 25 May 2018; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20777 • 1 q; Givat Ram, Jerusalem; [31.777º N, \(35.197^{\circ}\) E]; 28 Dec. 2014; HUJ INV-AR20936•1 §; Har Amasa; [31.343º N, \(35.103^{\circ}\) E]; 17 Apr. 2018; B. Shacham leg.; HUJ INV-AR20562 • 1 juv.; Har Hardon; [31.339ํ N, \(35.191^{\circ}\) E]; 8 May 2018; D. Ben-Natan leg.; HUJ INV-AR20580 • \(1 \delta^{\top}\); same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20581 • 1 juv.; Holyland hotel, Jerusalem; [31.784 N, \(35.233^{\circ}\) E]; 24 Apr. 1975; faunistics course leg.; HUJ INV-AR20676•1 \(\uparrow\); same collection data as for preceding; 15 Apr. 1980; HUJ INV-AR20909 • 1 §̊; Horkanya valley; [31.719ํ N, \(35.364^{\circ}\) E]; 14 Mar. 2016; B. Shacham leg.; col. sub; HUJ INV-AR20560 • 1 中; Jerusalem; [ \(31.75^{\circ}\) N, \(35.2^{\circ}\) E]; 30 Sep. 1935; A. Shulov leg.; HUJ INV-AR20537•1 \(\uparrow\); same collection data as for preceding; 1 Mar. 1935; HUJ INVAR20531•1 \(q\); same collection data as for preceding; Summer 1938; HUJ INV-AR20547•1 \(\uparrow\); same collection data as for preceding; 23 Oct. 1929; HUJ INV-AR20532• 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; 30 Apr. 1953; HUJ INV-AR20535 • 1 中 ; same collection data as for preceding; 30 Sep. 1937; HUJ INV-AR20538 • 1 q; 10 Dec. 1951; with juv.; HUJ INV-AR 20528 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; [ \(31.76^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.18^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 31 Oct. 1953; HUJ INV-AR20540 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; 5 Jun. 1954; HUJ INV-AR20544 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; 7 Apr. 1953; HUJ INV-AR20545•1 ; same collection data as for preceding; [ \(\left.31.75^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right] ; 4\) Oct. 1958; Golani leg.; HUJ INV-AR20680•1 q ; same collection data as for preceding; 1948; HUJ INV-AR20896 - 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20900 • \(1 \delta^{\lambda}\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20901 • \(1 \delta^{\top}\); Judean Desert (road 3199); [31.314 N, \(35.299^{\circ}\) E]; 25 Aug. 2017; A. Uzan leg.; HUJ INV-AR20551•1 ; Kfar Daniel; [ \(31.9342^{\circ}\) N, \(34.9372^{\circ}\) E]; 3 Oct. 2017; B. Shacham leg.; HUJ INV-AR20570•1 q; Kiryat Anavim; [31.81 N, \(35.12^{\circ}\) E]; 10 Oct. 1937; A. Shulov leg.; HUJ INV-AR 20527 • 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; 1936; HUJ INV-AR 20525 • 1 §'; same collection data as for preceding; 5 Sep. 1938; HUJ INV-AR20948 • 1 ; Kiryat Shmuel, Jerusalem; [ \(\left.31.769^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.211^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right]\); 28 Oct. 1940; with eggsac; HUJ INV-AR20935 • 1 juv.; Ma’ale Adumim; [ \(31.77^{\circ}\) N, \(35.3^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 3 May 1977; Broza leg.; HUJ INV-AR20575 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; 9 Jun. 1974; Tsabar leg.; sub. female; HUJ INV-AR20864 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; sub. male; HUJ INV-AR20865 • 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; 19 Aug. 1973; HUJ INV-AR20916•1 \(q\); same collection data as for preceding; 25 Oct. 1972; HUJ INV-AR20931 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; Mar. 2018; sub. male, col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20954•1 O; Maon ridge; [ \(31.41^{\circ}\) N, \(35.17^{\circ}\) E]; 23 May 2017; B. Shacham leg.; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20565 • 1 juv.; Mar Saba; [ \(31.705^{\circ}\) N, \(35.331^{\circ}\) E]; 7 Jul. 1967; A. Shulov leg.; sub. male; HUJ INV-AR20546• 1 đ; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20951 • 1 juv.; Matsleva cave; [ \(31.772^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.206^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 1 Aug. 2018; HUJ INV-AR20919 • 1 q; Modi'in; [ \(31.89^{\circ}\) N, \(34.978^{\circ}\) E]; 10 Oct. 2012; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20587•1 \(\delta^{\top}\); same collection data as for preceding; [ \(31.9^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.98^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 20 Aug. 2013; HUJ INV-AR20595 • 1 đ; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20596 • 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; [ \(31.89^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.978^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 11-20 Apr. 2012; I. Bernstein leg.; HUJ INVAR20967 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; [ \(31.9^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.96^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\); Apr. 2012; HUJ INVAR20814 - \(1 \delta^{\top}\); Modi' in (near Pa'ate Modi' in train station); \(\left[31.897^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.964^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right] ; 20\) Aug. 2013; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20597 • 1 Q; Negohot; [ \(31.493^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.983^{\circ}\) E]; 2 Jun. 2019; B. Shacham; leg. col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20568 • 1 juv.; Ramat Rachel; [ \(31.74^{\circ}\) N, \(35.22^{\circ}\) E]; 29 Apr. 1980; faunistics course leg.; HUJ INV-AR20677 • 1 juv.; South Hebron Mountains; [ \(31.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.04^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 14 May 2018; B. Shacham leg.; sub. female, col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20561 • 1 q; Valley of the Cross; [ \(31.772^{\circ}\) N, \(35.206^{\circ}\) E]; 15 Oct. 2012; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20593•1 \(q\); same collection data as for preceding; 15 Oct. 2018; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; HUJ INV-AR20708. - Karmel • 1 §; Isfiya; [ \(32.72^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.06^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 26 Aug. 1971; HUJ INV-AR20933 • 1 juv.; Mt. Carmel; [ \(32.56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.95^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 15 Jul. 1955; P. Amitai leg.; HUJ INV-AR20842 • 1 q; Ramat Hanadiv; [ \(32.72^{\circ}\) N, \(35.06^{\circ}\) E]; 5 Oct. 2012; E. Gavish-Regev and R. Raven leg.; HUJ INV-AR20669 • 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20670•1 \(\uparrow\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20671•1 \(\uparrow\); same collection data as for preceding; with eggsac; HUJ INV-AR20672 • 1 q ; same collection data as for preceding; with eggsac; HUJ INV-AR20673•1 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; 5-6 Oct. 2012; with