Analysis of Barriers for Business Start in Latvia

Biruta Sloka

University of Latvia , Aspazijas bulv. 5, LV - 1050, Riga, Latvia

Ināra Kantāne

University College of Economics and Culture, Lomonosova st.1 c.5, LV - 1019, Riga, Latvia

Elita Jermolajeva

Full Member of Latvian Academy of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences

Valdis Avotins

Ventspils University College, Ventspils International Radio Astronomy Center Inzenieru st. 101a, LV–3601, Ventspils, Latvia

tross http://dx.doi.org/10.5755/j01.eis.0.10.14570

Entrepreneurship is one of driving forces for economic development, in European Union it has to be paid more attention to keep competitiveness in the world. Various aspects of entrepreneurship including on barriers for business start are covered in research world–wide. The aim of the current paper is to analyse barriers of new business starters in Latvia. The tasks of the current research: analysis of theoretical findings in scientific publications; analysis of new business starters views on barriers for business start; to perform statistical analysis of new business starters evaluations on business barriers. Research methods: scientific literature studies, survey of entrepreneurs in the business start phase in Latvia (survey was conducted in the period between October 2013 and March 2014, the number of respondents 209). In survey for most of questions evaluation scale 1 – 5 is applied. For data processing descriptive statistical indicators, cross tabulations, factor analysis, Mann–Whitney U test, Kruskal Wallis test are applied. The key results: in Latvia entrepreneurs as the serious barriers for business start evaluate – unreliable employees, complex and confusing tax system, weak economy, availability of long–term financial capital. The complex factors – barriers for business start are: infrastructure and unsafe environment; knowledge and skills in management; business environment; lack of financial resources.

KEYWORDS: business starting barriers, entrepreneurship, entrepreneur's views

Successful entrepreneurship is the precondition for country economic growth, employment, well-being of population and is on special importance in the European Union. The statistical data of the Enterprise Register of Latvia (Enterprise Register of Republic of Latvia, 2016) shows that during the last years start-up of companies in the country is decreasing. In 2015 the number of new registered enterprises decreased approximately by 8% in comparison with 2014 but the number of liquidated enterprises increased approximately by 54%.

Various aspects of entrepreneurship including on barriers for business start are covered in research world–wide. The problem is on great importance in the EU as European Union is lacking back in competitiveness rankings. The aim of the current paper is to analyse barriers of new

EIS 10/2016

Analysis of Barriers for Business Start in Latvia

Submitted 03/2016

Accepted for publication 09/2016

Abstract

Introduction



European Integration Studies No. 10/ 2016 pp. 145–156 DOI 10.5755/j01.eis.0.10.14570 © Kaunas University of Technology



business starters in Latvia. For deeper analysis the barriers for business start are analysed by age groups of new business starters, previous experience in management and by gender. The tasks of the current research: analysis of theoretical findings in scientific publications; analysis of new business starter's views on barriers for business start; statistical analysis of new business starter's evaluations on business start barriers by help of different statistical analysis methods. Research methods: scientific literature studies, survey of entrepreneurs in the business start phase in Latvia (survey was conducted in the period between October 2013 and March 2014, the number of respondents 209). In survey for most of the questions evaluation scale 1 – 5 is applied. The mentioned scale was selected to make comparisons with results on studies of business start barriers in other countries. For data processing descriptive statistical indicators (indicators of central tendency or location; indicators of variability – range and standard deviation), cross tabulations, factor analysis, Mann–Whitney U test, Kruskal Wallis test are applied for analysis of survey data.

The results of empirical research shows that unreliable employees, complex and confusing tax system, weak economy, availability of long-term financial capital are very important barriers for business start in Latvia.

