

Dermatology Reports https://www.pagepress.org/journals/index.php/dr/index

eISSN 2036-7406



Publisher's Disclaimer. E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. **Dermatology Reports** is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that undergone a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication, but have not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading processes, which may lead to differences between this version and the final one.

The final version of the manuscript will then appear on a regular issue of the journal. E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

Please cite this article as [Epub Ahead of Print] with its assigned doi: 10.4081/dr.2023.9627

© the Author(s), 2023 *Licensee* <u>PAGEPress</u>, Italy

aliana di Dermatologia a, Oncologica, Correttiva ed Estetica

Note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



Urban *versus* rural utilization of teledermoscopy in self-skin examinations: preliminary results of a cohort study in the states of Oregon and Washington

Emilie A. Foltz,^{1,2} Alexander Witkowski,¹ Joanna Ludzik¹

¹ Department of Dermatology, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA.

² Washington State University Elson S. Floyd College of Medicine, Spokane, Washington, USA.

Corresponding author:

Emilie A. Foltz, BS 3303 S Bond Ave Suite 16 Portland, Oregon 97239 Email: <u>foltze@ohsu.edu</u>

Reprint requests: Emilie A. Foltz

Funding sources: Philanthropic donations to the OHSU War on Melanoma, NW Cancer Resource Fund, and the Wheeler Foundation

Conflicts of Interest: Alexander Witkowski and Joanna Ludzik are founders of Sklip Inc. and have an approved conflict of interest management plan in place at their institution. Emilie A. Foltz has no conflicts of interest to disclose.

IRB approval status: Reviewed and approved by OHSU IRB #18408

Keywords: dermoscopy; teledermoscopy; skin cancer; teledermatology; melanoma; lesion; cancer detection; early detection; cancer screening; access; rural areas

Numerous studies have illustrated a disparity in access to dermatology care between rural and urban/metropolitan communities. One study found an average of 0.085 dermatologists per 100,000 persons in rural regions of the United States, versus 4.11 dermatologists per 100,000 persons in metropolitan regions.¹ The increased utilization of teledermatology as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic presents a valuable opportunity to bridge this inequity. Furthermore, the addition of teledermoscopy use by patients in remote self-skin examinations (SSEs) may enhance triage of patient-selected lesions of concern by providing medical-grade digital dermoscopy images to dermatology providers performing virtual visit triage.

We have collected preliminary results of a cohort study observing the use of teledermoscopy in SSEs for skin cancer surveillance. Dermoscopy images of patient self-selected lesions of concern were photographed using a smartphone dermatoscope attachment (Sklip Inc., Lake Oswego, Oregon) as part of our free device rental program made available to anyone in the States of Oregon or Washington who participates in a virtual visit (e-visit, video, or direct message to their dermatology provider). Digital dermoscopy images taken by patients were submitted to dermatology providers at Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) for triage via a store-and-forward (SAF) mechanism in a secure electronic medical record (EPIC, Verona, Wisconsin). Remote review of the images by an OHSU dermatology provider prompted a determination to either convert to an in-person consultation for consideration of biopsy, or to observe the lesion until the next scheduled dermatology visit.

To date, 65 dermoscopy images of self-selected lesions have been submitted by 44 participants, averaging 1.5 lesions per participant. Of the 44 participants, 3 reported a residence in a rural zip code (6.8%), whereas 41 reside in an urban zip code (93.2%).^{2,3} This observed difference in consumption of free rental smartphone dermatoscopes, stratified by rural versus urban zip codes, mirrors the geographic distribution of the Washington and Oregon State populations per the US Census Bureau (p=0.78, Table 1).⁴

	rban	ural
regon State population, 2010 US Census Bureau (n)	04382	26692
ashington State population, 2010 US Census Bureau (n)	51869	072671
obile Dermoscopy Study Participants (n)	L.	
ashington State population, 2010 US Census Bureau (%)	1%	5%
regon State population, 2010 US Census Bureau (%)	%	9%
ashington and Oregon population averages, 2010 (%)	9%	7%
obile Dermoscopy Study Participants (%)	9%	V0

Table	1.	"Urban	versus	rural	engagement	with	mobile	dermoscopy	program	relative	to
corresponding population distributions."											

Though our observed use of smartphone dermoscopy in rural areas is similar to the proportion of individuals living in rural areas in Oregon and Washington States, further increased engagement in rural communities may be an effective mechanism to promote geographical health

equity. In addition to limited access to dermatology providers, individuals in rural communities may be exposed to higher levels of UVR exposure than those in urban areas.⁵ It is possible that increased access to teledermoscopy in rural populations may result in earlier detection of skin cancer and reduced travel for in-office evaluation of lesions that are ultimately deemed dermoscopically benign. Current limitations of our study include the small preliminary sample size, lack of randomization, and limited means to broadly advertise the free device rental program.

We believe that increased utilization of teledermoscopy in rural regions may serve as a bridge for the disparities in access to dermatology care. Future applications of this study should include increased sample size and community outreach increasingly targeting individuals in rural communities. Further studies are required to understand the potential barriers, whether technologic or cultural, for smartphone medical device use by rural patients.

References

- Feng H, Berk-Krauss J, Feng PW, Stein JA. Comparison of dermatologist density between urban and rural counties in the United States. JAMA Dermatology. 2018;154(11):1265. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2018.3022
- 2. ORH Urban/Rural Designation. Oregon.gov. Accessed August 9, 2022. <u>https://www.oregon.gov/oha/HSD/AMHPAC/Documents/OR-Zip-Codes-Urban-Rural-Designations.pdf</u>
- 3. Rural and Urban Counties. Doh.wa.gov. Accessed August 9, 2022. https://doh.wa.gov/sites/default/files/legacy/Documents/Pubs//609003.pdf
- 4. US Census Bureau. 2010 Census Urban and Rural Classification and Urban Area Criteria. The United States Census Bureau. Published December 2, 2019. <u>https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/geography/guidance/geo-areas/urban-rural/2010-urban-rural.html</u>
- Nagelhout ES, Lensink R, Zhu A, et al. Higher Ultraviolet Radiation Exposure Among Rural-Dwelling Versus Urban-Dwelling Adults and Children: Implications for Skin Cancer Prevention. J Community Health. 2021;46(1):147-155. doi:10.1007/s10900-020-00860-6