eggsac; TAUZMar50544•1 ; same collection data as for preceding; [ \(32.552^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.944^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 14 Dec. 2017; with eggsac; HUJ INV-AR20906 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; hatched in capt.; HUJ INV-AR20907 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; hatched in capt.; HUJ INVAR20908•1 ; Zikhron Ya'akov; [32.57 N, \(34.95^{\circ}\) E]; 4 Dec. 1937; A. Shulov leg.; HUJ INV-AR20541 - 1 \&; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20542. - Negev • 1 juv.; Arad; [31.269ㅇ N , \(35.208^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\); 30 May 1968; A. Shulov leg.; HUJ INV-AR20539•1 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; [ \(31.256^{\circ}\) N, \(35.218^{\circ}\) E]; 15 Mar. 2018; D. David leg.; HUJ INV-AR20582• 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; [ \(31.26^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 15 Jun. 1969; faunistics course leg.; HUJ INV-AR20675 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; [31.269 \(\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.208^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right] ; 30\) May 1968; HUJ INV-AR20678•1 O ; same collection data as for preceding; [ \(\left.31.256^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.218^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right]\); 15 Mar. 2018; I. Tesler leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20820 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; [ \(31.269^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.208^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 20 Dec. 1962; P. Amitai leg.; HUJ INV-AR20845 - 1 ; ; same collection data as for preceding; [ \(31.26^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.21^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\); 23 Oct. 1968; Tsabar leg.; with juv.; HUJ INV-AR20863 • 1 ; ; same collection data as for preceding; 24 Aug. 1964; HUJ INV-AR20928 • + ; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20929 • 1 ; ; Ariel Sharon Camp; [ \(31.053^{\circ}\) N, \(34.837^{\circ}\) E]; 5 Aug. 2018; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20628 • \(1 \delta^{\top}\); same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20629 - 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; 9 Jan. 2018; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; HUJ INV-AR20811 • \(1 \delta^{3}\); same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20812 • 1 juv.; Avdat ; [30.79 N, \(34.77^{\circ}\) E]; 30 Apr. 1957; Pener leg.; HUJ INV-AR20852 • 1 ¢ ; same collection data as for preceding; [ \(\left.30.794^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.772^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right] ; 4\) Jul. 2016; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20946 • 1 q; Be'er Mash'abim; [ \(31.015^{\circ}\) N, \(34.76^{\circ}\) E]; 15 Jan. 1946; A. Shulov leg.; HUJ INV-AR20529 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; 26 Dec. 1956; Pener leg.; HUJ INV-AR20849 • 1 ㅇ; Be'er Milka; [30.935º N, \(34.408^{\circ}\) E]; 4 Aug. 2018; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20667•1 q ; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20668•1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; 26 Jun. 2017; INV-AR20932•1 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; 4 Aug. 2018; with juv.; HUJ INV-AR20947•1 ; Be'er Sheva; [ \(31.271^{\circ}\) N, \(34.817^{\circ}\) E]; 15 Nov. 2017; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; with juv.; HUJ INV-AR20709 • 1 juv.; Brosh; [ \(31.37^{\circ}\) N, \(34.63^{\circ}\) E]; 29 Jun. 1967; Pener leg.; HUJ INV-AR20851 • 1 q; Dimona ridge; [ \(31.08746^{\circ}\) N, \(35.04686^{\circ}\) E]; 31 Apr. 2019; E. GavishRegev leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20624•1 1 ; Ezuz; [34.46041 N, \(30.78654^{\circ}\) E]; 21 Jan. 2020; D. BenNatan leg.; with juv.; HUJ INV-AR20578 • \(1 \delta^{\text {² }}\); Giv'ot Bar; [31.358 N, \(34.753^{\circ}\) E]; 10 Apr. 2018; co. sub. died molting; HUJ INV-AR20902 - 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; 8 May 2018; co. sub. died molting; HUJ INV-AR20952 • 1 ; Gvaot Goral; [31.34 N, \(34.83^{\circ}\) E]; 4 Apr. 2018; B. Shacham leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20571 • 1 ¢; Ha-Ro’a Campsite; [ \(30.876^{\circ}\) N, \(34.784^{\circ}\) E]; 5 Aug. 2018; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20627 • 1 ; ; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INVAR20630•1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; 11 Jun. 2018; HUJ INV-AR20649•1 \&; same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20650 \(1 \delta^{\text {² }}\); same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20652 • 1 ; ; Haluqim ridge (near Midreshet Ben-Gurion); [ \(30.854^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.768^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) ]; 22 Oct. 2018; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20752•1 \(\uparrow\); same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20753 • 1 §'; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INVAR20754 • 1 juv.; Har Karkom; [30.280 N, \(34.74^{\circ}\) E]; 7 Oct. 2017; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INVAR20632•1 + ; Hawat Even Ari; [ \(30.786^{\circ}\) N, \(34.77^{\circ}\) E]; 11 Jun. 2018; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20651 • 1 juv.; Hirbet Rimon; [31.3712 N, \(34.8646^{\circ}\) E]; 24 Mar. 2018; HUJ INV-AR20925 - 1 ; ; Holot `Agur; [ $30.93^{\circ}$ N, $34.408^{\circ}$ E]; 17 Sep. 2017; HUJ INV-AR20912 • 1 juv.; Irus HaNegev; [ $31.0854^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.6809^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ]; 3 Mar. 2018; HUJ INV-AR20944 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20945•1 1 ; Lehavim; [ $31.37^{\circ}$ N, $34.82^{\circ}$ E]; 28 Jun. 2017; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; col. sub; HUJ INV-AR20666•1 ; Lipa Gal lookout; [ $30.822^{\circ}$ N, $34.741^{\circ}$ E]; 21 Mar. 2018; D. Ben-Natan leg.; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20579 • 1 q; Mamshit; [ $31.03^{\circ}$ N, $35.06^{\circ}$ E]; 24 Mar. 1954; A. Shulov leg.; HUJ INV-AR20533 • 1 juv.; Mamshit; [31.03 N, $35.06^{\circ}$ E]; 11 Apr. 2018; A. Uzan leg.; HUJ INV-AR20550 - 1 早; Mash'abim dunes; [ $31.015^{\circ}$ N, $34.76^{\circ}$ E]; 23 Feb. 2020; I. Magalhaes leg.; HUJ INV-AR20816 • $1 \delta^{3}$; same collection data as for preceding; [31.002 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.74^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right]$; Aug. 2020; col. sub.; HUJ INV-