Theoretical background

146

Academic research world-wide has paid a lot of attention by researchers of stable business creation influencing factors, on aspects making obstacles for company development in different fields in each of the field having specifics, limitations and risks but also challenges for development including in science business taking into account promises, reality and futures of the respective field development (Friedman, 2006). Different countries and different parts of the world and continents have different experience and different barriers for entrepreneurship start and development (Volery, et al, 1997). Many researchers have stated question: what motivates entrepreneurs to start and develop their business (Kirkwood & Walton, 2010). Barriers for entrepreneurship start in different European countries has been studied by international research team and results indicated that in most of countries biggest barriers for business start-up are institutional barriers as well as lack of skills (lakovleva, et al, 2014). Differences in barriers for start-up os small and micro size enterprises are noticed in many countries (Sandra, et al, 2000). Each of countries for barriers of business start-up can find their differences and challenges (Gill & Biger, 2012). Researchers have noticed several barriers depending on industry and markets – 25 different barriers have been discovered and analysed (Karakaya, 2002). How experience influence business success is a serious and deeply researched aspects (Kuckertz & Wagner, 2010). From other side academic researchers have paid their research focus also on success of entrepreneurship graduates – what makes them succesful and what is lacking for successful entrepreneurship start-up (Smith & Beasley, 2011). Different countries have different approaches to such analysis and different results (Choo & Wong, 2006). Sustainable production development and conditions including experience in past is on researchers agenda (Hall, et al. 2010). Researchers have analysed also study conditions, programs and challenges (Shinnar, et al, 2009). Entrepreneurial scientists and their collaborations are on special interest as it could be successful way for new product development (Oliver, 2004). Technology business incubators are evaluated as important and powerful source to help build an innovation-based economy (Lalkaka, 2002). Technology and equipment in some areas are on great importance and value (Grohn, et al, 2015). Barriers of entrepreneurship start - up for different age groups and education level entrepreneurs are analysed as well (Robertson, et al, 2003). Entrepreneurship educations several aspects are monitored by researchers on regular basis (Pitaway &, Cope, 2007). Issues on entrepreneurship start-up, growth as well as classification aspects of problems that make entrepreneurship start-up problematic have been on researcher's agenda already many decades (Terpstra, et al, 1993). Entrepreneurship environment as well as industry life –cycle makes influence on entrepreneur's performance and results (Lumpkin & Dess, 2001). Problems and brakes of entrepreneurship are studied by different approaches (Morrison, 2000). Innovation evaluation aspects are on great importance and have different experience (Daugeliene & Jucepute 2011). Cultural backgroud makes influence on entrepreneurial activities and results (Moriano, et al, 2012). Can everybody even having solida academic background be a entrepreneur - those issues are on importance for academic researchers (Lüthje & Franke, (2003). Can entrepreneurs rely on theory and expected behaviour of possible customers are the issues analysed by scientists (Lien, et al, 2002). Relationships among the research organisations and ways of their interaction is on great researchers interest (Musajeva, 2015). Differences among entrepreneurs on their motivation and barriers for business start and development are diffetrent in different continents and countries (Giacomin, et al, 2011). Availability of venture capital is mentioned as success for sustainable start – up as venture capitalists provide triple bottom line business advice and network support (Bocken, 2015). The role of SMEs in improving the competitive position of the European Union are covered in varios reseraches and scientific publications, including researchers from United Kingdon (Floyd, McManus, 2005). Normal entrepreneurship development in EU is on special interest to have not so big gap in the competitiveness with developed countries in other continents, like Switzerland, Japan, USA, Indonesia and other) *World Economic Forum, 2016). The analysis of business barriers are going on as those aspects are on great importance for practical applications in business of the academic research findings and developed suggestions.

Taking into account theoretical findings of barriers for business start–up it was selected most mentioned barriers. For current research 16 barriers for business start were measured with a five–point Likert scale. The evaluation 1 would rate as unimportant, 2 – not very important, 3 – mildly important, 4 – very important and 5 – extremely important. The indicators of central tendency or location (arithmetic mean, mode and median) showed that the most important barriers for business start were unreliable employees (arithmetic mean – 4, mode – 5 and median – 4, in evaluation scale 1 – 5), complex and confusing tax system (arithmetic mean – 3.8, mode – 5, median – 4), state interference/bureaucracy (arithmetic mean – 3.7, mode – 5, median – 4), weak economy (arithmetic mean – 3.7, mode – 4, median – 4), availability of financial capital (arithmetic mean – 3.7, mode – 4, median – 4). The new business starters evaluated listed barriers as very important. The evaluations of new business starters of new business start were quite heterogeneous (standard deviation). The main statistical indicators (indicators of central tendency or location and indicators of variability) of new business starter's evaluations on barriers for business start are reflected in Table 1.