AR20956 • 1 juv.; Merhav Am (near Har Qatum); [30.8543 N, $34.7688^{\circ}$ E]; 29 Jun. 2020; sub. male; HUJ INV-AR20938 • $1 \delta^{\text {ºn }}$; Midreshet Ben-Gurion; [ $30.85^{\circ}$ N, $34.78^{\circ}$ E]; 26 Jul. 2017; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20606•1 $\AA^{\text {² }}$; same collection data as for preceding; Apr. 2013; col. juv.; HUJ INVAR20636 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; Mar. 2013; sub. female; HUJ INV-AR20635 • 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; [30.874 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.79^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right] ; 21 \mathrm{Jul} .2018$; HUJ INV-AR20963 • $1{ }^{\top}$; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20964 • 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; 17 May 2016; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20660 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; [ $30.8543^{\circ}$ N, $34.7688^{\circ}$ E]; 22 Oct. 2018; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; HUJ INV-AR20751 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; sub. female; HUJ INV-AR20756 • $1 \delta^{\top}$; Mitzpe Ramon; [30.6632 ${ }^{\circ}$ N, $34.8157^{\circ}$ E]; 13 Jun. 2019; B. Shacham leg.; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20559 • 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; [ $30.6128^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.8039^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; 25 Jun. 2015; with eggsac; HUJ INV-AR20930 • 1 中; Nahal Dumiya, Arad; [ $31.256^{\circ}$ N, $35.219^{\circ}$ E]; 4 Apr. 2019; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20626 - 1 ; Nahal Gmalim; [ $31.088^{\circ}$ N, $35.225^{\circ}$ E]; 24 Sep. 2019; A. Uzan leg.; HUJ INV-AR20555 • 1 ; ; same collection data as for preceding; 12 Sep. 2018; B. Shacham leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20558• 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; with eggsac; HUJ INV-AR20656•1 $\mathcal{\text { o }}$; same collection data as for preceding; with eggsac; HUJ INV-AR20657•1 $\uparrow$; same collection data as for preceding; 11 Mar. 2018; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20637 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; 11 Apr. 2018; HUJ INV-AR20638 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20639 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20640 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20641 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20642 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20643 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20644•1 §; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20645 - $1 \jmath^{\top}$; same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20647•1 $\uparrow$; same collection data as for preceding; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20681•1 đ; same collection data as for preceding; 12 Dec. 2019; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20706 - 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; 28 Apr. 2019; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20778 • 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; 24 Sep. 2019; S. Aharon leg.; HUJ INV-AR20857 • 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20858 • 1 ¢; Nahal Hamarmar; [ $31.087^{\circ}$ N, $35.234^{\circ}$ E]; 11 Apr. 2018; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INVAR20646•1 $q$; same collection data as for preceding; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20648•1 $Q$; same collection data as for preceding; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20682 • 1 §; Nahal Nafha; [ $30.733^{\circ}$ N, $34.824^{\circ}$ E]; 30 Apr. 1959; A. Shulov leg.; HUJ INV-AR20536 • 1 q; Nahal Revivim; [31.044 ${ }^{\circ}$ N, $34.717^{\circ}$ E]; 28 Jun. 2018; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20934•1 ; Nahal Tavia; [31.256º N, $35.218^{\circ}$ E]; 15 Mar. 2018; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20659 • 1 中; Nitzana; [30.887 N, $34.423^{\circ}$ E]; 29 Aug.; HUJ INV-AR20939 • 1 juv.; 30 May 1957; HUJ INV-AR20940 • 1 juv.; Park Yeruham; [30.985 ${ }^{\circ}$ N, $34.9^{\circ}$ E]; 6 Apr. 2018; Y. Zvik leg.; HUJ INV-AR20881• 1 juv.; Reches Boker (Boker ridge), near road 40; [30.9502 ${ }^{\circ}$ N, $34.7792^{\circ}$ E]; 3 Jan. 2020; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INVAR20625•1 juv.; Sand Dunes 15 km S of Be'er Sheva; [ $31.114^{\circ}$ N, $34.815^{\circ}$ E]; 29 May 1980; Pener leg.; HUJ INV-AR20850•1 1 ; Sede Zin; [30.854 N, $34.773^{\circ}$ E]; 1 Aug. 2016; I. Steves leg.; HUJ INVAR20819 • 1 juv.; Shivta camp; [ $30.9245^{\circ}$ N, $34.6244^{\circ}$ E]; 22 Oct. 2018; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; HUJ INV-AR20755 • 1 q; upper Nahal Ashalim; [ $31.079^{\circ}$ N, $35.225^{\circ}$ E]; 11 Apr. 2018; Alon leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20554 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; 12 Apr. 2018; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20653 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20654•1 $\uparrow$; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20655 - $1 \delta^{\text {² }}$; same collection data as for preceding; [ $31.088^{\circ}$ N, $35.225^{\circ}$ E]; 12 Sep. 2018; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20684•1 §; same collection data as for preceding; [ $\left.31.079^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.225^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right]$; S. Aharon leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INVAR20856•1 $q$; West of Netivot; [ $31.414^{\circ}$ N, $34.557^{\circ}$ E]; 17 Sep. 2019; I. Armiach Steinpress leg.; HUJ INV-AR20717•1 $\uparrow$; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20718• $q$; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20719 • 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20720 • 1 O; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20721 • 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20722 • 1 ¢; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20723 • 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20724•1 $q$; same collection data as for preceding;
 collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20727•1 ${ }^{7}$; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20728 • 1 §'; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20729 • 1 juv.; Yeruham; [30.985 N, 34.9․ E]; 5 Jun. 1954; A. Shulov leg.; HUJ INV-AR20543• 1 q; same collection data as for preceding; [ $30.985^{\circ}$ N, $34.904^{\circ}$ E]; 10 Apr. 2019; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR20586 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; 17 Apr. 1967; P. Amitai leg.; HUJ INV-AR20843 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20844 - 1 §; same collection data as for preceding; [ $30.985^{\circ}$ N, $34.9^{\circ}$ E]; 2 Jun. 2017; Y. Zvik leg.; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20876 • 1 ; ; same collection data as for preceding; [ $30.985^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.904^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; 28 May 2017; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20873 • 1 juv.; Yeruham Park (near ruins); [ $30.985^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 34.899^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ]; 30 Mar. 2017; Y. Zvik leg.; HUJ INV-AR20877. - Samaria • 1 ¢; Ari'el; [ $32.103^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.173^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ]; 20 Jan. 2015; HUJ INV-AR20920 • 1 \&; same collection data as for preceding; Oct. 2014; with eggsac; HUJ INV-AR20959 • 1 \& ; Barkan; [ $32.108^{\circ}$ N, $35.105^{\circ}$ E]; 28 Nov. 2011; Z.Ganem leg.; HUJ INV-AR20891 • 1 juv.; Ein Dor; [ $32.655^{\circ}$ N, $35.416^{\circ}$ E]; 3 May 2018; HUJ INV-AR20945 • 1 ; Gilboa; [ $32.51^{\circ}$ N, $35.41^{\circ}$ E]; 22 Sep. 1976; HUJ INV-AR20922 • 1 安; Hemdat, Umm Zoka; [N32.25, E35.525]; 14 Mar. 2018; A. Schmida leg.; HUJ INV-AR 20524 • 1 ; ; Ibthan; [ $32.369^{\circ}$ N, $35.048^{\circ}$ E]; 26 Mar. 2018; Z.Ganem leg.; HUJ INV-AR20890• 1 q ; same collection data as for preceding; 12 Oct. 2019; HUJ INV-AR20883 - 1 ; ; same collection data as for preceding; 30 Aug. 2019; HUJ INV-AR20893 - 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; 2 Dec. 2017; with eggsac; HUJ INV-AR20892 • 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; 12 Oct. 2019; with eggsac (hatched 28 Oct. 2019); HUJ INV-AR20884•1 ; same collection data as for preceding; 23 Dec. 2017; with juv.; HUJ INV-AR20889 • 1 预; same collection data as for preceding; 12 Aug. 2018; HUJ INV-AR20882• 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; 14 Jul. 2018; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20885•1 $q$; same collection data as for preceding; 18 Jul. 2019; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20886 - 1 §'; same collection data as for preceding; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20887 • 1 juv.; Jatt; [ $32.397^{\circ}$ N, $35.036^{\circ}$ E]; 19 May 2018; Z.Ganem leg.; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20888 • 1 juv.; Lower Nahal Yitspor; [ $32.495^{\circ}$ N, $35.433^{\circ}$ E]; 24 Jun. 2019; E. Gavish-Regev leg.; HUJ INV-AR620599 • 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; [32.49578 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $\left.35.43363^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right]$; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20600 • $1 ~+$; same collection data as for preceding; [ $32.4945^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $35.4336^{\circ}$ E]; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20601 • 1 ; same collection data as for preceding; [ $32.49521^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $\left.35.43121^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right]$; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20602 • $1 \delta^{\text {² }}$; same collection data as for preceding; [ $32.49495^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $\left.35.43307^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right]$; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20603 • $1 \delta^{\text {² }}$; same collection data as for preceding; [ $32.49494^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $35.43307^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ]; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20604 - $1 \delta^{\text {² }}$; same collection data as for preceding; $\left[32.495^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right.$, $35.433^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ]; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20605 • $1 \delta^{\prime}$; Megiddo; [32.578 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.179^{\circ}$ E]; 27 Jul. 1959; P. Amitai leg.; HUJ INV-AR20846 • 1 ; ; Mehola; [32.364 N, $35.515^{\circ}$ E]; 6 Oct. 2020; Y. Zvik leg.; HUJ INVAR20965•1 $q$; same collection data as for preceding; HUJ INV-AR20966•1 1 ; same collection data


Fig. 15. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876, $\subset q$, common ventral abdominal coloration morphs. A. Black patch reaches posterior tip (HUJ INV-AR20735). B. Black patch does not reach posterior tip (HUJ INVAR20626). C. Black patch with shallow notch (HUJ INV-AR20763). D. Black patch with deep notch (HUJ INV-AR20913). E. Black patch divided (HUJ INV-AR20671). F. No black patch (HUJ INVAR20803). Scale bars $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.
as for preceding; [ $32.36318^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $35.507^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ]; 10 Jun. 2018; col. juv.; HUJ INV-AR20866 • 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding; [ $32.364^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 35.515^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ]; 10 Oct. 2018; sub. female, col. juv.; HUJ INVAR20867•1 Q; Mehora; [ $32.164^{\circ}$ N, $35.423^{\circ}$ E]; 12 May 2018; I. Ihia leg.; col. sub.; HUJ INV-AR20815 - 1 juv.; Wadi Al-Far'a; [32.27 N, $35.35^{\circ}$ E]; 12 May 1972; Tsabar leg.; HUJ INV-AR20859.

JORDAN•1 $\uparrow$; Jerash; [ $32.28^{\circ}$ N, $35.89^{\circ}$ E]; 17 Nov. 1945; HUJ INV-AR20914•1 $q$; same collection data as for preceding; with juv.; HUJ INV-AR20915.

LEBANON • 1 q; Lebanon; "???"; Kulchinsky leg.; SMFD11934, jar 834.

## Description

## Male

Variation in males $(\mathrm{n}=54)$. ALE diameter: 0.3-0.39; AME diameter: $0.25-0.45$; PLE diameter: $0.67-$ 0.73; PME diameter: 084-1.19; carapace length: 6.6-11.71; carapace width: 5-8.96; carapace maximum height: 2.5-3.19; abdomen length: 5.2-9.18; leg I (Fe, Pa, Ti, Mt, Tr): 5.56-9.07, 2.8-4.56, 5.3-7.91, 5.65-8.85, 2.95-4.38; leg II: 5.4-8.94, 2.8-4.39, 5-7.53, 5.36-8.96, 3.23-4.22; leg III: 5.42-7.78, 2.273.56, 4.4-6.6, 5.34-8.81, 2.99-4.13; leg IV: 6.79-9.83, 2.73-4.19, 5.88-8.77, 8.19-12.56, 4.99-4.79.

CARAPACE. Low, gently sloping posteriorly. Ocular area $<1 / 3$ length of carapace.


Fig. 16. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876, natural history. A. Feeding on Pyrrhocoris apterus (Linnaeus, 1758), Mt. Gilboa. B. At the opening of a turretless burrow, central Negev. C. Female with egg sac, Midreshet Ben-Gurion. D. Turreted burrow sealed with silk, Midreshet Ben-Gurion. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.

Color. Carapace light brown, with dark brown median bands, connecting to dark ocular area. Perimeter dark brown. Sternum black. Chelicerae reddish-brown. Abdomen yellow infused with light brown dorsad, cardiac mark brown. Abdomen venter with black patch, orange on margins. Spinnerets brown. Legs light brown, greyish ventrad, to dark brown distad, with two black bands on tibiae. Coxae and trochanters black. Pedipalps light brown (Figs 6D, 7D, 16-17).

Color variation in males ( $\mathrm{n}=52$ ). Coloration varies greatly. General coloration may be sand-yellow (almost as light as Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov.), grey, light brown, reddish-brown and dark brown (Fig. 17). The lighter specimens usually found in arid environments. Black patch on abdomen may be covering the whole ventral side, a part of it (this is the most common condition) or, in rare cases, be altogether absent.

Genitalia. Cymbium reddish-brown, asymmetrical. Tegular apophysis (TA) lying flat on bulb, oriented retrolaterally. TAT highly sclerotized, dark brown, bent posteriorly at $\sim 90^{\circ}$. Crest of TA with unevenly serrated edge, tapering towards TAT. Tip of conductor membranous, triangular, with smooth edges. Synembolus with an indistinct, unsclerotized retrolateral edge (Figs 2D, 3D, 4D, 5D, 6D, 7D, 12D, 13).