The full range of evaluation scale was covered by respondents for all analysed aspects but most variability was indicated for barriers *problems with electric power supply* as well as *complex enterprise registration process* as the indicators of variability – standard deviations were the biggest. The differences of views by respondents of the survey were the smallest for barrier *too much competition* and barrier *availability of short-term capital*.

For deeper analysis the barriers for business start were analysed by age groups of new business starters, by the previous experience of business starters and by gender.

The empirical research results showed that barriers *too much competition*, and *lack of marketing trainings*, and barrier *unsafe surroundings/environments* which were a bit higher evaluated by older new business starters (aged 60 years or more). Young new business starters (aged 20–39)

Empirical research results and discussion lightly higher have evaluated barrier *availability of long–term financial capital*. Other business barriers were evaluated similarly by new business starters in all age groups of business starters. Statistical analysis of new business starters evaluations by age groups showed that the evaluations did not differ statistically significant by ages groups (Kruskal Wallis test, *p*>0.975). The distribution of the average values of new business starter's evaluations on barriers for business start by age groups is reflected in Table 2.

Table 1

Main statistical indicators of new business starters evaluations on business barriers

Barriers for business start	Arithmetic mean	Median	Mode	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Unreliable employees	4.0	4	5	1.18	1	5
Complex and confusing tax system	3.8	4	5	1.21	1	5
Too much state interference/bureaucracy	3.7	4	5	1.16	1	5
Weak economy	3.7	4	4	1.05	1	5
Availability of long–term financial capital	3.7	4	4	1.04	1	5
Availability of short-term capital	3.6	4	4	0.97	1	5
Too much competition	3.4	3	3	0.96	1	5
Failure to maintain accurate accounting records	3.4	4	4	1.25	1	5
Lack of marketing training	3.1	3	3	1.11	1	5
Unsafe surroundings/ environments	3.1	3	3	1.24	1	5
Lack of training in management skills	3.0	3	3	1.11	1	5
Bad roads and transportation possibilities	3.0	3	3	1.33	1	5
Problems with electric power supply	3.0	3	1	1.44	1	5
Complex enterprise registration process	2.6	2	1	1.30	1	5
Foreign currency exchange restrictions	2.4	2	1	1.24	1	5
Limited parking	2.2	2	1	1.16	1	5

Source: Authors' calculations based on entrepreneurs survey conducted in October 2013 – March 2014, n=209. Evaluation scale 1 – 5, where 1 – unimportant, 2 – not very important, 3 – mildly important, 4 – very important, 5 – extremely important.

European Integration Studies

2016/10

	Ages groups											
Business barriers	ess barriers 20–29 (n=60) 30–3	30–39	30–39 (n=56)		40-49 (n=41)		50–59 (n=33)		>=60 (n=15)			
	Median	Mode	Median	Mode	Median	Mode	Median	Mode	Median	Mode		
Unreliable employees	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	4		
Too much competition	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	5		
Availability of short–term capital	3	3	4	4	3.5	4	3	3	4	4		
Availability of long-term financial capital	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4		
Too much state interference/ bureaucracy	4	5	4	2	4	4	4	5	3	3		
Weak economy	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	3	3		
Limited parking	2	1	2	1	3	1	2	3	2	2		
Lack of training in management skills	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	4		
Lack of marketing training	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	4		
Failure to maintain accurate accounting records	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	3		
Complex and confusing tax system	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4		
Unsafe surroundings/ environments	3	3	3	3	3	5	3	3	5	5		
Complex enterprise registration process	2	2	2	1	3	1	3	3	2	2		
Foreign currency exchange restrictions	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	2		
Bad roads and transportation possibilities	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4		
Problems with electric power supply	3	5	3	1	3	1	3	4	3.5	4		

Table 2

Distribution of average values of evaluations on new business starters of business barriers by ages groups

Source: Authors' calculations based on entrepreneurs survey conducted in October 2013 – March 2014, n=209. Evaluation scale 1-5, where 1- unimportant, 2- not very important, 3- mildly important, 4- very important, 5- extremely important.