Legs. Metatarsus I ventral spination: 2 pairs + apical pair. Tibia I ventral spination: 2 pairs + apical pair. Tarsus and metatarsus with scopula.


Fig. 17. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876, live females demonstrating common dorsal coloration morphs. A. Sandy morph, Midreshet Ben-Gurion. B. Dark brown morph, Mt. Gilboa. C. Light brown with dark brown median bands, Mt. Hermon. D. Light brown morph, Modi'in. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.

## Female

Female paratype (MNHN 1266) measurements. ALE diameter: 0.4; AME diameter: 0.5; PLE diameter: 0.95; PME diameter: 1.2; carapace length: 11.7; carapace width: 8.9; carapace maximum height: 3.6; abdomen length: 10 ; leg I (Fe, $\mathrm{Pa}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Mt}, \mathrm{Tr}): 8.2,4.8,6.6,6.8,3.8$; leg II: 7.8, 4.2, 6.4, 6.5, 3.7; leg III: 7, 3.8, 5.7, 7.5, 3.6; leg IV: 9.5, 4.3, 7.9, 11, 4.3.

Variation in females $(\mathrm{n}=172)$. ALE diameter: $0.32-0.44$; AME diameter: $0.32-0.53$; PLE diameter: 0.68-1.05; PME diameter: 0.82-1.3; carapace length: 6.26-14.29; carapace width: 4.61-10.89; carapace maximum height: 2.37-4.08; abdomen length: 7.51-11.73; leg I (Fe, $\mathrm{Pa}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Mt}, \mathrm{Tr}): 4.99-9.44,2.61-5$, 3.91-7.93, 3.58-7.79, 2.13-3.91; leg II: 4.88-8.85, 2.2-4.9, 4.07-7.14, 3.9-7.49, 2.21-3.94; leg III: 4.43-8.48, 2.17-4.19, 3.68-6.6, 4.06-8.26, 2.24-3.63; leg IV: 5.87-x, 2.53-4.8, 4.8-8.9, 6.41-12.26, 2.82-4.54.

Carapace. As in male.

Color. As in male (Figs 6G, 7G, 14, 16-17).
Genitalia. Epigyne: oval, longer than wide, anterior tip pinched, merging with background. Septal pedicel reduced. Septum less than $1 / 2$ epigyne length, subtriangular, as long as wide, slightly constricted


Fig. 18. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876, paratype, $q$ (MNHN 1266, vial 2076). A. Epigyne, ventral view. B. Epigyne, dorsal view. C. Habitus, dorsal view. D. Habitus, ventral view. Scale bars $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}=$ $0.5 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}=10 \mathrm{~mm}$. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.
posteriorly, tips rounded. Atria reduced to slits, slightly widening posteriorly. Copulatory openings narrow, at anterior end of septum. Two low, parallel ridges anterior to copulatory openings. Vulva: distal part of spermathecae narrow, oriented dorso-ventrally (parallel). Head of spermatheca elongated, conical, not much wider than spermatheca, oriented vendtrad, positioned anteriorly to copulatory opening (Figs 6G, 7G, 9C-L, 10C-L, 11E-F).

Variation in female genitalia ( $\mathrm{n}=241$ ). Epigyne: proportions vary greatly! General shape of epigyne oval to pentagonal; as long as wide to much longer than wide; sometimes asymmetrical in relation to septum. Septum triangular to trapezoidal, almost rectangular, wider than long to longer than wide. Copulatory openings, usually reduced to slits, but rarely a little wider. Wide copulatory openings sometimes appear in laboratory-raised specimens (not found in the wild, Fig. 27). Anterior edges of atria aligned or prolaterally slanting, wider or narrower than septal pedicel. Ridges anterior to copulatory openings sometimes accentuated with dark color. Vulva: head of spermatheca often with protrusions ('warts') in random places. Distal part of spermatheca sometimes slightly undulating (Figs 9-10).

Legs. As in male.

## Natural history

The species is mostly nocturnal, but diurnal activity in winter is suspected. Females were collected throughout the year. Females carrying eggs or juveniles were collected September through January, with a single specimen from June. $79 \%$ of adult females ( 167 specimens) were collected July-October. Males were collected in April, July-October and December. $86 \%$ of the males ( 45 specimens) were collected in July-September. Subadult females were collected in March-July and October. Subadult males were collected in January and March-August. Juveniles were collected throughout the year (Table 4). Twenty seven of the specimens kept in the laboratory lived for more than a year. Specimen HUJ INV-


Fig. 19. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., holotype, $\widehat{\text { o }}$ (HUJ INV-AR20818), left pedipalp line drawings. A. Ventral view. B. Prolateral view. C. Retrolateral view. D. Distal view. Scale bars $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Drawings by I. Armiach Steinpress.

AR20813 was collected as a young juvenile, lived for 26 months and moulted ten times, before dying as a subadult (Fig. 26). Lycosa piochardi is found in a wide range of climates: dry summer continental climate, Mediterranean, steppe, arid desert and hyperarid desert, spanning altitudes of 380 m b.s.l. to 2400 m a.s.1., and average precipitations of 21 mm to 1150 mm . It is most commonly found in low scrub, grasslands and habitats with bare ground. Lycosa piochardi is abundant in natural and agricultural areas, but is very uncommon in urban environments. The burrow is built in open ground, in a great variety of soil types: from stabilized sand to alluvial clay soils and rocky regosols. The burrow opening is usually modified, with a short turret, made of vegetation held together with silk. Yet, it is not rare to find turretless entrances. Some turrets are found sealed with silk in autumn. One burrow (specimen HUJ INV-AR20912) found in a sandy substrate had a full silk lining. In rare cases $L$. piochardi is found in a retreat under a stone. Lycosa piochardi is a generalist predator, feeding on a wide variety of prey species. Captive specimens readily accept crickets, cockroaches, honeybees, flies, butterflies, pholcid spiders and other soft-bodied arthropods (personal observation). Beetles and bugs were usually rejected, yet wild specimens were observed feeding on Pyrrhocoris apterus (Linnaeus, 1758) (Figs 16-17, 21C-D, 22C-D, 25-26).

## Distribution

EGYPT, IRAN, ISRAEL, LEBANON, PALESTINE, SYRIA, TURKEY.

## Records

EGYPT: Sinai (Al-Qusaymah (Kadesh Barnea), Mt. Catherine). ISRAEL and PALESTINE: Coastal Plain (Akko, Ashdod, Be'eri, Gaza, Hadera, Hatsor, Herzlia, Holon, Kfar Bialik, Lod, Nitzanim, Ramat-Gan, Rehovot, Rishon LeTsiyon, Savyon, Talmei Menashe, Tel Aviv, Tira), Dead Sea Area (Ein Feshkha, Hawat Einot Kedem, Jericho), Galilee (Adamit, Ahihud forest, Biq`at Qedesh, Dishon, Eilon,


Fig. 20. Lycosa gesserit sp. nov., holotype, $\widehat{\jmath}^{\star}$ (HUJ INV-AR20631), left pedipalp line drawings. A. Ventral view. B. Prolateral view. C. Retrolateral view. D. Distal view. Scale bars $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Drawings by I. Armiach Steinpress.

Her Eliezer, Kfar HaHoresh, Kfar Kisch, Moreshet, Mt. Meron, Nahal Tzippori, Ramot Naftali, Snir, Yavne'el, Yiftah, Yuvalim, Zar'it, Zavit cave), Golan Heights (Horvat Susita, Nahal Yehudiya, Odem forest, Qatsrin, Waset), Hermon (Givon, Nabi Hazuri, upper chairlift station), Emeq Yizra'el (Kfar Baruh, Sarid), Jordan Valley (Ashdot Ya'akov, Ein Sukkot, Karei Deshe, Maoz Haim, Menahemia, Nahal Hagal, Sde Eliyahu, Southern Jordan Valley), Judea ('Ayn ad Duyuk, Alon, Arad, Beit Guvrin, Beit Nir, Ben Shemen, Bet Yatir, Biq`at Qanna’im, Bor `Atin, Canada Park, Har Amasa, Har Hardon, Horkanya valley, Jerusalem, Kfar Daniel, Kiryat Anavim, Kiryat Shmuel, Ma’ale Adumim, Maon ridge, Mar Saba, Modi'in, Negohot, Ramat Rachel, South Hebron Mountains), Karmel (Isfiya, Ramat Hanadiv, Zikhron Ya'akov), Negev (Ariel Sharon camp, Avdat, Be'er Mash'abim, Be'er Milka, Be'er Sheva, Brosh, Dimona ridge, Ezuz, Giv'ot Bar, Gvaot Goral, Haluqim ridge, Har Karkom, Hirbet Rimon, Holot 'Agur, Irus HaNegev, Lehavim, Lipa Gal lookout, Mamshit, Merhav Am, Midreshet Ben-Gurion, Mitzpe Ramon, Nahal Gmalim, Nahal Hamarmar, Nahal Ashalim, Nahal Nafha, Nahal Revivim, Netivot, Nitzana, Yeruham, Shivta camp), Samaria (Ari'el, Barkan, Ein Dor, Gilboa, Hemdat, Ibthan, Jatt, Megiddo, Mehola, Mehora, Wadi Al-Far’a). JORDAN: Jerash. SYRIA (Fig. 1)

## Relationships

According to our molecular phylogeny, this species is closely related to Lycosa baulnyi (Figs 23-24).


Fig. 21. Typical habitats. A. Mediterranean grassland, Karmiel. B. Desert loess plain, Yeruham. C. Desert rocky slope, Yeruham. D. Mediterranean dwarf scrub (batha), Yodfat. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.

## Notes

We could not locate the holotype of Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876, either in its original repository in the MNHN or in other collections. For the identification we used a paratype (MNHN 1266, Fig. 18) that was collected with the holotype and identified by Simon.

We examined the holotype of Lycosa piochardi infraclara Strand, 1915 (SMFD2184). We synonymize it with L. piochardi, as its morphology (Figs 9F, 10F) falls within the normal range of L. piochardi morphology and is described from the typical area of distribution for this species.

We could not locate the holotype of Allocosa olivieri (Simon, 1876), but judging from the locality (the Jordan Valley) and the shape of the epigyne in the illustration (Simon 1876: pl. 3 fig. 10), it should probably be synonymized with L. piochardi.

A male specimen (BMNH 742) collected by Koch in Sardarapat (Armenia) was originally identified as L. piochardi. Our examination shows it to be L. praegrandis, with a characteristic semicircular conductor, rather than a triangular one.


Fig. 22. Retreats. A. Geolycosa vultuosa C.L. Koch, 1838, burrow with turret made of soil. B. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., burrow with door, Midreshet Ben-Gurion. C-E. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876. C. Burrow with turret made of plant material, Midreshet Ben-Gurion. D. Burrow without turret, Tel Kofer. E. Retreat under stone, Ariel Sharon camp. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.

Lycosa piochardi was recently recorded by Zamani et al. (2021) from Iran. The epigynes and spermathecae presented in the paper (Zamani et al. 2021: fig. 8) bear great resemblance to the material examined by us. However, the Iranian specimens differ by having stouter spermathecae with bulbous heads (unlike the elongated heads in our material). A molecular phylogeny may help to decide whether the specimens are indeed L. piochardi, or represent a closely related, but separate species.

## Phylogenetic relationships based on COI

The 28 S segments we recovered proved to be too conservative for this study and were omitted from the phylogenetic analysis. Bayesian analysis of COI was performed for 78 specimens ( 16 original) spanning 20 species: Arctosa alluaudi Guy, 1966, Hogna radiata (Latreille, 1817), Pisaura mirabilis (Clerck, 1757), Pirata piraticus (Clerck, 1757), and Xerolycosa miniata (C.L. Koch, 1834) as outgroup taxa, and Lycosa aff. oculata 1 Planas, 2013, Lycosa aff. oculata 2 Planas, 2013, Lycosa aff. suboculata Planas 2013, Lycosa baulnyi Simon, 1876, Lycosa bedeli Simon, 1876, Lycosa fasciiventris Dufour, 1836, Lycosa hispanica (Walckenaer, 1837), Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov., Lycosa munieri Simon, 1876, Lycosa gesserit sp. nov., Lycosa oculata Simon, 1876, Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1966, Lycosa praegrandis C.L. Koch, Lycosa suboculata Guy, 1966, Lycosa tarantula (Linnaeus, 1758), and Lycosa vachoni Guy, 1966 as ingroup taxa. The cladogram topology recovered Lycosa s. str. as monophyletic (posterior probability $(\mathrm{PP})=1$ ), with a topology largely congruent with that found by Planas et al. (2013). Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov. came out as monophyletic ( $\mathrm{PP}=1$ ), and as a sister tax on of $L$. gesserit sp. nov. (PP $=0.92$ ). The two species formed a sister taxon to $L$. oculata $+L$. aff. oculata $1+L$. aff. oculata 2 clade $(\mathrm{PP}=0.74)$. Lycosa piochardi came out as monophyletic $(\mathrm{PP}=0.9)$ and as a sister species to L. baulnyi ( $\mathrm{PP}=1$ ). (Figs 23-24).