150

The evaluations of only one barrier – *weak economy* differed statistically significant between new business starters without experience in management and with experience 1–5 years in management (Mann–Whitney test, z=-2,952, p=0.003).

Other business barriers of new business starters without experience in management and with experience in management 1–5 years, with experience in management 6–10 years, with experience in management more than 10 years, were evaluated similarly by the respondents.

The distribution of average values on new business starter's evaluations on barriers for business starting by experience are reflected in Table 3.

The results of Mann–Whitney test showed that new business starter's evaluations of barriers too much competition, availability of short–term capital, lack of marketing training, failure to maintain accurate accounting records, complicated enterprise registration process" differed statistically significant by gender. The distribution of average values of new business starter's evaluations on barriers for business start by gender is reflected in Table 4.

For identifying the key business barriers and determining the mutual statistical relations of the business barriers the factor analysis were used.

As a result of the factor analysis the initial 16 indicators, through 7 iterations (by using the Varimax rotation) were grouped in 4 complex factors (see Table 5).

The interpretation of the identified complex factors with regard to the indicators with which the initial analysed aspects – indicators had relatively high burdens:

Complex factor F1– infrastructure, unsafe environment. The factor had relatively high burdens on the following indicators: foreign currency exchange restrictions, bad roads and transportation possibilities, problems with electric power supply, unsafe surroundings/environments, complex enterprise registration process.

2 Complex factor F2 – knowledge and skills in company management. The factor had relatively high burdens on the following indicators: lack of marketing training, lack of training in management skills, limited parking, failure to maintain accurate accounting records, too much competition.

3 Complex factor F3 – business environment. The factor had relatively high burdens on the following indicators: too much state interference/bureaucracy, unreliable employees, complex and confusing tax system, weak economy.

Complex factor F4 – lack of financial resources. The factor had relatively high burdens on the following indicators: availability of short–term capital, availability of long–term financial capital.

The complex factors – barriers for business start are: infrastructure and unsafe environment; knowledge and skills in management; business environment; lack of financial resources.

Those findings could be useful for policy makers before decision making on changing business environment for entrepreneurship development.

2016/10

	Experience of new business starters (years)										
Business barriers	0 (n=99)		1–5 (n=47)		6–10 (n=32)		>10 (n=26)				
	Median	Mode	Median	Mode	Median	Mode	Median	Mode			
Unreliable employees	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5			
Too much competition	3	3	3	4	3	3	3.5	3			
Availability of short– term capital	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	4			
Availability of long– term financial capital	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3			
Too much state interference/ bureaucracy	4	5	3	3	4	4	4	5			
Weak economy	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	4			
Limited parking	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	1			
Lack of training in management skills	3	3	3	2	3	4	3	4			
Lack of marketing training	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	4			
Failure to maintain accurate accounting records	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	3			
Complex and confusing tax system	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5			
Unsafe surroundings/ environments	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4			
Complex enterprise registration process	2	1	2	2	3	3	2	1			
Foreign currency exchange restrictions	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	1			
Bad roads and transportation possibilities	3	3	2	2	3	3	4	4			
Problems with electric power supply	3	1	2	2	3	4	3	4			

Table 3

Distribution of average values of evaluations on new business starters of business barriers by experience in management

151

Source: Authors' calculations based on entrepreneurs survey conducted in October 2013 – March 2014, n=209. Evaluation scale 1-5, where 1 – unimportant, 2 – not very important, 3 – mildly important, 4 – very important, 5 – extremely important.