## Ecological survey

A total of 47 individuals of the genus $L y \cos a$ were recorded ( 30 in Midreshet Ben-Gurion, 17 in Yeruham park), of these 31 were $L$. hyraculus sp. nov. and 16 L. piochardi. The micro-habitats of the two species were found to be significantly different in all parameters (Table 5). The abundance of Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov. was positively correlated with a plain habitat, with a surface incline smaller than $10^{\circ}$, east and north facing slopes, distance from shrubs $(0-9 \mathrm{~m})$, low percentage of stones on surface $(0.3-77 \%)$ and localities with sparse vegetation. The abundance of Lycosa piochardi was positively correlated with a hill habitat with a surface incline greater than $10^{\circ}$, south facing slopes, short distance from shrubs ( $0-1.06 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and high percentage of stones on the surface ( $8.9-99.8 \%$ ). But the habitat category 'hill' was significantly positively correlated with the degree of incline (likelihood ratio $=0.0002$, Pearson $=$ 0.0002 ) and distance from nearest shrub (two-tailed T test, $\mathrm{p}=0.03$ ), but not with direction of incline (likelihood ratio $=0.3$, Pearson $=0.5)$ and amount of vegetation (two-tailed $T$ test, $\mathrm{p}=0.6)($ Table 5$)$.

## Discussion

In this study we aimed at exploring the identity and diversity of the large lycosids of Israel and Palestine. We used genital and somatic morphology as well as burrow building behavior to hypothesize how many species of Lycosa are found in our material. Our initial hypothesis using morphology suggested three to five species, while using burrow building behavior our hypothesis suggested only two species: a door- and a turret-building species. Testing these hypotheses with molecular analyses recovered three species of Lycosa, of which two are new to science. We found one additional specimen that may be a fourth species also new to science; however, we could not test this species hypothesis with either burrow building behavior or molecular analysis as we had only one female specimen collected more than 30 years ago with no information on its burrow construction. This specimen differs in its genital structures from all the other material we had; however, because we had no male and only one specimen, we decided to document it but not formally describe it. In addition, we report here one species of Geolycosa, a genus new to the region.

We also aimed to differentiate between a number of possible hypotheses as to the origins of southern Levantine Lycosa: the local species could be nested in the western north African clade and therefore would have originated from the aforementioned region either relatively recently or before the radiation into the current lineages; conversely, they could belong to a sister clade to the African Lycosa. Our results point to a relatively recent radiation within the western north African clades and at least two waves of eastward migration. Furthermore, we aimed to understand the ecological relationships between the southern Levantine species and to map their distribution to the different available habitats. We found that the seeming sympatry of two species at low resolution is resolved into apparent niche partitioning at high resolution, with Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov. using the loess plains as a preferable habitat, and


Fig. 23. Bayesian phylogeny cladogram, showing the topology of Lycosa Latreille, 1804. Posterior probabilities of 1 marked by asterisk. Specimens used for this phylogeny detailed in Table 2. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.
L. piochardi occupying the hill habitat when in sympatry with $L$. hyraculus, and both habitats when L. hyraculus is absent.

## Morphology

## Intraspecific variation

Lycosa piochardi is a species with a high variation in genital and somatic morphology. Size (Fig. 14), dorsal coloration (Fig. 17), ventral pattern (Fig. 15) and epigyne shape (Fig. 9) are all found in several variants. While the shade of the dorsal coloration could be tied to the color of the surface (lighter on desert soils, darker on Mediterranean soils), the other characters do not seem to be closely tied to environmental factors and tend to vary within local populations. Because of this variation, L. piochardi was historically identified as several taxa, such as L. tarantula, Allocosa olivieri (Simon, 1876) and even


Fig. 24. Bayesian phylogeny cladogram, showing the topology of the oculata group. Posterior probabilities of 1 marked by asterisk. Specimens used for this phylogeny detailed in Table 2.
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Table 5. Results of ecological survey of Lycosa spp. in the 13-14 Sep. 2020.

| habitat parameters | L. hyraculus sp. nov. | L. piochardi | significance of difference |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Individuals per <br> habitat type | Plain | 26 | 9 | Pearson's test: 0.03 |
|  | hill | 5 | 7 |  |
| Individuals per <br> surface incline: <br> angle | $<10^{\circ}$ | 23 | 5 | Pearson's test: 0.005 |
|  | $>10^{\circ}$ | 6 | 9 |  |
|  | n.a. | 2 | 2 |  |
| Individuals per <br> surface incline: <br> direction | east | 8 | 0 |  |
|  | west | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | north | 11 | 9 | 9 |

as Hogna radiata (Latreille, 1817) (Shulov 1943). To explore the possible existence of several species we chose the specimens in the phylogeny as to represent a wide range of habitats (stony desert, sandy desert, Mediterranean scrub, Mediterranean grassland, maquis and alpine tragacanth steppe), retreat types (turreted burrow, silk-lined burrow and specimens hiding under stones), epigyne shapes (types D, F, H, I, K as seen in Fig. 9) and ventral patterns (types A, B, C, E as seen in Fig. 15). All specimens of $L$. piochardi were recovered in the COI phylogeny as both monophyletic and closely related, likely belonging to a single species.

Size ranges of males and females are generally overlapping, but a few females ( $\mathrm{n}=27, \sim 15 \%$ of measured females) in our dataset are 'gigantic', with a carapace longer than 11.71 mm (the longest male carapace
in the dataset), up to 14.29 mm . It is unknown what factors influence the size of the spiders in this study and whether 'gigantism' in females is of any significance.

## Abnormal variation in lab grown female specimens

Describing new species from individuals raised in captivity, without seeing wild adult might be problematic. Many of the specimens in this dataset were collected in the wild and raised to maturity in captivity. We have noticed that in both L. piochardi and L. hyraculus sp. nov., females that took more than one molt to mature in captivity sometimes developed epigyne shapes different from those of specimens that were collected mature or molted to maturity shortly after collection (Fig. 27). Some of these epigynes were paedomorphic or weakly sclerotized, but others did not appear unusual, except (apparently) not being found in nature. Compared to the symmetrical, almost triangular epigynes of specimens from the field, the epigynes of captive-raised specimens of Lycosa tended to be asymmetrical and almost rectangular, with wide atria (wild specimens usually have narrow atria). We assume all of these to be abnormal, resulting from some discrepancy between the natural and laboratory conditions.

Male genitalia were seemingly unaffected and were identical in shape to the genitalia of specimens collected from the wild. We have decided to describe the laboratory raised specimen, HUJ INV-AR20631, as a new species (Lycosa gesserit sp. nov.) only after both seeing that the male pedipalp differs from other species (Figs 2, 20), and receiving supporting data in the molecular phylogeny (Figs 23-24).


Fig. 25. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876, habitat extremes. A. Alpine tragacanth steppe, Mt. Hermon. B. Natural woodland, Odem Forest. C. Sandy desert, western Negev. D. Dead Sea oasis, En Gedi. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.

## Biogeography

This study concentrated on Israel and Palestine, whereas only a few specimens from other countries were available to us. Therefore, the species list presented in this paper should not be seen as exhaustive for the southern Levant, as additional species may be found in Jordan and Egypt (Sinai Peninsula). Lycosa piochardi can be assumed to be distributed throughout the region, but the other species of Lycosa appear to have more restricted local distributions. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov. is confined in Israel to the central Negev Desert and is also found in the central Sinai Peninsula, which is a continuation of the aforementioned geographical region. Lycosa gesserit sp. nov. and Lycosa sp. were recorded from Israel and are likely found in hyperarid habitats in Jordan and the Sinai Peninsula.

Planas et al. (2013) constructed a phylogeny of western Mediterranean Lycosa and suggested that all western European species originated in a few expansion events from western north Africa. Our additions to this phylogeny do not alter the topology presented by Planas et al. and indeed support their conclusions. In our phylogeny $L$. piochardi is a sister species of $L$. baulnyi from the southern Atlas Mountains. The phylogeny supports $L$. piochardi as monophyletic, but lacks the resolution to show any topology within the clade. Lycosa hyraculus sp. nov. and L. gesserit sp. nov. appear as sister species in the L. oculata clade. These findings support the hypothesis that the southern Levantine species originated in separate expansion events, possibly from Africa. Despite the similarity to Lycosa praegrandis, the hypothesis that $L$. piochardi represents a northern lineage related to L. praegrandis was not supported, as the two species are not closely related in our phylogeny. A north African origin of the genus could be suggested, as all the non-African species (L. hyraculus, L. gesserit, L. piochardi, L. praegrandis, L. tarantula + L. hispanica) in our phylogeny have African sister clades. Adding genetic material from other west Asian and north African countries (e.g., Iran, Egypt, respectively) should further clear up the delimitation and historical biogeography of this genus.

It is interesting to note that according to Planas et al. (2013), species of Lycosa are sympatric only when they belong to different lineages (of the four main lineages outlined by those authors). This is also the case in our study area. Additionally, it is consistent with the apparent lack of sympatry between L. praegrandis and L. piochardi, despite the geographical proximity (as both belong to the same main lineage - the 'baulnyi group'). If we take this to be a general rule, it would be more reasonable to assume that L. piochardi is indeed a species with high variation in genital and somatic morphology, rather than a


Fig. 26. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876, ten carapace molts shed between 9 Mar. 2018-1 Jun. 2020, by a single specimen (HUJ INV-AR20813) in laboratory conditions. Scale bar $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$. Photo by I. Armiach Steinpress.
number of closely related sympatric species. Identifying the region where there is a replacement between L. praegrandis and L. piochardi may contribute to understanding their ecology and biogeographic history.

Another interesting observation, in apparent contradiction with the lack of sympatry between related lineages mentioned above, is the phylogenetic and geographical proximity of $L$. hyraculus sp. nov. and L. gesserit sp. nov. (Figs 1, 24). They appear to maintain separate populations in proximity to one another, suggesting a reduced mobility. These species belong to the L. oculata group, which in Planas et al. (2013) is the most speciose clade, most species of which occupy small, closely located distributions. The species of the oculata group are also very similar in morphology, a fact that is accentuated by the discovery of three of them during the study of Planas et al. (2013), based on molecular phylogeny. All this is suggestive of the tendency to become isolated and to form species with restricted distributions. While isolation due to low dispersibility may be the reason for the existence of the two species in geographical proximity, another possible reason for the proximity of L. hyraculus and L. gesserit may be the ecological vicariance. Lycosa hyraculus inhabits arid desert habitats, where the annual precipitation is between 200 and 75 mm , and at an elevation lower than 500 m a.s.l., while the only specimen of L. gesserit was found in the hyperarid (precipitation under 75 mm ) Har Karkom, at an elevation over 600 m a.s.l. Each species could be adapted to very specific climatic conditions, such as levels of humidity (DeVito et al. 2004). Yet, there seems to be a gap between the distributions of the two species, where despite apparently suitable conditions and repeated searches, only L. piochardi was found. Due to this restricted distribution and apparent low mobility, L. hyraculus might come under danger of extinction,


Fig. 27. Lycosa 우 raised in laboratory for more than one molt, possibly aberrant epigynes, ventral view. A. L. hyraculus sp. nov. HUJ INV-AR20665. B-G. Lycosa piochardi Simon, 1876. B. HUJ INV-AR20576. C. HUJ INV-AR20552. D. HUJ INV-AR20668. E. HUJ INV-AR20563. F. HUJ INVAR20783. G. HUJ INV-AR20549. Scale bars $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Photos by I. Armiach Steinpress.
as loess plains in Israel are being developed for housing and agriculture and as the current climate change may further aridify this habitat.

## Ecological survey

The coexistence of two similarly-sized, congeneric species always raises the question of resource partitioning. In our preliminary survey in the central Negev Desert, the distribution of the two species of Lycosa across the two main habitat types (plain and hill) was found to be significantly differential. The different association of the species with magnitude of incline, percentage of stones on surface and distance to shrubs may be explained by the attributes of the different habitats, but even though most specimens of $L$. piochardi were found in the plain habitat, they inhabited steeper surfaces compared to $L$. hyraculus sp. nov. The differential occupation of incline directions may be due to microhabitat preference. South and north slopes differ greatly in evaporation, due to different exposure to the sun (Pavlícek et al. 2003) and are known to have differences in biomass and species composition. The difference in amount of vegetation in the vicinity of the two species of Lycosa may suggest that $L$. piochardi in the survey area has a tendency to be found in patches of ground more densely vegetated than those typical of L. hyraculus. Based on these preliminary findings, we would like to suggest that in the survey area some specialization of $L$. hyraculus and $L$. piochardi occurs both at the habitat and microhabitat level. Lycosa piochardi is dominant in steep hill habitats, while $L$. hyraculus is dominant in flat plain habitats. Yet, in some habitats these species coexist, and there may exist a finer niche partitioning, in which $L$. piochardi is found in the steeper, more densely vegetated patches, while L. hyraculus is found in the flatter, more sparsely vegetated patches. At localities where only L. piochardi is found, it was observed occupying all habitats. Further collection of data will be needed to explore the behavioral/ecological interactions of $L$. hyraculus and $L$. piochardi.
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