European Integration Studies

2016/10

Table 4

Distribution of average values of evaluation on new business starters of business barriers by gender

			Males (n=9	2)	Females (n=95)			
	Sign.	Median	Mode	Arithmetic mean	Median	Mode	Arithmetic mean	
Unreliable employees	0.865	4	5	4.1	4	5	4.0	
Too much competition	0.001	3	3	3.2	4	4	3.6	
Availability of short–term capital	0.002	3	3	3.3	4	4	3.7	
Availability of long-term financial capital	0.166	4	4	3.6	4	4	3.8	
Too much state interference/bureaucracy	0.446	4	5	3.6	4	4	3.7	
Weak economy	0.777	4	3	3.8	4	4	3.8	
Limited parking	0.209	2	1	2.0	2	1	2.2	
Lack of training in management skills	0.602	3	3	3.0	3	3	3.1	
Lack of marketing training	0.043	3	3	3.0	3	4	3.3	
Failure to maintain accurate accounting records	0.018	3	3	3.2	4	4	3.6	
Complex and confusing tax system	0.056	4	5	3.6	4	5	4.0	
Unsafe surroundings/ environments	0.229	3	2	3.0	3	3	3.2	
Complex enterprise registration process	0.016	2	1	2.3	3	3	2.8	
Foreign currency exchange restrictions	0.086	2	1	2.2	2	2	2.5	
Bad roads and transportation possibilities	0.812	3	5	3.0	3	3	3.1	
Problems with electric power supply	0.155	3	2	2.8	3	5	3.1	

Source: Authors' calculations based on entrepreneurs survey conducted in October 2013 – March 2014, n=209. Evaluation scale 1 – 5, where 1 – unimportant, 2 – not very important, 3 – mildly important, 4 – very important, 5 – extremely important.

Business barriers	Factors						
(initial indicators)	F1	F2	F3	F4			
Foreign currency exchange restrictions	0.782	0.315	-0.076	0.192			
Bad roads and transportation possibilities	0.715	-0.034	0.239	0.181			
Problems with electric power supply	0.697	0.098	0.412	0.057			
Unsafe surroundings/environments	0.602	0.279	0.319	0.102			
Complex enterprise registration process	0.585	0.518	0.123	0.070			
Lack of marketing training	0.057	0.839	0.203	0.154			
Lack of training in management skills	0.108	0.823	0.261	0.056			
Limited parking	0.333	0.633	0.001	-0.029			
Failure to maintain accurate accounting records	0.400	0.428	0.427	-0.192			
Too much competition	0.100	0.366	0.311	0.199			
Too much state interference/bureaucracy	0.171	0.179	0.692	0.174			
Unreliable employees	0.089	0.244	0.673	0.106			
Complex and confusing tax system	0.447	0.176	0.673	-0.066			
Weak economy	0.120	-0.017	0.548	0.417			
Availability of short-term capital	0.124	0.073	0.109	0.873			
Availability of long–term financial capital	0.141	0.114	0.140	0.859			

Table 5

The business barriers of Latvian entrepreneurs evaluations – factor analysis (complex factor matrix after rotation)

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization Rotation converged in 7 iterations

Source: Authors' calculations based on entrepreneurs survey conducted in October 2013 – March 2014, n=209. Evaluation scale 1 - 5, where 1 - unimportant, 2 - not very important, 3 - mildly important, 4 - very important, 5 - extremely important.

- _ In Latvia the main serious barriers for business start are: unreliable employees, complex and confusing tax system, too much state interference/bureaucracy, weak economy, availability of financial capital.
- _ Female entrepreneurs starting enterprise have indicated that there is a very big competition, lack of marketing training, availability of short-term capital, complex enterprise registration process. Those aspects are different statistically significant with male respondents.

Conclusions



- _ The entrepreneurs without experience evaluate importance of barrier ",weak economy" higher (as very important) than entrepreneurs with experience 1–5 years. The evaluations are different statistically significant.
- The evaluations of entrepreneurs on barriers for business start do not differ statistically significant by entrepreneur's age groups.
- _ The complex factors barriers for business start are: infrastructure and unsafe environment; knowledge and skills in management; business environment; lack of financial resources.
- _ The research findings could be used for policy development before decision making to improve business environment and consequently increasing global competitiveness and not lack so much with leaders in global competitiveness rankings.

References

154

Bocken, N.M.P. (2015). Sustainable Venture Capital – Catalyst for Sustainable Start–Up Success? Journal of Cleaner Production, 108(1), 647–658.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.05.079

Choo, S., Wong, M. (2006). Entrepreneurial Intention: Triggers and Barriers to New Venture Creation in Singapore. Singapore Management Review, 28(2), 47–64.

Daugeliene, R., Jucepute, S. (2011). The Evolvent of Criteria for Assessment of Innovation Expression in the State Level. Engineering Economics, 23(2), 154–162.

Enterprise Register of Republic of Latvia. (2016). Registers statistics. Retrieved March 14, 2016, from *http://www.ur.gov.lv/statistika.html?a=1092*

Floyd, D., McManus, J. (2005). The Role of SMEs in Improving the Competitive Position of the European Union, European Business Review, 17(2), 144 – 150.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09555340510588011

Friedman, Y. (2006). Science Business: The Promise, the Reality, and the Future of Biotech. Biotechnology Journal, 1, 1474–1474. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/biot.200690142

Giacomin, O., Janssen, F., Pruett, M., Shinnar, R.S., Llopis, F., Toney, B. (2011). Enteepreneurial Intentions, Motivations and Barriers: Differences among American, Asian and European Students. International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal, 7(2), 219–238.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11365-010-0155-y

Gill, A., Biger, N. (2012). Barriers to Small Busi-

ness Growth in Canada. Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development. 19(4), 656–668. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/14626001211277451

Grohn, K., Moody, K., Wortel, D., LeClair, N., Traina, A., Zluhah, E., Feuer, G. (2015). Lean Start–Up: A Case Study in the Establishment of Affordable Laboratory Infrastructure and Emerging Biotechnology Business Models. Journal of Commercial Biotechnology, 21(2), 60–68.

http://dx.doi.org/10.5912/jcb698

Hall, J.K., Daneke, G.A., Lenox., M.J. (2010). Sustainable Development and Entrepreneurship: Past Contributions and Future Directions. Journal of Business Venturing, 25(5), 439–448.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2010.01.002

Iakovleva, T.A., Kovereid, L., Gorgievski, M.J., Sorhang, O. (2014). Comparisions of Perceived Barriers to Entrepreneurship in Eastern and Western European Countries. International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management, 13(2–3), 115–133.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/IJEIM.2014.062874

Karakaya, F. (2002). Barriers to entry in industrial markets. Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing. 17(5), 379 – 388.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/08858620210439059

Kirkwood, J., Walton, S. (2010). What Motivates Entrepreneurs to Start Business? International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research, 16(3), 204–228.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/13552551011042799

Kuckertz, A., Wagner, M. (2010). The Influence of Sustainability Orientation on Entrepreneurial In-

tentions – Investigating the Role of Business Experience.

Journal of Business Venturing, 25(5), 524–539. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2009.09.001

Lalkaka, R. (2002). Technology Business Incubators to Help Build an Innovation–Based Economy. Journal of Change Management, 3, 167–176. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/714042533

Lien, L., Lutle, L.A., Komro, K.A. (2002). Aplying Theory of Planned Behaviour to Fruit and Vegetable Consumption of Young Adolescents. American Journal of Health Promotion, 16(4), 189–197. http://dx.doi.org/10.4278/0890–1171–16.4.189

Lumpkin, G.T., Dess, G.G. (2001). Linking Two Dimensions of Entrepreunerial Orientation to Firm Performance: The Moderating Role of Environment and Industry Life Cycle. Journal of Business Venturing, 16(5), 429–451.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026(00)00048-3

Lüthje, C., Franke, E. (2003). The "Making" of Entrepreneur. Testing a Model of Entrepreneurial Intent among Engineering Students of MIT. Research and Development Management, 32(2), 135–147. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467–9310.00288

Moriano, J.A., Gorgievski, M., Laguna, M., Stephan, U., Zarafshani, K. (2012). A Cross – Cultural Approach to Understanding Entrepreneurial Intention. Journal of Carreer Development, 39(2), 162–185. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0894845310384481

Morrison, A. (2000). Entrepreneurship: What Triggers it? International Journal of Entrepreunerial Behaviour and Research, 6(2), 59–72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/13552550010335976

Musajeva, K. (2015). Research Organisations and Business: Interaction Barriers in the Context of Innovative Development. Procedia: Social and Behavioral Sciences. 214, 201–211.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.11.663

Oliver, A.L. (2004). Biotechnology Entrepreneurial Scientists and Their Collaborations. Research Policy, 33(4), 583–597. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. respol.2004.01.010

Pitaway, L., Cope, J. (2007). Entrepreneurship Education: A Systematic Review of the Evidence. International Small Business Joirnal, 25(5), 479– 510. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0266242607080656

Robertson, M., Collins, A., Medeira, N., Slater, J. (2003). Barriers to Start–Up and Their Effect on Aspirant Entrepreneurs. Education and Training, 45(6), 308–310.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/00400910310495950

Sandra, L. Fielden, M., Davidson, P., Makin J. (2000). Barriers Encountered during Micro and Small Business Start–Up in North–West England. Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development. 7(4), 295–304.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/EUM000000006852

Shinnar, R., Pruett, M., Toney, B. (2009). Entrepreneurship Education: Attitudes Across Campus. Journal of Education for Business, 84(3), 151–159. http://dx.doi.org/10.3200/JOEB.84.3.151–159

Smith, K., Beasley, M. (2011). Graduate Entrepreneurs: Intentions, Barriers and Solutions. Education and Training, 53(8), 722–740.

Terpstra, D.E., Olson, P.D. (1993). Entrepreneurial Start–Up and Growth: A Classification of Problems. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 18(1), 5–20.

Volery, T., Doss, N., Mazzarol, T., Thein, V. (1997). Triggers and Barriers Affecting Entrepreneurial Intentionality: The Case of Western Australian Nascent Entrepreneurs. 42nd ICSB World Conference, 21–24. http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/ s0218495897000168

World Economic Forum. Global Competitiveness Report 2015–2016. (2016). Competitiveness Rankings 2016. Retrieved March 16, 2016 from http://reports.weforum.org/global–competitiveness– report–2015–2016/competitiveness–rankings/





About the authors

BIRUTA SLOKA Dr. oec., Professor

University of Latvia

Fields of research interests

She has participated in several research projects, headed the Euro Faculty Riga Centre. She is a Member of Latvian Association of Econometrists, Member of Association of Professors of Higher Education of Latvia and the President of Latvian Association of Statisticians

Address

Aspazijas bulv. 5, LV – 1050, Riga, Latvia Tel. +371 29244966 E-mail: Biruta. Sloka@lu.lv

INARA KANTANE

Dr. admin., Associate Professor – University of Economics and Culture, researcher – University of Latvia

University College of Economics and Culture

Fields of research interests

Fields of study are small and medium business, factors influencing business development, employment and it impact on fertility and migration; had been researcher in ESF, ERAF projects and in the projects of University of Latvia; member of Latvian Association of Statisticians

Address

Lomonosova st.1 c.5, LV – 1019, Riga, Latvia Tel. +371 29491763 E-mail: Inara. Kantane@lu.lv

ELITA JERMOLAJEVA

Dr. oec, Associate Professor, Expert of Latvian Council of Science (Economics),Full Member of Latvian Academy of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences, Editor-in Chief of the scientific Journal 'Regional Review', MeRSA (Member of Regional Studies Association), RSA Ambassador for Latvia

Latvian Academy of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences

Fields of research interests

Regional development; entrepreneurship development; territorial marketing study

Address

Tel. +371 29444989 E-mail: Elita. Jermolajeva@gmail.com

VALDIS AVOTINS

Dr. chem. Associate Professor

Ventspils University College, Ventspils International Radio Astronomy Center

Fields of research interests

Main research interests in knowledge transfer and commercialization and early stage business development. In 2014 he helped to EC as an expert to assess Latvia's research and technology development environment

Address

Inzenieru st. 101a, LV–3601, Ventspils, Latvia Tel. + 371 29240951 E-mail: Valdis. Avotins@venta.lv